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ABSTRACT

Herein we describe the synthesis and conformational analysis of a Tröger’s base diformanilide whose distinctive NMR spectra was fully assigned via DFT calculations. The complexity of the spectra originated by the presence of three conformers in equilibrium shows that the nuclei in each side of the molecule are sensitive to the configuration not only of the closest formamide moiety but also of the farthest one, due to long-range anisotropic effects. The temperature and the solvent polarity influence were analyzed to determine the different conformational populations and the corresponding rotational activation parameters.

2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
Building blocks with well-defined rigid structures are very convenient in organic synthesis, as they allow the control and disposition of different substituents in predictable geometries. 6H,12H-5,11-methanodibenzo[b,f][1,5]diazocine – Tröger’s base (TB) – is one of the most used compounds of this kind, because it adopts a rigid V-shaped geometry with the aromatic rings almost perpendicular to each other (Figure 1) [1–3]. These molecules present both practical and theoretical interest, their applications being particularly useful in areas such as molecular recognition, drug development, bioorganic and supramolecular chemistry and for optical and optoelectronic device design [4–11].

Figure 1. Molecular structure of (S,S)-Tröger’s base and numbering according to its systematic name.

Although TB was first synthesized in 1887 by Carl Julius Tröger, in the last few decades there has been an important resurgence in the study of the synthesis and characterization of different TB derivatives due to their unique properties, which even led them to be described as one of the “fascinating molecules in organic chemistry” [12].

TB consists of two aromatic rings fused by a bicyclic aliphatic methanodiazocine unit whose methylene bridge prevents pyramidal inversion of the two nitrogen atoms and thus makes them stable stereogenic centers. The aromatic rings stand in a nearly perpendicular fashion with an angle which has been predicted for a wide array of derivatives between 84° and 105°, with a mean value of 95.3°, and a minimum distance of approx. 1 nm between the substituents [13,14]. This fixed configuration makes TB a C2-symmetric chiral molecule with a hydrophobic cavity, which can exist as the RR and SS enantiomers. The diastereomeric-TB (RS) is geometrically not viable.

Communication through space between the aromatic moieties in the V-shaped structure and its substituents has been studied in regard to the atropoisomerism in torsion balances [15–17]. In addition, in a TB bistriarylamine derivative our group found that, besides the geometrical connecting role, this non-conjugated bridge can surprisingly play an important electronic connecting role. Electrochemical and EPR measurements as well as CAMB3LYP calculations performed showed an unexpectedly large capacity of the aliphatic bridge to electronically connect the arylamines, reflected in the spin and charge distribution. The main factor that originates this electronic connection is the rigid geometry of the bridge that makes the nitrogen atoms face each other, and therefore the lone pairs enter the bridge and overlap with the arylamine π-system [18,19]. Regarding the possible communication of the aromatic rings through space, to the best of our knowledge no experimental study has been reported of TB’s diamide bond conformers.

The amide group may act as a probe to detect long range interactions. In fact, if different conformations arising from these two distant amide moieties can be discriminated by NMR, the analysis of these signals can give valuable information regarding the possibility of long-range anisotropic effects in the system. The large rotational energy barrier around the N=C(O) bond caused by its partial double bond character generates non-equivalent substituents. This rotation is usually slow on the 1H NMR time scale at room temperature, enabling the Z (cis) and E (trans) conformers to be distinguished [20].

The assignment of the 1D NMR signals to each conformer may be done from identification of the CHO proton peaks, which are recognized from the CHO–NH couplings of approximately 11.0 Hz (cis isomer) and 1.5 Hz (trans isomer) [20]. The TB skeleton presents, in principle, a characteristic and relatively simple 1H NMR, corresponding to the aromatic and bridge protons. The bridgehead methylene (C13) appears as a singlet between the non-equivalent non-bridgehead methylene signals, of which the endo protons appear more shielded than the exo ones [13].

In this work, we describe the synthesis and complete analysis of the 1D and 2D NMR spectra of a model diamiformide TB derivative 1 (N,N’-((5S,11S)-4,10-dimethyl-6H,12H-5,11-methanodibenzo[b,f][1,5]diazocine-2,8-diyldiformamide), whose complex array of signals is consistent with the presence of long-range anisotropic effects that confirm that both sides of the TB base skeleton are not independent from each other.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Compound 3 was prepared in two steps according to literature procedures [21], starting from an acid-catalyzed condensation step of 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline with (CH3)2O, to obtain 2,8-dinitro-Tröger’s base derivative 2, which is further reduced to the diamino substituted compound 3. This compound was N,N’-diformylated to finally obtain the 2,8-diformamido TB derivative 1 (Scheme 1) [22]. 1 was characterized by mass spectrometry (HR-ESI) and 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. Spectra were obtained in different solvents and at several temperatures.

2.2. Conformational analysis and NMR assignment in chloroform

Observation of the amide bond configuration (E or Z) of both formamide units of 1 indicates the potential coexistence of three conformers in equilibrium, EE, ZZ and EZ, which can be differentiated by NMR spectroscopy if the N=C(O) bond rotation is slow enough. The fourth possible conformer (ZE) is the same as EZ, due to the C2 symmetry axis in the molecule (Figure 2), and all conformers are actually the racemic mixture of the RR and SS enantiomers, which cannot be discriminated by NMR. This analysis assumes free rotation around Ar–N bonds, as hindered rotation for these bonds is usually described only for 2-substituted tertiary anilines [23–25]. Each formamide is denoted as E(E), Z(Z), E(Z) and Z(E) according to its own configuration and to the other one, specified between brackets.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of N,N’-((5S,11S)-4,10-dimethyl-6H,12H-5,11-methanodibenzo[b,f][1,5]diazocine-2,8-diyl)diformamide 1 starting from 2-methyl-4-nitroaniline
Figure 3 shows the experimental $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1 in CDCl$_3$ at 25°C, where the number of peaks shows that the nuclei in each side of the molecule are sensitive to the configuration not only of the closest formamide but also of the farthest one, which is up to 12 bonds apart (see detailed analysis below). This long-range anisotropic effect originated by the formamide groups gives rise to four different proton peaks for each nucleus, one for each $EE$ and $ZZ$ conformer and two for the $EZ$ one, given that both sides of the molecule are different. This effect is also visible in $^{13}$C NMR (Figs. S1–S3), where the presence of four magnetically non–equivalent sets of nuclei are detected for most signals.

Slow rotation around the N–C(O) bond and interconversion of formamides is confirmed by 2D EXSY spectra, as a cross peak with opposite phase to NOE correlations is observed for several protons from and close to $E$ and $Z$ formamides. These signals show magnetization transfer between two sites that undergo chemical exchange, and indicate that conversion between the different conformers is in fast exchange on the relaxation time scale, even when it is in the slow exchange limit on the NMR chemical shift time scale [26].

Figure 3. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1 in CDCl$_3$ at 25°C.

To the best of our knowledge, a complete conformer elucidation of this kind has not been done for any diformanilide. This conformational behavior is infrequent in oligoamides, as usually it is not possible to discriminate all the present conformers at room temperature, given that their populations are not similar, their NMR signals are identical or the N–C(O) bond rotation is not slow enough to be in the slow exchange regime [23,27–38]. Chen et al. [39] have synthesized a fluorene diformamide derivative whose 1D NMR spectrum in CDCl$_3$ apparently shows a similar behavior, but it has not been analyzed in detail.

In order to completely assign the experimental signals of each nuclei to the corresponding conformer, the species under study were subjected to geometry optimization using density functional theory (DFT) and calculations at the B3LYP/6–31G(d,p) level, taking into account dielectric solvent effects with the SCRF-PCM model. The isotropic chemical shifts for hydrogen and carbon atoms were obtained using the GIAO method [13,20,40–46].

The experimental spectra were subdivided in four regions A–D for a detailed discussion and assignment of the peaks, considering both bidimensional NMR spectra (Figs. S4–S11) and theoretical calculations. Experimental and calculated $^{13}$C signals are shown in Table S1.

Region A – Formamide protons

Figure 4 shows the formamide region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1. Only three signals are observed for each proton (two for the $E$ formamides and one for the $Z$ ones), as the signals of the $Z$ formamides do not depend significantly on the other formamide configuration. The assignment of the NH protons is supported by COSY (Figs. S4–S5). EXSY spectrum confirms the exchange of the formamide protons (both CHO and NH) by the presence of a cross peak due to magnetization transfer (Fig. S10). In the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum the four expected carbonyl signals are observed, more clearly separated for the $E$ conformation (Fig. S2).

Table 1 summarizes the observed and calculated chemical shifts using DFT. The calculations not only permit the assignment of the signals with respect to the farthest formamide (higher or lower chemical shifts) but also give good predictions for almost all the signals, with deviations of less than 0.2 ppm.
It can also be predicted if two signals will give rise to two separate peaks or to only one, as in every case of the \( E \) formamide the calculated difference between peaks is larger than 0.01 ppm while for the \( Z \) formamide it is smaller than 0.01 ppm.

### Table 1. \(^1\)H NMR experimental and calculated chemical shifts for formamide protons of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C, according to their own and farthest formamide configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Own config.</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farthest config.</td>
<td>( \delta_{exp} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{calc} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{exp} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{calc} ) / ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CHO} )</td>
<td>8.503</td>
<td>8.493</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>8.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NH} )</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>7.952</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>7.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Region B – Aromatic protons

Figure 5 shows the aromatic region of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum, where eight different doublets with \( J_\text{HH} = 1.5 \text{ Hz} \) are observed (the singlet at 7.04 ppm corresponds to the \( \text{NH} \) proton of the \( Z \) formamide). Each signal can be assigned to a specific configuration of both formamides, based on the theoretical calculations and 2D spectra.

### Table 2. \(^1\)H NMR experimental and calculated chemical shifts for aromatic protons of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C, according to closest and farthest formamide configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closest configuration</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farthest configuration</td>
<td>( \delta_{exp} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{calc} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{exp} ) / ppm</td>
<td>( \delta_{calc} ) / ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H1} / \text{H7} )</td>
<td>6.503</td>
<td>6.487</td>
<td>7.159</td>
<td>7.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H3} / \text{H9} )</td>
<td>6.791</td>
<td>6.776</td>
<td>7.045</td>
<td>7.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H1} / \text{H7} )</td>
<td>6.638</td>
<td>6.630</td>
<td>7.267</td>
<td>7.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H3} / \text{H9} )</td>
<td>6.960</td>
<td>6.950</td>
<td>7.596</td>
<td>7.584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The four signals for each carbon can be seen in the \(^13\)C NMR spectrum (Fig. S3). The shown assignments with respect to the closest formamide were done considering 2D correlations (HSQC or HMBC).

### Region C – Tröger’s nucleus protons

The Tröger’s nucleus protons region of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \( 1 \) is shown in Figure 6, with the peaks assignment considering the predicted \( \delta \) values shown in Table 3. The discrimination of exo and endo protons was also carried out considering the positive NOE effect between the methyl group and the endo protons and that between the bridgehead methylene H13 and the exo protons (Fig. S9). The fact that these hydrogens appear as two doublets (and not four) indicates that these methylene groups are affected by the configuration of the closest formamide but not by the farthest one, whereas the methylene bridge is not sensitive to any formamide configuration. This analysis is in agreement with the calculated values, with differences lower than 0.01 ppm for experimentally undistinguishable signals.

### Table 3. Aromatic protons (Region B) of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C.

#### Figure 4. Formamide protons (Region A) of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C.

#### Figure 5. Aromatic protons (Region B) of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C.

#### Figure 6. Tröger’s nucleus protons (Region C) of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \( 1 \) in CDCl\(_3\) at 25 °C.
Table 3. 1H NMR experimental and calculated chemical shifts for Tröger’s nucleus protons of 1 in CDCl₃ at 25 °C, according to closest and farthest formamide configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closest configuration</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farthest configuration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δₑₑ / ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endo</td>
<td>3.936</td>
<td>3.922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exo</td>
<td>4.549</td>
<td>4.536</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bridge</td>
<td>4.284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

δₓₓ / ppm

| endo      | 3.815 | 3.813 | 3.805 | 3.802 |
| exo       | 3.368 | 3.364 | 3.346 | 3.349 |
| bridge    | 4.001 | 3.997 | 3.992 | 3.985 |

Region D – Methyl protons

Figure 7 shows the methyl region of the 1H NMR spectrum, where these protons can be seen affected by the configuration of both formamides and thus appear as four singlets. The experimental and calculated chemical shifts are shown in Table 4. Deconvolution of peaks using the MestReNova software is consistent with the assignment obtained from theoretical calculation, as the signals at 2.374 and 2.391 ppm have very similar integration and thus may belong to the same conformer.

| Table 4. 1H NMR experimental and calculated chemical shifts for methyl protons of 1 in CDCl₃ at 25 °C, according to closest and farthest formamide configuration. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Closest configuration | E   | Z   | E   | Z   |     |     |
| Farthest configuration |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| δₓₓ / ppm             |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| endo                  | 2.400 | 2.391 | 2.374 | 2.385 |     |     |
| exo                   | 2.304 | 2.298 | 2.269 | 2.282 |     |     |

2.3. Solvent effect in 1H NMR spectra

The effect of solvent polarity on the spectrum of compound 1 was analyzed to study the extension of the anisotropic effects, as well as the different conformer populations. The 1H NMR spectra in more polar solvents (DMSO-δ₆, CD₂CN, CD₂OD, acetone-δ₆, and DMF-δ₆) are simplified and usually only one peak is observed for each proton close to an E or Z configuration, regardless of the farthest formamide’s configuration. This result is in agreement with all spectra described in DMSO-δ₆ for diformanilides connected by different spacers, such as –S(O)₂– [27], –C₃H₇– [28], –O– [29] or –S– [30] among others [31]. In CD₃OD/CDCl₃, on the other hand, the signals become even more complex than in CDCl₃.

Figure 8 shows the 1H NMR spectra for the methyl region. For the least polar solvents (CD₃OD/CDCl₃ mixture, CDCl₃ and acetone–δ₆), the spectra consist of the four peaks as expected for the E(Z), Z(Z), E(Z) and Z(E) methyl protons. The relative order of chemical shifts varies with the solvent, and the assignment may be done on the basis of the integration knowing the overall Z/E ratio and that E(Z) and Z(E) peaks should have the same area. Nevertheless, in more polar solvents fewer peaks are observed: only two signals dominate the spectra in CD₃OD and CD₂CN –corresponding to the Z or E configuration of the closest formamide– while only one broad band with three shoulders is present in DMSO–δ₆. Similar behavior is observed for the other regions of the spectra (Figs. S12–S13).

Table 5. ZZ/EZ/EE ratio of 1 in different solvents at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>ε</th>
<th>µ</th>
<th>ZZ:EZ:EE (%)</th>
<th>∆Gₑₑ/∆Gₑₑ/∆Gₑₑ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDCl₃–CD₃OD</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49:32:19</td>
<td>0.0 / 1.1 / 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCl₃</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>30:39:31</td>
<td>0.7 / 0.0 / 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone–δ₆</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>72:21:7</td>
<td>0.0 / 3.1 / 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by 1H NMR deconvolution of methyl signals at 25 °C, without calibration curve.

b Related to the most stable conformer, at 25 °C.
In other solvents, the ZZ:EZ:EE populations cannot be calculated independently. Anyway, the overall Z/E ratio can be obtained from the integrals for the CHO [E] and CHO [Z] signals (Table 6). In this case, the sides of the molecule may be considered not communicated, and thus each formanilide moiety is interpreted as an independent entity with E or Z configuration (denoted for simplicity as E or Z conformer from now on).

Except for CDCl₃, in every solvent the Z configuration is preferred over the E one, with a fixed 75:25 approximate ratio in polar solvents. These results are usually observed for simple formanilides with no 2-substituent, with the Z isomer being predominant in DMSO–d₆ [27–31,47,48], acetone–d₆ [36] and CD₃OD [36] and Z/E ratios close to 1:1 in CDCl₃ [36,47,49,50].

Table 6. Overall Z/E ratio of 1 in different solvents at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>ε</th>
<th>µ</th>
<th>Z/E (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDCl₃–CD₃OD 1:1 (V/V)</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCl₃</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone–d₆</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>76:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD₃OD</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>74:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF–d₆</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>77:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD₃CN</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>74:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO–d₆</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>73:27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by 'H NMR integration of aldehyde signals at 25 °C, without calibration curve.

From the data presented in Table 7, the Gibbs free energy of equilibria were estimated (ΔG°E/Z = –RT ln Kₑ/z₂), assuming that both sides of the molecule were independent. The graphical representation of these results for the least polar mixtures (Figure 10) shows a linear relationship with the Kirkwood function (ε–1)/(2ε+1), demonstrating that purely electrostatic solute/solvent interactions are the main factor defining the Z/E ratio in the low solvent polarity regime [51–53]. This behavior is not maintained at high polarity solvents, which shows that other effects must be present.

The increase in the overall Z/E ratio in polar solvents was also observed by theoretical calculations. Table 8 shows the calculated ΔG values for each conformer related to the most stable isomer in CDCl₃ and DMSO–d₆, and its relative populations given by a Boltzmann analysis. Even when the values do not exactly match, the general trend of the increase of the Z/E ratio in DMSO–d₆ with respect to CDCl₃ is kept, and the fact that the sides of the molecule are mutually dependent is respected as well.
Table 8. Calculated and experimental free energies of formation (related to the most stable conformer) and population rates for 1 in CDCl₃ and DMSO-d₆.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>ΔG (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Population at 298K (%)</th>
<th>(Z/E)_{obs}</th>
<th>(Z/E)_{exp}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZZ: 0.00</td>
<td>76.8 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCl₃</td>
<td>EZ: 3.55</td>
<td>18.3 %</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE: 6.82</td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ: 0.00</td>
<td>82.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO-d₆</td>
<td>EZ: 4.10</td>
<td>15.7 %</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE: 8.83</td>
<td>2.3 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Activation parameters of 1 in DMSO–d₆ obtained from Eyring’s plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔH°/kJ mol⁻¹</th>
<th>ΔS°/J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E→Z</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z→E</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Alddehyde protons of the ¹H NMR spectra of 1 in DMSO-d₆ at different temperatures.

For a two-site exchange with unequal populations, the rate constants $k_i$ (i: E, Z for E→Z and Z→E respectively) can be estimated considering the difference between the observed peak widths $\Delta v_{o,b}$ at each temperature $T$ and the natural bandwidth in the slow exchange limit at 25°C (T°), which is assumed to account for all transverse relaxation mechanisms other than chemical exchange (Eq. 1) [54].

$$\Delta v_{o,b}^i (T) = \Delta v_{o,b}^i (T°) - \Delta v_{o,b}^i (T°) = k_i \pi$$  (1)

In this case, the ratio between the constants $k_E$ and $k_Z$ should be given by the equilibrium constant $K_{E,Z}$, which can be determined by the population of each configuration $p$, and thus the integration of peaks (Eq. 2).

$$\frac{k_E}{k_Z} = \frac{p_Z}{p_E} = K_{E,Z}$$  (2)

The results for the estimated rate and equilibrium constants of 1 in DMSO-d₆ at different temperatures are given in Table 9. Kinetic constants are in the order of 1–100 s⁻¹ (lifetimes of 10 ms).

2.4. Variable Temperature NMR spectra

The ¹H NMR spectra of 1 in the formamide region in DMSO–d₆ taken in the temperature interval 298–353 K, show peak broadening and a slight change in chemical shifts as temperature rises (Figure 11). The coalescence temperature is not reached, and thus it is necessary to perform a complete line shape analysis (CLSA) of some of the exchanging peaks in the slow–intermediate regime. Peak deconvolution of the CHO signals was achieved using the MestReNova software, allowing the determination of the kinetic constants at each temperature.

From CLSA data it is possible to estimate the activation energy parameters for the forward and reverse reactions using the Eyring equation (Eq. 3), assuming $\kappa = 1$. Plotting $\ln (k/T)$ against $1000/T$ (Figure 12) allows the determination of enthalpy and entropy of activation, $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger$, which are obtained from the slope and intercept of the linear regression, respectively.

$$k = \kappa \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^\ddagger / kT}$$  (3)

Figure 12. Eyring plot for 1 in DMSO-d₆ solution for E→Z (●) and Z→E (■) rotations. One data point (○) was omitted in the calculations (see Supporting Information).

The results for the activation parameters are shown in Table 10. Enthalpies of activation are very similar for both processes, indicating that $\Delta H$ is approximately zero in DMSO–d₆. This is consistent with the fact that the ratio from major to minor isomer in DMSO–d₆, obtained by peak integration, does not change upon temperature increase, and demonstrates that conformer equilibrium is an entropically driven process. At room temperature, $K_{E,Z} = 2.7$, and thus $\Delta S$ is estimated as 8.3 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹, in close agreement with the value obtained from CLAS of 7.9 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹.

Table 10. Activation parameters of 1 in DMSO–d₆ obtained from Eyring’s plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔH°/kJ mol⁻¹</th>
<th>ΔS°/J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E→Z</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z→E</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated values for $\Delta G^\ddagger$ at 298 K in DMSO–d₆ are 75.5 and 78.2 kJ mol⁻¹ for E→Z and Z→E, respectively, which lie in the usual interval of 63–96 kJ mol⁻¹ for Gibbs free energies of activation for hindered amide rotation [55]. $\Delta G^\ddagger$ for the $E\Rightarrow Z$ equilibrium is estimated thus as –2.7 kJ mol⁻¹, result with less than 10% error with respect to that calculated from integration (–2.5 kJ mol⁻¹).

3. Conclusions

The current work provides full description of the complex array of signals in the NMR spectra of a TB diformamide 1 and offers novel evidence about the dependence of the moieties on each side of this type of scaffold. This behavior is originated by...
the presence of three conformers in equilibrium, which correspond to the possible configurations of the amide moiety, and is consistent with long-range anisotropic effects generated by the carbonyl groups. DFT calculations allowed the complete assignment of NMR spectra and confirmed the experimental capability of signal distinction. In addition, our work reports the study of solvent polarity and temperature effects on the signals. The detailed conformational analysis shows that the $E/Z$ ratio is mainly determined by electrostatic interactions in the low polarity regime and that it adopts a constant value in polar solvents. Activation parameters determined in DMSO demonstrate that in this case conformer equilibrium is an entropically driven process.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic conformational analysis of this kind of model system and paves the way for future promising studies through the design of new TB derivatives with amide bonds.
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Table 9. Rate and equilibrium constants of 1 in DMSO-d$_6$ estimated by CLSA at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T / K</th>
<th>$k_{\text{e-z}}$ / s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{e-x}}$ / s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{e-x}}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{e-z}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>303.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.1</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343.1</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined as the ratio between the constants $k_{\text{e-z}}$ and $k_{\text{e-x}}$.

$^b$ Determined by $^1$H NMR integration of aldehyde signals at 25 °C, without calibration curve.

$^c$ Omitted in the calculations (see Supporting Information).
1. We present the synthesis and full NMR analysis of a diformamide TB derivative 1.
2. 1 displays a complex array of signals originated by the presence of three conformers.
3. DFT calculations and 2D NMR experiments allowed the full assignment of $^1$H NMR.
4. Solvent polarity and temperature effects were analyzed.
5. 1 serves as a probe for long-range anisotropic effects generated by carbonyl groups.