# Kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> electroadsorption on electrodispersed platinum electrodes in acid solutions

M.L. Marcos, J. González-Velasco, J.M. Vara, M.C. Giordano \*,\*\* and A.J. Arvia \*

Departamento de Electroquímica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Canto Blanco, Madrid (Spain) (Received 30 June 1989; in revised form 14 November 1989)

## ABSTRACT

The kinetics of  $CO_2$  electroadsorption on electrodispersed Pt electrodes have been investigated in different acid electrolytes. The kinetic data obtained at different adsorption potentials, adsorption times and  $CO_2$  concentrations in solution have been interpreted in terms of two complex reaction mechanisms involving the formation of strongly and weakly bound reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates through reactions involving s-H and w-H adatoms, respectively, and carbon dioxide. The reaction mechanism between H adatoms and  $CO_2$  implies the initial electroadsorption of H atoms, the transport of  $CO_2$  from the bulk of the solution to the reaction interface, and the formation of the reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate. Under certain conditions, particularly when s-H adatoms are involved, the entire reaction approaches mass transport rate control. Conversely, the reaction between w-H adatoms and  $CO_2$  appears as a rather slow process.

#### INTRODUCTION

The electrochemical reduction of  $CO_2$  has received particular attention in recent years from the standpoint of electrochemical energy conversion by using organic fuels and as a specially attractive reaction for electrosynthesis processes [1–3]. It is well known that  $CO_2$ -containing adsorbates can be formed electrochemically on the surface of those metals which can electroadsorb H atoms, such as Pt, Rh, Pd and activated Au [4–20]. The electrochemical oxidation of reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate either voltammetrically or potentiostatically has been investigated on bright and platinized Pt [4–13], and more recently on electrodispersed Pt electrodes, i.e. rough Pt electrodes with a definite structure [21,22]. In contrast, much less is known about the kinetics of the adsorbate electroformation [5,11–13], an aspect of the entire

<sup>\*</sup> Visiting Professors from INIFTA, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deceased.

electrochemical reaction which deserves further study to reach a better knowledge of the characteristics of the electroadsorbate.

The reduced  $CO_2$  species on Pt was associated with a COOH-type radical on the Pt surface which was formed according to either a second order reaction in the range 0.05–0.15 V, or a first order reaction with respect to adsorbed H atoms in the range 0.20–0.25 V [11–13]. In the former case, the H adatom +  $CO_2$  molecule step becomes rate determining, whereas for the latter the probable rate determining step was associated with the reorientation of the adsorbed intermediate [13].

The distinct kinetic behaviour of  $CO_2$  adsorption on Pt electrodes was interpreted as a change in the reaction pathway without considering the possibility that different adsorbates could result, depending on the involvement of either strongly or weakly bound H-adatoms as reactants. Recent electrochemical data on reduced  $CO_2$ electrooxidation provided clear information about the occurrence of distinguishable reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates, a fact which encourages further investigation of the kinetics and mechanism of electroadsorbate formation proper.

In the present work, the kinetics of  $CO_2$  electroadsorption on electrodispersed Pt electrodes are studied in different acid solutions in order to confirm the probable mechanism of reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate formation. This type of electrode exhibits a reproducible behaviour which is determined by regular domains of a sticking-cluster-like topography associated with a brush-like structure, as has been concluded from scanning tunelling microscopy at the nm level combined with scanning electron microscopy imaging [23,24]. One of the advantages of electrodispersed Pt electrodes is the possibility that different roughness characteristics can be developed by keeping the morphology of the metal overlayer nearly constant.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Most of the experimental setup, i.e. the electrolysis cell and the electronic circuitry, were the same as already described in previous publications [21,22]. Electrodispersed Pt working electrodes with roughness factors, R, up to 70 were used. The preparation and surface treatment of the working electrodes as well as the definition of R and its determination have been described extensively elsewhere [21,22,25]. Two groups (I and II) of electrolyte solutions were employed; group I included solutions consisting of different CO<sub>2</sub> saturated acidic solutions, namely, 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 1 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and group II, solutions involving 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Runs were made at 25°C with O<sub>2</sub>-free electrolyte solutions.

# Operating conditions with group I solutions

Two electrodispersed Pt electrodes with initial geometric areas of 0.114 and 0.170 cm<sup>2</sup>, and two different roughness factors, R = 70 and R = 21, were used. The potential programme applied to the working electrode comprised an initial potential step at 1.4 V lasting for several minutes for cleaning, followed by a potential jump to the adsorption potential,  $E_{ad}$ , which was held for an adsorption time  $t_{ad}$ . Then

## Operating conditions with group II solutions

The electrodispersed Pt electrode used in this case had an initial geometric area of 0.135 cm<sup>2</sup> and R = 26. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution was changed from  $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M to  $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$  M by adding the right amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution of known concentration to a nitrogen saturated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution in order to reach the preset final CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The CO<sub>2</sub> saturation concentration in this solution at 25°C is ca.  $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$  M [26]. The potential programme employed in this case is similar to that described for procedure (a) in the preceding paragraph, but the potential sweep rate was set to 0.05 V/s in order to avoid large changes in the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration during the experiment. Then,  $\theta_{CO_2r}$ , the degree of surface coverage by reduced CO<sub>2</sub>, was taken as  $1 - \theta_H$ , where  $\theta_H$ , the degree of H atom surface coverage was measured as the ratio between  $Q_H$  and  $Q_H^\circ$ , the H adatom voltammetric electrooxidation charge density in the presence and in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> in solution, respectively, ( $\theta_H = Q_H/Q_H^\circ$ ).

## **RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION**

Typical electrooxidation voltammograms for reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates at electrodispersed Pt electrodes obtained according to the operating conditions for group



Fig. 1. Electrooxidation potentiodynamic profiles run for  $E_{ad} = 0.115$  V at 0.005 V/s and different  $t_{ad}/s = (a)$  300, (b) 60. Geometric Pt electrode area 0.114 cm<sup>2</sup>. R = 70. The electrolyte solutions are under CO<sub>2</sub> saturation. 25 ° C. Electrolyte: (-----) 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, (-----) 1.00 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, (....) 0.50 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, (-----) 1.00 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.

I solutions at constant  $E_{ad}$ , two values of  $t_{ad}$ , and 0.05 V/s are depicted in Fig. 1. A rather symmetric anodic current peak at ca. 0.6 V is obtained in 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, but the peak potential shifts positively with either a shoulder or a second partially overlapping anodic current peak in going from 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub> to 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 1 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. These results confirm the complexity of the electrooxidation of reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates and the influence of anions on the process, as has been reported previously [17,18,22]. It should be noted that the data obtained for electrodispersed Pt electrodes are very reproducible, and in this case, the interference of possible adsorbable impurities in solution can be considered as negligible, as for large surface area Pt electrodes.

From  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$ , the voltammetric electrooxidation (stripping) charge related to the reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates, and its dependence on  $t_{ad}$ ,  $E_{ad}$  and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the various acidic solutions, one can obtain information about the adsorption kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> yielding reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates on electrodispersed Pt electrodes. For this case, since the adsorption data are derived from the stripping voltammograms, the true adsorption time,  $t_{ad}$ , corresponds to the sum of the time spent at  $E_{ad}$  and the time used up to sweep the potential from  $E_{ad}$  up to 0.6 V, a potential



Fig. 2.  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  (voltammetric stripping charge) vs.  $t_{ad}$  plots. Data obtained at different  $E_{ad}$  in (a) 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>; (b) 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>; (c) 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; (d) 1 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Geometric Pt electrode area 0.114 cm<sup>2</sup>. R = 70; 25° C.  $E_{ad}/V$ : ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 0.015, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 0.065, ( $\times$ ) 0.115, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 0.165, ( $\blacksquare$ ) 0.215.



Fig. 3.  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}^{1/2}$  plots. Data obtained at different  $E_{ad}$  in (a) 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>; (b) 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>; (c) 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; (d) 1 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Geometric Pt electrode area 0.114 cm<sup>2</sup>. R = 70.25 °C.  $E_{ad}$  as in Fig. 2.

value during stripping where it is supposed that the H atom concentration on the Pt surface becomes sufficiently low to contribute to the formation of reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates.

The  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}$  plots (Figs. 2a-d) resulting for the different solutions exhibit several interesting features. In general, when  $E_{ad}$  is smaller than approximately 0.12 V, these plots are coincident, and as  $t_{ad}$  increases they attain a maximum limiting charge density value  $(Q_{CO_2}^a) = 17 \pm 1.5 \text{ mC/cm}^2$  geometric area. Conversely, for  $E_{ad}$ greater than 0.12 V, the  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}$  plots as well as the limiting  $(Q_{CO_2}^a)$  values show a clear dependence on  $E_{ad}$ . Therefore, from these plots one can conclude that there are at least two different types of kinetic behaviour related to the electroformation of reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbate, depending on whether the H atom surface coverage is large or small. Otherwise, when  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  is plotted vs.  $t_{ad}^{1/2}$  (Fig. 3), linear relationships can be observed only for those adsorption processes taking place at  $E_{ad}$  greater than 0.12 V and  $t_{ad}$  lower than 200 s. No reasonably linear portions can be drawn for the  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}^{1/2}$  plots resulting for  $E_{ad}$  lower than 0.12 V.

It is interesting to note that the transition between the two different types of kinetic behaviour which are observed at well defined  $E_{ad}$  potential ranges, can be correlated with the change in the H adatom charge vs. potential relationships resulting from the blanks (Figs. 4a-d). From the  $\theta_{\rm H}$  vs. E plots, it is possible to estimate  $\theta_{\rm H}^{\rm e}$ , the equilibrium values of  $\theta_{\rm H}$  at different applied potentials, including  $E = E_{\rm ad}$ . These data show that one of the types of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption kinetics



E/V(RHE)

Fig. 4.  $Q_{\rm H}$  vs. *E* plots obtained from voltammetric electroadsorptions and electrodesorption in: (a) 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, (b) 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, (c) 0.05 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, (d) 1 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Geometric electrode area 0.114 cm<sup>2</sup>. R = 70.25 °C. (•) Adsorption, (×) desorption.

corresponds to the potential range of weakly adsorbed H atoms ( $E_{ad} < 0.12$  V), whereas the other one is observed in the potential range of strongly bound H atoms ( $E_{ad} > 0.12$  V). Accordingly, for  $E_{ad}$  values located in the potential range of strongly bound H atoms, the initial slope of the  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}$  plots (Fig. 2) increases with the amount of that kind of H adatom present on the Pt surface at  $E_{ad}$ . In this case, first order kinetics with respect to the H adatom concentration at the Pt surface are apparently obeyed. These results suggest that, in the adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on Pt, at least two distinguishable reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates are produced, one

in the 0–0.12 V range, and the other in the 0.12–0.35 V range. In order to identify the type of H adatom involved in each reaction it is interesting to consider data resulting from voltammetric runs with solutions of different  $CO_2$  concentration.

The voltammograms run according to the operating conditions described for group II solutions at 0.05 V/s and three different  $t_{ad}$  values (Figs. 5a-c), show that



Fig. 5. Electrooxidation potentiodynamic profiles run from  $E_c = -0.02$  V to  $E_a = 0.9$  V at 0.05 V/s in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> containing different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.  $E_{ad} = 0.115$  V and  $t_{ad}/s$ : (a) 300, (b) 60, (c) 15. Geometric Pt electrode area 0.135 cm<sup>2</sup>. R = 26.25 °C.  $c_{CO_2}/M$ : (-----) 0 (blank), (-----) 0.0025, (-----) 0.00375, (-----) 0.005, (-----) 0.0075, (-----) 0.0175, (-----) 0.0175, (-----) 0.025.



Fig. 6. Electrooxidation potentiodynamic profiles run at 0.05 V/s in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4 + 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M  $CO_2$  with different  $t_{ad}$  (a) and  $E_{ad}$  (b). Geometric Pt electrode area 0.135 cm<sup>2</sup>.  $R = 26.25 \,^{\circ}$  C. (a)  $E_{ad} = 0.115$  V;  $c_{CO_2} = 0.0075$  M. (-----) Blank ( $c_{CO_2} = 0$ ).  $t_{ad}$ /s: (----) 300, (-----) 120, (----) 60, (----) 30, (-----) 15. (b)  $t_{ad} = 300$  s;  $c_{CO_2} = 0.0075$  M.  $E_{ad}$ /V: (----) 0.015, (----) 0.065, (-----) 0.115, (----) 0.165.

as the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution increases, a sharper and better defined reduced CO<sub>2</sub> electrooxidation current peak is obtained. Consequently, the total H atom electrodesorption charge density,  $Q_{\rm H}^{\rm a}$ , decreases, particularly because the contribution of strongly bound H adatoms decreases. In addition one observes a shift of the peak potential related to the electrodesorption of weakly bound H adatoms towards more positive values as either the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration or  $t_{\rm ad}$  increases (Fig. 6a). Similar effects can be obtained by changing  $E_{\rm ad}$  (Fig. 6b), but in this case it is worthwhile to note that the maximum value for  $\theta_{\rm CO_2r}$  is found when  $E_{\rm ad} = 0.115$  V, as reported previously [11,13]. Under this condition the value of  $Q_{\rm H}^{\rm a}$  corresponds to about one half the maximum value of  $(Q_{\rm CO_2r}^{\rm a})_0$ .



Fig. 7. Log  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  vs. log  $c_{CO_2}$  plots for different  $E_{ad}$  and  $t_{ad}$ . 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Geometric Pt electrode area 0.135 cm<sup>2</sup>.  $R = 26.25^{\circ}$  C.  $E_{ad}/V$ : (a) 0.165, (b) 0.115, (c) 0.065, (d) 0.015.  $t_{ad}/s$ : (×) 15, (•) 30, (•) 60, (•) 120, (•) 300.

On the other hand, for the entire range of  $E_{ad}$ , but for  $t_{ad} < 60$  s and at low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution, the values of  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  fit a linear dependence on the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution, which can be seen when the data are plotted as log  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  vs. log  $c_{CO_2}$  for different  $E_{ad}$  and  $t_{ad}$  values (Fig. 7). Furthermore, for  $E_{ad} = 0.015$  V, on increasing both the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution and  $t_{ad}$ , the limiting value of  $(\theta_{CO_2r})_0$  is attained more easily, i.e. the slope  $\Delta \log \theta_{CO_2r}/\Delta \log c_{CO_2}$  approaches zero. In general, the more extended linear log  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  vs. log  $c_{CO_2}$  plots occur when the value of  $\theta_H$  becomes lower than 0.5, that is, when the strongly bound H adatoms are involved in the reaction. Therefore, it appears that there is a change in the kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> electroadsorption as one moves from an initial fast reaction involving predominantly strongly bound H adatoms, to a final slow reaction where the weakly bound H adatoms become the adsorbed reactants.

# DISCUSSION

 $CO_2$  adsorption on electrodispersed Pt in acid solutions, as already established for smooth and platinized Pt [4,6,7,9], requires the presence of H adatoms on the metal surface. The present results show that the electroadsorption of  $CO_2$  involving strongly bound H adatoms becomes faster than the electroadsorption of  $CO_2$  through weakly bound H adatoms. In agreement with previously reported data for platinized Pt in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> [6], the overall reduced CO<sub>2</sub> electrooxidation reaction comprises a maximum charge density value slightly smaller than that expected for the H adatom monolayer.

On the other hand, the voltammetric data provide a clear indication that different reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates are produced depending on whether the electroadsorption reaction at  $E_{ad}$  takes place in the strongly or weakly bound H adatoms potential range, including as an additional variable the electroadsorption time. Furthermore, it is interesting to note that as the  $CO_2$  adsorption takes place, the electrochemical characteristics of the H adatoms remaining unreactive on the Pt surface change rather drastically, as can be seen through the corresponding H adatom electrodesorption voltammograms. This effect is probably the result of a decrease in the local interaction energy (repulsive) among the remaining unreactive H adatoms as the adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> proceeds. Finally, one can also note that the adsorption of  $CO_2$  is only slightly sensitive to the electrolyte composition, in contrast to those processes related to the electrooxidation of the reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates [17,18,22]. By keeping these premises in mind, the interpretation of the kinetics of  $CO_2$ electroadsorption on electrodispersed Pt in acid solutions can be approached in a way rather different from that advanced earlier for smooth and platinized Pt [11-13], although sufficiently general to embrace results obtained with any type of Pt electrode.

The formation of reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates can be put forward in terms of two similar reaction schemes comprising three steps, which, for a negative going linear potential sweep, can be written as follows:

Scheme I:

$$H^{+}(sol) + s - Pt(e^{-}) = (s - H)Pt$$
(I-1)

$$CO_2(sol) = CO_2(elec)$$
(2)

$$(s-H)Pt + CO_2(elec) = (s-CO_2r)Pt$$
(I-3)

and

Scheme II:

$$H^{+}(sol) + w-Pt(e^{-}) = (w-H)Pt$$
(II-1)

$$CO_2(sol) = CO_2(elec)$$
(2)

$$(w-H)Pt + CO_2(elec) = (w-CO_2r)Pt$$
(II-3)

Steps (I-1) and (II-1) indicate the reversible strongly (s) and weakly bound (w) H electrosorption reactions [26], taking place in the 0.15–0.30 V and 0.0–0.15 V ranges, respectively; s-Pt and w-Pt denote sites for strongly and weakly adsorbed H adatoms on the Pt surface. Therefore,  $\theta_{s-H}$  and  $\theta_{w-H}$ , the degree of surface coverage by either s-H or w-H adatoms, respectively, depend on  $E_{ad}$ , the adsorption potential

value. Step (2) corresponds to the transport of  $CO_2$  from the bulk of the solution,  $CO_2(sol)$ , to the vicinity of the electrode surface,  $CO_2(elec)$ ; and steps (I-3) and (II-3) — depending on whether s-H or w-H atoms participate as one of the reactants — correspond to the adsorption of  $CO_2$  proper, that is, the formation of strongly and weakly bound reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbates, respectively. The probable structure of these adsorbates has already been discussed elsewhere [6,9,10,21]. The polycrystalline nature of electrodesorption main voltammetric peaks, is consistent with a distribution of adsorption sites comprising about 50% s-Pt and 50% w-Pt sites. This conclusion is sustained by X-ray diffractometry, and voltammetric data combined with STM imaging of different preferred crystalline oriented and single crystal Pt electrodes [23–25,27–31].

## Analysis of scheme I

Let us consider reaction scheme I and let us assume that quasi-stationary conditions for  $CO_2r$  electroadsorption are approached at low potentiodynamic sweep rates. Then, for the reaction taking place in the 0.15–0.35 V range (s-H adatom potential range) it follows that:

$$dc_{\rm CO_2}(\text{elec})/dt_{\rm ad} = \vec{k}_2 c_{\rm CO_2}(\text{sol}) - \vec{k}_2 c_{\rm CO_2}(\text{elec}) - \vec{k}_{\rm I-3}' c_{\rm CO_2}(\text{elec})\theta_{\rm s-H} + \vec{k}_{\rm I-3}'\theta_{\rm s-CO_2r} = 0$$
(4)

where  $k_i$  denotes the specific rate constant of the *i*th step (*i* = 2 and I-3) in the forward ( $\rightarrow$ ) or backward ( $\leftarrow$ ) directions, the  $k'_i$ 's are apparent rate constants, and  $\theta_{s-CO_2r}$  stands for the degree of surface coverage by the strongly bound reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbate. From eqn. (4) one obtains:

$$c_{\rm CO_2}(\rm elec) = \frac{\vec{k}_2 c_{\rm CO_2}(\rm sol) + \vec{k}'_{\rm I-3} \theta_{\rm s-CO_2 r}}{\vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}'_{\rm I-3} \theta_{\rm s-H}}$$
(5)

Hence,  $\vec{v}_{1-3}$ , the rate of reaction (I-3) in the forward direction, is given by the expression:

$$\vec{v}_{1-3} = \frac{\vec{k}_{1-3}'\theta_{s-H} \left(\vec{k}_{2}c_{CO_{2}}(sol) + \vec{k}_{1-3}'\theta_{s-CO_{2}r}\right)}{\vec{k}_{2} + \vec{k}_{1-3}'\theta_{s-H}}$$
(6)

For this reaction scheme in the quasi-stationary state, the following conditions can be approached:  $\vec{k}_{1-3}'\theta_{s-CO_2r} \ll \vec{k}_2 c_{CO_2}$ (sol), and  $\vec{k}_2 \ll \vec{k}_{1-3}'\theta_{s-H}$ . In this case, it follows that

$$\vec{v}_{I-3} = \vec{k}_2 c_{CO_2}(sol)$$
 (7)

Equation (7) predicts a first order dependence of the rate of formation of the reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate on  $CO_2$  concentration in solution. This situation is better approached in the s-H-adatom potential range where step (I-3) behaves as a fast

step, as can be seen immediately from the voltammograms run at a constant  $E_{ad}$  and different  $t_{ad}$  values (Fig. 6a).

Another mechanistic approach can be made by starting from the first electron transfer step, which takes place under Langmuir adsorption conditions for s-H adatoms. Thus, the expression for  $\theta_{s-H}$  is given by the equation:

$$\theta_{s-H} = 2 \left[ \frac{K_{s-H} c_{H^+} \exp(-F(E_{ad} - E_{s-H}^{p})/RT)}{1 + K_{s-H} c_{H^+} \exp(-F(E_{ad} - E_{s-H}^{p})/RT)} \right]$$
(8)

where  $k_{s-H} = \vec{k}_{1-1}/\vec{k}_{1-1}$ ,  $E_{s-H}^{p}$  is the potential of the s-H adatom's reversible electrosorption voltammetric peak, and  $c_{H^+}$  is the hydrogen ion concentration in solution. Accordingly, at each  $E_{ad}$ , definite values of  $\theta_{s-H}$  are obtained. The rate equation for formation of the s-CO<sub>2</sub>r adsorbate can be written as follows:

$$\vec{v}_{1-3} = \vec{k}'_{1-3} \theta_{s-H} c_{CO_2}(elec)$$
 (9)

Then, if one assumes that  $c_{\rm CO_2}(\text{elec}) \approx c_{\rm CO_2}(\text{sol})$ , the kinetics of the process should depend exclusively on the H adatom adsorption isotherm. Under this condition, considering that  $\vec{v}_{1.3} \approx -(d\theta_{\text{s-H}}/dt_{\text{ad}}) = +(d\theta_{\rm CO_2r}/dt_{\text{ad}})$ , integration of eqn. (9) at a constant  $E_{\rm ad}$  gives

$$-\ln \theta_{s-H} + \ln \theta_{s-H}^{\circ} = k_{1-3}' F c_{CO_2}(\text{sol}) t_{ad} / Q_{s-H}^{a}$$
(10)

where  $Q_{s-H}^{a}$  is the maximum s-H adatom charge density attained at  $E_{ad}$ . Then, taking into account that CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbates are anchored through adsorbed H atoms,  $(\theta_{s-H} + \theta_{CO_2r} = \text{const.})$ , one can write:

$$\theta_{\text{s-CO}_2 r} = \theta_{\text{s-CO}_2 r}^{\circ} - \theta_{\text{s-H}}^{\circ} \exp\left(-\vec{k}_{\text{I-3}}^{\prime} F c_{\text{CO}_2}(\text{sol}) t_{\text{ad}}^{\prime} / Q_{\text{s-H}}^{a}\right)$$
(11)

where  $\theta_{s-H}^{\circ}$  is the value of  $\theta_{s-H}$  for  $t_{ad} = 0$  and  $\theta_{s-CO_2r}^{\circ}$  is the value of  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  for  $t_{ad} \to \infty$ . However, this type of dependence is not observed for reaction (I-3) except when  $t_{ad} \to 0$ . In this limiting case one should expect an increase of the initial slope in the  $\theta_{CO_2r}$  vs.  $t_{ad}$  plot with decreasing  $E_{ad}$ . This fact is, in principle, obeyed as can be seen through the experimental results in Fig. 2.

Another possibility exists for the case where the rate of diffusion of  $CO_2$  becomes the rate determining step. Then, if the diffusion of  $CO_2$  follows Fick's equation for linear diffusion (x-direction) to a plane electrode, that is [32]:

$$D_{\rm CO_2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}c_{\rm CO_2}(\mathrm{elec})}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)_{x=0} = c_{\rm CO_2}(\mathrm{sol}) \left(\frac{D_{\rm CO_2}}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{t_{\rm ad}^{1/2}}$$
(12)

where  $D_{CO_2}$  is the diffusion coefficient of  $CO_2$  in the electrolyte solution, the expression for  $\vec{v}_{1-3}$  becomes:

$$\vec{v}_{1-3} = c_{\rm CO_2}(\rm sol) \left(\frac{D_{\rm CO_2}}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{t_{\rm ad}^{1/2}}$$
(13)

Considering the relation between  $\vec{v}_{I-3}$  and  $\theta_{s-CO_2r}$  already indicated, integration of eqn. (13) at constant  $E_{ad}$  yields:

$$\theta_{\text{s-CO}_2 r} = \frac{F}{Q_{\text{CO}_2 r}^{a}} \frac{c_{\text{CO}_2}(\text{sol})}{\pi^{1/2}} D_{\text{CO}_2}^{1/2} t_{\text{ad}}^{1/2} + \text{const.}$$
(14)

The value of  $Q^a_{CO_2r}$  depends on  $E_{ad}$ , that is, on the initial value of  $\theta_H$ . Equation (14) predicts a first order dependence on  $c_{CO_2}(sol)$ , and it is approached by the experimental data at low values of  $E_{ad}$ , i.e. for  $\theta_H \rightarrow 1$ , and for relatively small  $t_{ad}$  values, so that reaction scheme I predominates, i.e. that involving s-H adatoms.

It is worth noting that an expression similar to eqn. (14) has been derived for the adsorption kinetics of neutral molecules on electrodes [33]. Such an equation is applicable to the present case if one considers that the adsorbate surface concentration is nearly the surface concentration for maximum coverage even for  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm elec}) \ll c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm sol})$ , and the rate of diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> is the same as for a process in which  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm elec}) = 0$  for large  $t_{\rm ad}$  and  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm elec}) = c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm sol})$  for  $t_{\rm ad} = 0$ . This major simplification is justifiable when  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm elec})$  is so large that the maximum value of  $Q^{\rm a}_{\rm CO_2}$ rat  $E_{\rm ad}$  is reached even when  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm elec})$  is much smaller than  $c_{\rm CO_2}({\rm sol})$ . Presumably this can be the case when  $\theta_{\rm H} \rightarrow 0$ , that is, for large positive values of  $E_{\rm ad}$ . Then, for the reaction involving the s-H adatoms one obtains [33]:

$$\Gamma_{\rm CO_2 r} = \frac{2D_{\rm CO_2}^{1/2} c_{\rm CO_2}(\rm sol)}{\pi^{1/2}} t_{\rm ad}^{1/2}$$
(15)

where  $\Gamma_{CO_2r}$  is the surface concentration of the adsorbate. As the latter appears as the voltammetric electrooxidation charge, for small  $t_{ad}$  eqn. (15) explains that, under this particular set of conditions, a linear  $Q_{CO_2r}^a$  vs.  $t_{ad}^{1/2}$  dependence be approached (Fig. 3), the slope of these straight lines depending on  $E_{ad}$ .

## Analysis of scheme II

The experimental results obtained by setting  $E_{ad}$  at less positive potentials, that is, in the potential range where weakly bound H atoms are produced, indicate that in this case the reduced CO<sub>2</sub> species is oxidized more easily than that formed in the potential range of strongly bound H adatoms. The reaction between w-H adatoms and CO<sub>2</sub> appears to be a rather slow process, as seen for instance in Figs. 5 and 6, so that the adsorption reaction itself would become the rate determining step. In practice, the kinetic analysis developed for scheme I can be applied to scheme II. Thus, an expression of  $\vec{v}_{II-3}$  similar to eqn. (6) can be derived when the w-H adatoms become the adsorbed reactant for reduced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbate formation at  $E_{ad}$ . In this case, the slowness of reaction (II-3) makes it possible that  $k_2 \gg \vec{k}'_{II-3}\theta_{w-H}$ , and  $\vec{k}_2 c_{CO_2}(sol) \gg \vec{k}'_{II-3}\theta_{w-CO_2r}$ . Then,

$$\vec{v}_{\text{II-3}} = \frac{\vec{k}_{\text{II-3}} \vec{k}_2}{\vec{k}_2} c_{\text{CO}_2}(\text{sol}) \theta_{\text{w-H}} = K_2 \vec{k}_{\text{II-3}} c_{\text{CO}_2}(\text{sol}) \theta_{\text{w-H}}$$
(16)

Accordingly, eqn. (16) implies a first order dependence of  $\vec{v}_{\text{II-3}}$  on CO<sub>2</sub>(sol) when  $\theta_{\text{w-H}} = \text{constant}$ . This situation is approached for small values of  $t_{\text{ad}}$  and low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution (Figs. 7c and d).

# Comparison of kinetic data resulting on different Pt electrodes

The interpretation advanced in the present work for  $CO_2$  adsorption kinetics on H adatom covered electrodispersed Pt electrodes is rather different from that given earlier for smooth and platinized Pt [8,11–13]. Accordingly, the rate of the reaction



Fig. 8.  $\theta_{CO_2r}/(1-\theta_{CO_2r})$  vs.  $t_{ad}$  plots (a, b, c and e) for different  $E_{ad}$  and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in solution. The rate constants (k) calculated from the slopes of the straight line plots are plotted against the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution in the insets (d and f).  $E_{ad}/V$ : (a) 0.065, (b) 0.015, (c) 0.165, (e) 0.115.  $c_{CO_2}/M$ : ( $\times$ ) 0.025, ( $\bigcirc$ ) 0.0225, ( $\triangle$ ) 0.02, ( $\triangle$ ) 0.0175, ( $\bigtriangledown$ ) 0.015, ( $\checkmark$ ) 0.0125, ( $\square$ ) 0.01, ( $\blacksquare$ ) 0.0075, ( $\diamondsuit$ ) 0.005, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 0.0035, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 0.0025.

on these electrodes was described by the equation:

$$\mathrm{d}\theta/\mathrm{d}t = k\left(1-\theta\right)^p \tag{17}$$

where k is a formal rate constant and p corresponds to the reaction order. In that work [8,11-13], it was assumed that the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> was much greater than that of the H adatoms at a constant potential and the value of  $(1 - \theta)$  was taken as equivalent to the surface concentration of H atoms available for reaction with CO<sub>2</sub>. For high H atom concentrations, p was taken equal to 2, so that after integration it followed that  $\theta/(1 - \theta) = kt$ . The present results, plotted as  $\theta/(1 - \theta)$ vs. t (Fig. 8), yield straight lines only for the most positive values of  $E_{ad}$  and the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. In these cases the plot of k vs. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the solution gives a linear relationship (Figs. 8d and f), which agrees with a first order reaction with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> in solution.

## CONCLUSIONS

The kinetics of the electroformation of reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate on electrodispersed Pt in acid solutions can be explained satisfactorily through a mechanism which can be applied to both strongly and weakly bound adsorbates (s-H and w-H and s-CO<sub>2</sub>r and w-CO<sub>2</sub>r). Each mechanism involves three steps, namely, the initial equilibrium electroadsorption of H adatoms, the transport of  $CO_2$  from the solution to the interface, and the formation of the reduced  $CO_2$  adsorbate through a reaction between  $CO_2$  and H adatoms. At the highest  $E_{ad}$ , where the s-H adatoms predominate, the formation of the s-CO<sub>2</sub>r adsorbate involves a slow transport of  $CO_2$  from solution. Under these circumstances a large influence of anions on the H adatom electrosorption reactions can be noted [34,35], although due to the strong influence of diffusional processes on the kinetics of the reaction, the influence of the electrolyte composition on the entire process of reduced  $CO_2$  electroformation becomes relatively small. The formation of w-CO<sub>2</sub>r adsorbate appears to be determined by adsorption kinetics. The reaction between w-H atoms and  $CO_2$  becomes a rather slow process.

Further aspects of the reaction mechanisms, including possible interconversion processes and adsorbate structures, will be discussed in a future publication based upon the temperature dependence of the kinetics of the reactions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Regional Program for the Scientific and Technological Development of the Organization of the American States. The authots thank the D.G.C. y T. (Spain) for financial support of the present work.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 P.G. Russell, N. Kovac, S. Srinivasan and M. Steinberg, J. Electrochem. Soc., 124 (1977) 1329.
- 2 U. Kaiser and E. Heitz, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 77 (1973) 818.

- 3 K. Ogura and M. Takagi, J. Electroanal. Chem., 201 (1986) 359; 206 (1986) 209.
- 4 J. Giner, Electrochim. Acta, 8 (1963) 857; 9 (1964) 63.
- 5 B.J. Piersma, T.B. Warner and S. Schuldiner, J. Electrochem. Soc., 113 (1966) 841.
- 6 M.W. Breiter, Electrochim. Acta, 12 (1967) 1213.
- 7 M.W. Breiter, J. Electroanal. Chem., 19 (1968) 131; B. Beden, A. Bewick, M. Razaq and J. Weber, J. Electroanal. Chem., 139 (1982) 203.
- 8 S.B. Brummer and K. Cahill, J. Electroanal. Chem., 21 (1969) 463.
- 9 B.E. Kazarinov, N.V. Andreev and G.J. Tysiacznaja, Elektrokhimiya, 8 (1972) 927.
- 10 N.B. Urbach, I.G. Adams and R.E. Smith, J. Electrochem. Soc., 121 (1974) 233.
- 11 J. Sobkowski and A. Czerwinski, J. Electroanal. Chem., 55 (1974) 391.
- 12 A. Czerwinski and J. Sobkowski, J. Electroanal. Chem., 59 (1975) 41.
- 13 J. Sobkowski and A. Czerwinski, J. Electroanal. Chem., 65 (1975) 327.
- 14 A.V. Zakharyan, N.V. Osetrova and Yu.B. Vasiliev, Elektrokhimiya, 12 (1976) 1854.
- 15 N.V. Andreev, Yu.B. Vasiliev, N.V. Osetrova and T.N. Yastrebova, Elektrokhimiya, 13 (1983) 381.
- 16 A.A. Mikhailova, N.V. Osetrova and Yu.B. Vasiliev, Elektrokhimiya, 21 (1985) 1051.
- 17 A.M. Baruzzi, E.P.M. Leiva and M.C. Giordano, J. Electroanal. Chem., 158 (1983) 103.
- 18 A.M. Baruzzi, E.P.M. Leiva and M.C. Giordano, J. Electroanal. Chem., 189 (1985) 257.
- 19 N.A. Maiorova, A.A. Mikhailova, O.A. Khazova and Yu.B. Vasiliev, Elektrokhimiya, 22 (1986) 96.
- 20 C. Alonso, J. González-Velasco and A.J. Arvia, J. Electroanal. Chem., 250 (1988) 183.
- 21 M.L. Marcos, J. González-Velasco, J.M. Vara and A.J. Arvia, J. Electroanal. Chem., 224 (1987) 189.
- 22 M.L. Marcos, J. González-Velasco, J.M. Vara, M.C. Giordano and A.J. Arvia, J. Electroanal. Chem., 270 (1989) 205.
- 23 L. Vázquez, J. Gómez, A.M. Baró, M.L. Marcos, J. González-Velasco, J.M. Vara, A.J. Arvia, J. Presa, A. García and M. Aguilar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109 (1987) 1730.
- 24 L. Vázquez, A. Bartolomé, A.M. Baró, C. Alonso, R.C. Salvarezza and A.J. Arvia, Surf. Sci., 215 (1989) 171.
- 25 A.C. Chialvo, W.E. Triaca and A.J. Arvia, J. Electroanal. Chem., 146 (1983) 93.
- 26 E.W. Washburn (Ed.), International Critical Tables, Vol. 3, McGraw-Hill, New York, London, 1928, pp. 260, 276.
- 27 F.G. Will and C.A. Knorr, Z. Elektrochem., 64 (1960) 258.
- 28 K. Yamamoto, D.M. Kolb, R. Kötz and G. Lehmpfuhl, J. Electroanal. Chem., 96 (1979) 233.
- 29 G.N. Salaita, F. Lu, L. Laguren-Davidson and A.T. Hubbard, J. Electroanal. Chem., 229 (1987) 1.
- 30 D. Aberdam, R. Durand, R. Faure and F. El-Omar, Surf. Sci., 171 (1986) 303.
- 31 A.U. Tripkovic and R.R. Adzic, J. Electroanal. Chem., 205 (1986) 335.
- 32 P. Delahay, New Instrumental Methods in Electrochemistry, 3rd ed., Interscience, New York, 1962, p. 51.
- 33 P. Delahay and I. Trachtenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79 (1977) 2355.
- 34 J.C. Huang, W.E. O'Grady and E. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc., 124 (1977) 1732.
- 35 S.A. Bilmes, M.C. Giordano and A.J. Arvia, Can. J. Chem., 66 (1988) 2259.