A Stringent-Response-Defective *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* Strain Does Not Activate the Type 3 Secretion System, Elicits an Early Plant Defense Response, and Circumvents NH₄NO₃-Induced Inhibition of Nodulation
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**ABSTRACT** When subjected to nutritional stress, bacteria modify their amino acid metabolism and cell division activities by means of the stringent response, which is controlled by the Rsh protein in alphaproteobacteria. An important group of alphaproteobacteria are the rhizobia, which fix atmospheric N₂ in symbiosis with legume plants. Although nutritional stress is common for rhizobia while infecting legume roots, the stringent response has scarcely been studied in this group of soil bacteria. In this report, we obtained a mutant with a kanamycin resistance insertion in the *rsh* gene of *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens*, the N₂-fixing symbiont of soybean. This mutant was defective for type 3 secretion system induction, plant defense suppression at early root infection, and nodulation competition. Furthermore, the mutant produced smaller nodules, although with normal morphology, which led to lower plant biomass production. Soybean (*Glycine max*) genes *GmRIC1* and *GmRIC2*, involved in autoregulation of nodulation, were upregulated in plants inoculated with the mutant under the N-free condition. In addition, when plants were inoculated in the presence of 10 mM NH₄NO₃, the mutant produced nodules containing bacteroids, and *GmRIC1* and *GmRIC2* were downregulated. The *rsh* mutant released more auxin to the culture supernatant than the wild type, which might in part explain its symbiotic behavior in the presence of combined N. These results indicate that the *B. diazoefficiens* stringent response integrates into the plant defense suppression and regulation of nodulation circuits in soybean, perhaps mediated by the type 3 secretion system.

**IMPORTANCE** The symbiotic N₂ fixation carried out between prokaryotic rhizobia and legume plants performs a substantial contribution to the N cycle in the biosphere. This symbiotic association is initiated when rhizobia infect and penetrate the root hairs, which is followed by the growth and development of root nodules, within which the infective rhizobia are established and protected. Thus, the nodule environment allows the expression and function of the enzyme complex that catalyzes N₂ fixation. However, during early infection, the rhizobia find a harsh environment while penetrating the root hairs. To cope with this nuisance, the rhizobia mount a stress response known as the stringent response. In turn, the plant regulates nodulation in response to the presence of alternative sources of combined N in the surrounding medium. Control of these processes is crucial for a successful symbiosis, and here we show how the rhizobial stringent response may modulate plant defense suppression and the networks of regulation of nodulation.

SYMBIOTIC N\textsubscript{2} fixation accounts for most of N inputs to the N cycle in terrestrial environments. This process is of agroecological importance because of its sustainability as a biofertilization method in diverse legume crops, and thanks to its low cost and ease of application, it is extensively used worldwide (1). The symbiotic N\textsubscript{2} fixation is carried out by the association of legume plants and a group of bacteria collectively known as rhizobia, which form and occupy nodules in the legume roots (2). An important member of this group is *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens*, an alphaproteobacterium that fixes N\textsubscript{2} in symbiosis with soybean (*Glycine max*), a pulse harvested in more than 128 million hectares in 2019, mainly in the Americas (3).

Legume root nodulation is a multistep process that starts with the rhizobium-induced deformation of growing root hairs (4). This early manifestation is followed by an invagination of the root hair cell wall to produce a tubular structure toward root cortical cell layers. The rhizobia advance and proliferate within this tubular structure, known as the infection thread (IT), and finally they are released to the plant cell cytoplasm entrapped inside vesicles that bud into the root cortical cell layers where root nodules are growing (4, 5). In this way, the nodule-infected cells are filled with these rhizobium-containing vesicles known as symbiosomes, within which the rhizobia differentiate to bacteroids, express the nitrogenase complex, and fix N\textsubscript{2}. Because ITs are formed by invagination of the root hair cell wall (6), the IT interior is similar to the external root surface in terms of the polarity of its transport systems (7). Hence, during early infection the rhizobium-legume association might be harmful for both partners. On the one hand, the rhizobia behave like pathogens that proliferate at the expense of plant energy while they grow in the IT and during nodule cell invasion before N\textsubscript{2} fixation starts. On the other hand, ITs are N-limited environments (7) into which the plant releases antifungal and antibacterial substances and provokes osmotic and oxidative stresses (8). In agreement with these data, a *B. diazoefficiens* extracytoplasmic function sigma factor (*σEcfG*) required for general stress response is upregulated in ITs, and a mutant defective in *σEcfG* cannot progress in ITs toward the nodules’ primordia (9). In this scenario, unless both partners evolved mechanisms to cope with this mutual unkindness, the rhizobium-legume symbiosis would be rapidly doomed. However, the rhizobia are able to suppress the plant defense reaction, and the plant, in turn, may recognize the rhizobia as beneficial partners even before the symbiotic N\textsubscript{2} fixation is activated (10). As part of this mutual adaptation, rhizobia adjust their metabolism in response to the stress conditions prevailing in the IT to preserve viability while gaining access to the inside of the developing root nodule.

Bacteria respond to these kinds of stresses by using various transcriptionally regulated systems, the stringent response being among the most important (11–16). The stringent response is a pleiotropic adaptation of bacteria to stressful and starvation conditions, involving changes in translation and transcription rates, cell cycle control, and DNA repair (for review, see reference 17). This response is triggered by accumulation of the second messenger alarmones guanosine 5’-diphosphate 3’-diphosphate (ppGpp) and guanosine 5’-triphosphate 3’-diphosphate (pppGpp), collectively known as (p)ppGpp, and has been thoroughly studied in *Escherichia coli*. In response to stressing or starvation stimuli, (p)ppGpp is synthesized from GTP by the RelA GTP pyrophosphokinase and, after the normal conditions are restored, the alarmone is degraded by the bifunctional SpoT protein, which has both pyrophosphokinase and phosphodiesterase activities. Hence, the timing and magnitude of the response depend on the different steady-state levels of (p)ppGpp inside the cell under the particular conditions encountered (18). In alphaproteobacteria, like *B. diazoefficiens*, these roles are fulfilled by a bifunctional enzyme called Rsh (from “RelA-SpoT homologous”).

Accumulation of (p)ppGpp in response to C and N starvation was reported decades ago in rhizobia (19, 20). However, the possible involvement of the stringent response...
in legume root infection and nodulation was recently studied only in *Ensifer meliloti* and *Rhizobium etli*. Nodulation and N\textsubscript{2} fixation of *Medicago sativa* plants inoculated with *E. meliloti* relA or dksA mutants unable to trigger the stringent response are severely affected (21–23). However, the relA *E. meliloti* mutant nodulates *Medicago truncatula*, although N\textsubscript{2} fixation remains altered (23). Likewise, *R. etli* relA mutants produce small nodules with bacteroids devoid of polyhydroxybutyrate in *Phaseolus vulgaris*, and accordingly, the N\textsubscript{2} fixation activity is substantially diminished in this plant (24, 25). In agreement with these results, nitA and rpoN, which are the main regulators of symbiotic genes, were reported as part of the relA regulon in these rhizobial species (25, 26).

*B. diazoefficiens* is a slow-growing rhizobial species distantly related to the above-mentioned fast-growing rhizobia. Therefore, to better understand the role of the stringent response in the rhizobium-legume interaction, in this study we mutated the *rsh* homolog of the *B. diazoefficiens* type strain USDA 110 and investigated its effects on the regulation of plant defense and nodulation in soybean.

**RESULTS**

The *B. diazoefficiens* *rsh* mutant is impaired for survival under stress conditions. The locus bll5065 of *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 (2,289 bp) is annotated as *relA* (27). Furthermore, no other paralogs were found in this genome, whereby we considered that this open reading frame (ORF) is actually an *rsh* gene. Its gene product is predicted with high score as a bifunctional (p)ppGpp synthetase II/guanosine 3’,5’-bis pyrophosphatase and possesses a domain structure similar to *relA*. Specifically, the domains HD (metal-dependent phosphohydrolase), RelA/SpoT, and TGS (ThrRS, GTPase, and SpoT), which are characteristic of RelA/SpoT or Rsh proteins in other bacteria, are present in the predicted order in bll5065 (Fig. 1A). A mutant was obtained by inserting a kanamycin resistance (Km\textsuperscript{r}) cassette in reverse orientation between amino acid positions 343 and 348 (Fig. 1B), which lie in the middle of the RelA/SpoT domain of bll5065 (amino acid positions 270 to 373). This insertional mutant was named LP 5065, and hereafter it will be referred as the *rsh* mutant.

To compare the effect of the mutation, late-exponential PSY (peptone-salts-yeast extract) cultures of the wild type and the *rsh* mutant were centrifuged and suspended in either PSY rich medium or MOPS [3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid] buffer (hereafter referred to as starvation conditions). Then, (p)ppGpp was extracted from these cultures and measured by anion-exchange chromatography. The signal obtained with the wild type under starvation conditions was about 2 nM in the culture extract, indicating that *B. diazoefficiens* produced far smaller quantities of (p)ppGpp than other well-characterized species in which the alarmone was measured with the same strategy (26). Nevertheless, (p)ppGpp could be detected neither in the wild type cultured in rich medium nor in the *rsh* mutant incubated in either starvation or rich medium. Growth kinetics and final culture turbidity were similar between the wild type and the mutant in rich medium, indicating that the *rsh* mutation did not alter growth under these conditions (Fig. 2A). However, bacterial survival under starvation conditions was compromised in the *rsh* mutant (Fig. 2B). In an ongoing study performed as previously described (28) to compare the proteomes of the *rsh* mutant and the wild type, it was observed that neither PdxJ nor AcpS—the proteins encoded in the genes downstream of *rsh* (Fig. 1B)—were produced differentially between these strains whatever the condition, indicating that Km\textsuperscript{r} insertion in *rsh* did not alter their expression (J. Pérez-Giménez, unpublished data). Taken together, the above results confirmed that the locus bll5065 encodes an Rsh protein, which is involved in the stringent response of *B. diazoefficiens* to starvation.

The *rsh* mutation precludes induction of the type 3 secretion system. Induction of the type 3 secretion system (T3SS) is an important cellular process affected by the stringent response (29). Previously, it was shown that in *B. diazoefficiens*, the T3SS is inducible by genistein, a flavonoid released by soybean roots (30). To determine if there is a link between the stringent response and T3SS in this bacterium, the levels of
expression of the T3SS structural gene \( \text{rhcl} \) and T3SS regulator \( \text{ttsI} \) were measured by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) in the wild type or the \( \text{rsh} \) mutant incubated under starvation conditions. As shown in Table 1, both genes were strongly induced by genistein in the wild type, but there was no response in the \( \text{rsh} \) mutant.

**FIG 1** Scheme of \( \text{rsh} \) and its mutant derivative. (A) Domain structure of various \( \text{rsh} \), \( \text{relA} \) or \( \text{spoT} \) analogs from different species, obtained from Phylome DB-Tree Explorer on 16 August 2019. Blue squares indicate speciation events; red squares indicate duplication events. The green dot indicates the target sequence. Since the species name was not updated in this database, it still appears as “*Bradyrhizobium japonicum* USDA 110,” but it should be referred to as “*Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* USDA 110.” The following domains (according to Pfam) are shown: the metal-dependent phosphohydrolase (HD_4 [green diamonds]); the characteristic RelA/SpoT protein domain (RelA_SpoT [blue-green rectangles]); ThrRS, GTPase, and SpoT, which is a possible nucleotide-binding region (TGS [blue diamonds]); and the ACT domain, which binds to amino acids and regulates associated enzyme domains (ACT_4 [violet and yellow rectangles]). (B) Comparison of the wild type (upper row) and \( \text{rsh} \) insertional mutant (lower row) from \( B. \) d\( \text{iazo} \)efficiens. The positions and directions of the primers used to obtain and validate the mutation are indicated by green arrows. The sizes of the fragments used for the mutation are indicated below the blue lines. The insertion occurred between the XhoI sites at bases 5619575 and 5619590 in reverse orientation.
Thus, in B. diazoefficiens unable to trigger the stringent response, the T3SS cannot be induced by genistein.

The rsh mutant elicits a stronger early plant defense response. Previously, Jiménez-Guerrero et al. (31) observed that a T3SS-defective Ensifer fredii mutant is unable to suppress the soybean plant defense reaction. To see if a similar situation exists for the B. diazoefficiens rsh mutant, the expression of the Glycine max “pathogenesis-related 1” (GmPR1) marker was measured by RT-qPCR in the soybean roots. As shown in Fig. 3 (black bars), the induction of GmPR1 in plants at 12 h after inoculation with the rsh mutant was significantly higher than in plants inoculated with the wild type. Later, at 48 h, there was no statistically significant difference in levels of GmPR1 transcript accumulation between both strains, indicating that rsh was required to inhibit GmPR1 transcription during the first 12 h of rhizobium-plant interaction. A related plant defense marker is the production of cytoplasmic reactive oxygen species (cytROS). In agreement with the changes observed in GmPR1 expression levels, the cytROS levels in the tip of root hair cells, where polar growth is highly active, were significantly higher at 12 h in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant than in plants inoculated with the wild type, without difference at 48 h (Fig. 3, gray bars). Therefore, these results suggest that early suppression of plant defense responses (12 h after inoculation) was compromised in the rsh mutant.

The rsh mutant has diminished symbiotic capacity. The defect in suppressing the plant defense reaction might lead to impaired nodulation; therefore, we studied the nodulation capacity of our B. diazoefficiens strains.

Soybean plants inoculated with the rsh mutant produced 30 nodules per plant at 21 days postinoculation (dpi), similarly to the wild type (Table 2). However, the nodules produced by the rsh mutant were smaller than those of the wild type, albeit they were histologically normal and contained symbiosomes occupied by bacteroids (Fig. 4A to D). Accordingly, the shoot dry weight of plants nodulated by the rsh mutant was intermediate between those of plants nodulated by the wild type and uninoculated controls, but the ure-
ide contents in leaves were similar (Table 2). These results suggest that nodulation and N$_2$ fixation were somehow compromised in the mutant, although not substantially inhibited.

When two different rhizobial genotypes are coinoculated on the same plant, both genotypes have to compete for root infection and nodule occupation. Thus, the proportion of nodules occupied by each genotype is a good indicator of its intrinsic infectivity and competitiveness during these early symbiotic processes (32). When the rsh mutant was coinoculated with the wild type in a 1:1 proportion, only 26% of the nodules were occupied by the mutant (Table 2), indicating that intrinsic infectivity and competitiveness for nodulation were affected by the loss of the stringent response.

**The rsh mutant nodulates soybean in the presence of a high concentration of combined N.** Soybean plants were inoculated with the wild type or the rsh mutant and then cultured for 21 days with or without 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$, a combined N concentration in the range that is inhibitory to nodulation (33–39). As expected, the plants inoculated with the wild type produced normal nodules in the absence of the combined N source but produced only a few and small pseudonodules when cultured with 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Fig. 4A and E). Accordingly, when these pseudonodules were observed with a transmission electron microscope, their ultrastructure showed cells with large vacuoles and absence of rhizobia (Fig. 4G). In contrast, in the plants inoculated with the rsh mutant, normal and red but small nodules were produced in the presence of 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Fig. 4F), which possessed symbiosomes with normal bacteroids (Fig. 4H). When plants cultured with 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$ were inoculated with the rsh mutant complemented with the wild-type rsh allele carried in a replicative plasmid, empty pseudonodules similar to those of plants inoculated with the wild type were produced at 21 dpi (Fig. 4J). Meanwhile, the rsh mutant carrying the empty vector produced nodules that contained symbiosomes occupied by bacteroids (Fig. 4I). These results indicated that the rsh mutation was responsible for the anomalous nodulation in the presence of NH$_4$NO$_3$.

**The rsh mutation alters the regulation of nodulation.** The above observations indicated that regulation of nodulation might be altered in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant. Two ways of such regulation have evolved in plants. One involves the regulation of root nodules in response to the nitrate concentration. This strategy allows the plant to avoid excessive photosynthate expenditures in nodulation when alternative N sources are available (nitrogen-dependent regulation of nodulation). The second one, autoregulation of nodulation (AON), occurs according to the development of a number of nodules that is enough to satisfy plant N requirements (40). These regulatory responses are mediated by signals in which a class of peptides, named “clavata/endosperm-surrounding region” (CLE) peptides, play the main role. In soybean (G. max), monitoring of combined N and nodule quantity is exerted by related CLE peptides, named “nitrogen-induced CLE” (GmNIC) for the combined N response and “rhizobium-induced CLE” (GmRIC) for the nodule quantity response (41). Thus, we measured the levels of these markers.

Soybean plants inoculated with the wild type or the rsh mutant were cultivated for 72 h in the presence or absence of 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$. Then, the levels of GmNIC1, GmRIC1, and GmRIC2 transcripts were measured in plant roots by RT-qPCR. As previously reported, in plants cultivated in the presence of NH$_4$NO$_3$, the GmNIC1 transcript accumulated, while GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 transcripts diminished with both strains (41, 42). Moreover, the GmNIC1 transcript was more abundant in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant than with the wild type, irrespective of the presence or absence of NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Table 3). On the contrary, the behavior of GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 was dependent on the presence of NH$_4$NO$_3$; both transcripts were upregulated in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant in the absence of combined N, but were downregulated in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant in the presence of 10 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Table 3).

**Higher production of extracellular auxins by the rsh mutant.** Nodulation requires a certain balance of the auxin/cytokinin ratio, as well as reduction of auxin transport to the root tips (43–45). To see whether the rsh mutant might exogenously produce auxin imbalances in the plants, we measured extracellular auxins produced by the wild type and the rsh mutant grown in culture medium. We observed that the rsh mutant released a significantly larger quantity of auxins to the culture supernatant than the wild type (Fig. 5).
DISCUSSION

The IT seems a harsh environment for the rhizobia (7–9). Therefore, we speculated that the stringent response should be active at this infection step and that such activity may act to regulate host responses to infection. Thus, in this report we obtained and characterized a B. diazoefficiens rsh mutant and compared the soybean responses to infection by either this mutant or the wild type.

We observed that, under starvation conditions, the rsh mutant did not induce the expression of the T3SS regulator ttsI and the rhcJ structural gene in the presence of genistein. These results are in agreement with previous studies indicating that the (p)ppGpp alarmone is
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**FIG 3** Plant defense response in soybean. Plants inoculated with B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 (wild type) or its rsh mutant derivative were cultivated for 12 or 48 h postinoculation (hpi). As controls, a group of plants were kept uninoculated. The significance of the differences between wild-type and rsh mutant strains was evaluated by ANOVA and is indicated as follows: NS, nonsignificant (P > 0.05); **, significant (P < 0.01). Data were collected from three independent experiments, each with at least four different plants for each condition. Black bars show relative expression of the plant defense marker GmPR1 in the rsh mutant with respect to the wild type (fold change). GmEF1 was used as an internal constitutive control. Gray bars show cytoplasmic reactive oxygen species (Cyt-ROS) measured in the root hair tips of soybean plants with a H2DCF-DA probe.

| **TABLE 2** Symbiotic parameters from soybean plants inoculated with the B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 wild type or its rsh mutant derivative |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| **Parameter**                                  | **Result for**   |
|                                                 | **Wild type**    | **rsh mutant**  | **Uninoculated** control |
| Nodules per plant                              | 31 ± 12 A        | 30 ± 14 A       | 0 B                     |
| Nodule dry wt (mg)                             | 1.9 ± 0.2 A      | 1.2 ± 0.2 B     | 0 C                     |
| Shoot dry wt (g)                               | 0.46 ± 0.04 A    | 0.31 ± 0.04 B   | 0.20 ± 0.04 C           |
| Ureides (μmol g⁻¹ leaf dry wt)                 | 23.7 ± 3.7 A     | 17.8 ± 2.1 A    | 13.1 ± 1.0 B            |
| Nodule occupation in competition (%)           | 74 ± 15 A        | 26 ± 12 B       | 0 C                     |

*Values are the average ± SE from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test. Values followed by different letters in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05).

*Plants were coinoculated with equal numbers of cells of the wild type and the rsh mutant. Nodules were then recovered, and the percentage of occupation was recorded by antibiotic resistance. Nodules containing Km’ bacteria harbored the rsh mutant, but some of them might also contain the wild type (double occupation).
required for activation of the T3SS in *Erwinia amylovora* (29). Insertion and deletion mutations in *ttsI* and *rhcJ* abolished T3SS activity in *B. diazoefficiens* (46, 47). Hence, if the stringent response is triggered in the IT, it might promote the injection of Nop effectors (48) into the root hair cell, which were reported as suppressors of early plant defense response (31, 49).

Here we observed that the *rsh* mutant strongly induced *GmPR1* in our soybean roots, in parallel with a transient increase in cytROS. A similar relationship was observed by Fernandez-Göbel et al. (50), who described a transient increase in cytROS in soybean leaves soon after inoculation of roots with wild-type *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Taken together, these results suggest that the stringent response is required to induce T3SS-mediated injection of Nops.
into the root hair cells and suppress the transient plant defense reaction a few hours after inoculation.

During N2 fixation, the plant incurs an energetic cost to maintain the nodules’ functioning. To avoid excessive proliferation of nodules, the plant exerts autoregulatory control through the CLE peptides GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 (40, 51), whose expression was increased by the rsh mutant in the absence of combined N. It is tempting to speculate that Nops participate in inhibiting the induction of these CLE peptides, but up to now, it has been unclear whether such inhibition is carried out directly by these effectors in parallel with plant defense suppression. Alternatively, a possible timeline of events triggered by the rsh mutant might be an early accumulation of ROS and GmPR1 followed by plant defense, which in turn induces GmRIC1 and GmRIC2. In this scenario, plant defense suppression by T3SS-delivered Nop effectors from the wild type might preclude GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 induction, thus explaining the different kinetics of these processes.

In addition, it was reported that GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 levels are modulated by Nod factors (NFs), with participation of the transcription factor "nodule inception" (GmNINa), a plant microRNA called MiR1/2c, and the transcriptional repressor "nodule number control 1" (GmNNC1) (52). Nevertheless, in E. meliloti and R. etli cultured in the presence of flavonoid inducers, there were no differences in expression of nod genes or NF production between wild-type strains and rsh mutants (23, 24), and the same was observed for nodC expression in E. meliloti between the wild type and a dksA mutant (22). Moreover, in this report the numbers of nodules obtained with the rsh mutant and the wild type were similar, in agreement with the notion that increases in GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 expression might not be due to a direct effect of rsh mutation on NF production.

GmRIC1 and GmRIC2 are translocated and perceived in the shoot by the Glycine max nodule autoregulation receptor kinase (GmNARK) (53). Similarly, another CLE pep-

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Relative expression of gene*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NH4NO3/N-free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NH4NO3/N-free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GmNIC1</td>
<td>251.14 ± 36.65 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GmRIC1</td>
<td>7.38 ± 3.19 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GmRIC2</td>
<td>6.33 ± 4.79 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The plants were cultivated in either N-free plant nutrient solution or plant nutrient solution supplemented with 10 mM NH4NO3. The housekeeping gene GmEF1 was used as an internal control. The values shown are averages ± SE from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test. Values followed by different letters in the same row for each parameter (10 mM NH4NO3/N-free and rsh mutant/wild type) are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).
GmNIC1, is induced by nitrogenous compounds and perceived locally in the roots by GmNARK. The NARK orthologue in Lotus japonicus is “hypernodulation aberrant root formation 1” (LjHAR1). After perception of such CLE peptides, LjHAR1 induces the synthesis of cytokinin, which is translocated as a shoot-derived signal to the roots, where it inhibits nodulation (54). Therefore, the circuit of CLE peptides, GmNARK, and cytokinin might integrate the signals of excess infections or availability of combined N to negatively control the number of active nodules. In the present report, we observed that, in the absence of combined N, the expression of the three CLE peptides was higher in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant, while in the presence of combined N, GmNIC1 was upregulated and GmRIC1/2 were downregulated by the rsh mutant. As GmRIC1/2 are involved in a negative control of nodulation (51), this result correlates with the ability of the rsh mutant to nodulate in the presence of 10 mM NH₄NO₃. Although these nodules were scarce and small, they were red and did possess infected cells with bacteroids inside normal symbiosomes. This might suggest that, although initial infection was precluded by combined N as for the wild type, the rsh mutant bacterium that managed to travel all along ITs were successful in budding from ITs once the cortical cell layers were reached. In addition, these results are in agreement with the proposal of a cross-regulation between nitrogen control of nodulation and AON (42).

Although upregulation of GmRIC1/2 by the rsh mutant in the absence of combined N did not lead to diminished nodulation, nodule size was reduced in plants inoculated with the rsh mutant. The lack of nodulation inhibition might be due to the weak GmRIC1/2 upregulation (40). In addition, N₂ fixation was somewhat affected, but not at the level previously observed in common beans inoculated with an R. etli rsh mutant (24, 25). These results indicate that the effects of rsh mutation in B. diazoefficiens on soybean nodule morphology and function are not as severe as those in R. etli on common bean nodules, despite both plants producing determinate nodules. This difference might be related to the low level of (p)pGpGp production in B. diazoefficiens. For the case of E. meliloti, nodulation was compromised in M. sativa but not in M. truncatula, although in this host, nodule cell infection and N₂ fixation were lower with the rsh mutant than with the wild type (23). In addition, our B. diazoefficiens rsh mutant was intrinsically less competitive than the wild type to occupy soybean nodules, indicating that, as observed in E. meliloti and M. sativa (23), root infection might have been detracted.

We observed that the rsh mutant secreted a higher quantity of auxin to the surrounding medium. It is known that auxin synthesis and transport regulate root hair elongation (55), and local auxin accumulation is essential for nodule development (56). Therefore, these processes might be altered by the increased auxin production by the rsh mutant. This hypothesis might be confirmed with an rsh mutant unable to secrete auxins, together with in situ measures of auxin levels in the root infection zone.

Taken together, our results indicate that the stringent response of B. diazoefficiens is integrated into the circuits of regulation of plant defense and nodulation, with an impact on plant biomass production and response to the presence of combined N in the rhizosphere.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions.** B. diazoefficiens was grown at 28°C in a rotary shaker at 180 rpm in liquid peptone-salts-yeast extract extract medium (PSY [57]). Growth was measured by optical density at 500 nm (OD₅₀₀), and the number of viable bacteria was determined by counting the number of CFU on yeast extract-mannitol agar (YMA) plates (58). Escherichia coli was grown in LB medium at 37°C (59). Media were supplemented with antibiotics at the following concentrations: for E. coli, gentamicin (Gm) at 10 μg·mL⁻¹, kanamycin (Km) at 25 μg·mL⁻¹, and tetracycline (Tc) at 20 μg·mL⁻¹; for B. diazoefficiens, chloramphenicol (Cm) at 20 μg·mL⁻¹, Km at 150 μg·mL⁻¹, and Tc at 100 μg·mL⁻¹.

**DNA manipulation.** Standard protocols were used for DNA manipulations (59). To obtain the rsh:Km insertional mutant, a central region of 1,616 bp was amplified by PCR with Pfx polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Buenos Aires, Argentina) using the primers rsh1 Fw and rsh2 Rv (Table 4). The PCR cycling was started at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 20 s, 50°C for 20 s, and 68°C for 2 min, with a final elongation step at 68°C for 4 min. The fragment was cloned into a previously Smal-digested plasmid, pG18mob2 (60). The resulting plasmid was named pG18mob2::rsh. The construction was confirmed by sequencing. Subsequently, the Km’ cassette was obtained as a Sall fragment from the pUC4K (61) and cloned into Xhol-digested pG18mob2::rsh. The latter plasmid was called pG18mob2::rsh:Km. It was introduced by conjugation into B. diazoefficiens USDA 110 to generate the rsh:Km insertional mutant. To assess the correct
integration by double crossing over into rsh, PCRs were carried out with primers rsh-compl-Fw and KmR, and KmF and rsh-compl-Rv (Table 4). The PCR products evaluated are shown in Fig. 1B. Once the correctness of the integration was confirmed, the rsh::Km strain was named LP 5065.

Plant assays. Glycine max cv. Williams soybean seeds were surface sterilized and germinated as previously described (66). Then, each germinated seedling was planted in 650-ml pots containing sterile perlite-sand (2:1) and watered with 250 ml sterile modified Fåhraeus solution (MFS [67]). To inoculate the plants, bacterial colonies from YMA were diluted in 1 ml sterile MFS and deposited onto each seedling. Control plants were inoculated with 1 ml of sterile MFS without bacteria. Plants were grown and analyzed for nodule occupancy, biomass production, and ureide contents as described previously (69). For statistical analysis, all results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test with a significance level of P < 0.05.

### Table 4 Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rsh mutant construction and complementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsh1 Fw</td>
<td>TACAATCCCAAACCCCAACGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsh2 Rv</td>
<td>ACGGCCGCCCTCCCGTGTAGT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsh-compl-Fw</td>
<td>AAAATCTAGAATACCCAGGTTGCGTGGAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsh-compl-Rv</td>
<td>AAAAACTGACGCAAAATTCCGAGGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km F</td>
<td>CATCGGGCTTCCCTCATACA</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km R</td>
<td>TGCATTCTTCACCAGGG</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant defense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR1 _Fw</td>
<td>ATGGTTGCTACGGCTAAAGATTCAAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR1 _Rv</td>
<td>AGCAACGTTGATCATCAGCCGAAG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blr1813_Fw</td>
<td>GACGGGCTTCGCCGTTTC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blr1813_Rv</td>
<td>GGCAGCATCAACGCCCT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bil1843_Fw</td>
<td>ACCTCACCGCGAGCAAT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bil1843_Rv</td>
<td>CAGGCCCCATTTTGGGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of nodulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC1_Fw</td>
<td>GTCGGCAGTAGTAGTGTGTTGGS</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC1_Rv</td>
<td>AGCCTCTCCTACGAACTGT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC1_Fw</td>
<td>TAAAGCCTGAAAGACGCGT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC1_Rv</td>
<td>CAGGATCGTGT Acts</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC2_Fw</td>
<td>TGTGGGATGTTAGGGTAGGTG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC2_Rv</td>
<td>CTTGTTGCCTCATTGGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean reference gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ef1-α Fw</td>
<td>GGTCAATTGGCTGCAAGTGGTCGTT</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ef1-α Rv</td>
<td>GCACCCAGGTCATGTCGTG</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. diazoefficiens reference gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigA-1533F</td>
<td>CTGATCCAGGAAGGCAACAT</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigA-1617R</td>
<td>TGGCGTAGGTCGAGAAG</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (pppGpp extraction and determination.

The procedure described by Krol and Becker (26) was adapted to B. diazoefficiens. Briefly, 300-ml late-exponential PSY cultures were centrifuged at 4,200 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Each sample was separated and resuspended in the same volume with 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS; 48 mM at pH 7.2) or PSY to generate starvation or control conditions, respectively. The incubation continued under the same conditions for an additional hour, after which the nucleotide was extracted with 4 M formic acid and five freeze-thaw cycles. Then, samples were incubated 30 min on ice and centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were filtered through 0.2-μm pore cellulose acetate filters. Finally, the amount of (pppGpp) was determined by anion-exchange chromatography on Mono Q 5/50 GL column as described previously (65).
analysis, these values were transformed to the arcsine root square, and analysis of variance was done with the transformed values, followed by Tukey’s test employing a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \).

RNA extractions and quantification of transcript levels by RT-qPCR. For RNA extraction from roots, germinated seeds were grown in liquid MFS with the same light and temperature conditions described above. Roots were cut and then frozen in liquid \( N_2 \) and RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Buenos Aires, Argentina) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For bacterial RNA extraction, \( B. \) diazoefficiens late-exponential PSY liquid cultures were induced with genistein dissolved in methanol at a final concentration of \( 2 \mu M \) or the same volume of methanol as a control. After 48 h of growth under the same conditions, cells were collected and RNA extractions were performed as described previously (70).

For RT-qPCR from both plant and bacterial extracts, two-step cDNA was obtained using a Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and quantified by qPCR using qSYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gene-specific primers used in RT-qPCRs are listed in Table 4. qPCRs were performed in a qTOWER 2.0 (Analytik Jena, Germany). Normalized expression values were calculated as the ratio between the relative quantities of the gene of interest (GOI) and the relative quantities of the housekeeping genes indicated in the figure captions.

cytROS determinations. Two-day-old soybean seedlings were inoculated with \( 10^6 \) CFU ml\(^{-1} \). \( B. \) diazoefficiens wild type or rsh mutant in MFS or in MFS alone as control. After 12 or 48 h, roots were incubated with 500 \( \mu M \) \( 2',7'\)-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H\(2\)-DCF-DA) for 30 min in darkness at room temperature. Images were obtained by using a Zeiss Imager A2 epifluorescence microscope with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 517 to 527 nm. The objective used was \( 10\times \), NA = 0.3, and the exposure time was 80 to 500 ms. The images were analyzed using the ImageJ program. To measure cytROS levels, a circular region of interest (ROI; \( r = 25 \mu M \)) was selected at the root tip, and the average of the intensities of the pixels included in the ROI was calculated. The reported values are the mean \( \pm \) standard error (SE), and statistical analysis was performed by analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test.

IAA determinations. Indoleacetic acid (IAA) was measured as described by Gravel et al. (71). \( B. \) diazoefficiens was grown in PSY liquid medium for 7 days at 28°C and then centrifuged at 13,000 \( \times \) g for 3 min. The Salkowsky reagent was added to the supernatant (72), and the culture was left in the dark for 20 min. After this incubation time, auxin concentrations were estimated by optical density at 535 nm against a calibration curve made with known IAA concentrations.

Microscopy. Microscopy analyses were performed at the Microscopy Service of Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias (UNLP, La Plata, Argentina) as previously described (73). Briefly, nodules were transversally cut into halves and fixed in 2% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde. Samples were dehydrated, infiltrated with epoxy resin, and sectioned. For optical microscopy, glutaraldehyde-fixed 2-\( \mu M \)-thick nodule sections were dried onto glass slides, stained with a saturated solution of toluidine blue, and analyzed using a Nikon Eclipse E200 microscope (Melville, NY). For transmission electron microscopy, ultrathin nodule sections were stained with 0.5% to 1% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate for 10 min and 1% (wt/vol) lead citrate for 5 min, washed in distilled water, and air dried. Then the cuts were analyzed with a JEM 1200 EX (JEOL, Japan Electron Optics Laboratory Co., Ltd.). Micrographs were obtained with an ESS500W Erlangshen charge-coupled device camera (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS


The authors are grateful to Paula Giménez, Silvana Tongiani, Claudio Mazo, and Abel Bortolameotti for technical assistance and to Susana Jurado from the UNLP Microscopy Service for help with transmission electron microscopy.

This work was supported by ANPCyT (PICT2017-2456, PICT2016-0604, and PICT2017-0066), CONICET PIP 11220150100700CO, ICGEB CRP/ARG16-03, Instituto Milenio iBio—Iniciativa Científica Milenio, MINECON, and SPP 1879—BE 2121/8-2 (DFG).

We declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES


