

**New toxodontid (Notoungulata) from the Early Miocene of Mendoza, Argentina**

Analía M. Forasiepi, Esperanza Cerdeño, Mariano Bond, Gabriela I. Schmidt, Maximiliano Naipauer, Fiona R. Straehl, Agustín G. Martinelli,  
Alberto C. Garrido, Mark D. Schmitz and James L. Crowley

**Online Supplementary Information 1**

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 1. CA-TIMS U-Th-Pb isotopic data for the Level-2 sample, Aisol Formation.**

Sample	Compositional Parameters							Radiogenic Isotope Ratios							Isotopic Ages						
	Th U (b)	$^{206}\text{Pb}^*$ $\times 10^{-13}$ mol (c)	mol % $^{206}\text{Pb}^*$ (c)	$\text{Pb}_c^*$ $\text{Pb}_c$ (c)	$\text{Pb}_c$ (pg) (c)	$^{206}\text{Pb}$ $^{204}\text{Pb}$ (d)	$^{208}\text{Pb}$ $^{206}\text{Pb}$ (e)	$^{207}\text{Pb}$ $^{206}\text{Pb}$ (e)	$2\sigma$ % err (f)	$^{207}\text{Pb}$ $^{235}\text{U}$ (e)	$2\sigma$ % err (f)	$^{206}\text{Pb}$ $^{238}\text{U}$ (e)	$2\sigma$ % err (f)	corr. coef.	$^{207}\text{Pb}$ $^{206}\text{Pb}$ (g)	$2\sigma$ ± (f)	$^{207}\text{Pb}$ $^{235}\text{U}$ (g)	$2\sigma$ ± (f)	$^{206}\text{Pb}$ $^{238}\text{U}$ (g)	$2\sigma$ ± (f)	
<b>Nivel-2</b>																					
z2	0.656	0.1295	96.01%	8	0.45	452	0.211	0.048113	0.981	0.020937	1.051	0.003156	0.095	0.778	104.84	23.13	21.04	0.22	20.31	0.02	
z4	0.828	0.2182	97.46%	13	0.47	710	0.267	0.047201	0.625	0.019769	0.676	0.003038	0.092	0.623	59.43	14.88	19.88	0.13	19.55	0.02	
<b>z5</b>	0.605	0.1717	96.14%	8	0.57	468	0.195	0.046881	0.919	0.019575	0.985	0.003028	0.092	0.759	43.19	21.92	19.68	0.19	<b>19.49</b>	<b>0.02</b>	
<b>z6</b>	0.617	0.1500	96.00%	7	0.52	451	0.199	0.046950	0.951	0.019591	1.020	0.003026	0.092	0.786	46.67	22.67	19.70	0.20	<b>19.48</b>	<b>0.02</b>	
<b>z1</b>	0.603	0.1579	96.59%	9	0.46	530	0.194	0.046487	0.862	0.019397	0.924	0.003026	0.087	0.765	22.95	20.63	19.51	0.18	<b>19.48</b>	<b>0.02</b>	
<b>z3</b>	0.545	0.3167	96.88%	9	0.85	579	0.176	0.046894	0.737	0.019561	0.794	0.003025	0.082	0.743	43.85	17.57	19.67	0.15	<b>19.47</b>	<b>0.02</b>	

(a) z1, z2 etc. are labels for single zircon grains or fragments annealed and chemically abraded after Mattinson (2005); **bold** indicates results used in weighted mean calculations.

(b) Model Th/U ratio iteratively calculated from the radiogenic  $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  ratio and  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  age.

(c)  $\text{Pb}^*$  and  $\text{Pb}_c$  represent radiogenic and common Pb, respectively; mol %  $^{206}\text{Pb}^*$  with respect to radiogenic, blank and initial common Pb.

(d) Measured ratio corrected for spike and fractionation only. Fractionation estimated at  $0.17 \pm 0.03$  %/a.m.u. for Daly analyses, based on analysis of NBS-981 and NBS-982.

(e) Corrected for fractionation, spike, and common Pb; all common Pb was assumed to be procedural blank:  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 18.042 \pm 0.61\%$ ;  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.537 \pm 0.52\%$ ;  $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 37.686 \pm 0.63\%$  (all uncertainties 1-sigma).

(f) Errors are 2-sigma, propagated using the algorithms of Schmitz and Schoene (2007).

(g) Calculations are based on the decay constants of Jaffey et al. (1971).  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  ages corrected for initial disequilibrium in  $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$  using Th/U [magma] = 3.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 2. LA-ICPMS U-Pb geochronologic analyses and trace element concentrations for the Level-2 sample, Aisol Formation.**

Notes:

Notes:  
Isotope ratios and ages are NOT corrected for initial common Pb.

Isotope ratios and ages are NOT corrected for initial common Pb.  
Isotope ratio and apparent age errors do not include systematic calibration errors

Trace element concentrations in ppm, calculated using mean count rate method.

Zircon sampling, Tuff Level-2, Aisol Formation

