#### Supplementary Materials for:

#### Article

# Crude Glycerol Hydrogenolysis to Bio-Propylene Glycol: Effect of Its Impurities on Activity, Selectivity and Stability

Martín N. Gatti <sup>1,2</sup>, Francisco Pompeo <sup>1,2</sup>, Nora N. Nichio <sup>1,2</sup>, Gerardo F. Santori <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP), Calle 1 esq. 47, La Plata 1900, Argentina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Ciencias Aplicadas (CINDECA), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP)-CONICET, Calle 47, 257, La Plata 1900, Argentina; martin.gatti@ing.unlp.edu.ar (M.N.G.); fpompeo@quimica.unlp.edu.ar (F.P.); nnichio@quimica.unlp.edu.ar (N.N.N)

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: santori@quimica.unlp.edu.ar



**Figure S1.** N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of SC ( $\bullet$ ), Ni/SC ( $\circ$ ) and Ni/SC<sup>\*</sup> ( $\blacktriangle$ ).



**Figure S2.** Potentiometric titration curves with n-butylamine in acetonitrile of SC ( $\bullet$ ), Ni/SC ( $\circ$ ) and Ni/SC<sup>\*</sup> ( $\blacktriangle$ ).



Figure S3. TEM micrographs for the reduced catalysts (a) fresh Ni/SC (b) used Ni/SC\*



**Figure S4.** XRD patterns of SC, Ni/SC reduced fresh catalyst and used Ni/SC\* catalyst. Symbols are referred to metallic nickel ( $\blacktriangle$ ), silicon carbide ( $\Delta$ ) and graphitic carbon ( $\circ$ ).



**Figure S5.** Analysis of elements by SEM-EDAX for the used catalyst after three reaction cycles in the presence of the crude glycerol sample D. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 260 °C, 2 MPa, 2 h,  $m_c/m_{gly}$  = 0.24 (mass ratio).



**Figure S6.** XRD patterns of Ni/SC reduced fresh catalyst and used Ni/SC\* catalyst. Symbols are referred to planes (2 0 0) at 31.69° and (2 2 0) at 45.45° of crystalline cubic NaCl ( $\blacklozenge$ ) (JCPDS 05-0628).





**Figure S7. (a)** Glycerol conversion vs temperature **(b)** Selectivity to liquid products vs temperature. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 2 h, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>,  $m_c/m_{gly} = 0.24$  (mass ratio).





**Figure S8. (a)** Glycerol conversion vs partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> (b) Selectivity to liquid products vs partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 260 °C, 2 h,  $m_c/m_{gly} = 0.24$  (mass ratio).



**Figure S9. (a)** Glycerol conversion vs glycerol initial concentration **(b)** Selectivity to liquid products vs initial glycerol concentration. Reaction conditions: 30-80 wt.% aqueous glycerol solutions, 260 °C, 2 MPa de H<sub>2</sub>, 2 h,  $m_c/m_{gly}$  = 0.08-0.24 (mass ratio).



11

















**Figure S10.** Fitting of experimental data by linear regression to obtain the reaction orders with respect to glycerol and hydrogen for **(a)** Gly **(b, b')** 1,2-PG **(c, c')** AcOH **(d, d')** EG **(e, e')** MeOH **(f, f')** EtOH **(g, g')** 1-POH. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 260 °C, 1-2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>, 0.5-1 h, m<sub>c</sub>/m<sub>gly</sub> = 0.24 (mass ratio).









**Figure S11.** Fitting of experimental data by linear regression to obtain ln ( $k_{oj}$ ) y Ea<sub>j</sub> (a) Gly (b) 1,2-PG (c) AcOH (d) EG (e) MeOH (f) EtOH (g) 1-POH. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 220-260 °C, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>, 2 h, m<sub>c</sub>/m<sub>gly</sub>= 0.24 (mass ratio).





**Figure S12.** Fitting of experimental data by linear regression to obtain the individual activity factors (ai) for (a) NaOH (b) NaCOOH (c) NaCl (d) MeOH. Reaction conditions: 30 wt.% aqueous glycerol solution, 260 °C, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>, 2 h, m<sub>c</sub>/m<sub>gly</sub>= 0.24 (mass ratio).