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#### Abstract

Robe's restricted three-body problem is reanalyzed with a view to incorporate a new assumption, namely that the configuration of the fluid body is that described by an hydrostatic equilibrium figure (Roche's ellipsoid). In the concomitant gravitational field a full treatment of the buoyancy force is given. The pertinent equations of motion are derived, the linear stability of the equilibrium solution is studied and the connection between the effect of the buoyancy forces and a perturbation of the Coriolis force is pointed out.
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## 1. Introduction

A new kind of restricted three-body problem that incorporates the effect of buoyancy forces was introduced by Robe in 1977. He regards one of the two principal bodies as a rigid spherical shell of mass $m_{1}$, filled with an homogeneous incompressible fluid of density $\rho_{1}$. The other one is a point mass $m_{2}$ located outside the shell. The particle of negligible mass (third body) has density $\rho_{3}$ and moves inside the shell under two influences: (a) the gravitational attraction of the principal bodies and (b) the buoyancy force of the fluid $\rho_{1}$. The Robe model may provide some insight into the problem of small oscillations of the earth's core in the gravitational field of the earth-moon system.

Robe considered two situations (1) that in which $m_{2}$ describes a circular orbit around the shell and (2) the case of elliptical orbits for $m_{2}$, assuming the shell empty (i.e. $\rho_{1}=0$ ) or the densities $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{3}$ to be equal. In both instances the center of the shell is an equilibrium point for the third body, which led him to study the conditions for its linear stability.

Shrivastava and Garain (1991) studied the effect of a small perturbation in the Coriolis and centrifugal forces on the location of the equilibrium point. They considered the circular case with equal densities ( $\rho_{1}=\rho_{3}$ ) and evaluated the concomitant shift in the location of the equilibrium point.

In deriving the expression for the buoyancy force $\mathbf{E}$, both Robe (1977) and Shrivastava et al. (1991) assumed that the pressure field of the fluid $\rho_{1}$ has spherical symmetry around the center of the shell, in accordance with its assumed spherical shape. However, they took into account just one of the three components of the

[^0]pressure field: that due to the own gravitational field of the fluid $\rho_{1}$ itself. The remaining two contributions are: (1) that originating in the attraction of $m_{2}$ and (2) that arising from the centrifugal force. These, in turn, give raise to additional components of the buoyancy force. (Notice that the component due to the attraction of $m_{2}$ lacks spherical symmetry.)

The purpose of the present effort is precisely that of studying the effect of these two contributions in connection with the dynamics of Robe's model. To this effect, we shall consider that the fluid body $m_{1}$ adopts the shape of an ellipsoidal figure of hydrostatic equilibrium, specifically, a Roche's ellipsoid (Chandrasekhar, 1987). By recourse to the analytical expressions that obtain for the pressure field in this situation, a full account of the effects of the buoyancy forces can be given.

The pertinent equations of motion will be discussed in Section 2, while the important case $\rho_{1}=\rho_{3}$ is considered in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the stability of the equilibrium point. The relation between the buoyancy force acting on a small particle moving in a uniformly rotating fluid in hydrostatic equilibrium and perturbations in the Coriolis force is addressed in Section 5. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

## 2. Equations of Motion

In what follows we will take that the primary $m_{1}$ is described by a Roche ellipsoid. Since one deals here with an equilibrium figure, there is no need to assume the existence of a rigid outer shell. According to the framing of Roche's problem, we suppose that the primary $m_{1}$ describes a circular orbit around the secondary $m_{2}$ in such a way that the concomitant relative configuration remains unchanged.

In order to fix the notation let $R$ stand for the distance between the centers of mass of the primaries, while $\Omega$ denotes the constant angular velocity of rotation about their common center of mass. Adopt a uniformly rotating coordinate system $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$, with origin at the center of mass of $m_{1}, O x_{1}$ pointing towards $m_{2}$ and $O x_{1} x_{2}$ being the orbital plane of $m_{2}$ around $m_{1}$.

Assume for the angular velocity $\Omega$ the 'Keplerian' value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{2}=\frac{G\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{R^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in the Taylor expansion of the gravitational potential due to $m_{2}$, only terms up to second order in $x_{i}$ are retained, the hydrodynamical equations in the rotating frame read (Chandrasekhar, 1987)

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1} \mathrm{~d} u_{i} / \mathrm{d} t= & -\partial P / \partial x_{i}+\rho_{1}\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right) \\
\times & {\left[B+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)\right] } \\
& +2 \rho_{1} \Omega \varepsilon_{i l 3} u_{l}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{i}$ are the components of the fluid velocity field in the rotating frame, $P$ is the pressure field, $B$ stands for the gravitational potential due to the fluid mass, and $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=G m_{2} / R^{3} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For hydrostatic equilibrium, Equations (2) can be recast in the simple fashion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left\{B+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)-\frac{P}{\rho_{1}}\right\}=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Roche's ellipsoids constitute solutions to Equation (4). They are ellipsoidal figures with semiaxes $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ parallel, respectively, to the coordinates $O x_{1}, O x_{2}$, and $O x_{3}$. The semiaxes $a_{i}$ verify the following relations (Chandrasekhar, 1987)

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(3+p) a_{1}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right] \mu^{*}=2\left[A_{1} a_{1}^{2}-A_{3} a_{3}^{2}\right],}  \tag{5}\\
& {\left[p a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right] \mu^{*}=2\left[A_{2} a_{2}^{2}-A_{3} a_{3}^{2}\right],} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=m_{1} / m_{2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}=\mu /\left(\pi G \rho_{1}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the quantities $A_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\Delta\left(a_{i}^{2}+u\right)}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}=\left(a_{1}^{2}+u\right)\left(a_{2}^{2}+u\right)\left(a_{3}^{2}+u\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these definitions, it is plain from Equations (1) and (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{2}=(1+p) \mu \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential $B$ at an internal point $x_{i}$ of the homogeneous ellipsoid is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\pi G \rho_{1}\left(I-A_{1} x_{1}^{2}-A_{2} x_{2}^{2}-A_{3} x_{3}^{2}\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ stands for

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=a_{1}^{2} A_{1}+a_{2}^{2} A_{2}+a_{3}^{2} A_{3} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our interest lies in describing the motion of a small mass $m_{3}\left(m_{3} \ll m_{1,2}\right)$ within the Roche ellipsoid. This small mass moves under the influence of three forces (per unit mass of the affected particle):
(1) The attraction of the fluid $\rho_{1}$
$\operatorname{grad} B$,
(2) the gravitational field due to the point mass $m_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad}\left\{\mu R x_{1}+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for the sake of consistence with the above description of hydrostatic equilibrium, only terms up to second order have been kept, and
(3) the buoyancy force $\mathbf{E}$ arising in the fluid $\rho_{1}$.

In order to obtain the expression for the buoyancy force $\mathbf{E}$, we must consider the pressure field inside the fluid, assumed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium in the rotating reference frame. From elementary hydrostatics it is known that the buoyancy force acts on a small body of volume $V$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}^{*}=-V \operatorname{grad} P \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the buoyancy force per unit mass is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\frac{\mathbf{E}^{*}}{V \rho_{3}}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, keeping Equation (4) in mind,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=-\left(\rho_{1} / \rho_{3}\right) \nabla\left\{B+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combined action of the forces given by (14), (15), and (18) upon our small particle can be expressed with the help of the potential

$$
\begin{align*}
v= & \left\{B+\mu R x_{1}+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& -\left(\rho_{1} / \rho_{3}\right)\left\{B+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding the total mass of the primaries, $m_{1}+m_{2}$, as the unit of mass, and selecting the units of time and length in such a way that $\Omega=1$, and $R=1$, the quantity $\mu$ of Equation (3) becomes numerically equal to the ratio $m_{2} /\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$ so that (cf. Equation (7))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=(1+p)^{-1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Newton's gravitational constant equals unity. With this choice of units, the equations of motion for $m_{3}$, which are the focus of our concern, adopt the appearance

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{2} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}-2 \mathrm{~d} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t=\partial U / \partial x_{1}  \tag{21}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}+2 \mathrm{~d} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t=\partial U / \partial x_{2}  \tag{22}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{3} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}=\partial U / \partial x_{3} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =v+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& =\left(1-\rho_{1} / \rho_{3}\right)\left\{B+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\right\} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations of motion (21)-(23) can be recast in the convenient fashion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{2} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}-2 \mathrm{~d} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t=D\left[1+2 \mu-C_{1}\right] x_{1},  \tag{25}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}+2 \mathrm{~d} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t=D\left[1-\mu-C_{2}\right] x_{2},  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{3} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}=D\left[-\mu-C_{3}\right] x_{3} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{3}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i} & =2\left(\pi G \rho_{1}\right) A_{i} \\
& =2\left(\mu / \mu^{*}\right) A_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $\mu^{*}$ and the ratio $a_{2} / a_{1}$ are determined by Equations (5) and (6) as a function of the input data $p$ (or $\mu$ ) and $a_{3} / a_{1}$. These two ratios allow one to evaluate the $A_{i}$ and $a$ posteriori the $C_{i}$. Summing up, the whole dynamics of our problem is characterized by just three adimensional figures, namely, $p, D$, and $a_{3} / a_{1}$.

## 3. The Case $\rho_{1}=\rho_{3}$

We consider here that special instance (discussed by Robe (1977) and Shrivastava et al. (1991)) in which the small particle and the fluid have equal densities. The concomitant equations of motion adopt the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}-2 \mathrm{~d} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{2} x_{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}+2 \mathrm{~d} x_{1} / \mathrm{d} t=0  \tag{30b}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{3} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}=0 \tag{30c}
\end{align*}
$$

so that their integration yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\alpha \cos (2 t+\gamma)+\beta  \tag{31a}\\
& x_{2}=-\alpha \sin (2 t+\gamma)+\delta  \tag{31b}\\
& x_{3}=\varepsilon t+\chi \tag{31c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \varepsilon$, and $\chi$ are integration constants.
It is worthwhile to point out that all triplets $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ are equilibrium points of the equations of motion (30). This fact has a simple interpretation: as the fluid is assumed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium in the rotating frame, all the elements of the fluid remain at rest in that reference system. If the small particle has the same density as that of the fluid, it is indistinguishable from any of its elements. Thus, it will be in equilibrium everywhere. We see that in this instance the new terms in the buoyancy force have important effects in the behaviour of Robe's model. Notice that (1) neglecting the tidal deformation of the fluid body $m_{1}$ due to the other primary $m_{2}$ and (2) ignoring the components of the buoyancy force arising from, respectively, the gravitational attraction of $m_{2}$ and the appropriate centrifugal forces (Robe, 1977; Shrivastava et al., 1991), has the effect of making the center of the fluid the only equilibrium point. It should be pointed out that, in Robe's original treatment, when there is no fluid inside the shell, i.e., when $\rho_{1}=0$, the center is indeed the only equilibrium point.

## 4. The Stability of the Equilibrium Point

It is easy to verify that the center of $m_{1}$ (of coordinates $(0,0,0)$ is an equilibrium point of the equations of motion (25)-(27). It is then of some importance to study its stability.

From Equation (27) one easily ascertains that the motion parallel to the $x_{3}$ axis is stable when the small particle is denser than the medium $(D>0)$. The remaining Equations (25) and (26) admit solutions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\xi \mathrm{e}^{L t}  \tag{32}\\
& x_{2}=\varphi \mathrm{e}^{L t} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

with $L$ given by the biquadratic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{4}+\left[4-D\left(2+\mu-C_{1}-C_{2}\right)\right] L^{2} \\
& \quad+D^{2}\left(1+2 \mu-C_{1}\right)\left(1-\mu-C_{2}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $S=L^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{D\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)-4 \pm \Delta^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} & =1+2 \mu-C_{1}  \tag{36}\\
Q_{2} & =1-\mu-C_{2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left(Q_{1}-Q_{2}\right)^{2} D^{2}-8\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right) D+16 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is plain from Equation (35) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}+S_{2}=D\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)-4 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1} S_{2}=D^{2} Q_{1} Q_{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium situation is a stable one if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are real and negative. Therefore we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta>0  \tag{41}\\
& S_{1}+S_{2}<0 \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1} S_{2}>0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows it is assumed that $D$ is a positive number. This is tantamount to stating that the small particle is denser than the surrounding fluid. Since $C_{i}>0$, it is easy to see that condition (42) is automatically fulfilled.

In order to satisfy condition (43), the quantities $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ must have the same sign. We will now show that, within the present generalization of Robe's restricted three-body problem, those quantities are both negative. From (5), (20) and (29) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1} & =\frac{1}{1-\left(A_{3} / A_{1}\right)\left(a_{3} / a_{1}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{3+p+\left(a_{3} / a_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+p}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\left(A_{3} / A_{1}\right)\left(a_{3} / a_{1}\right)^{2}}\left[1+2 \mu+\frac{\left(a_{3} / a_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+p}\right] \\
& >1+2 \mu \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way we have (cf. Equations (4), (20) and (29))

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{2} & =\frac{1}{1-\left(A_{3} / A_{2}\right)\left(a_{3} / a_{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{p+\left(a_{3} / a_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+p}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\left(A_{3} / A_{2}\right)\left(a_{3} / a_{2}\right)^{2}}\left[1-\mu+\frac{\left(a_{3} / a_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+p}\right] \\
& >1-\mu \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

From (44) and (45) it is clear that $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are both negative. Thus, the stability conditions (42) and (43) are always fulfilled. This fact is independent of the value adopted by the small body's density $\rho_{3}$. Let us now consider the remaining stability condition (41). It is plain that we have $\Delta>0$, since we assumed $D>0$, and we have proved that $Q_{1,2}<0$. Summing up, in this formulation of Robe's restricted problem, the equilibrium point is always stable.

## 5. Relations between the Buoyancy and the Coriolis Forces

Let us consider now the general situation of a small body of density $\rho_{2}$ that moves within a fluid mass of constant density $\rho_{1}$. The fluid is supposed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium in an uniformly rotating frame. If $\Omega, \phi$, and $P$ denote, respectively, the angular velocity of rotation, the gravitational potential, and the pressure field, hydrostatic equilibrium entails (we assume the $z$ axis parallel to the rotation axis and its origin fixed in an inertial system)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left[\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\phi-P / \rho_{1}\right]=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The forces (per unit mass) acting upon the small body are: (1) the gravitational attraction, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}=\nabla \phi \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2) the buoyancy force, that reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=-\left(\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right) \nabla\left[\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\phi\right] \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of both forces upon the small particle can be accounted for by the total potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\phi-\left(\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\phi\right] \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pertinent equations of motion (in the rotating frame) adopt the appearance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2} x / \mathrm{d} t^{2}-2 \Omega \mathrm{~d} y / \mathrm{d} t=\partial U / \partial x \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{2} y / \mathrm{d} t^{2}+2 \Omega \mathrm{~d} x / \mathrm{d} t=\partial U / \partial y  \tag{51}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} z / \mathrm{d} t^{2}=\partial U / \partial z \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=v+\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=\left(1-\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\phi\right] \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing a new (independent) variable $\tau$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=t\left(1-\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equations of motion can be recast in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{2} x / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}-2 \Omega\left(1-\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} y / \mathrm{d} \tau=\partial V / \partial x  \tag{55}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} y / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}+2 \Omega\left(1-\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} x / \mathrm{d} \tau=\partial V / \partial y  \tag{56}\\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} z / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}=\partial V / \partial z \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\phi \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $V$ looks like the usual effective potential in the rotating frame. It takes into account just the gravitational forces accounted for by $\phi$. Hence, Equations (55)(57) are the familiar equations of motion in a rotating frame (without buoyancy terms), but with a perturbed Coriolis force. Therefore, the effect of the buoyancy forces might be thought as being equivalent to a perturbation of the Coriolis force. One could then speculate on possible connections between the present problem and the study of effects due to perturbations in the Coriolis force as described by Shrivastava and Garain (1991).

## 6. Conclusions

In the present work we have revisited Robe's restricted three-body problem under the assumption that the fluid body assumes the shape of the Roche ellipsoid. This has allowed for a full account of the buoyancy force, without neglecting any component.

The pertinent equations of motion where derived and special attention was paid to that important instance in which the density of the fluid equals that of the small particle. In this case, it was found that any point inside the fluid is an equilibrium one.

In the general case, the only equilibrium point is the ellipsoid's center. Its stability was analyzed and, under the assumption that the smaller particle is denser
than the surrounding medium, it can be ascertained that the equilibrium is always stable.

A tentative connection between the effect of the buoyancy forces and a perturbation of the Coriolis force was pointed out.
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