**Supporting Information** 

# Synthesis and Characterization of Gold@Gold(I)-Thiomalate Core@Shell Nanoparticles

Gastón Corthey<sup>†</sup>, Lisandro J. Giovanetti<sup>†</sup>, José M. Ramallo-López<sup>†</sup>, Eugenia Zelaya<sup>‡</sup>, Aldo A. Rubert<sup>†</sup>, Guillermo A. Benitez<sup>†</sup>, Félix G. Requejo<sup>†</sup>, Mariano H. Fonticelli<sup>†,\*</sup> and Roberto C. Salvarezza<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Fisicoquímicas, Teóricas y Aplicadas (INIFTA), Universidad Nacional de La Plata - CONICET, Sucursal 4 Casilla de Correo 16 (1900) La Plata, Argentina

<sup>‡</sup>Centro Atómico Bariloche. Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, CONICET. Av. Bustillo 9500, Bariloche, 8400 Rio Negro, Argentina.

\* Corresponding author: mfonti@inifta.unlp.edu.ar

## 1 UV/Vis Spectroscopy of Reagents and Intermediates

The reagents and intermediates or impurities do not show significant absorption features in the Au plasmon resonance region in the case of Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles. It shows that the absorption peak at 520 nm is due to the presence of Au cores.



Figure S1: UV/vis spectra of the different components used in the synthesis of the nanoparticles. Red trace: UV/vis spectrum of an aqueous 0.085 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution. Blue trace: 0.13 mM TMA methanolic solution. Green trace: 0.07 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> + 0.17 mM TMA solution in 15% water and 85% methanol (TMA:Au=2.5). Black trace: As-prepared Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles.

## 2 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

The size distribution of the nanoparticles was performed using iTEM software.<sup>1</sup> A threshold was defined for each micrograph. Only particles between 1 and 8 nm were considered for the construction of the histogram. A little amount of larger particles were found. Only particles with an aspect ratio close to 1 were considered to avoid counting two close particles as one particle. The average diameter obtained for 580 particles was  $3.7 \pm 1.3$  nm and the histogram is shown in Figure S2c. The histogram was fitted to a Gaussian function.

Dark field (DF) images (Figure S2b) were taken with the objective aperture selecting only a small section of 111 and 200 reflection rings (Figure S2a). It can be observed in the DF image a ring contrast in some nanoparticles. This contrast can be due to the diffuse scattering generated from the top few atomic layers of the nanoparticles, which are affected by reconstruction/relaxation effects (arrow in Figure S2b).<sup>2</sup>



Figure S2: a) Diffraction pattern of Au@Au(I)-TM showing the size of the objective aperture used to form the DF image. b) DF image of Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles. c)Histogram of the size distribution of the particles.

Figure S3 shows two High-Resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of two gold nanoparticles along [110] FCC zone axis. The particle in Figure S3a is smaller than the other one S3b. However both particles show sharp sides that could be correlated with a projection of an truncated octahedron (TO) particle.



Figure S3: **a**) HRTEM image of Au@Au(I)-TM, scale bar equal to 1 nm. **b**) HRTEM image of a bigger Au@Au(I)-TM particle, scale bar equal to 1 nm.

In order to determine this correlation two particles were simulated with 586 and 1238 atoms respectively. The simulations were performed with JEMS software, <sup>3</sup> using a special routine for particles over an amorphous carbon layer. To better fit the simulations both particles were tilt respect the [110] FCC zone axis 2 degrees. Figure S6a to e show the simulation of a particle with 586 atoms and Figure S4f to j show the simulation of a bigger TO particle with 1238 atoms. It could be notice that the best fit to the particle shown in Figure S4a is reached at a defocus value of 65 nm (Figure S4c) while the best fit for the other particle (Figure S3b) belongs to a defocus value of 145 nm (Figure S4i). The simulation with different quantities of atoms shows a good agreement between the HRTEM

a)	b)	C)	d)	e)
∆f=45 nm	∆f= 55 nm	∆f= 65 nm	∆f= 75 nm	∆f= 85 nm
f)	g)	h)	i)	j)
∆f=115 nm	∆f=125 nm	∆f=135 nm	∆f=145 nm	∆f=155 nm

images and the TO particles with a fixed number of atoms.

Figure S4: High resolution image simulations of TO particles along [110] FCC axe with 586 atoms (from a to e) and with 1238 (from f to j) respectively. Each figure contains the information of the value for the defocus used in the simulations.

## **3** Electrochemical reduction of gold on graphite.

Electrochemical measurements were performed using a three-electrode conventional cell with an operational amplifier potentiostat (TEQ-Argentina). The cell was cleaned with piranha solution prior to be use and rinsed with Milli-Q water. A cuasi-reversible hydrogen electrode was used as a reference electrode and a large-area Pt foil as a counter-electrode. All potentials in the text are referred to the Saturated Calomel Electrode (SCE) scale. A highly-oriented pyrolitic graphite (HOPG) substrate was used as a working electrode. Prior to its use, the HOPG was exfoliated, cleaned with aqua regia to remove any metal residue, and rinsed with Milli-Q water. The edges of the HOPG were covered with Teflon. A 1.85 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution was prepared from the stock HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution. Another solution containing 1.85 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> and 4.6 mM TMA (TMA:Au=2.5) was prepared stirring both components for half of an hour. Every solution was prepared in 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> using Milli-Q water. The solutions were degassed with purified nitrogen prior to the experiments. The area of the working electrode informed is the geometric area.

Figure S5 shows the current-potential curves for a HOPG working electrode in the different solutions. The scans were started at 0.9 V in the negative direction at a scan rate of 10 mV/s. The final potential was -0.3 V, where the hydrogen evolution reaction starts. In the case of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution it was observed a negative current peak at E = 0.62 V, and a current plateau extending from 0.40 V downward to -0.30 V. This limiting current plateau

corresponds to gold electrodeposition from  $[AuCl_4]^-(aq)$  according to the reaction:<sup>4</sup>

$$[\operatorname{Au}\operatorname{Cl}_4]^-(aq) + 3e^- \to \operatorname{Au}(s) + 4\operatorname{Cl}^-(aq) \tag{1}$$

In the case of the TMA containing solution, a negligible current was observed. These results show that it is very difficult to reduce the Au(I)-TM complex, which explains its partial reduction during the nanoparticle synthesis, even when a 10-fold excess of NaBH<sub>4</sub> was used.



Figure S5: Current density vs potential plot for gold electrodeposition on HOPG from an aqueous 1.85 mM  $HAuCl_4 + 0.1 M H_2SO_4$  solution (black trace) and from a 1.85 mM  $HAuCl_4 + 4.6 mM TMA (TMA:Au=2.5) + 0.1 M H_2SO_4$  solution (blue trace). Scan rate: 10 mV/s.

## **4** Reduction of Au(I)-Thiomalate by NaBH<sub>4</sub>

As shown in this work, it was not possible to completely reduce Au(I)-TM with NaBH<sub>4</sub>. Here it is proposed that NaBH<sub>4</sub>, and also weak reducing agents, provides enough driving force for the Au(I) reduction. Hence, a kinetic hindrance might be the responsible for the stability of Au(I)-TM towards reduction.

The formation of Au(I) - RS follows the reaction:

$$Au^{+} + SR^{-} \rightarrow Au(I) - SR \quad \Delta G_{f}^{\circ} = -RT \ln(K_{f,Au(I)-RS})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where SR<sup>-</sup> represent the thiomalate ions. Then, this compound forms oligomer units

$$n[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}] \to [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_n \quad \Delta G_{polymer}^\circ = -RT\ln(K_{polymer})$$
(3)

Although the  $K_{f,Au(I)-RS}$  value has already been reported, there were no reports regarding the  $K_{polymer}$  value. For this reason, some approximations will be done to estimate the thermodynamic quantities related to Au(I). If the addition of any polymer unit, Au(I) – RS, implies the formation of a Au-S bridge, it could be proposed that for the release of a sulfur free Au(I) specie, two Au(I)-S bonds have to be broken. If any bond breaking has an equilibrium constant of  $1/K_{f,Au(I)-RS}$ , a process which implies the consecutive breaking of two Au-SR bonds would be described approximately by  $(1/K_{f,Au(I)-RS})^2$ .

$$[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n} \rightarrow [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + \operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}$$

$$\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) \operatorname{SR} \rightarrow \operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) + \mathrm{RS}^{-}$$

$$[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n} \rightarrow [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + \operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) + \mathrm{RS}^{-} \quad \Delta G_{diss}^{0} = -RT \ln(1/K_{f,\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{RS}})^{2} \qquad (4)$$

#### 4.1 Au(I) Reduction Half-Reaction

$$[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n} \rightarrow [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + \operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) + \mathrm{RS}^{-} \qquad \Delta G_{diss}^{\circ} = -RT \ln(1/K_{f,\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{RS}})^{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Au}^{+} + e^{-} \rightarrow \operatorname{Au} \qquad \Delta G_{\operatorname{Au}^{+}/\operatorname{Au}^{0}}^{\circ} = -FE_{\operatorname{Au}^{+}/\operatorname{Au}^{0}}^{\circ}$$

$$\operatorname{RS}^{-} + \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{RSH} \qquad \Delta G_{diss,\operatorname{RSH}}^{\circ} = -RT \ln(1/K_{a,\operatorname{RSH}})$$

$$\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n} + e^{-} + \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + \operatorname{Au} + \mathrm{RSH} \qquad \Delta G_{red,m}^{\circ} = \Delta G_{diss}^{\circ} + \Delta G_{\operatorname{Au}^{+}/\operatorname{Au}^{0}}^{\circ} + \Delta G_{diss,\operatorname{RSH}}^{\circ} = (5)$$

$$\Delta G_{red,m}^{\circ} = -[RT\ln(1/K_{f,\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{RS}})^2 + FE_{\mathrm{Au}^+/\mathrm{Au}^0}^{\circ} + RT\ln(1/K_{\mathrm{a,RSH}})]$$
(6)

For TMA,  $pK_{a,1} = 3.30$ ,  $pK_{a,2} = 4.94$  and  $pK_{a,3} = 10.64$ .<sup>5</sup> Hence, at pH = 8 both carboxylic groups are as carboxylates while the SH group remains protonated.  $K_{a,3}$  is the one related to the reaction  $RSH \rightarrow RS^- + H^+$ . The Au (I) -RS (with RS = thiomalate) complex formation constant is  $K_{f,Au(I)-RS} = 1.86 \times 10^{10.6}$  and  $E_{Au^+/Au^0}^{\circ}$ = 1.692 V.<sup>7</sup> Then,  $\Delta G_{diss}^{\circ} = 1.17 \times 10^5 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta G_{red,m}^{\circ} = -1.07 \times 10^5 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . The standard reduction potential,  $E_{red}^{\circ} = -(\Delta G_{red,m}^{\circ}/nF)$  is:  $E_{red}^{\circ} = 1.1 \text{ V}$  vs. the standard hydrogen electrode (i.e. 0.86 V vs. SCE). Considering this figure it is clear that Au(I) is thermodynamically unstable in the presence of mild reducing agents. In the following, the reaction with BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, a strong reducing agent, is considered.

#### 4.2 NaBH<sub>4</sub> Oxidation Half-Reaction

NaBH<sub>4</sub> is hydrolyzed in water following the reaction:

$$NaBH_4 + 4H_2O \rightarrow H_3BO_3 + NaOH + 4H_2 \tag{7}$$

Since the pK<sub>a</sub> of boric acid (H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) at 20°C is 9.27, at pH=8 (the pH after loading NaBH<sub>4</sub> in the nanoparticle synthesis) the boric acid main contributing specie is B(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Then,  $E^{\circ}_{B(OH)_3/BH_4^-} = -0.481 \text{ V.}^7$ 

$$BH_{4}^{-} + 3H_{2}O \rightarrow B(OH)_{3} + 7H^{+} + 8e^{-} \quad \Delta G_{ox}^{\circ} = 8FE_{BH_{4}^{-}/B(OH)_{3}}^{\circ}$$
(8)

#### 4.3 Complete Redox Reaction

$$\frac{8 \times ([\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_n + e^- + \mathrm{H}^+ \rightarrow [\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + \operatorname{Au} + \mathrm{RSH})}{8 + G_{ox}^\circ} = 8FE_{\mathrm{BH}_4^-}^\circ + 3H_2\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_3 + 7\mathrm{H}^+ + 8e^- \qquad \Delta G_{ox}^\circ = 8FE_{\mathrm{BH}_4^-}^\circ + 3H_2\mathrm{OH})_3}{8[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_n + \mathrm{H}^+ + \mathrm{BH}_4^- + 3H_2\mathrm{O} \rightarrow 8[\operatorname{Au}(\mathrm{I}) - \mathrm{SR}]_{n-1} + 8\mathrm{Au} + 8\mathrm{RSH} + \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_3 \quad \Delta G_{total}^\circ$$

$$\Delta G_{total}^{\circ} = 8 \times \Delta G_{red,m}^{\circ} + \Delta G_{ox}^{\circ}$$
  
=  $-8 \times [RT \ln(1/K_{f,Au(I)-RS})^2 + FE_{Au^+/Au^0}^{\circ} + RT \ln(1/K_{a,RSH})] + 8FE_{BH_4^-/B(OH)_3}^{\circ}$  (9)

Then,  $\Delta G_{total}^{\circ} = -1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ , which shows that the  $[\operatorname{Au}(I) - \operatorname{RS}]_n$  reduction by NaBH<sub>4</sub> is thermodynamically favorable under standard conditions. The Au(I) – RS reduction was carried out with BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>–excess, i.e. a reaction quotient smaller than one, both in the synthesis and in the post-reduction treatment. Furthermore, the polymer reduction was not fast when carried out electrochemically at pH  $\approx 1$ .

### 5 Stability of Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles

A sample of as-prepared Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles was stored dispersed in water at 4°C for seven months. The XPS spectra of Au 4*f* and S 2*p* are shown in Figure S6. The Au 4*f* signal present the same components as the freshly measured samples with two components already explained in the text. The S 2*p* signal presents an additional component at 167-168 eV. This signal could be ascribed to sulfur species oxidated during the period of storage.



Figure S6: XPS spectra of the Au@Au(I)-TM nanoparticles after seven months of being sinthesized. **a**) Spectrum of the Au 4*f* region where the same components of the freshly measured particles are seen. **b**) Spectrum of the S 2p region. A new component of oxidized sulfur (167 eV) is observed.

## References

- (1) http://www.soft-imaging.net/.
- (2) Wang, Z. L. Characterization of nanophase materials; Wiley-VCH, 2000.
- (3) Stadelman, P. JEMS-CIME-EPFL, 2009.
- (4) Martin, H.; Carro, P.; Creus, A. H.; Gonzalez, S.; Andreasen, G.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Arvia, A. J. Langmuir
   2000, 16, 2915–2923.
- (5) Cheney, G. E.; Fernando, Q.; Freiser, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1959, 63, 2055-2057.
- (6) Zucconi, T. D.; Janauer, G. E.; Donahe, S.; Lewkowicz, C. J. Pharm. Sci. 1979, 68, 426–432.
- (7) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 80th ed.; CRC Press:Boca Raton.