

## Convolutions of Particle Green's Functions.

C. G. BOLLINI<sup>(1)(2)</sup> and J. J. GIAMBIAGI<sup>(1)(3)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, CBPF/CNPq  
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil*

<sup>(2)</sup> *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales  
Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina*

*Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina*

<sup>(3)</sup> *Centro Latino Americano de Física, CLAF  
Av. Wenceslau Braz 71 (fundos), 22290 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil*

(ricevuto il 4 Settembre 1991; approvato il 13 Gennaio 1992)

**Summary.** — The use of Feynman causal function in the perturbative treatment of the  $S$ -matrix made the computation of convolutions an easy and well-known procedure for free-particle propagators. But the convolution of its components, like the  $\delta$  and principal values among themselves, is very rarely looked upon. In field theories with higher-order equations of motion some of these convolutions appear as the fundamental ingredients. A discussion of these convolutions is explicitly done in the simplest examples.

PACS 12.90 - Miscellaneous theoretical ideas and models.

PACS 14.80 - Other and hypothetical particles.

PACS 14.80.Pb - Other (including tachyons).

### 1. - Introduction.

The universal use of the Feynman causal function in the perturbative development of the  $S$ -matrix made the computation of convolution of propagators a standard procedure. But if we remember the relation

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2 - i0} \equiv F = P + i\pi\delta(p^2 + m^2)$$

(where  $P$  means principal value of  $(p^2 + m^2)^{-1}$ ), we see that the convolution  $F * F$  of two causal propagators could also be done through the previous determination of  $P * P$ ,

$P*\delta$  and  $\delta*\delta$ . Of course, this latter procedure is not necessary for ordinary computations, nevertheless it seems interesting to have its results and to the best of our knowledge they have not appeared in the literature, at least explicitly and with generality. Also, it was shown elsewhere[1] that the propagator for the tachyon should be  $P$  (not  $F$ ). Further, if we study a fourth-order equation[2] with the propagator

$$(p^4 - m^4)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2m^2}(p^2 - m^2)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2m^2}(p^2 + m^2)^{-1},$$

then with the adoption of the Feynman propagator for the bradyon and Cauchy's principal value  $P$  for the tachyon, unitarity is preserved in the lowest perturbative approximation (at least) (see ref.[2,3]). In this case we are naturally led to compute  $F*P$  and  $P*P$ .

The situation just pointed out could be general for higher-order differential equations of motion and this is the motivation of the present work.

We shall divide the exposition of computations in two parts. In the first one we shall only discuss ordinary particles (bradyons). In the second part we study the modifications to be introduced in the convolutions when one or both involved particles have complex masses.

## 2. - Convolution of bradyon Green's function.

2.1.  $\delta*\delta$ . - This convolution can be computed in an easier way in the system in which  $p^2 = -p_0^2$  if  $p^2 < 0$ , or in the system  $p^2 = p_1^2$  if  $p^2 > 0$ . In the first case

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \delta(p^2 + m_1^2)*\delta(p^2 + m_2^2) &\equiv \delta_1 * \delta_2 = \\ &= \int d^{\nu}q \delta(q^2 + m_1^2) \delta((p - q)^2 + m_2^2) = \int d^{\nu}q \delta(q^2 + m_1^2) \delta(-p_0^2 + 2p_0 q_0 + m_2^2 - m_1^2) = \\ &= \int \frac{d^{\nu-1}q}{2|p_0|} \delta(\mathbf{q}^2 + m_1^2 - q_0^2), \quad \text{with } q_0 = \frac{p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, when we integrate over the angles in an Euclidean  $\nu$ -dimensional space, we use the formula

$$(3) \quad \int d^{\nu-1}q f(q^2) = \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)_0} \int_0^{\infty} dq^2 q^{2(\nu-3)/2} f(q^2).$$

From (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad \delta_1 * \delta_2 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq^2}{|p_0|} q^{2(\nu-3)/2} \delta(q^2 + m_1^2 - q_0^2) = \\
 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{2|p_0| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} [(p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 - m_1^2]^{(\nu-3)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we can put  $p_0^2 = -p^2 (> 0)$  and  $[\chi]_+^\alpha = \chi^\alpha$  when  $\chi > 0$  and zero otherwise. When  $p^2$  is spacelike ( $p^2 > 0$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad \delta_1 * \delta_2 &= \int d^\nu q \delta(q^2 + m_1^2) \delta(p_1^2 - 2p_1 q_1 + m_2^2 - m_1^2) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{2|p_1|} \int dq_0 \int d^{\nu-2} q \delta(-q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_t^2 + m_1^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_t$  means the component of  $q$  transversal to  $q_1$  and  $q_1 = \frac{p_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2p_1}$ .  
 From (5)

$$(6) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 \delta_1 * \delta_2 &= \frac{1}{2|p_1|} \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \int dq_0 \int_0^\infty dq_t^2 q_t^{2(\nu-4)/2} \delta(-q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_t^2 + m_1^2), \\
 \delta_1 * \delta_2 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{2|p_1| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dq_0 (q_0^2 - q_1^2 - m_1^2)_+^{(\nu-4)/2} = \\
 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{2|p_1| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} 2 \int_{q_1^2 + m_1^2}^\infty \frac{dq_0^2}{2q_0} (q_0^2 - q_1^2 - m_1^2)^{(\nu-4)/2} = \\
 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{2|p_1| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx x^{(\nu-4)/2}}{\sqrt{x + q^2 + m^2}}.
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

We now use ref.[3], p. 285:

$$(7) \quad \int_0^\infty dx x^{\lambda-1} (x + A)^{-\mu} = A^{\lambda-\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\mu-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\mu)}$$

and (6) gives

$$(8) \quad \delta_1 * \delta_2 = \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{2|p_1| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left[ \frac{(p_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)^2}{4p_1^2} + m_1^2 \right]^{(\nu-3)/2}$$

( $p^2 > 0$ ).

Note that, for  $\nu = \text{odd} \geq 3$ , (8) is divergent.

In order to compare with (4) we use

$$(9) \quad \Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}.$$

When  $\nu$  is even (8) and (9) give

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 * \delta_2 &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2} (-1)^{(\nu-2)/2}}{2(p^2)^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \left[ \frac{(p^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)^2}{4p^2} + m_1^2 \right]^{(\nu-3)/2} = \\ &= \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{2(-p^2)^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \left[ \frac{(p^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)^2}{-4p^2} - m_1^2 \right]^{(\nu-3)/2} \end{aligned}$$

which is formally similar to (4) with  $p_0^2 = -p^2$ .

*Comment.* For the bracket in (4) to be positive it is necessary that  $(p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 > 4p_0^2 m_1^2$ . It is easy to see that then, either  $p_0^2 > (m_1 + m_2)^2$ , or  $p_0^2 < (m_1 - m_2)^2$ . We may call «physical cut» the region  $(m_1 + m_2)^2 < p_0^2 < \infty$ , and «low energy cut» the interval  $0 < p_0^2 < (m_1 - m_2)^2$ . When the masses are equal the low-energy cut disappears. Formula (4) shows that the convolution  $\delta_1 * \delta_2$  has support in both cuts (when  $p^2 < 0$ ).

2.2.  $P * P$ . – With the usual definition of Hilbert transform (see ref. [4])

$$H_0(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P \frac{1}{x-y} f(x) dx,$$

the following theorem holds:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(f)) = -f.$$

We can then write

$$(10) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy P \frac{1}{x-y} P \frac{1}{y-z} = -\pi^2 \delta(x-z).$$

So we have

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} P_1 * P_2 &= \int d^{\nu} q P \frac{1}{q^2 + m_1^2} P \frac{1}{(p - q)^2 + m_2^2} = \\ &= \int \frac{d^{\nu-1} q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \int dq_0 \left[ P \frac{1}{q_0 + \omega_1} - P \frac{1}{q_0 - \omega_1} \right] \left[ P \frac{1}{p_0 - q_0 + \omega_2} - P \frac{1}{p_0 - q_0 - \omega_2} \right], \\ P_1 * P_2 &= -\pi^2 \int \frac{d^{\nu-1} q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot [\delta(p_0 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) + \delta(p_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_2) - \delta(p_0 + \omega_1 - \omega_2) - \delta(p_0 - \omega_1 + \omega_2)] \end{aligned} \right.$$

with

$$(12) \quad \omega_1 = +\sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2 + m_1^2}, \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2 + m_2^2}.$$

The supports of these  $\delta$ -functions do not overlap. They are mutually exclusive. For the first two  $\delta$ 's in (11)

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \pm p_0, \quad \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_1 \omega_2 = p_0^2$$

and for the last two

$$\omega_1 - \omega_2 = \pm p_0, \quad \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - 2\omega_1 \omega_2 = p_0^2.$$

The four cases are contained in

$$(2\omega_1 \omega_2)^2 = (p_0^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)^2.$$

For the rest system (when  $0 > p^2 = -p_0^2$ ) we get from (12)

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{q}^2 = \frac{1}{4p_0^2} [(p_0^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 - 4m_1^2 m_2^2].$$

The conditions for the bracket to be positive are the same as those for (4). When  $p_0$  belongs to the physical cut ( $p_0^2 > (m_1 + m_2)^2$ ) we have

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2|p_0|} (p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1}{2|p_0|} (p_0^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)$$

(we take  $m_1 \geq m_2$ ),

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 = |p_0|$$

and only the first two  $\delta$ 's can contribute to (11).

If instead  $p_0^2 < (m_1 - m_2)^2$ , we get

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2|p_0|} (p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \frac{-1}{2|p_0|} (m_1^2 - m_2^2 - p_0^2),$$

$$\omega_1 - \omega_2 = |p_0|$$

and only the last two  $\delta$ 's can contribute in (11). In any case it is easy to perform the integration by a change of variables. For example, for the first  $\delta$ -function we have to

divide by

$$\frac{d}{dq^2}(p_0 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = -\frac{1}{2\omega_1} - \frac{1}{2\omega_2} = -\frac{2p_0}{4\omega_1\omega_2}.$$

In this way we obtain, after integration over the angles,

$$(14) \quad P_1 * P_2 = -\varepsilon\pi^2 \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{2|p_0| \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \left\{ \frac{1}{4p_0^2} [(p_0^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2)]^2 - 4m_1^2 m_2^2 \right\}_+^{(\nu-3)/2},$$

where

$$(15) \quad \varepsilon = +1 \text{ on the physical cut } p_0^2 \geq (m_1 + m_2)^2,$$

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon = -1 \text{ on the low-energy cut } p_0^2 \leq (m_1 - m_2)^2.$$

A comparison with (4) shows that

$$(17) \quad P_1 * P_2 = -\varepsilon\pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2 \quad \text{if } p^2 < 0.$$

When  $p^2$  is spacelike we go back to (11) assuming that the only component of  $p_\mu$  is  $p_1$ . In this case we have

$$(18) \quad P_1 * P_2 = 2\pi^2 \int \frac{d^{\nu-1}q}{4\omega_1\omega_2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2)$$

as the first two  $\delta$ -functions do not contribute ( $p_0 = 0$ ) and the last two are equal.

Besides, when  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$  (cf. (12))

$$q_1 = \frac{p_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2p_1}$$

and

$$\left. \frac{d(\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{dq_1} \right|_{\omega_1 = \omega_2} = \left. \frac{q_1(\omega_2 - \omega_1) + p_1\omega_1}{\omega_1\omega_2} \right|_{\omega_1 = \omega_2} = \frac{p_1}{\omega_2}.$$

Integration of (18) over the angles (in the  $(\nu - 2)$ -dimensional space of  $q_t$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 * P_2 &= 2\pi^2 \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty dq_t^2 (q_t^2)^{(\nu-4)/2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dq_1}{4\omega_1\omega_2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2) = \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{2|p_1|} \frac{\pi^{(\nu-2)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq_t^2 (q_t^2)^{(\nu-4)/2}}{\omega}, \quad \omega = \left[ q_t^2 + \frac{p_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2}{4p_1^2} + m_1^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

And, using (7),

$$(19) \quad P_1 * P_2 = \pi^2 \pi^{(\nu-3)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\nu}{2}\right) \left[ \frac{(p^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)^2}{4p^2} + m_1^2 \right]^{(\nu-3)/2} \quad (p^2 > 0).$$

Comparing with (8) we see that

$$(20) \quad P_1 * P_2 = \pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2 \quad (p^2 > 0).$$

*Comment.* It is perhaps unexpected to find out that  $P_1 * P_2$  has, up to a sign, the same value as  $\pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2$  on the same cuts. Further, on the physical cut  $P_1 * P_2 = (i\pi)^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2$ . This has relation with unitarity.

2'3.  $\delta * F$ . - Here we shall use the decomposition

$$(21) \quad \delta(p^2 + m^2) = \frac{1}{2\omega} [\delta(p_0 - \omega) + \delta(p_0 + \omega)]$$

and

$$(22) \quad F = \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega - p_0} + \frac{1}{\omega + p_0} \right],$$

where in (22) the mass is supposed to have a small negative imaginary part.

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_1 * F_2 &= \int \frac{d^\nu q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} [\delta(q_0 - \omega_1) + \delta(q_0 + \omega_1)] \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_2 - p_0 + q_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_2 + p_2 - q_0} \right] = \\ &= \int \frac{d^{\nu-1} q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - p_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 + p_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 - p_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 + p_0} \right] = \\ &= \int \frac{d^{\nu-1} q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{2(\omega_1 + \omega_2)}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2 - p_0^2} + \frac{2(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}{(\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2 - p_0^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(24) \quad \delta_1 * F_2 = \int \frac{d^{\nu-1} q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{4\omega_2(\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 - p_0^2)}{(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - p_0^2)^2 - 4\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2} \right],$$

where  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are given by (12). In the rest system ( $p^2 < 0$ ) we can use (3):

$$(25) \quad \delta_1 * F_2 = \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq^2 (q^2)^{(\nu-2)/2}}{\omega_1} \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2 - p_0^2}{p_0^4 - 2p_0^2(2q^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}.$$

The integration can be carried out with the aid of

$$(26) \quad \int_0^\infty ds s^{b-1} (1+s)^{a-c} (1+sz)^{-a} = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} z^{-a} F\left(a, c-b, c; 1 - \frac{1}{z}\right).$$

The result of (25) is

$$(27) \quad \delta_1 * F_2 = \pi^{(\nu-2)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \frac{(m_1^2)^{(\nu-4)/2}}{2p_0^2} (p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2) F\left(1, \frac{4-\nu}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{(p_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{4p_0^2 m_1^2}\right)$$

( $F(a, b, c; z)$  is the hypergeometric function).

*Comment.* According to the remark made just after (22), the mass  $m_2$  in (27) has a small negative imaginary part. The argument of the hypergeometric function in (27) has then a small positive imaginary component. As  $F(s, b; c, z)$  has a cut for  $z$  real  $> 1$  (cf. [5]), the real axis should be approached from above. If the limit is taken from below, the convolution of  $\delta_1$  with the anticausal Green's function  $\bar{F}_2$  is obtained.

2.4.  $P * F$ . - We shall use (22) and, instead of (21), the principal-value decomposition

$$(28) \quad P_1 = \frac{1}{2\omega_1} \left[ P \frac{1}{\omega_1 - p_0} + P \frac{1}{\omega_1 + p_0} \right],$$

which was already utilized in (11):

$$P_1 * F_2 = \int \frac{d^{\nu}q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ P \frac{1}{\omega_1 - q_0} + P \frac{1}{\omega_1 + q_0} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_2 + p_0 + q_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_2 + p_0 - q_0} \right].$$

Consulting now a table of Hilbert transforms, taking into account the small imaginary part of  $\omega_2$  (due to that of  $m_2$ ), we obtain

$$(29) \quad P_1 * F_2 = i\pi \int \frac{d^{\nu-1}q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - p_0} + \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 + p_0} - \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 - p_0} - \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 + p_0} \right] = i\pi \int \frac{d^{\nu-1}q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{2(\omega_1 + \omega_2)}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2 - p_0^2} - \frac{2(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}{(\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2 - p_0^2} \right],$$

$$(30) \quad P_1 * F_2 = i\pi \int \frac{d^{\nu-1}q}{4\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[ \frac{4\omega_1(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 - p_0^2)}{(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - p_0^2)^2 - 4\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2} \right].$$

A comparison of (29) with (23), or (30) with (24), shows that we have the equality

$$(31) \quad P_1 * F_2 = \begin{cases} i\pi \delta_2 * F_1 & \text{on the physical cut,} \\ i\pi \delta_2 * \bar{F}_1 & \text{on the low-energy cut.} \end{cases}$$



So we can immediately write (cf. (27))

$$(32) \quad P_1 * F_2 = i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m_2^2}{2p_0^2}\right)^{(\nu-4)/2} (p_0^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2) F\left(1, \frac{4-\nu}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{(p_0^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)^2}{4p_0^2 m_2^2}\right).$$

*Comment.* Relation (31) can also be deduced from the identity  $P_1 * F_2 = i\pi F_1 * \delta_2 + P_1 * P_2 + \pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2$ . Also, in (32) the limit of the argument of the hypergeometric function should be taken from above on the physical cut and from below in the low-energy cut. Of course,  $P_1 * \bar{F}_2$  can be obtained by complex conjugation.

2'5.  $\delta * P$ . – For this convolution we shall follow the same steps as with  $\delta * F$ . We take (21) and (22), but this time the mass  $m_2$  will be taken as real, and the principal value will be adopted at the singularity. Formulae (23), (24) and (25) are still valid, but for the principal-value evaluation of (25) we go again to the table of Hilbert transforms and find (see ref.[6])

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dx x^{\alpha-1} (x+a)^{1-\beta} P \frac{1}{x-y} = y^{\alpha-1} (y+a)^{1-\beta} \operatorname{ctg}[(\beta-\alpha)\pi] - \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha-1)\Gamma(\alpha)a^{1-\beta+\alpha}}{\pi\Gamma(\beta-1)(y+a)} F\left(2-\beta, 1; 2-\beta+\alpha; \frac{a}{y+a}\right), \quad y > 0,$$

or

$$(33) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)(-y)^{\alpha-1}}{\pi\Gamma(\beta)\alpha^{\beta-1}} F\left(\beta-1, \alpha, \beta; 1 + \frac{y}{a}\right), \quad y < 0.$$

Now we write (25) in the form

$$(34) \quad \delta_1 * P_2 = \frac{\pi^{(\nu-1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2 + p_0^2}{4p_0^2} \cdot \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{(\nu-3)/2}}{\sqrt{x+m^2}} P \left[ x - \frac{p_0^4 - 2p_0^2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{4p_0^2} \right]^{-1}.$$

To use (33) we choose  $\alpha = (\nu-1)/2$ ,  $\beta = 3/2$ ,  $a = m_1^2$ ,  $y = (1/(4p_0^2))[(p_0^2 - (m_1^2 + m_2^2))^2 - 4m_1^2 m_2^2]$ ,  $y + a = (1/(4p_0^2))(p_0^2 - m_2^2 + m_1^2)^2$ .

For positive  $y$  we have

$$(35) \quad \delta_1 * P_2 = \frac{\pi^{(\nu+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} (y+a).$$

$$\left\{ y^{(\nu-3)/2} (y+a)^{-1/2} \operatorname{ctg} \left[ \frac{4-\nu}{2} \pi \right] - \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)_a^{(\nu-3)/2}}{\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (y+a)} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{\nu}{2}; \frac{a}{y+a}\right) \right\},$$

while for negative  $y$  we get

$$(36) \quad \delta_1 * P_2 = \frac{\pi^{(\nu+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)} (y+a).$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-1}{2}\right)}{\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} \frac{(-y)^{(\nu-3)/2}}{a^{1/2}} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu-1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{y+a}{a}\right).$$

*Comment.* In sect. 3 we will learn how to evaluate the imaginary part of the hypergeometric function. With this knowledge it is possible to find  $\delta * P$  by taking the real part of  $\delta * F$  or also by taking the imaginary part of  $P * F$ .

2'6.  $F * F$ . – The convolution of two Feynman propagators can be computed in the usual way by means of dimensional regularization:

$$(37) \quad F_1 * F_2 = \int d^\nu q \frac{1}{q^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(p-q)^2 + m_2^2} =$$

$$= \int d^\nu q \int_0^1 dx [(q^2 + m_1^2)x + ((p-q)^2 + m_2^2)(1-x)]^{-2} =$$

$$= i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \int_0^1 dx [p^2 x(1-x) + m_1^2 x + m_1^2(1-x)]^{(\nu-4)/2}.$$

The masses are both supposed to have a small negative imaginary part. The roots of the quadratic form in (37) are

$$(38) \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p^2} \right) \mp \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p^2} \right)^2 + \frac{m_2^2}{p^2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad F_1 * F_2 &= i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \int_0^1 dx [-p^2(x-x_1)(x-x_2)]^{(\nu-4)/2} = \\
 &= i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^{x_1} dx [-p^2(x_1-x)(x_2-x)]^{(\nu-4)/2} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx [p^2(x-x_1)(x_2-x)]^{(\nu-4)/2} + \int_{x_2}^1 dx [-p^2(x-x_1)(x-x_2)]^{(\nu-4)/2} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Each of the integrals in (39) can be written down with the aid of a table ref.[3]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (40) \quad F_1 * F_2 &= i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu-2)} (p^2)^{(\nu-4)/2} (x_2-x_1)^{\nu-3} + \right. \\
 &\quad + 2 \frac{(-p^2)^{(\nu-4)/2} x_1^{(\nu-2)/2} x_2^{(\nu-4)/2}}{\nu-2} F\left(1, \frac{4-\nu}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2}; \frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{(-p^2)^{(\nu-4)/2} (1-x_2)^{(\nu-2)/2} (1-x_1)^{(\nu-4)/2}}{\nu-2} F\left(1, \frac{4-\nu}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{1-x_2}{x_2-x_1}\right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

And, after a quadratic transformation of the hypergeometric function,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (41) \quad F_1 * F_2 &= \\
 &= i\pi^{\nu/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu-2) (p^2)^{1/2}} \left[ p^2 \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p^2} \right)^2 + 4m_2^2 \right]^{(\nu-3)/2} + \\
 &\quad + i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \frac{2}{\nu-2} \frac{(m_2^2)^{(\nu-2)/2}}{(-p^2)} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p^2} \right)^2 + \frac{4m_2^2}{p^2} \right]^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu-2}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{4m_2^2 p^2}{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 + 4m_2^2 p^2}\right) + i\pi^{\nu/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-\nu}{2}\right) \frac{2}{\nu-2} \frac{(m_1^2)^{(\nu-2)/2}}{(-p^2)} \\
 &\quad \cdot \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{p^2} \right)^2 + \frac{4m_2^2}{p^2} \right]^{-1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu-2}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{4m_1^2 p^2}{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 + 4m_2^2 p^2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Comment.* The conditions for the roots (38) to be real are the same as those for the square bracket in (4) or (8) to be positive.

2.7.  $F * \bar{F}$ . - This convolution can be computed by using (1) and its complex conjugate

$$(42) \quad F_1 * \bar{F}_2 = (P_1 + i\pi\delta_1) * (P_2 - i\pi\delta_2) = P_1 * P_2 + \pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2 + i\pi(\delta_1 * P_2 - \delta_2 * P_1).$$

We see that the real part is symmetric, while the imaginary part is antisymmetric under the interchange of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . Of course for  $F_1 * F_2$  both parts are symmetric in the masses:

$$(43) \quad F_1 * F_2 = P_1 * P_2 - \pi^2 \delta_1 * \delta_2 + i\pi(\delta_1 * P_2 + \delta_2 * P_1).$$

The real part of (43) has support on the physical cut only, while the real part of  $F_1 * \bar{F}_2$  is zero on the physical cut (it has support on the low-energy cut and in  $p^2$  space-like).

For the actual value of  $F_1 * \bar{F}_2$  it is enough to use (4) or (8), (14) or (20) and (35) or (36) in (42).

*Comment.* It is equally possible, instead of (42), to use the equality  $\bar{F}_2 = F_2 - 2i\pi\delta_2$ , to obtain  $F_1 * \bar{F}_2 = F_1 * F_2 - 2i\pi F_1 * \delta_2$ . Of course, there are other equivalent expressions. If  $m_1 = m_2$ ,  $F * \bar{F} = 0$  in the physical cut and it is  $2\pi^2 \delta * \delta$  otherwise.

### 3. - Absorptive parts.

The so-called «absorptive part» of a convolution can easily be obtained from the hypergeometric function when its first or second parameter is equal to unity (or can be reduced to unity by an appropriate transformation). In such a case we take the integral representation (see ref. [5])

$$(44) \quad F(1, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}}{1-tz} dt.$$

The physically interesting imaginary part of (44) is developed at the singularity which appears when  $z = x + i\varepsilon$ ;  $x \geq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

As  $\text{Im}(1-tz)^{-1} = \pm\pi\delta(1-tx)$ , we have

$$(45) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Im } F(1, b; c; z) = \pm \frac{\pi\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \delta(1-tx) dx, \\ \text{Im } F(1, b; c; z) = \pm \frac{\pi\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} x^{1-c}(x-1)_+^{c-b-1}, \quad z \rightarrow x \pm i\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

With (45) we can take the imaginary part of (27), which is seen to coincide with  $\text{Im } \delta_1 * F_2 = \pi\delta_1 * \delta_2$ . Also, the imaginary part of  $iP_1 * F_2$  (cf. eq. (32)) is seen to coincide with  $-P_1 * P_2$ .

Equation (45) cannot be applied directly to (41), but after the transformation

$$F(a, b; c; z) = (1 - z)^{-a} F(a, c - b; c; z/(z - 1)).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu - 2}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2}; \frac{4m_2^2 p^2}{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 + 4m_2^2 p^2}\right) &= \\ &= \left[ \frac{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 + 4m_2^2 p^2} \right]^{-1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{\nu}{2}; \frac{-4m_2^2 p^2}{(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

whose imaginary part is different from zero for  $p^2$  timelike and  $(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 < -4m_2^2 p^2$ .

*Comment.* When the first parameter of the hypergeometric function is an integer  $n$ , eq. (44) has the denominator  $(1 - tz)^n$  instead of just  $(1 - tz)$ . The imaginary part is then proportional to  $\delta^{(n)}(1 - tx)$  and (45) is modified by taking the  $(n - 1)$ -th derivative of the  $x$ -dependent factors.

#### 4. - Discussion.

Whenever a propagator can be presented as the addition of two interesting parts, like principal value and  $\delta$ -function, its convolutions can also be decomposed in pieces whose actual computation can shed some light on aspects of the original one. Moreover, in field theories with higher-order equations of motion some of these partial convolutions appear as fundamental and have to be computed independently of the more usual ones. This is the motivation behind the present work. Anyway it is interesting, or amusing, to find out that the structure of  $P * P$  is very similar to that of  $\pi^2 \delta * \delta$ . They have the same support and the same absolute values. They have different signs outside the physical cut. In this way they only leave the physical cut in the convolution  $F * F$ . Of course, this is related to unitarity as the imaginary part of  $F * F$  has to do with the scattering cross-section of bradyons.

Something similar happens with the convolution of  $\delta * F$  and  $P * F$ . As a matter of facts we will see in the forthcoming second part of this work that  $\delta$  and  $P$  are distributions whose structures are similar and can both be represented as integral functionals that differ in the domain of integration on the energy plane, one of them ( $\delta$ ) being closed and the other ( $P$ ) open.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. BARCI, C. G. BOLLINI and M. ROCA: *The tachyon propagator*, preprint, Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de la Plata, Argentina, November 1990.
- [2] D. G. BARCI, C. G. BOLLINI and M. ROCA: *Tachyons and higher-order wave equations*, January, 1991.

- [3] I. S. GRADSHTEYN and I. M. RYZHIK: *Table of Integral Series and Products* (Academic Press, New York and London, 1965).
- [4] BATEMAN MANUSCRIPT PROJECT: *Table of Integral Transforms*, Vol. II (Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1954).
- [5] BATEMAN MANUSCRIPT PROJECT: *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1954), p. 211.
- [6] BATEMAN MANUSCRIPT PROJECT: *Table of Integral Transforms*, Vol. II (Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1954), p. 251.