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#### Abstract

We describe coherent states and associated generalized Grassmann variables for a system of $m$ independent $q$-boson modes. A resolution of unity in terms of generalized Berezin integrals leads to generalized Grassmann symbolic calculus. Formulae for operator traces are given and the thermodynamic partition function for a system of $q$-boson oscillators is discussed.


PACS numbers: 05.30.Pr, 03.65.Aa, 02.30.Cj

## 1. Introduction

Exclusion statistics is one possible way to generalize the pattern of bosonic or fermionic particles [1]. A creation operator $a^{\dagger}$ can create at most $k-1$ particles at a given site (or mode) by stating $k$-nilpotency: acting on a vacuum $|0\rangle$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a^{\dagger}\right)^{n}|0\rangle \neq 0 \text { for } n=0, \ldots, k-1 \\
& \left(a^{\dagger}\right)^{k}|0\rangle=0 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Standard bosons are recovered in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, while the fermionic Pauli exclusion principle corresponds to $k=2$. Other ways to generalize ordinary statistics include the braiding symmetrization of the many-body system wavefunction [2], the fractional exclusion principle [3] and modifications of the algebra of commutation/anticommutation relations of creation and annihilation operators [4, 5].

Amongst proposals of systems satisfying integer exclusion statistics with finite $k \geqslant 3$, we are interested in this work in the so-called $k$-nilpotent $q$-boson particles [5]. We first review the operator formulation of quantum mechanics for one degree of freedom and for a system of $m$ independent $q$-boson modes. Then we discuss the construction of coherent states, for which it is necessary to introduce $k$-nilpotent para-Grassmann numbers [6] (cf Grassmann numbers in the fermionic case). The order of nilpotency $k$ is usually related to commutation
rules for para-Grassmann numbers [7] (in a similar way that fermionic $k=2$ nilpotency leads to anticommuting Grassmann numbers). Ordering issues are then important and have made the manipulation of coherent states cumbersome. Several authors have dealt with this problem [7-12] generating a variety of conventions and finding unavoidable difficulties, in particular when dealing with multiparticle states.

We explore in this work the consistency of using non-standard para-Grassmann commutation relations and a normal order convention [13, 14], highly simplifying the operations but still retaining the essence of exclusion statistics. We then write down a para-Grassmann symbolic expression for the trace of operators and use it to study the thermodynamics of $q$-boson systems. The partition function for non-interacting systems can be readily computed, alongside with derived quantities like the mean free energy and the specific heat, observing that $k$-nilpotent bosons interpolate the features of Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein statistics.

The present formalism could be useful in the study of strongly correlated systems in low dimensions, where effective quasi-particles with exclusion statistical properties seem to be ubiquitous. The constraints on available states for spin $S$ particles, or for electrons in t-J models, or for fermionic and bosonic occupation in representations of spin operators [15], impose rules on statistical distributions which often manifest in fractional statistics. Notably, the fractional exclusion statistics characterized by Haldane [3] is realized in several strongly correlated systems in one and two dimensions like the Haldane-Shastry spin chain [16] and generalizations [17], and the fractional quantum Hall effect [18] (where fractional exclusion statistics is consistent with anyon braiding statistics [2]). In one-dimensional conformal field theories, the underlying Yangian symmetry allows for the construction of a basis of quasiparticle excitations which also have been proved to obey exclusion statistics [19].

Other approaches to exotic statistics, not mentioned above, have been developed. One should recall the concept of quons [20] as particles interpolating between bosons and fermions, and the fact that nilpotent particles canalso be described by $q$-fermions [21] (see for instance [22] for a comparison of different approaches). Because of their potential utility, these proposals receive current attention in relation with strongly correlated systems [23-25].

## 2. q-boson operators

The origin of $q$-bosons finds its roots in a Schwinger-like bosonic representation of the quantum deformed $S U(2)_{q}$ generators [5], where $q$ is a real deformation parameter; later, the consideration of $q$ as a rational phase [8] led to nilpotent operators.

We consider in this work a set of $m, k$-nilpotent, independent $q$-boson modes $a_{i}, a_{i}^{\dagger}$ $(i=1, \ldots, m)$. For each mode $[8,10,12], a_{i}$ is an annihilation operator and $a_{i}^{\dagger}$, its Hermitian conjugate, is the corresponding creation operator satisfying the $q$-commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger}-q a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}=q^{-N_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conjugate relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger}-q^{-1} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}=q^{N_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{k}}, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 2$, and $N_{i}$ is a number operator that, from (2), can be related with $a_{i}, a_{i}^{\dagger}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}=\frac{q^{N_{i}}-q^{-N_{i}}}{q-q^{-1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a vacuum vector $\left|0_{i}\right\rangle$ annihilated by $a_{i}$, one can construct a Fock space generated by the orthonormal set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{i}\right\rangle=\frac{\left(a_{i}^{\dagger}\right)^{n_{i}}}{\sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!}}\left|0_{i}\right\rangle, n_{i}=0, \ldots, k-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[n]_{q}$ stands for the $q$-deformation of integer numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]_{q} \equiv \frac{q^{n}-q^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the factorial is defined by $[0]_{q}!\equiv 1,[n]_{q}!\equiv[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} \cdots[1]_{q}$. In this representation, one can readily express the action of the basic operators

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{i}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle & =n_{i}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle, \\
a_{i}^{\dagger}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle & =\sqrt{\left[n_{i}+1\right]_{q}}\left|n_{i}+1\right\rangle,  \tag{7}\\
a_{i}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle & =\sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}}\left|n_{i}-1\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

With $q=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{k}}$ being a rational phase, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]_{q}=\frac{\sin (n \pi / k)}{\sin (\pi / k)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $[k]_{q}=[0]_{q}=0$ and the Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{i} \sim \mathbb{C}^{k}$ is finite dimensional, with $\left(a_{i}\right)^{k}=\left(a_{i}^{\dagger}\right)^{k}=0 .{ }^{4}$ Due to the symmetry $[n]_{q}=[k-n]_{q}$, one has $[n]_{q}![k-1-n]_{q}!=[k-1]_{q}$ ! for any $n=0, \ldots, k-1$. Relations (7) are conveniently seen as finite dimensional matrix analogues of the usual harmonic oscillator (Heisenberg-Weyl) algebra, with $q$-deformed integers $[n]_{q}$ instead of integers $n$.

From the deformed algebra (2), note that one recovers the usual commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[N_{i}, a_{i}\right]=-a_{i}, \quad\left[N_{i}, a_{i}^{\dagger}\right]=a_{i}^{\dagger} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

reflecting that $N_{i}$ is indeed the number operator for the $i$ th mode. It is simple to recover $q$ commutation relations (3) from the matrix representation, by noting that $[n+1]_{q}=q[n]_{q}+q^{-n}$.

From the above facts, the $k$-nilpotent $q$-bosons describe a simple system exhibiting exclusion statistics. They may be seen as hard core particles, with $k$ regulating the core hardness: they combine properties of bosons, but carry in their very formulation a maximum occupation constraint. It is apparent that in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. $q \rightarrow 1$ ) one recovers standard bosons. However, the case $k=2$ does not describe fermions (see (2)).

Regarding the commutation properties for different modes, we follow the criteria that $q$-bosonic operators corresponding to different degrees of freedom commute [26, 27, 22],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=\left[a_{i}^{\dagger}, a_{j}^{\dagger}\right]=\left[a_{i}, a_{j}^{\dagger}\right]=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq j \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This bosonic behaviour is set even for $k=2$, another departure from fermions in our treatment. The Fock space of the system is thus simply $\mathcal{H}=\otimes_{i} \mathcal{H}_{i}$. In comparison with standard bosons, recovered in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, one must stress that a unitary transformation $U(m)$ of $q$-bosons does not render $q$-bosonic modes [27].

## 3. Coherent states-single particle case

We discuss in this section one single mode $i$. Coherent states in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ may be defined as eigenvectors of $a_{i}$; however, one readily notes that the only eigenvector of $a_{i}$ in the finite

[^0]dimensional space $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ is the vacuum, as it happens for fermionic operators. The way out is to enlarge the Hilbert space by allowing linear combinations with coefficients that go beyond the complex numbers. One is lead to introduce $k$-nilpotent para-Grassmann numbers [6], in the same way that Grassmann numbers are needed to deal with fermionic coherent states [28]. Consider an indeterminate $\theta_{i}$ and a formal vector
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mid \theta_{i}\right)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{n_{i}} \theta_{i}^{n_{i}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\alpha_{n_{i}}$ are complex coefficients (we introduce the notation '|)' [13] to distinguish this expression from proper vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ ), and evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)=\theta_{i} \sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-2} \alpha_{n+1} \theta_{i}^{n_{i}} \sqrt{\left[n_{i}+1\right]_{q}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions for having an eigenvector are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n_{i}+1}=\alpha_{n_{i}} / \sqrt{\left[n_{i}+1\right]_{q}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}^{k}=0, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

imposing the $k$-nilpotency condition. One then obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mid \theta_{i}\right)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1}\left(\alpha_{0} / \sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!}\right) \theta_{i}^{n_{i}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)=\theta_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, one introduces formal dual vectors by conjugation: consider $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ an indeterminate conjugate to $\theta_{i}$ and dual vectors $\left\langle n_{i}\right|$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i}^{*}$ to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i} \mid=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1}\left\langle n_{i}\right|\left(\bar{\alpha}_{0} / \sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!}\right) \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n_{i}}\right. \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i} \mid a_{i}^{\dagger}=\left(\theta_{i} \mid \bar{\theta}_{i}\right.\right. \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $\left(\theta_{i} \mid\right.$ on $\left.\mid \theta_{i}\right)$ gives a polynomial in $\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1}\left|\alpha_{0}\right|^{2} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{i}^{n_{i}} \theta_{i}^{n_{i}}}{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not a real number and cannot be normalized; we adopt the convention $\alpha_{0}=1$. We remark that, up to this stage, the construction includes standard Grassmann numbers for $k=2$.

## Commutation relations and conjugation

Before prescribing an iterated integration rule over $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ (see below), one needs a commutation relation $\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\alpha \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i}$ to be able to re-order general monomials. One usual criteria is to ask for nilpotency of linear combinations $\chi_{i}=\rho \theta_{i}+\sigma \bar{\theta}_{i}$ with complex coefficients $\rho, \sigma$ [7], leading as the simplest solution for $\alpha$ a primitive complex root of one of order $k$, for instance $\alpha=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{2 \pi}{k}}=q^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{2 \pi}{k}} \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the usual choice in the literature [8,13,12]. Note that only for $k=2$ one finds that $\chi_{i}$ and $\bar{\chi}_{i}$ satisfy the same commutation relations as $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ (anti-commuting fermionic case, with real $\alpha=-1$ ). For $k \geqslant 3, \chi_{i}, \bar{\chi}_{i}$ will not have the same commutation properties (20) that $\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}$ have. Then, in contrast with Grassmann numbers, a linear change of para-Grassmann generators with complex coefficients cannot preserve both $k$-nilpotency and commutation rules (20) [11]. Moreover, relation (20) has a serious drawback for $k \geqslant 3$ : it does not support the usual conjugation of products (with the property $\left.\overline{\left(\theta \theta^{\prime}\right)}=\overline{\theta^{\prime}} \bar{\theta}\right)$ [12, 14]. This has led some authors to avoid the use of conjugation [12] or to adopt a non-standard conjugation rule for products [13, 14].

We find no case in enforcing nilpotency under complex linear transformations while commutation rules of the resulting combinations are drastically different from that of the original ones. In this work, we consider instead the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\alpha \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ [14], with the advantage of supporting standard conjugation ${ }^{5}$.
We remark again that with the usual commutation relations (20) one cannot make a linear transformation of para-Grassmann generators into para-Grassmann generators, in the sense of preserving nilpotency and commutation relations. In the multi-particle case, this excludes the use of Fourier transformations or any linear change of basis and makes it impossible to map interacting modes into decoupled ones, even for quadratic Hamiltonians. Our choice (21) is neither better or worse in this sense, but allows for a consistent definition of conjugation and para-Grassmann symbolic calculus. Moreover, it leads to notable simplifications in the applications.

## Algebraic structure

The construction discussed above contains the following algebraic structures.
First an algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right] /\left\langle\theta_{i}^{k}, \bar{\theta}_{i}^{k}, \theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}-\alpha \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i}\right\rangle$ (quotient of the non-commutative free algebra of polynomials in $\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}$ with the two-sided ideal generated by $\theta_{i}^{k}, \bar{\theta}_{i}^{k}$ and $\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}-\alpha \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i}$ ), which is a vector space of dimension $k^{2}$ over the field $\mathbb{C}$ with a closed product of vectors. This will be called [14] the complex para-Grassmann algebra $P G_{k, \alpha}$, characterized by a nilpotency order $k \geqslant 2$ and a real commutation coefficient $\alpha\left(P G_{2,-1}\right.$ is the standard Grassmann algebra). Note that it is also a ring, with the sum and product of polynomials.

Second, the free module of the orthonormal set $\left\{\left|n_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ over the ring $P G_{k, \omega}$. This is an extension of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, the linear span of a basis over coefficients (numbers) in $P G_{k, \alpha}$ that are more general than complex numbers, and will be called

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{K}_{i}=\{\mid v)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{n_{i}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \text { such that } \gamma_{n_{i}} \in P G_{k, \alpha}\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will not distinguish left or right multiplication of $P G_{k, \omega}$ numbers with vectors, so $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ is technically a bimodule.

As it is usual in the fermionic case, one can use functional analysis language calling $\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}$ para-Grassmann variables and writing the $P G_{k, \alpha}$ algebra elements as functions of such variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)=\sum_{n, n^{\prime}} f_{n n^{\prime}} \theta_{i}^{n} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where the conditions $\theta_{i}^{k}=\bar{\theta}_{i}^{k}=\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}-\alpha \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i}=0$ ensure that an arbitrary function is represented by $k^{2}$ complex coefficients (the form in (23) may be called an expansion of $f$ in the anti-Wick ordered basis of $P G_{k, \alpha}$ ). These functions are called holomorphic (antiholomorphic) when only powers of $\theta_{i}\left(\bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ are present. Conjugation in $P G_{k, \alpha}$ is then written as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)=\sum_{n, n^{\prime}} \bar{f}_{n n^{\prime}} \theta_{i}^{n^{\prime}} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\bar{f}_{n m}$ stands for complex conjugation. The ${ }^{*}$-algebra property $(f g)^{*}=g^{*} f^{*}$ is fulfilled [14].

A sesquilinear form is naturally defined on $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ by the extension of the inner product in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ : given $\mid \nu)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \nu_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left|n_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\left.\mid \eta\right)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \eta_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left|n_{i}\right\rangle$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\eta \mid \nu)=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \bar{\eta}_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) v_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is not an inner product (positivity does not make any sense), but is useful to write the projections of elements in $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ onto the basis (5). According to previous notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left\langle n_{i}\right| v\right) & =v_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \\
\left(\eta\left|n_{i}\right\rangle\right. & \left.\equiv\left\langle n_{i}\right| \eta\right)^{*}=\bar{\eta}_{n_{i}}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right\rangle=\left(1 / \sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!}\right) \theta_{i}^{n_{i}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Integration

Following Berezin's seminal work on Grassmann integration, one defines a linear form on $P G_{k, \alpha}$ with integral-like properties. This, and a whole proposal for para-Grassmann integral and differential calculus, has been done before using the commutation relation (20) $[6,8,9,29]$. We will not pursue here such a complete program, that would presumably be simpler for $P G_{k, \alpha}$ [31]; we just quote the basic Berezin-like integration rules for anti-Wick ordered basis elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i} \theta_{i}^{n} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n^{\prime}}=\mathcal{N} \delta_{n, k-1} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n^{\prime}} \\
& \int \theta_{i}^{n} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\theta_{i}^{n} \mathcal{N} \delta_{n^{\prime}, k-1}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a positive normalization constant, and we stress that $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ act as independent variables under integration. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i} \theta_{i}^{n} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{n^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\mathcal{N}^{2} \delta_{n, k-1} \delta_{n^{\prime}, k-1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be seen as a double iterated integral. The order of the factors and differentials must be cast as it is in (29) before using the recipe. In what follows, we set for convenience [8, 12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\sqrt{[k-1]_{q}!} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Completeness

The completeness of the coherent states construction is expressed as a 'resolution of unity' in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$. One has to make sense of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i} \mid \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\mathbb{I}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{i}\right. \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ may be seen as a measure weight for the integral. (Because of ordering issues, it is important to set a position for the weight factor; we write it for convenience in the middle.)

Given any two vectors $|u\rangle=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} u_{n_{i}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle,|v\rangle=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} v_{n_{i}}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, we then ask $\mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ to fulfil

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle v| \int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i}\left|\mathrm{~d} \bar{\theta}_{i}\right| u\right\rangle=\langle v \mid u\rangle \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which amounts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i} \frac{\theta_{i}^{n_{i}}}{\sqrt{\left[n_{i}\right]_{q}!}} \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \frac{\bar{\theta}_{i}^{n_{i}^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{\left[n_{i}^{\prime}\right]_{q}!}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}=\delta_{n_{i} n_{i}^{\prime}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ in the general anti-Wick form (23), the expression under integration is antiWick ordered and may be solved with the rules (29). The weight factor must contain only terms with equal powers of $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ so as to produce non-vanishing results only for $n_{i}=n_{i}^{\prime}$. One obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{[p]_{q}!} \theta_{i}^{p} \bar{\theta}_{i}^{p} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the unique (anti-Wick ordered) kernel making sense of (31).
Equation (31) singles out the auxiliary role of para-Grassman numbers and module $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ vectors in our construction: when computing matrix elements in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, module vectors are projected onto $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ and the expression leads to compute a complex valued integral on $P G_{k, \alpha}$. Namely para-Grassmann numbers are 'integrated out' to recover results in the $q$-boson Fock space, as it happens with standard Grassmann numbers in fermionic theories.

## Anti-normal order prescription

Once we set $\mu\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ as a measure weight, the use of the identity resolution (31) may lead us to integrals where the factors of $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ are not anti-Wick ordered. Following [13, 14], we define a linear anti-normal order prescription : :, moving in each term under : : all $\theta_{i}$ factors to the left and all $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ factors to the right, without using commutation rules.

This prescription is useful in several situations. First, the weight factor in (34) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)=: \mathrm{e}_{q}^{\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $q$-deformed exponential is defined, as it is usual in $q$-deformed algebras, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{q}^{x}=\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{[p]_{q}!} x^{p} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, one can define non-ambiguous Toeplitz operators from a $P G_{k, \alpha}$-valued symbol: given a function $\phi\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$, one considers homomorphisms in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T_{\phi\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)}=\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i}: \mid \theta_{i}\right) \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i} \mid: \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{i}\right. \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this notation, these are generically called anti-Wick or contravariant operators [30] with symbol $\phi\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ and are intimately related to Toeplitz operators [14]. For bosonic coherent states $|z\rangle$ associated with Lie algebras [32], such operators are 'diagonal' in the coherent states basis [33]; once applied to Fock space states, and projected onto the coherent state basis, they properly become Toeplitz operators mapping holomorphic square integrable functions on a Kahler manifold onto themselves, through a Bargmann projection. They implement the Berezin-Toepliz (or coherent states) quantization of the classical function $\phi(z, \bar{z})$.

The same structure may be realized here; indeed, ordering ambiguities and the conflict between para-Grassmann conjugation and commutation relations in (20) prevent a consistent Berezin-Toepliz quantization of a para-Grassmann algebra. The ordering problem was solved in [13] by introducing an anti-Wick ordering prescription, but still with commutation relations as in (20) requiring a non*-algebra conjugation. More recently [14], the consideration of $k$-nilpotent para-Grassmann algebras with independent (real) $\alpha$-commutation relations as in (21) allowed us to construct a well-defined reproducing kernel (expressing the Bargmann projection and therefore the resolution of the identity) and Toeplitz operators. It is notable that the $q$-boson operators $a_{i}$ and $a_{i}^{\dagger}$ can be written as the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of the simple symbols $\theta_{i}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{i}$, respectively [13]. These recent papers are the basis for our present approach.

A sesquilinear form is naturally defined in the para-Grassmann algebra [14] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right), g\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\right)=\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i}: f^{*}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \mu\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) g\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right), g\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)$ in $P G_{k, \alpha}$. In contrast to (25), this definition does provide an inner product in $P G_{k, \alpha}$.

We remark that under any order prescription, the commutation rules for para-Grassmann variables play no further role; the $k$-nilpotency, defining $[n]_{q}$, and the measure weight $\mu\left(\theta_{i}, \overline{\theta_{i}}\right)$, setting orthonormality, are the key ingredients of the present construction. In particular, one can manipulate the use of (31) in a clean way under the anti-normal order prescription. In section 5, we develop simple trace formulae for operators acting on $\mathcal{H}_{i}$.

## 4. Coherent states-multi-particle states

For a system with $m$ independent degrees of freedom, the coherent states are the direct product of single mode coherent states. The key point in this section is that, in our scheme, handling multi-particle coherent states presents no further complications.

Formally, we first introduce $m$ complex para-Grassmann variables $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$. As the different mode operators commute (see (10)) and we do not require nilpotency of linear combinations of para-Grassmann variables, for $i \neq j$ we set

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{i} \theta_{j} & =\theta_{j} \theta_{i}, \\
\theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{j} & =\bar{\theta}_{j} \theta_{i} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

We then define the $m$-mode para-Grassmann algebra $P_{k, \alpha}^{m}=\mathbb{C}\left[\theta_{1}, \bar{\theta}_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}, \bar{\theta}_{m}\right] /$ $\left\langle\theta_{i}^{k}, \bar{\theta}_{i}^{k}, \theta_{i} \bar{\theta}_{i}-\omega \bar{\theta}_{i} \theta_{i}, \theta_{i} \theta_{j}-\theta_{j} \theta_{i}\right\rangle$ as the quotient of the free algebra of polynomials in $m$ complex indeterminates with the ideal expressing $k$-nilpotency and all commutation relations, and the direct product of modules $\mathcal{K}^{m}=\mathcal{K}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{K}_{m}$. Denoting $\theta=\left\{\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right\}$, we define coherent states as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mid \theta)=\mid \theta_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mid \theta_{m}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements of $P G_{k, \alpha}^{m}$ are functions of several para-Grassman variables, that have unique coefficients when written in anti-normal order

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta, \bar{\theta})=\sum_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} f_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} \theta_{m}^{n_{m}} \cdots \theta_{1}^{n_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{m}^{n_{m}^{\prime}} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{n\}=\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right\}$ is summed over each $n_{i}=0, \ldots, k-1$. Strictly speaking, the order is already set when each $\theta_{i}$ is on the left of the corresponding $\bar{\theta}_{i}$; we annotate a complete order of para-Grassmann variables, with decreasing indices, for convenience in solving integrals. For several variables, we use for the anti-normal order prescription the same notation: : as before, moving in each term under : : all $\theta_{i}$ factors to the left and all $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ factors to the right, without using commutation rules and ordering commuting variables in decreasing index order just for convenience.

Integration is defined iteratively. For the function in (41), the integral reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta f(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} & =\sum_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} f_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} \int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{m} \theta_{m}^{n_{m}} \cdots \theta_{1}^{n_{1}} \int \bar{\theta}_{m}^{n_{m}^{\prime}} \cdots \bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} \bar{\theta}_{m} \\
& =\sum_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} f_{\{n\}\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}} \int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \theta_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{m} \theta_{m}^{n_{m}} \int \bar{\theta}_{m}^{n_{m}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{m} \cdots \int \bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{1} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

providing a non vanishing result, from (28), only from the term with $n_{1}=n_{1}^{\prime}=\cdots=n_{m}=$ $n_{m}^{\prime}=k-1$.

Resolution of the identity in $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m}$ is readily written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int \mathrm{d} \theta \mid \theta\right) \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta})(\theta \mid \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}=\mathbb{I}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with measure weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\theta, \bar{\theta})=: e_{q}^{\theta_{1} \bar{\theta}_{1}}: \cdots: e_{q}^{\theta_{m} \bar{\theta}_{m}}:=: e_{q}^{\theta_{1} \bar{\theta}_{1}} \cdots e_{q}^{\theta_{m} \bar{\theta}_{m}}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the multi-particle version is a simple generalization of the one particle results. This is due to the normal order prescription, partially taken from [13]. Indeed, we have changed the $q$-commutation rules proposed by these authors for different para-Grassmann variables, which requires an extra order prescription and generates a conjugation problem.

It is simple to generalize the results in [14] to multi-particle states. A sesquilinear form in $P G_{k, \alpha}^{m}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(\theta, \bar{\theta}), g(\theta, \bar{\theta}))=\int \mathrm{d} \theta: f^{*}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta}) g(\theta, \bar{\theta}): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

An anti-Wick operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T_{\phi(\theta, \bar{\theta})}=\int \mathrm{d} \theta: \mid \theta\right) \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \phi(\theta, \bar{\theta})(\theta \mid: \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be projected onto coherent states defining a Toeplitz operator [14]; in this way, creation and annihilation operators act on holomorphic functions [13] by

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i} & =T_{\theta_{i}} \\
a_{i}^{\dagger} & =T_{\bar{\theta}_{i}} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Our objective here is to apply the above formalism in the construction of coherent states trace formulae.

## 5. Trace formulae

Given an operator $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, its trace can be written as an integral over coherent states in much the standard way. In the one-particle case, say for a mode $i$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}) & =\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1}\left\langle n_{i}\right| \mathcal{A}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\sum_{n_{i}=0}^{k-1} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{i}\left\langle n_{i}\right| \mathcal{A} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \overline{,}_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i}\right. \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i}: \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\left(\theta_{i}\left|n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{i}\right| \mathcal{A} \mid \theta_{i}\right): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{i} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{i}: \mu_{i}\left(\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i}|\mathcal{A}| \theta_{i}\right): \mathrm{d} \mathrm{~d} \bar{\theta}_{i}, \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the identity (31) and a reordering of factors under the anti-normal order prescription.

In the multi-particle case, we can operate the same way, using the identity (43) and commutation relations (39). We start writing the trace in the canonical basis $|\{n\}\rangle=$ $\left|n_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes\left|n_{m}\right\rangle$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A}) & =\sum_{\{n\}}\langle\{n\}| \mathcal{A}|\{n\}\rangle \\
& \left.=\sum_{\left\{n_{i}\right\}} \int \mathrm{d} \theta\langle\{n\}| \mathcal{A} \mid \theta\right) \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta})(\theta|\{n\}\rangle \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta: \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \sum_{\{n\}}(\theta|\{n\}\rangle\langle\{n\}| \mathcal{A} \mid \theta): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta: \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta})(\theta|\mathcal{A}| \theta): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

As said before, no further complications arise in handling multiparticle states in terms of independentpara-Grassmann variables.

## 6. Applications: thermodynamics in simple examples

We are interested in computing the thermodynamical partition function for a system of nilpotent $q$-bosons with Hamiltonian $H$ at temperature $k_{B} T=1 / \beta$. We thus need to evaluate coherent state matrix elements $\left(\theta\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right| \theta\right)$, a task that provides closed results only for some simple Hamiltonians.

### 6.1. One $q$-boson in a thermal bath

Consider one $q$-boson oscillator ( $q=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / k}$ ) with Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=\epsilon N_{1}, \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

having spectrum $\epsilon_{n_{1}}=n_{1} \epsilon, n_{1}=0, \ldots, k-1$ (note that here $n_{1}$ counts the excitations in the one particle spectrum, not particle number). We compute the canonical (one particle) partition function in a thermal bath,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{1}(\beta)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{1}}\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Mean energy of a $q$-boson in a thermal bath, for different nilpotency orders $k$ (in arbitrary units). Note that at finite $k$ the mean energy saturates at high enough temperatures, where each of the energy levels is found with equal probability. In contrast, for standard bosons $(k \rightarrow \infty)$ the mean energy grows linearly with temperature.

In order to compute $\left(\theta_{1}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{1}}\right| \theta_{1}\right)$, it is convenient to expand the coherent states using (15), obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{1}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{1}}\right| \theta_{1}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{k-1} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{1}} \theta_{1}^{n_{1}}}{\left[n_{1}\right]!} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \epsilon n_{1}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trace formula (48) is easily integrated using the rules (29) providing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{1}(\beta)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \epsilon n_{1}}=\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-k \beta \epsilon}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \epsilon}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is of course the trace result straightforwardly computed in the canonical basis (5). The corresponding mean energy reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{1}(\beta)=-\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Z}_{1}(\beta)}{\partial \beta}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\beta \epsilon}-1}-\frac{k}{\mathrm{e}^{k \beta \epsilon}-1}\right) \epsilon \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the specific heat

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\beta)=-\beta^{2} \frac{\partial \bar{E}_{1}}{\partial \beta}=\frac{1}{4}(\beta \epsilon)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2}(\beta \epsilon / 2)}-\frac{k^{2}}{\sinh ^{2}(k \beta \epsilon / 2)}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show in figures 1 and 2 these functions for low values of $k$ together with the limit case $k \rightarrow \infty$, to make explicit that the $k$-nilpotent behaviour interpolates between fermionic ( $k=2$ ) and bosonic $(k \rightarrow \infty)$ standard results.

A similar analysis can be done for one $q$-boson oscillator with Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{\prime}=\epsilon a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{1}, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has spectrum $\epsilon_{n_{1}}=\left[n_{1}\right]_{q} \epsilon, n_{1}=0, \ldots, k-1$. One obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{1}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \theta\right)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{k-1} \frac{\bar{\theta}^{n_{1}} \theta^{n_{1}}}{\left[n_{1}\right]!} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \epsilon\left[n_{1}\right]_{q}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Specific heat for different nilpotency orders $k$ (in arbitrary units). Note that at finite $k$ the specific heat has a maximum and decays to zero when the mean energy saturates. For standard bosons, this does not occur (no saturation is possible).
so the partition function gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{1}^{\prime}(\beta)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \epsilon\left[n_{1}\right]_{q}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2. System of $q$-bosons

In setting a multi-particle system of nilpotent $q$-bosons, one must take into account that a linear transformation (in particular the Fourier transformation) of $q$-boson annihilation or creation operators does not render modes with the same commutation relations. The same occurs with para-Grassmann variables in our approach (and any other in the literature). We restrict to Hamiltonians in which different degrees of freedom do not interact. Speculatively, one can think of a system with a finite dimensional Hilbert space per degree of freedom, such as a spin $S$ system in the presence of strong interactions, which after a suitable transformation leads to independent $k$-nilpotent $q$-boson modes.

Let us consider a system of $m q$-bosons $a_{j}, a_{j}^{\dagger}$ with Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \epsilon_{j} N_{j} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The grand partition function at finite temperature $k_{B} T=1 / \beta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(\beta)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(H-\mu N)}\right), \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=\sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{j}$ is the total number operator. One needs to compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(H-\mu N)}\right| \theta\right)=\left(\theta\left|\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right) N_{j}}\right| \theta\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simply factorizes to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\theta_{j}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right) N_{j}}\right| \theta_{j}\right)=\sum_{\{n\}} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{1}} \theta_{1}^{n_{1}}}{\left[n_{1}\right]!} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{1}-\mu\right) n_{1}} \cdots \frac{\bar{\theta}_{1}^{n_{m}} \theta_{1}^{n_{m}}}{\left[n_{m}\right]!} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{m}-\mu\right) n_{m}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Average occupation number of levels $\epsilon_{j}$ for a system of $q$-bosons at low temperature. For $k=2$, the behaviour corresponds to fermions, while for $k \rightarrow \infty$ it corresponds to standard bosons.

The trace is computed according to (49)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta: \mu(\theta, \bar{\theta}) & \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\theta_{j}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right) N_{j}}\right| \theta_{j}\right): \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \\
& \times\left(\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{j}: \mu_{j}\left(\theta_{j}, \bar{\theta}_{j}\right) \sum_{n_{j}} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{j}^{n_{j}} \theta_{j}^{n_{j}}}{\left[n_{j}\right]!} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right) n_{j}}: \mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}_{j}\right) \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

giving rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(\beta)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-k \beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right)}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right)}} . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant quantity to compute here is the mean occupation number of levels $\epsilon_{j}$, which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j}(\beta, \mu)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right)}-1}-\frac{k}{\mathrm{e}^{k \beta\left(\epsilon_{j}-\mu\right)}-1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For finite $k$, this is well defined even for $\epsilon_{j}=\mu$ (evitable singularity), while the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ is finite only for $\epsilon_{j}>\mu$ (the correct behaviour for standard bosons). In figure 3, it can be seen that the mean occupation at $\epsilon_{j}=\mu$ is $(k-1) / 2$ (identical to fermions, for $k=2$ ) and diverges for $k \rightarrow \infty$ (Bose-Einstein condensation). For $k=3$, the present result for $n(\epsilon)$ is markedly close to the distribution of $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ parafermions and of particles with $g=1 / 3$ Haldane exclusion statistics illustrated in [19].

We note again that the $k$-nilpotent behaviour interpolates between fermionic and bosonic standard results.

## 7. Conclusions

The construction of coherent states for $q$-commuting particles requires the introduction of para-Grassmann variables. In particular, when $q$ is a complex rational primitive root of unity, $q=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / k}$, the required para-Grassmann variables are $k$-nilpotent. Many attempts have
been made towards a consistent formulation of nilpotent para-Grassmann calculus, leading to different difficulties in the multiparticle case. In consequence, no consensus has been reached yet in the proper characterization of such nilpotent variables.

We have traced back the source of difficulties, as well as figured forward the applicability, of para-Grassmann variables in systems of nilpotent $q$-bosons. In this work, we present a construction, in line with recent proposals [13, 14], that incorporates on the one hand paraGrassmann commutation rules which are independent from the nilpotency order, and on the other hand a normal order prescription for the generalized Berezin integration.

Our approach solves the conjugation problem for complex para-Grassmann variables and allows for a consistent symbolic para-Grassmann calculus. In particular, it makes possible to handle in much the standard way a resolution of unity as a generalized Berezin integral of multi-particle coherent state projectors. This allows for simple trace formulae, which have been used here to study the thermodynamics of simple Hamiltonians; the distribution of $k$-nilpotent $q$-bosons in a multiparticle system turns out to be Fermi-like, with mean occupation per mode bounded by $k$. This exclusion statistics could find application in the study of the plethora of novel phases in strongly correlated systems, where different types of 'novel' statistics have already shown up [3, 16, 19, 34, 35], mainly as a consequence of the strong interactions. In different contexts, constraints in the occupation number of bosons are introduced in order to select a Fock subspace [15, 36]; it would be interesting to investigate the connection with our present approach, although it is out of the scope of this paper. Our formalism allows for a thermodynamical description, hence providing the tools to compare with experimental measurements to come.
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[^1]:    5 We still call $\theta_{i}, \bar{\theta}_{i}$ complex para-Grassmann numbers. As stated in the introduction, we will follow a normal order prescription [13] that produces expressions not depending on the value of $\alpha$, and working fine even for $\alpha=1$ (commuting para-Grassmann numbers).

