## On Comparing Mutation Testing Tools through Learning-based Mutant Selection

Milos Ojdanic, Ahmed Khanfir, Aayush Garg, Renzo Degiovanni, Mike Papadakis, and Yves Le Traon

University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

## Conference: The 4th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Automation of Software Test (AST), Melbourne, Australia, May 15-16, 2023.

https://rdegiovanni.github.io/publications/files/AST2023.pdf

Recently many mutation testing tools have been proposed that rely on bugfix patterns and natural language models trained on large code corpus. As these tools operate fundamentally differently from the grammar-based traditional approaches, a question arises of how these tools compare in terms of 1) fault detection and 2) cost-effectiveness. Simultaneously, mutation testing research proposes mutant selection approaches based on machine learning to mitigate its application cost. This raises another question: How do the existing mutation testing tools compare when guided by mutant selection approaches? To answer these questions, we compare four existing tools –  $\mu$ BERT (uses pre-trained language model for fault seeding), IBIR (relies on inverted fix-patterns), DeepMutation (generates mutants by employing Neural Machine Translation) and PIT (applies standard grammar-based rules) in terms of fault detection capability and cost-effectiveness, in conjunction with standard and deep learning based mutant selection strategies. Our results show that IBIR has the highest fault detection capability among the four tools; however, it is not the most cost-effective when considering different selection strategies. On the other hand,  $\mu BERT$  having a relatively lower fault detection capability, is the most cost-effective among the four tools. Our results also indicate that comparing mutation testing tools when using deep learning-based mutant selection strategies can lead to different conclusions than the standard mutant selection. For instance, our results demonstrate that combining  $\mu$ BERT with deep learning-based mutant selection yields 12% higher fault detection than the considered tools.