Ceramics International xx (xxxx) xxxx-xxxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ceramics International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ceramint

Formation, microstructure and properties of aluminum borate ceramics obtained from alumina and boric acid

María F. Hernández^{a,b,*}, Gustavo Suárez^{a,b}, Mariano Cipollone^{b,c}, María S. Conconi^a, Esteban F. Aglietti^{a,b}, Nicolás M. Rendtorff^{a,b}

^a Centro de Tecnología de Recursos Minerales y Cerámica CETMIC (CIC-CONICET) Cno., Centenario y 506 Gonnet, La Plata 1897, Argentina

^b Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas UNLP, 47 y 115, La Plata 1900, Argentina

^c Química Analítica, Y-TEC SA, Ensenada, Argentina

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Porous ceramics Aluminum borate Processing Characterization

ABSTRACT

The formation of aluminum borates ($Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$ and $Al_4B_2O_9$) from alumina and boron oxide occurs between 600 and 800 °C. These materials have refractory properties and corrosion resistance. The objective of this work is to develop materials from the Al_2O_3 - B_2O_3 system, employing alumina and boric acid as starting powders, to study the critical processing variables and describe the developed microstructure and properties.

Three formulations (13, 19.5 and 26 wt% B_2O_3) were studied. In order to confirm the formation of borates, the differential thermal analysis and thermogravimetric analysis were carried out. Afterwards, uniaxially pressed disc-shaped specimens were fired at four temperatures above the formation temperature. The textural properties of the ceramics were evaluated by the immersion method, this permit to evaluate the sintering processes. Then the degree of borate formation was confirmed by X-ray diffraction.

Finally, the developed microstructures were characterized by scanning electron microscopy, and the diametral compression behavior was evaluated.

A series of porous (\approx 50%) refractory materials from the Al₂O₃-B₂O₃ system were developed. The processing strategy resulted in materials with Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ as the main crystalline phase. Needle grains with diameters between 0.2 and 1 µm and an aspect ratio over 20:1 were obtained. Thus, based on the information gathered from our research, aluminum borate ceramic materials can be designed for structural, insulating or filtering applications employing only alumina and boric acid as boron oxide source.

1. Introduction

The Al₂O₃-B₂O₃ phase diagram (Fig. 1) was fully described fifty years ago [1]. Two aluminum borates are stable in the binary system Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ and Al₄B₂O₉. While the first is stable up to 1900 °C and the second one is stable only up to 1100 °C. The formation of boron aluminates (BA) and Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ Al₄B₂O₉ from alumina and boron oxide occurs between 600 and 800 °C. In the high B₂O₃ content region (B₂O₃ > 25 wt%) the first liquid phase will appear at temperatures around 450 °C, which corresponds to the pure oxide melting point.

Materials based in these phases present high refractoriness as well as chemical inertness in some environments [2]; the catalytic properties of these phases for some technological reactions are also remarkable [3–5]. Aluminum borate thermal conductivity is between 6 and 4 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ in the room temperature 1000 °C range. These present a Young modulus of ≈400 GPa, a tensile strength of ≈8 GPa, and a Mohs hardness of 7. Finally the thermal expansion coefficients are ≈4.5 and

 $\approx\!1.9~(\times10^{-6}~^{\rm o}{\rm C}^{-1})$ in the axial and radial directions respectively [6].

The utilization of aluminum borate needles or whiskers for aluminum and aluminum based alloy reinforcement is the main application of these phases [6]. They have also been proposed for the reinforcement of magnesium alloys [7]. The mechanical behavior of the material, the chemical compatibility (low wettability) of borate with metallic aluminum, and the microstructural configuration of the metalceramic composites encourage these particular applications. The mechanical behavior of the composites is better than that of the corresponding metallic materials. Vitroceramics with aluminum borates as crystalline phase were also studied, showing technological properties [8]. Furthermore, aluminum borates have also been used as refractory, insulating or filtering materials [4–8].

In addition to the reaction sintering from alumina and boric oxide [9-11], some synthetic routes have been proposed and studied, including the flux method [12], crystallization from molten salts [13], sol-gel routes and chemical precursors [14-17], and the combustion

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.11.002

Received 11 October 2016; Received in revised form 31 October 2016; Accepted 1 November 2016 Available online xxxx

0272-8842/ \odot 2016 Elsevier Ltd and Techna Group S.r.l. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Centro de Tecnología de Recursos Minerales y Cerámica CETMIC (CIC-CONICET) Cno., Centenario y 506 Gonnet, La Plata 1897, Argentina. *E-mail address:* florenciahernandez@cetmic.unlp.edu.ar (M.F. Hernández).



Fig. 1. $Al_2O_3\mbox{-}B_2O_3$ phase diagram. The arrows indicate the compositions tested, modified from [1].

synthesis route as well [18]. Besides alumina, metallic aluminum was proposed as the aluminum source [19,20]. Perhaps these complex processing routes might discourage the industrial application of these materials.

Much attention has been paid to the production of whiskers as nonmonolithic materials (powders and nanopowders) [3–5]. In this work, we intend to process monolithic porous ceramics for structural, insulating or filtering applications and to assess the technological properties of these materials.

Orthorhombic Al₂O₃-rich aluminoborate is an important ceramic material for which two slightly different compositions have been assumed: Al₅BO₉ (5Al₂O₃:B₂O₃) and Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ (9Al₂O₃:2B₂O₃). The formula Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ (Al_{4.91}B_{1.09}O₉) was derived from the results of chemical analyses when crystal structure data were not yet available. Subsequent structural investigations indicated Al₅BO₉ composition. Nevertheless, Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ was still accepted as the correct stoichiometry assuming that additional B replaces 9% Al [21]. This framework was employed in this work.

The objective of this work is to obtain materials from the Al₂O₃-B₂O₃ system, through a simple direct ceramic route, from inexpensive starting powders such as calcined alumina and boric acid. The aim is to study the critical processing variables, describe the properties achieved and the developed microstructure. This could be the starting point for developing porous aluminum borate materials with the aforementioned applications or for later molten aluminum (or aluminum alloy) the infiltration for obtaining ceramic-metallic composite. Furthermore the mechanical properties of the resultant complex microstructure borates material is no completely described in literature, in this work we also present the results of the diametral compression and elastic behavior of the materials obtained by the optimized route.

2. Experimental procedure

The starting raw materials employed were calcined alumina A2G (ALCOA, USA) and commercial boric acid (Borax Argentina SA, Argentina) with 99.8% of H_3BO_3 , (56.2% B_2O_3), CAS No.: 10043-35-3.

Boric acid was dry-milled in a disc and ring mill (Herzog HS-100). Alumina boric acid mixtures were performed in ethanol by stirring and sonication. Slurries were sieved in a #325 sieve (ASTM). Dried (110 °C) mixtures were pressed in 1.0 g disc-shaped samples (15.0 mm diameter) with a 100 MPa uniaxial pressure.

Three compositions with 13.0, 20.5 and 26.0 wt% of boron oxide (B_2O_3) were studied. They were labeled C1, C2 and C3, respectively.

The first and third correspond to the reported stoichiometric borates $(Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$ and $Al_4B_2O_9)$, as marked in Fig. 1; C2 is strictly an intermediate.

The thermal behavior of the mixtures was studied. Simultaneous thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analyses (DTA) were performed up to 1200 °C. Both thermal analyses were carried out simultaneously at a 5 °C/min heating rate in air atmosphere (TG-DTA and TMA Rigaku Evo plus II, Japan). The TG-DTA analysis of the boric acid was also performed to understand the chemical behavior of this starting powder.

After this analysis, samples were fired at the same heating rate (5 °C/min) with different maximum temperatures in the range of 600–1400 °C and 120 min soaking in air atmosphere. In order to assess borate formation, the crystalline phases of sintered samples were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using CuKa radiation operating at 40 kV and 300 mA (Philips PW1710). The XRD patterns were analyzed with the program FullProf (version 4.90, July 2010), which is a multipurpose profile-fitting program [22], including Rietveld refinement to perform phase quantification [23,24].

Once the sintering program was optimized, the linear shrinkage was measured; the green and sintered volumetric density was calculated from the volume: mass ratio. Archimedes immersion method was also carried out in the range 1100-1400 °C.

The microstructure analysis was performed by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JEOL, JCM- 6000). Fracture strength is one of the most common and widely used mechanical properties for structural ceramics because it is the most simple and important material reliability parameter. The diametral compression test, also known as "splitting test" or "Brazilian test", has usually been employed in the mechanical evaluation due to several advantages: simpler piece preparation, simple geometry and quickness of testing, independent data with regard to surface finish and no edge effects [25-27]. In this case, the 15 mm diameter and 5 mm thick disc-shaped samples were diametrically compressed in a universal mechanical testing machine (INSTRON 5985, USA), at a constant strain rate of 0.1 mm/min, with steel plates. Lubricant paste was applied on the platen surfaces in contact with the disc to reduce the effect of friction; white and carbon papers were placed together between each platen and the disc for load distribution (padding material). The initial diameter was used for the calculation, and L was employed for the final maximum load of samples. For this method, the mechanical strength can be calculated with the following equation:

$$\sigma_d = \frac{2L}{\pi dt} \tag{1}$$

where L is the final load, d is the diameter, and t is the thickness of the disc-shaped sample.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Boric acid thermal behavior

The thermal behavior of the boric acid can be seen in Fig. 2, where the thermogravimetric analysis (TG), the graphical derivative (dTG) and the differential thermal analysis (DTA) are presented. The water loss (\approx 20 wt%) at low temperature (below 200 °C) can be observed in the TG curve; the dTG together with the DTA allow one to differentiate a three-step decomposition of the acid. These reactions correspond to Eqs. (2)–(4). The resulting oxide melts at 450 °C [1]. The observed mass losses correspond to the proposed stoichiometries, and the observed temperatures are in agreement with the literature results [28]. Apparently, the water sequential losses did not affect the compact integrity. No deterioration of the sample geometries was observed after these stages.

In the next section, the chemical processes of the alumina-boric acid mixtures are shown. As the alumina is inert at this temperature



Fig. 2. Thermal behavior of the boric acid employed (TG, dTG and DTA).

range in the mixture treatments, the observed processes correspond to boric acid decomposition only.

 $H_3BO_3 \to HBO_2 + H_2O \tag{2}$

 $4HBO_2 \rightarrow H_2B_4O_7 + H_2O \tag{3}$

$$H_2 B_4 O_7 \to 2 B_2 O_3 + H_2 O$$
 (4)

3.2. Thermal behavior of the alumina-boric acid mixtures, and borate formation

3.2.1. Thermal analysis (TG, dTG and DTA) of the boric acid-alumina mixtures (C1-C2-C3)

The TG curve of the three proportions studied is shown in Fig. 3. As expected, the multistep mass loss can be observed in the three curves. The mass losses in the plot correspond to the water loss and are proportional to the amount of acid in the mixtures. No other important mass changes can be observed. It could be stated that the amount of boron oxide evaporation during the thermal treatment is null or negligible up to 1100 °C.

The DTA analysis was performed simultaneously and is plotted in Fig. 4. As expected, the DTA curve follows the same trend as the endothermic peaks. The peak temperatures are included in the figure as well. Two broad and difficult to identify thermal signals associated with borate formations are observed in the three compositions at higher temperatures between 650 and 900 °C. A second plot with a magnified scale is shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 4 in order to illustrate these signals.



Fig. 3. TG of the alumina-boric acid mixtures (C1, C2 and C3 compositions); mass losses correspond to boric acid decomposition.

Ceramics International xx (xxxx) xxxx-xxxx



Fig. 4. Differential thermal analysis curves (DTA) of the C1, C2 and C3 compositions and magnified scale on the right-hand side.

3.2.2. XRD of the alumina-boric acid mixtures after thermal treatments

In order to elucidate borate formation, an XRD analysis of the samples fired at consecutive maximum temperatures was performed only for C3 composition. Fig. 5 shows the XRD patterns of the samples fired in the 600–1400 °C range. In general, the identified peaks correspond to alumina (corundum; PDF 00-046-1212) and the two aluminum borates (Al₁₈B₄O₃₃: PDF 00-032-0003) and Al₄B₂O₉ (PDF 01-079-1477) [29,30]. Some boric acid (PDF 00-030-0199) was also detected after low temperature thermal treatments (600 and 700 °C); boric oxide (B₂O₃ (PDF 01-076-1655)) was detected in the material fired at 800 °C.

Samples fired at 800 and 900 °C presented alumina and only one of the borates (Al₄B₂O₉); after 1000 °C treatments the peaks of both borates (Al₄B₂O₉ and Al₁₈B₄O₃₃) could be detected. Finally, materials fired at higher temperatures only showed the diffraction peaks of Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ together with some unreacted alumina.

The absence of the typical amorphous XRD band evidences the lack of an important amount of unreacted amorphous boron oxide in the resulting material [31,32]. Alumina peaks are observed. This can be explained by a local high alumina concentration that might be out of the equilibrium condition in the phase diagram, as expected, or by the small evaporation of boron oxide, and by the stoichiometric discrepancies for the aluminum borates described in recent work [21].

In order to illustrate the alumina disappearance and borate formations after the different thermal treatments, a qualitative analysis was performed. The main peak intensities (assumed as the peak height) of both phases are bar plotted as a function of the firing temperature in Fig. 6.

First, no borate formation could be observed after 600 °C treatments. Clearly, the formation is gradual and incomplete for mixtures fired between 700 and 1000 °C, and almost complete for samples fired



Fig. 5. XRD patterns of C3 composition fired at different temperatures between 600 and 1400 °C (patterns were vertically translated for better visualization).



Fig. 6. Semiquantitative phase evolution with the firing temperature: main peak intensity as a function of the sintering temperature for the C3 mixture.

above this temperature. The proposed mechanism involves alumina dissolution in the boron oxide melt, and the consecutive crystallization of the borates ($Al_4B_2O_9$ and $Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$) in whisker morphology. Seemingly, the first borate ($Al_4B_2O_9$) is an intermediate in the thermal evolution of the C3 mixture. The kinetics of this reaction was partially described elsewhere [6]. Some catalysts such as other metal oxides were proposed as well, but they were not studied as they are out of the objectives of the present work. The formation of the intermediate would be related to the viscosity of the boron-based melt; at higher temperatures the lower viscosities would allow the dissolution and crystallization of borates during the thermal treatment, which was performed at 5 °C/min and with a 60-min dwelling time.

3.3. Sintering, shrinkage and textural properties as a function of the processing temperature

The low degree of sintering is consistent with that reported in the literature; high porosity materials are obtained due to the formation of aluminum borate needles [2,6,7,9-11].

In order to study the sintering behavior and the developed microstructure in this family of materials, three different approaches were carried out. First, the simple volumetric density was calculated from the mass: volume ratio. Then, the diametral shrinkage was compared. The dimensional stability of the probes permitted this measurement; the results are shown in Table 1. The third approach was the typical Archimedes analysis. The open porosity values are listed in Table 2.

The measured diametral shrinkage of the disc-shaped samples was around two percent in all the temperature range for C2 and C3; the almost independence of shrinkage from the firing temperature is an important advantage for the processing of this kind of material. The values observed for C1, with less boron, were even smaller but showed a small variation within the range of processing conditions.

The sintering parameter values (Tables 1 and 2) reveal that the thermal treatment of the alumina-boric acid mixtures cannot be defined as a strict sintering process. Hence, a porous microstructure

Table 1

Shrink	age and	volun	netric	densitie	es of	the	studied	l materia	ls
--------	---------	-------	--------	----------	-------	-----	---------	-----------	----

Firing temperature (°C)	Relative shrinkage (%)			Volumetric density (g/ cm ³)			
	C1	C2	C3	C1	C2	C3	
1100	2.3	2.4	2.4	1.87	1.77	1.70	
1200	2.0	2.3	2.3	1.93	1.76	1.78	
1400	2.3 1.5	2.5 2.5	2.5 2.4	1.91	1.77	1.75	

Table 2

Archimedes open porosity of the studied materials.

Firing temperature (°C)	Composition					
	C1 (%)	C2 (%)	C3 (%)			
1100	42.0	37.4	46.5			
1200	43.5	38.9	47.0			
1300	39.3	36.0	45.4			
1400	40.7	40.0	47.0			

will result, and the microstructure will be related to the small particle size of the starting powders (below 2.5 μ m in both cases). This porous microstructure will be a key characteristic for the potential applications of this family of materials.

The volumetric densities achieved (Table 2) were between 1.69 and 1.94 g/cm³. The obtained values followed the sequence C1 > C2 > C3 in the studied range (1100–1400 °C). The value for each composition was not affected by the maximum sintering temperature in the explored range. In fact, the deviation was below 0.05 g/cm³.

The evaluated open porosities are listed in Table 2; the values are between 35% and 50% within the composition and temperature ranges studied. The shrinkage and volumetric density values were not significantly affected by the final thermal treatment temperature. Particularly, the open porosity presented the following sequence: C3 > C1 > C2. Stoichiometric mixtures with a higher boron content exhibited the highest porosity, almost 50%. A pore-forming agent could be incorporated in the processing route in order to achieve even higher porosities and better insulation performances.

3.4. Crystalline phases of the materials developed from the Al_2O_3 - B_2O_3 system

Equivalent XRD patterns were recorded for the three compositions explored (C1, C2 and C3) in the 1100–1400 °C range. The Rietveld refinement was performed on the XRD patterns (not shown). The Rwp (weighted profile R-factor) parameters obtained in the 12 refinements were below 15.0 in all the cases, which indicates the goodness of the refinements. From this more accurate crystalline phase, quantification was obtained (Table 3). The refined structures correspond to the PDF codes in Section 3.2. Fisch et al. [21] found that the actual stoichiometries might differ from them. But in this analysis, those differences were neglected in order to assess the whole formation process.

C2 and C3 presented a similar behavior, while the behavior observed for C1 was different. For C2 and C3, a high $Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$ formation was achieved (over 90%). The amount of unreacted Al_2O_3 and $Al_4B_2O_9$ intermediates was low and in general decreased with the maximum temperature. Moreover, C3 conversion was slightly higher than that of C2.

However, in C1 an important amount (around 60%) of unreacted alumina was quantified. The formation of $Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$ was only approximately 40%. Only traces of $Al_4B_2O_9$ were detected for the C1 materials fired at 1100 and 1200 °C. As thoroughly described by Fisch et al., since the actual stoichiometries of these aluminum borates remain undetermined, some discrepancies between the initial boron-alumina proportion and the evaluated proportions (assuming perfect stoichiometry) could be found. The objective of the performed analysis was only to illustrate the progress of aluminum borate formation.

3.5. Microstructural analysis, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and effect of the sintering temperature

SEM images of the developed materials are depicted in Figs. 7 and 8. In Fig. 7, SEM images of the C3 material fired at different temperatures (1100–1400 °C) are shown. The nondensified microstructure evaluated by the Archimedes method is observed. From these

Table 3

Crystalline phase quantification of the developed materials, effect of the initial composition and firing temperature.

Sintering temp. (°C)	C1			C2			C3		
	Al_2O_3	Al ₁₈ B ₄ O ₃₃	Al ₄ B ₂ O ₉	Al ₂ O ₃	Al ₁₈ B ₄ O ₃₃	Al ₄ B ₂ O ₉	Al ₂ O ₃	Al ₁₈ B ₄ O ₃₃	Al ₄ B ₂ O ₉
1100	61.7	38.0	0.3	11.8	86.4	1.8	3.6	94.9	1.5
1200	55.8	43.15	1.1	7.8	91.2	1.0	5.0	93.3	1.7
1300	58.0	42.0	0	0.4	99.2	0.4	0.7	97.4	1.9
1400	66.9	33.1	0	6.3	93.6	0.1	0.3	99.5	0.2

images, the microstructure can be characterized. An evident whisker or nanorod morphology could be defined in all the materials developed; the aspect ratio was at least 20:1. The measured open porosity corresponds to the space between needles. The whisker thickness was relatively homogeneous for each material. This morphology was previously reported [2,6,7,9-11] for aluminum borates and is consistent with the borate formation observed after the XRD analysis. However, the two borates observed were not differentiated by the microstructural analysis; the unreacted alumina particles were also difficult to observe.

The needles showed no preferential direction. Regarding the morphology of the nanorods, the whiskers presented angled and rectangular sections after 1100 °C treatments. They have a tendency to overlap. After higher temperature treatments, this morphology was gradually smoothed forming larger needles with rounded section. The aspect ratio qualitatively decreased with increasing sintering temperature. However, the thickness observed was in all the cases submicronic. The sintering process was always local; the observed sample shrinkage was only around 2%.

The fracture surface was evaluated using the indirect diametral compression test (described in Section 3.7). No cracks were observed in the whisker, showing the high mechanical properties of the individual grains. The interlocked needle microstructure configuration leads to good mechanical behavior, and this coupled with high porosity (50%) encourage the thermal insulating application. Porous ceramic might have potential filtering applications as well. Finally, the microstructures have a high specific area and might be employed for heterogeneous catalysis and adsorption uses.

3.6. Microstructural analysis, scanning electron microscopy and effect of the composition

The porosity was in the 35–50% range and was affected by the starting composition, following the sequence C3 > C1 > C2. Fig. 8 shows the SEM images of materials C1, C2 and C3 fired at 1200 °C at two different magnifications. The whisker or nanorod morphology can be identified in the three materials. In this case, the effect of the alumina: boron ratio is evident; some unreacted alumina particles are imbibed in the whisker matrix of the C1 materials. They were not observed for the C3 material with the highest boron content. The whisker thickness after this particular thermal treatment was also affected by the starting composition. Materials with less available boron presented thinner needles.

3.7. Mechanical properties and diametral compression behavior

In order to assess the possible application of these porous materials, a simple mechanical characterization was carried out. This behavior will undoubtedly be affected by the developed microstructure, with high porosity (around 50%) and partially sintered interlocked (submicronic in diameter) needles, homogeneous in size and morphology, with no preferential orientation. The mechanical characterization was carried out for the materials fired at 1200 °C.

The three compositions studied (C1, C2 and C3) were evaluated. Six samples were submitted to the compression tests.

The diametral compression strength (σ_d) was calculated from the maximum stress (Eq. (1)), and a diametral elastic modulus (E_d) was calculated from the slope of the final linear section of the stress-strain curves.

The mean diametral strengths (σ_d) and deviation are listed in Table 4. The slope of the linear range was calculated from a linear fitting; fit goodness (\mathbb{R}^2) was over 99.5 in all the cases. The mean slopes (\mathbb{E}_d) together with the corresponding deviations are also shown in Table 4. \mathbb{E}_d is related to the Young's elastic modulus of the material and, like every mechanical property, would be strongly affected by the microstructure and particularly by the (decreased) porosity of the material, which, as described, is important in these porous materials [33,34]. As expected for this complex microstructure in absolute values the obtained \mathbb{E}_d are below the reported stiffness of the borate crystals. However this fact might be explained by the developed low relative density of the present aluminum borate based materials.

Evidently, the strength achieved encourages the use of these materials for structural applications, especially due to their relatively low density and high porosity.

In all the cases, the relative experimental deviation was below 15% for the strength, which sustains the experimental strength evaluated. The calculated deviation for the slope was over this value, especially for the C1 material.

The C2 composition fired at 1200 °C, fully converted with lower porosity, presented the highest strength. The C3 composition, with the highest boron content and the highest porosity (almost 50%), presented a relatively high 12.6 MPa value, evidencing the technological merits of this material. The strength observed for the C1 material was lower and could restrain its use.

4. Conclusions

A series of porous aluminum borate ($Al_{18}B_4O_{33}$) materials were obtained from a simple direct mixture of alumina and boric acid by an inexpensive processing route. The materials presented high porosity (reaching 50%) and partially sintered interlocked (submicronic in diameter) needles, homogeneous in size and morphology (over 20:1), with no preferential orientation. Borate formation was confirmed and studied by thermal analysis and XRD; the formation started at around 1000 °C and was completed for materials fired above 1200 °C. $Al_4B_2O_9$ was found to be an intermediate. Some of the microstructural properties such as needle section shape and thickness were affected by both processing variables studied.

The mechanical behavior of the complex needle porous microstructure was evaluated. The evaluated material indirect stiffness (\approx 800 MPa) was much lower than the reported aluminum borate grain value (400 GPa) this reveals the microstructure effect in the mechanical behavior, this is related to the low relative density developed in the present borate based materials. The mechanical characterization revealed a not completely fragile behavior of the developed materials. The slope of the stress-strain curves, in diametral configuration, presented low values; again this is explained by the low relative density, the needle microstructure and pore size and distribution. The diametral compression resistance was over 10 MPa, which together with the other characteristics described encourage the applica-

Ceramics International xx (xxxx) xxxx-xxxx



Fig. 7. SEM images of whiskers of composition C3 at different temperatures (left, $\times 5000$; right, $\times 10,000$).



Fig. 8. SEM images of whiskers of compositions C1, C2 and C3 at 1200 °C (left, ×1000; right, ×5000).

Table 4

Mechanical properties of the materials sintered at 1200 °C.

Composition	σ _d (MPa)	err	E _d (MPa)	err
C1	4.7	0.6	270	125
C2	16.2	1.2	840	150
C3	12.6	1.3	860	260

tion of the developed materials.

Thus, based on the information gathered from our research, aluminum borate ceramic materials can be designed for structural, insulating or filtering applications employing only alumina and boric acid as boron oxide source.

These materials could be infiltrated by molten aluminum or aluminum alloys as well.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by Nano-Petro FONARSEC Project 2012 (ANPCyT). MFH acknowledges CONICET and Y-Tec for the fellowship.

References

- [1] P.J.M. Gielisse, W.R. Foster, The System Al₂O₃-B₂O₃, Nature 195 (1962) 69-70.
- [2] S.P. Ray, Preparation and characterization of aluminum borate, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 75 (1992) 2605–2609.
- [3] K.P. Peil, L.G. Galya, G. Marcelin, Acid and catalytic properties of nonstoichiometric aluminum borates, J. Catal. 115 (1989) 441–451.
- [4] W.J. Wang, Y.W. Chen, Alumina-aluminum borates as solid acid catalysts, Catal. Lett. 10 (1991) 297–304.
- [5] S.A. El-Hakam, E.A. El-Sharkawy, Structural characterization and catalytic properties of aluminum borates–alumina catalysts, Mater. Lett. 36 (1998) 167–173.
- [6] K. Suganuma, T. Fujita, G. Sasaki, N. Suzuki, Evaluation of strength and heat-

M.F. Hernández et al.

Ceramics International xx (xxxx) xxxx-xxxx

resistance for aluminum-borate whisker reinforced AC8A aluminum alloy composite, J. Japan Inst. Light Metals 41 (1991) 270–275. http://dx.doi.org/10.2464/ jilm.41.270.

- [7] M. Yoshida, S. Takeuchi, J. Pan, G. Sasaki, N. Fuyama, T. Fuj, H. Fukunaga, Preparation and characterization of aluminum borate whisker reinforced magnesium alloy composites by semi-solid process, Adv. Compos. Mater. 8 (1999) 259–268.
- [8] H.K. Lee, S. Zerbetto, P. Colombo, C.G. Pantano, Glass-ceramics and composites containing aluminum borate whiskers, Ceram. Int. 36 (2010) 1589–1596.
 [9] Chun Cheng, X.X. Ding, F.J. Shi, Yun Cheng, X.T. Huang, S.R. Qi, C. Tang,
- [9] Chuit Cheng, A.A. Ding, F.J. Sin, Fun Cheng, A.I. Huang, S.K. Qi, C. Tang, Preparation of aluminum borate nanowires, J. Cryst. Growth 263 (2004) 600–604.
 [10] L.M. Peng, X.K. Li, H. Li, J.H. Wang, M. Gong, Synthesis and microstructural
- [10] E.M. Fergi, A.K. E., H. E., S.H. Wang, M. Gong, Synthesis and incontruction details characterization of aluminum borate whiskers, Ceram. Int. 32 (2006) 365–368.
 [11] Y. Li, R.P.H. Chang, Synthesis and characterization of aluminum borate (Al₁₈B₄O₃₃,
- Al₄B₂O₉) nanowires and nanotubes, Mater. Chem. Phys. 97 (2006) 23–30.
 [12] E.M. Elssfah, H.S. Song, C.C. Tang, J. Zhang, X.X. Ding, S.R. Qi, Synthesis of aluminum borate nanowires via a novel flux method, Mater. Chem. Phys. 101 (2007) 499–504.
- [13] S. Peng, H. Jinwen, W. Wenwei, Preparation of aluminum borate whiskers by the molten salt synthesis method, Ceram. Int. 39 (2013) 7263–7267.
- [14] R.K. Gupta, A.A. Al-Ghamdi, O.A. Al-Hartomy, F. Al-Hazmi, F. El-Tantawy, F. Yakuphanoglu, Synthesis and characterization of nanostructured aluminum borate by sol-gel method, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 64 (2012) 100-103.
- [15] J. Zhou, D. Su, J. Luo, M. Zhong, Synthesis of aluminum borate nanorods by a lowheating-temperature solid-state precursor method, Mater. Res. Bull. 44 (2009) 224–226.
- [16] I.E. Gonenli, A.C. Tas, Chemical preparation of aluminum borate whiskers, Powder Diffr. 15 (2000) 104–107.
- [17] J. Wang, G. Ning, X. Yang, Z. Gan, H. Liu, Y. Lin, Large-scale synthesis of Al₄B₂O₉/ Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ whiskers via a novel method, Mater. Lett. 62 (2008) 1208-1211.
- [18] J. Wang, G. Ning, Y. Lin, Chemical synthesis of Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ whiskers via a combustion method, Mater. Lett. 62 (2008) 2447-2449.
- [19] Y. Liu, Q. Li, S. Fan, Self-catalytic growth of aluminum borate nanowires, Chem. Phys. Lett. 375 (2003) 632-635.
- [20] Z. Yu, N. Zhao, E. Liu, C. Shi, X. Du, J. Wang, Low-temperature synthesis of aluminum borate nanowhiskers on the surface of aluminum powder promoted by ball-milling pretreatment, Powder Technol. 212 (2011) 310–315.

- [21] M. Fisch, T. Armbruster, D. Rentsch, E. Libowitzky, T. Pettke, Crystal-chemistry of mullite-type aluminoborates Al₁₈B₄O₃₃ and Al₅BO₉: a stoichiometry puzzle, J. Solid State Chem. 184 (2011) 70–80.
- [22] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Recent developments of the program FULLPROF, in Commission on commission on powder diffraction (IUCr), Newsletter 26 (2001) 12–19.
- [23] D.L. Bish, J.E. Post, Quantitative mineralogical analysis using the Rietveld fullpattern fitting method, Am. Mineral. 78 (1993) 932–940.
- [24] M.R. Gauna, M.S. Conconi, S. Gomez, G. Suárez, E.F. Aglietti, N.M. Rendtorff, Monoclinic-tetragonal zirconia quantification of commercial nanopowder mixtures by XRD and DTA, Ceram. Silik. 50 (2015) 318–325.
- [25] M.K. Fahad, Stresses and failure in the diametral compression test, J. Mater. Sci. 31 (1996) 3723–3729.
- [26] C. Rocco, G.V. Guinea, J. Planas, M. Elices, Review of the splitting-test standards from a fracture mechanics point of view, Cem. Concr. Res. 31 (2001) 73–82.
- [27] M.F. Serra, M.F. Acebedo, M.S. Conconi, G. Suarez, E.F. Aglietti, N.M. Rendtorff, Thermal evolution of the mechanical properties of calcareous earthenware, Ceram. Int. 40 (2014) 1709–1716.
- [28] M.F. Gazulla, M.P. Gómez, M. Orduña, G. Silva, Caracterización química, mineralógica y térmica de boratos naturales y sintéticos, Bol. Soc. Esp. Ceram. V 44 (2005) 21–31.
- [29] H. Lührs, R.X. Fischer, H. Schneider, Boron mullite: formation and basic characterization, Mater. Res. Bull. 47 (2012) 4031–4042.
- [30] D. Mazza, M. Vallino, G. Busca, Mullite-type structures in the systems Al₂O₃-Me₂O (Me=Na, K) and Al₂O₃-B₂O₃, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 75 (7) (1992) 1929-1934.
- [31] A. Atasoy, The aluminothermic reduction of boric acid, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 28 (2010) 616–622.
- [32] H.B. Pan, X.L. Zhao, X. Zhang, K.B. Zhang, L.C. Li, Z.Y. Li, W.M. Lam, W.W. Lu, D.P. Wang, W.H. Huang, K.L. Lin, J. Chang, Strontium borate glass: potential biomaterial for bone regeneration, J. R. Soc. Interface (2009). http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rsif.2009.0504.
- [33] R.W. Rice, Porosity of Ceramics: Properties and Applications, CRC Press, irconia, 1998
- [34] S. Gómez, G. Suárez, N.M. Rendtorff, E.F. Aglietti, Relation between mechanical and textural properties of dense materials of tetragonal and cubic zirconia, Sci. Sinter. 48 (2016) 119–130.