# Gauge Transformations for Six-Dimensional Superfields. 

C. G. Bollini<br>Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, CBPF/CNPq<br>Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil<br>Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales<br>Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina<br>Comisión de Investigaciones Cientificas de La Prov. de Buenos Aires - Argentina

## J. J. Giambiagi

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, CBPF/CNPq
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil
Centro Latinoamericano de Física (CLAF) - Av. Wenceslau Braz 71 (fundos) 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil
(ricevuto il 18 Gennaio 1990)


#### Abstract

Summary. - We give for $D=6$ the general gauge transformations that keep the superfield within the Wess-Zumino gauge (any component with less than two indices of each type $x, \dot{x}$ is absent). We built the gauge-invariant components and write down all the partial Lagrangians. Finally we briefly discuss a dimensional reduction to $D=4$.


PACS 11.10 - Field theory.
PACS 11.30.Pb - Supersymmetry.

## 1. - Introduction.

This work is complementary and a continuation of a previous one[1] in which we discussed the gauge superfield in six dimensions.

The choice $D=6$ has for us two main reasons, namely:
In the first place, in that number of dimensions, the equations of motion resulting from the natural application of supersymmetry are of fourth order [2] for the lowest component of the gauge superfield and this is the simplest example of a higher-order equation resulting from supersymmetry. In the second place, among the physical components of the gauge superfields we noted the presence of several interesting fields, which we called[1]: graviton, gravitino, photon,
photino and also a complex vector field and a real three-vector, with the additional property that we have them all unified in a single superfield.
$D=6$ has not only the appeal of the possibility of extended supersymmetric theory [3] and a realistic supersymmetric GUT [4], but for us it provides the simplest example of higher-order equations of motion for physical fields [2]. In this sense, we noted previously that in higher-order equations the potentials, i.e. the couplings of the different orders of derivatives, should be related so as to obtain equations with physical significance[5]. We think that perhaps sypersymmetry is the only relativistic symmetry that can relate the couplings in such a way that these conditions are fulfilled.

With that motivating ideas in mind we are developing the theory with the hope that it can provide us with a guidance to get a treatment fit for higher-order equations. In particular one hopes that by coming down to fourth dimensions with «Kaluza-Klein procedures» one can obtain here fourth-order differential equations which have physical content.

With regard to this last point it is worth taking into account that by using the $D \rightarrow \infty$ method in a higher-order invariant equation, one obtains a second-order equation as an approximation to the exact wave equation of the theory. So in this sense an invariant higher-order equation has a second-order equation as an approximation. (A note on this point will be published elsewhere.)

## 2. - Notations and definitions.

For the sake of clarity we repeat here some definitions we have used in ref. [1] which are based on Elie Cartan's book [6].

Dirac matrices in $d=6$ are defined by

$$
\Gamma_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \gamma_{\mu}  \tag{2.1}\\
\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu} & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \quad\left\{\Gamma_{\mu}, \Gamma_{\nu}\right\}=2 r_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $\gamma_{\mu}^{+}=\gamma_{\mu}=\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}$ for $\mu=1, \ldots, 5$ are five Hermitian four-dimensional Dirac matrices and $\gamma_{0}=-\tilde{\gamma}_{0}=1$.

The transposition matrix is

$$
\boldsymbol{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & C  \tag{2.2}\\
-C & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{5}, \quad C^{2}=-1, \quad C \gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\mu \mathrm{T}} C, \quad C \tilde{\gamma}^{\mu}=\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu \mathrm{T}} C
$$

The scalar product of two Weyl spinors of different types is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\alpha} C_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \phi^{\dot{\alpha}}=\psi C \phi=\text { scalar } . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conjugate spinor is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{c}=C \phi^{*}, \quad \bar{\phi}=\phi^{+} C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, while the transposition matrix $\boldsymbol{C}=\Gamma_{0} \Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{5}$, the conjugation matrix (in 6D) is

$$
\boldsymbol{C}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C & 0  \tag{2.5}\\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

In order to construct a chiral field with Weyl spinors of the first type we take the Grassmann variables as spinors of the second type $\theta_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}$.

## 3. - Gauge transformations.

As we pointed out in ref. [1], the real superfield has the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{s, t=0}^{4} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \ldots \bar{\theta}_{z_{s}}^{*} A_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{l}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{s}} \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \theta^{\alpha_{t}}, \quad\left(A_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{t}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{L_{2}}}\right)^{*}=A_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{t}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{t}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Abelian gauge transformation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}=V+i(\bar{\psi}-\psi) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\dot{x}_{1}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \psi=0 \quad \text { and } \quad D_{x_{1}} D_{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\psi}=0 ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{\dot{x}}, \bar{D}_{x}$ are the usual covariant derivatives.
By means of the transformation (3.2) we can go to the Wess-Zumino gauge where the components of $V$ with less than two indices of each kind are zero. In that gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{s, t=2}^{4} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \ldots \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{s}} A_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{i}} \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \theta^{\alpha_{t}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can still remain in this gauge, as can be verified, by a transformation induced by the following general double chiral superfield:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\exp [i \theta \partial \bar{\theta}]\left[\lambda+\theta^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}+\bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}+\theta^{\alpha} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}-i \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \lambda\right)+2 i \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \lambda_{\alpha_{2}}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \lambda_{\alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are arbitrary up to the restrictions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda^{*}, \quad \lambda_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\left(\lambda_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right)^{*} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding gauge transformation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& i(\bar{\psi}-\psi)=\theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \lambda_{x_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}}+i \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{x}_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{x}_{3}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{1}_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}}+i \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \theta^{\alpha_{3}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{x}_{2}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{\alpha_{3}}+  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \theta^{\alpha_{3}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{\alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \lambda+\frac{1}{3} \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \theta^{\alpha_{2}} \theta^{\alpha_{3}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \ldots \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{\alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \theta^{\alpha_{4}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{\alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \lambda_{\alpha_{4}}+\frac{1}{3!} \theta^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \theta^{\alpha_{4}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{x}_{1}} \ldots \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{a_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \lambda_{\alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.2) and (3.4) it is easy to see that the components of $V$ transform in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}=A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}+\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \lambda_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}},  \tag{3.8}\\
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\prime \dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}=A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}+i \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} ; \quad A_{\alpha_{x_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}}^{\prime}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}=A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}+i \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \lambda_{\alpha_{3}},  \tag{3.9}\\
& A_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime \dot{\alpha}_{1} \alpha_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{3}=A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}+\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \partial_{\alpha_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} \lambda, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{x}_{2} \dot{x}_{4} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}=A_{x_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{1}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}+\frac{1}{3!} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \partial_{\alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3}} \lambda_{\alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In the right-hand members of these equalities it must be understood that the terms containing the gauge parameters are to be antisymmetrized for both types of indices $\alpha$ and $\dot{\alpha}$.

It is easy to see that $A_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \alpha_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}, A_{\alpha_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}_{3} \alpha_{3} z_{4}}$ and $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{x}_{4} \dot{x}_{4}}$ can be replaced (or redefined) by linear combinations which are gauge invariants

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}^{2}}{ }^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon_{x_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{4}}=A_{a_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}+\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{x}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{3} a_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}}, \tag{3.14a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again the terms with derivatives must be antisymmetrized in both types of indices.

According to Cartan [6] we can express the multispinor field components into its tensor components

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha}=A_{\mu} \tilde{\gamma}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu x}+A_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}}\left(\bar{\gamma}^{\nu_{1}} \gamma^{\nu_{2}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\gamma_{8}}\right)_{\dot{\alpha}}^{x} . \tag{3.14b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{v_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3}}$ is a completely antisymmetric self-dual tensor.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}=\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{x_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(C \gamma^{\nu}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\mu \nu},  \tag{3.15}\\
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}=\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}_{4}}^{\mu}\left(\gamma_{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}},  \tag{3.16}\\
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \alpha_{4}}=\varepsilon^{\dot{\varepsilon}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} B_{\mu}\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}},  \tag{3.17}\\
& A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}=\varepsilon^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}} A^{\alpha_{4}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, in particular, for $\lambda_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha}=\lambda_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha}^{\mu \dot{\alpha}}+\lambda_{\nu_{1} \nu_{2} v_{3}}\left(\gamma^{\nu_{1}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\nu_{2}} \gamma^{\nu_{3}}\right)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these formula, together with (3.8) to (3.12) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{(\mu \nu)}^{\prime}=A_{(\mu \nu)}+\partial_{\mu} \lambda_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \lambda_{\mu}-\eta_{\mu \nu} \partial^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{[\mu \nu]}^{\prime}=A_{[\mu \nu]}+\partial^{\odot} \lambda_{\mu \mu \nu}, \quad \partial^{\mu} A_{[\mu, \nu]}=\text { gauge invariant }, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $\mu \nu$ ) means symmetric part and $[\mu \nu]$ antisymmetric one.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu}^{\prime \alpha}=A_{\mu}^{\alpha}+\left(C \tilde{\partial} \gamma_{\mu} \tilde{\partial}\right)^{\alpha \beta} \lambda_{\beta}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu}^{\prime}=A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \square \lambda, \quad A_{v_{1} v_{2} \nu_{3}}=\text { gauge invariant } \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.24) $B^{\alpha}=$ gauge invariant,$\quad D=$ gauge invariant,$\quad B_{\mu}=$ gauge invariant,
from (3.22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\prime \beta}=\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\beta}+\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(C \tilde{\partial} \gamma_{\mu} \tilde{\partial}\right)^{\beta c} \lambda_{\beta}=\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\beta}+4 \square \lambda_{\alpha} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, one can adjust $\lambda_{x}$ so as to have a zero «gamma trace» gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\prime \beta} \equiv 0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. - Lagrangian.

The redefinitions we have introduced in (3.13), (3.14) to obtain gauge-invariant tensors induce modifications in the partial Lagrangians for the corresponding field components. In particular this is so for $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{x}_{2}}$ and $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}_{3}}$. Instead there are no changes for the Lagrangians corresponding to the rest of the fields.

Let us recall the construction of our Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left.\varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}} W_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}} W_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}\right|_{\theta} ^{4}+\text { h.c. } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see form (24) of ref. [1]), where the chiral superfield strength is given by [1]

$$
W_{x_{1} \alpha_{2}}=\frac{4}{D} D_{\alpha_{1}} D_{\alpha_{2}} V .
$$

Let us start with the «diagonal» component terms. The Lagrangian is built from the following part of $W_{x_{1} \alpha_{2}}$ :
but according to (3.14) we must add and subtract to the last term the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 \partial_{a_{1}}^{\dot{x}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} A_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

properly antisymmetrized, then $D_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{x}_{4}}$ appears in the Lagrangian explicitly, and at the same time, the Lagrangian of $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$ is now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{L}_{2,2}=\left(\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{x}_{4}}+2 \partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{x}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{\alpha_{2}} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}+\partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\beta_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}\right) .  \tag{4.3}\\
& -\varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha} \varepsilon_{\dot{x}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{4}}\left(\partial_{\alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{2}} A_{\beta_{3} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3} \dot{\beta}_{4}}+2 \partial_{\beta_{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{2}} A_{x_{3} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3} \dot{\beta}_{4}}+\partial_{\beta_{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3}} \partial_{\beta_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{4} \dot{\beta}_{4}}\right) \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}_{1} \ldots \dot{\beta}_{4}},
\end{align*}
$$

using now (3.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{P}_{2,2} \simeq 8 \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} A_{(\mu \nu)} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\tau} A_{(\rho \sigma)}+6 \square A_{(\mu \nu)} \square A^{(\mu \nu)}-\square A_{\mu}^{\mu} \square A_{\xi}^{\rho}-  \tag{4.4}\\
&-12 \square A_{(\mu \nu)} \partial^{\mu} \partial_{\sigma} A^{\nu \sigma}+4 \square A_{\mu}^{\mu} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\sigma} A_{(\rho \rho)}+12 \partial_{\varphi} \partial^{\mu} A_{[\mu \nu]} \partial^{c} \partial_{\sigma} A^{[\tau v]}
\end{align*}
$$

The last term is the only contribution of the antisymmetric part of $A_{\mu \nu}$, which appears through its gauge-invariant divergence $\partial^{\mu} A_{[\mu \nu]}$. For the symmetric part we can then choose «De Donder gauge» $\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{\nu}=2 \partial^{\nu} A_{\mu \nu}$ for which the Lagrangian takes the simplest form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}_{22}^{\prime} \simeq \square A_{(\mu \nu)} \square A^{(\mu \nu)} ; \quad \mathscr{L}_{2,2}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \partial_{\rho} \partial^{\mu} A_{[\mu \nu]} \partial^{\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} A^{[\sigma \nu]} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that one can still remain in this gauge if we make transformations generated by $\lambda_{\alpha}$ such that $\square \lambda_{\alpha}=0, \partial^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}=0$. A similar procedure can be followed for the component $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2}}$ for which we find (taking into account (3.13))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{P}_{2,3} \simeq\left(\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \dot{\beta}_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{x}_{4}}+2 \partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\beta_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}\right) \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

And with the use of (3.16) and the «gamma gauge» (3.26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{2,3} \simeq 4 i \partial^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\nu} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\nu}+i A_{\mu}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian for $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}$ is easily written down

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{P}_{2,4} \simeq A_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3} \dot{\beta}_{3} \dot{\beta}_{3} \dot{\beta}_{4}}\left\{\partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} A_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}+8 \partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{2}} A_{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}+6 \partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \partial_{\beta_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}\right\}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \cdot \varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{4}} \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{1}} \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}_{1} \ldots \dot{\beta}_{4}},
\end{align*}
$$

which, with (3.17), takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}_{2,4} \simeq 2 \bar{B}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\nu} B_{v}-\bar{B}^{\mu} \square B_{\mu} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}_{3}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{3,3}=\left\{\partial_{\alpha_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} A_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}+\partial_{\beta_{1}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{4}} A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{3}}\right\}\left\{\partial_{\alpha_{4}}^{\dot{s}_{4}} A_{\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \dot{\beta}_{4}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3}}+\partial_{\beta_{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{4}} A_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4} \dot{\beta}_{4}^{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{3}}\right\} \cdot \varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots x_{4}} \varepsilon_{\dot{x}_{1} \ldots \dot{\alpha}_{4}} \varepsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{4}} \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}_{1} \ldots \dot{\beta}_{4}} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{\rho}_{3,3}^{\prime}=F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu} \quad \text { with } \quad F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{3} \dot{\alpha}_{4}}$, taking into account (3.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{3,4} \simeq B_{\alpha_{1} x_{2} \beta_{4}}^{\dot{x}_{1} \dot{\alpha}_{2} \dot{x}_{3} \dot{x}_{4}} i \partial_{\dot{\beta}_{1}}^{\dot{\beta}_{4}} B_{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4} \dot{\beta}_{2} \beta_{3}}^{\dot{\beta}_{1} \dot{\beta}_{2} \dot{\beta}_{3}} \varepsilon^{x_{1} \ldots \alpha_{4}} \varepsilon_{\dot{x}_{1} \ldots \dot{x}_{4}} \varepsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{4}} \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}_{1} \ldots \dot{\beta}_{4}} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

And using (3.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{3,4} \simeq i B^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, with the definition (3.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4,4} \simeq D^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. - Discussion.

The gauge superfield has the following tensor content: a second-rank tensor, $A_{\mu \nu}$, a real vector $A_{\mu}$ and a real self-dual antisymmetric three-vector $A_{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}}$, a vector spinor $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}$, a complex vector $B_{\mu}$, a spinor $B^{\alpha}$ and an auxiliary scalar field $D$.

It is perhaps interesting to perform a naive-dimensional reduction to four dimensions (fields independent of $x_{4}, x_{5}$ ). We shall do that together with a brief comment on each of them.
$A_{\mu \nu}$ : the symmetric part when reduced to four dimensions (independence of $x_{4}, x_{5}$ ), gives rise to a symmetric tensor $A_{i j}$, two vectors $A_{i 4}, A_{i 5}$ and three scalars: $A_{44}, A_{55}, A_{45}$, all of them obeying $\square \square A_{\mu \nu}=0$. The antisymmetric part appears (see comment below (4.4)) only through its gauge-invariant divergence which generates a four-vector and two scalars satisfying the usual wave equation.
$A_{\mu}^{\alpha}$ reduces to a four vector-spinor $A_{i}^{\alpha}$ and two Dirac spinors $A_{4}^{\alpha} A_{5}^{\alpha}$. The Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}=4 \partial^{i} A_{i}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{j} A_{\dot{x}}^{j}+\square A_{j}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha}^{j}+\square A_{4}^{\alpha} A_{\dot{x}}^{j}+\square A_{4}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\dot{\alpha}}^{4}+\square A_{5}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\dot{\alpha}}^{5}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the corresponding third-order equations of motion:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\square \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{j}^{\alpha}-4 \partial_{j} \partial^{i} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{i}^{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { ("gravitino» equation), }  \tag{5.2}\\
\square \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{(4)}^{\alpha}=0 . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$A_{(5)}^{x}$ is not really independent as the «gamma gauge» condition $\left(\gamma^{\mu} C\right)_{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\beta}=0$ can be used to eliminate it.
$A_{\mu}$ leads to a four-vector and two scalars, one of which can be eliminated with the gauge condition.

It is easy to see that the Lagrangian (4.11) reduces to the usual Maxwell Lagrangian for the four-vector $A_{i}$ together with the wave Lagrangian for the scalar.
$A_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}}:$ it reduces to a pseudovector $\hat{A}^{l}: A_{i j k}=\varepsilon_{i j k l} \hat{A}^{l}$, and an antisymmetric tensor $A_{i j 4}$. Due to self-duality $A_{i j 5}$ is not independent of $A_{i j 4}$ and $A_{i 45}$ is not independent of $A_{i j k}$.

It is perhaps amusing to see that the Lagrangian (4.12) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{33}=\partial^{i} A_{i p p} \partial_{j} A^{j v p} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and splitting the pseudovector part

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{33^{\prime}}^{\prime} \simeq \partial^{i} \varepsilon_{i j k m} \hat{A}^{4} \partial_{l} \varepsilon^{l j k n} \hat{A}_{n} \simeq \hat{F}^{l n} \hat{F}_{l n}, \quad \text { where } \hat{F}_{l n}=\partial_{l} \hat{A}_{n}-\partial_{n} \hat{A}_{l} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Lagrangian gives Maxwell equations for the pseudovector $\hat{A}_{l}$ who should be generated by pseudoscalar charges (of the type of magnetic monopoles), while $A_{l}$ corresponds to an electromagnetism generated by charges of the electric type.

The other part of the Lagrangian

$$
\mathscr{Z}_{3,3}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \partial^{i} A_{i j 4} \partial_{l} A^{j 4}
$$

generates as equations of motion

$$
\partial_{i} \partial^{l} A_{l j 4}=\partial_{j} \partial^{l} A_{l i 4},
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{l} A_{l i 4}=\partial_{i} \phi \quad \text { with } \square \phi=0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B_{\mu}$ : It gives rise to a four-vector $B_{i}$ and two complex scalars obeying the
equations of motion

$$
2 \partial_{i} \partial^{j} B_{j}=\square B_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \square B_{4}=\square B_{5}=0
$$

$B^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} B_{\dot{\alpha}}$ : when reduced to four dimensions it gives Dirac massless equations for $B^{\alpha}$.
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