

Assessment of hygienic-sanitary status and implementation of an integral risk management program in butcher shops from Taí Viejo city, Tucumán, Argentina

Evaluación del estado higiénico-sanitario e implementación de un programa de gestión integral de riesgos en carnicerías de la ciudad de Taí Viejo, Tucumán, Argentina

María Paula Moreno Mochi

Instituto de Microbiología “Dr. Luis C. Verna”,
Cátedra de Bacteriología, Facultad de Bioquímica,
Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de
Tucumán, Argentina

paumorenomochi@hotmail.com

Juan Martín Vargas

Instituto de Microbiología “Dr. Luis C. Verna”,
Cátedra de Bacteriología, Facultad de Bioquímica,
Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de
Tucumán, Argentina

Magdalena2 Costa

Instituto de Genética Veterinaria “Ing. Fernando N.
Dulout” (IGEVET), Consejo Nacional de
Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET),
Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad
Nacional de La Plata, Argentina

María Victoria Solorzano

Dirección de Bromatología, Municipalidad de Taí
Viejo, Argentina

Silvia Vega

Cátedra de Bromatología, Facultad de Bioquímica,
Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de
Tucumán, Argentina

Susana Condori

Cátedra de Bromatología, Facultad de Bioquímica,
Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de
Tucumán, Argentina

Abstract: Meat and meat products promote the growth of pathogens such as Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. In this work, an evaluation was carried out through risk quantification and microbiological analysis on ground beef and environmental surfaces in butcher shops, of Taí Viejo city, Tucumán province. The study was carried out in three periods: period 1 (description of the health-status), period 2 (implementation of improvement actions) and period 3 (verification of success). Ground beef samples and environmental surfaces were analyzed in the previously described periods. The improvement actions in each butcher shop were implemented on the deviations detected in the first period. An increase of 35.7 % in low-risk butchers was observed. Microbial indicators in ground beef were significantly lower for total aerobic mesophilic microorganisms and *Staphylococcus aureus*, compared to the first period. In ground beef samples and environmental surfaces, the detection/isolation of STEC non-O157, presented a 100 % decrease in the verification period. The detection of *Salmonella* spp. showed a reduction of 14.3 % only on ground beef samples. This study confirms that the implementation of a risk management program in butcher shops would reduce contamination of ground beef and the environment with pathogens.

Keywords: Food borne illnesses, *Salmonella* spp., STEC, hygienic health risk, butcher shops.

Gerardo Aníbal Leotta

Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Sistemas Alimentarios Sustentables (ICYTESAS) Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Argentina

María Angela Jure

Instituto de Microbiología “Dr. Luis C. Verna”, Cátedra de Bacteriología, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Argentina

Analecta Veterinaria

vol.44,e0872024

Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina

ISSN: 0365-5148

ISSN-E: 1514-2590

Periodicity: Frecuencia continua

analecta@fcv.unlp.edu.ar

Received: 28 December 2023

Revised: 17 July 2024

Accepted: 30 July 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24215/15142590e087>

URL:

<https://portal.amelica.org/ameli/journal/25/254942007/>

Resumen: La carne y los productos cárnicos promueven el crecimiento de patógenos como *Escherichia coli* productora de toxina Shiga y *Salmonella* spp. Se realizó una evaluación mediante cuantificación de riesgo y análisis microbiológicos en carne picada y superficies ambientales en carnicerías de Tañ Viejo, provincia de Tucumán. El estudio se realizó en tres periodos: periodo 1 (descripción del estado sanitario), periodo 2 (implementación de acciones de mejora) y periodo 3 (verificación del éxito). Se analizaron muestras de carne picada fresca y superficies ambientales en los periodos 1-3 previamente descritos. Las acciones de mejora en cada carnicería se implementaron siguiendo los desvíos detectados en el primer periodo. Se observó un aumento del 35.7 % en carnicerías de bajo riesgo. El recuento de los microorganismos indicadores en carne picada resultó significativamente menor para microorganismos mesófilos aerobios totales y *Staphylococcus aureus*, en comparación con el periodo 1. En las muestras analizadas, la detección/aislamiento de STEC no-O157, presentó una disminución del 100 % en el periodo de verificación. La detección de *Salmonella* spp. mostró una reducción del 14.3 % en muestras de carne picada. Este estudio confirma que la implementación de un programa de gestión de riesgos en carnicerías reduciría la contaminación de la carne y el medio ambiente con patógenos.

Palabras clave: Enfermedades transmitidas por alimentos, *Salmonella* spp., STEC, riesgo higiénico sanitario, carnicerías.

Introduction

Foodborne diseases represent a significant threat to global health, especially in developing countries (Scott, 2003) because of poor infrastructure and low level of awareness. The World Health Organization estimates that 550 million diarrhea episodes and 125.000 deaths in children under the age of 5 occur every year in the world, mainly by contaminated foodstuffs (WHO, 2020). Meat and meat products are conducive to the growth of a wide range of microorganisms including pathogens (Barril et al., 2019; Leotta et al., 2016; Ruiz et al., 2022) routinely associated with food poisoning outbreaks, unless hygiene principles are applied (CDC, 2013; EFSA & ECDC, 2016). *Salmonella* spp. and Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) have been responsible for several foodborne outbreaks related with these products' consumption (Torso et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2013).

In Argentina, nontyphoidal salmonellosis is not listed as a mandatory reporting event, unlike salmonellosis which is included in the acute diarrhea events and reported as one of the principal foodborne diseases (Boletín Epidemiológico Nacional, 2023). *Salmonella* spp. was the second causative agent of diarrhea, and the highest proportion corresponds to those not serotyping, followed by *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium* and in a much lower percentage *S. newport*. In Tucumán province *Salmonella* spp. is also the second causative agent of diarrhea (Torrez Lamberti et al., 2020). The incidence of hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) in Argentina (<http://www.lusuh.org.ar/material.html>) is high and shows an endemic pattern, with high morbidity and mortality rates were *E. coli* O157:H7 and STEC were identified as the primary etiological agent (Carbonari et al., 2022; Torti et al., 2021). However, in Tucumán province the impact of HUS is very low; only one case per year was reported during the last three years (Boletín Epidemiológico Nacional, 2024). Meat products may be vehicles of *Salmonella* and STEC, but only STEC was reported on beef from Tucumán retails (Jure et al., 2015). In a recent study, Brusa et al. (2020), reported that only 10.0 % of the Argentinian HUS cases could be related to beef.

Food contamination with microorganisms may occur at any stage in the process from food production to consumption (Brusa et al., 2017) and may be the result of cross contamination in the retail environment contributing to an increased risk of foodborne illness (Sirsat et al., 2014). Previous studies involved in a program called “Healthy Butcher Shops” were conducted in Argentina (Barril et al., 2019; Leotta et al., 2016; Ruiz et al., 2022). These studies confirm the feasibility of implementing a comprehensive risk management program.

The aims of the present study were therefore a) to estimate the hygienic-sanitary risk and determine the microbiological quality of raw ground beef and meat contact surfaces in butcher shops from Tafi Viejo city; b) to implement improvement actions for both butcher shops and consumers; and c) to verify the impact of such improvement actions.

Materials and methods

Background

In April 2017, a pilot program called “Healthy Butcher Shops” was conducted in the city of Tañi Viejo (26°44’00”S 65°16’00”O), Tucumán, Argentina. Tañi Viejo has 1210 km² and a population of 121.600. A total of 40 butcher shops were identified at the beginning of the program and, from this total, 28 butcher shops completed the program and were included in this study. Beef was provided to butcher shops by 8 abattoirs in the region, as follows: abattoir “A”, 14 (50 %) butcher shops; abattoir “B”, 5 (18 %); abattoir “C”, 3 (11 %); abattoirs “D”, “E” and “F”, 2 (7 %) butcher shops each one and abattoirs “G” and “H” did not distribute in the city. None of these abattoirs had a Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) program.

Sampling was randomly performed and covered all the geographic areas of the city. Comprehensive evaluation and risk quantification using a checklist were made at each butcher shop. In addition, five samples from each butcher shop were collected for bacteriological analysis, including ground beef, meat tables, knives, meat mincing machines and manipulator hands. Ground beef samples presented the organoleptic and commercial characteristics established in the Argentine Food Code (AFC) (AFC, 2022). They were kindly provided by each butcher shop to carry out the “Healthy Butcher Shops” Program, in full agreement with the Tañi Viejo sanitary authorities. Results of this evaluation period were delivered to the person in charge of each butcher shop.

Thereafter, a training plan was designed using those results as starting point to implement the improvement actions. Consumers received information about foodborne disease prevention. We also delivered workshops for teachers of all kindergartens in the city. Finally, during the verification period (2019), all butcher shops were re-evaluated using the same tool for risk quantification and the same bacteriological analysis to verify the impact of the improvement actions implemented.

The entire study period lasted from 2017 to 2019. Field work was authorized by the health and supervision authorities of Tañi Viejo. To this end, a cooperation agreement between the Tañi Viejo city authorities and the National University of Tucumán Faculty of Chemical Biochemistry and Pharmacy was signed.

Hygienic-sanitary risk quantification and sample collection

The checklist used for risk quantification included five groups of variables as described previously by Leotta *et al.* (2016).

From April to December 2017 (evaluation period), 28 butcheries were visited. To obtain a microbiological evaluation during the visits, 28 ground beef and 112 environmental samples were taken, and risk quantification was performed. All samples were collected during the day (operational process) before the sanitation step. One kilogram of ground beef was collected in a plastic bag provided by the butcher, under the same conditions as those used for selling the product.

Environmental samples were obtained from meat contact surfaces using a sterile sponge (Whirl-Pak speci-sponge, Nasco, USA) soaked in 10 ml of buffered peptone water (BPW) (Biokar, Zac de Ther, France), according to the following protocol. In meat tables, three areas of 20 x 20 cm each (a total of 1,200 cm²) were sampled. The sponge was wiped 10 times over each sampling area. The entire surface of the knife blade and the intersection between the blade and the blade handle were sponged. The meat mincing machine was disassembled, and the sample was taken from the meat container, the worm meat grinder and the screw ring. In the case of manipulator hands, the sterile sponge sampled all hand surfaces, including front, back, interdigital spaces and nails. All samples were ice-refrigerated and sent to the laboratory to be analysed immediately.

Bacteriological analysis

Ground beef samples were analyzed for mesophilic aerobic organisms, *Escherichia coli* and coagulase-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* enumeration (Maturin & Peeler, 2001; ISO 16649-2, 2001; ISO 6888-1, 2021). All media were from Biokar Diagnostics (Beauvais, France). The Argentinean Food Code (AFC, 2022) microbiological criteria for fresh ground beef were used, i.e.: mesophilic aerobic organisms (n:5 c:3 m:106 M:107), *E. coli* (n:5 c:2 m:100 M:500), and coagulase-positive *S. aureus* (n:5 c:2 m:100 M:1000). In addition, the search and isolation of *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157:H7 and STEC were carried out in ground beef and environmental samples. In environmental samples all the sponges were aseptically divided into three portions and each sponge portion was used to analyze the different pathogens.

***Salmonella* spp.** Twenty-five g of ground beef and one portion of the sponge from each environmental sample were cultured in 225 ml and 100 ml of buffered peptone water (Merck), respectively, for 24±2 h at 35 °C (ISO 6579-1, 2017). After the pre-enrichment step, 0.1 ml of the broth was put onto 0.1 ml of Rappaport-Vassiliadis broth (Biokar Diagnostics), and 1 ml onto 10 ml of tetrathionate broth (Acumedia Manufacturers, USA) for 24±2 h at 42±0.2 °C and 37±0.2 °C, respectively. Ten microliters were plated into bismuth sulfite agar (Becton Dickinson, Le Pont de Claix, France), xylose-lysine- desoxycholate plus tergitol agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), and Hektoen enteric agar (Laboratorios Britania, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and incubated for 24±2 h at 35 °C. After incubation, presumptive colonies were selected and screened for *invA* gene by PCR (Malorny et al., 2003). The characterization was made by biochemical tests following ISO 6579-1 (2017) and *Salmonella* serotyping was performed according to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme by slide (O antigen) and tube (H antigen) agglutination, using specific antisera (Instituto Nacional de Producción de Biológicos (INPB) - ANLIS “Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán”, Argentina).

***Escherichia coli* O157:H7.** Sixty-five g of ground beef samples and one portion of sponge from each environmental sample were incubated onto 585 ml and 100 ml of modified trypticase soy broth (Acumedia), respectively, for 20 h at 41.5 °C (ISO 16654, 2001). After enrichment, a specific O157 concentration was made using

immunomagnetic separation (Dynal Biotech, Oslo, Norway), streaked into chromogenic agar for *E. coli* O157 (CHROMagar™ O157) and cefixime-tellurite MacConkey sorbitol agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK), and incubated for 20 h at 37 °C. After incubation, presumptive colonies were selected and screened for *rfbO157*, *stx1* and *stx2* genes by multiplex-PCR (Leotta *et al.*, 2005). The characterization was made by biochemical tests (ISO 16654, 2001) and the genotypic profile testing: *fliCh7*, *stx1*, *stx2*, *ehxA*, and *eae* genes (Gannon *et al.*, 1997; Karch *et al.*, 1993; Leotta *et al.*, 2005; Schmidt *et al.*, 1995).

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC). Twenty-five g of ground beef samples and one portion of sponge from each environmental sample were incubated in 225 ml and 100 ml, respectively, of modified *Escherichia coli* broth (Acumedia) for 20 h at 37 °C. MK-PCR screening (Leotta, 2006) was used after the enrichment step. One milliliter from all MK-PCR-positive samples was plated onto Mac-Conkey agar (Becton Dickinson Co., Sparks, MD, USA) and Levine-eosyne methylene blue agar (Biokar). All plates were incubated for 18 h at 37 °C. Fifty colonies with *E. coli* morphology were selected from each plate and point-inoculated on nutrient agar (Britania Laboratory). After incubation, five pools of 10 colonies were screened for *stx1* and *stx2* genes by multiplex-PCR (Leotta *et al.*, 2005). Colonies from positive pools were analyzed individually by multiplex-PCR to detect the *stx*-positive colony. The characterization of the isolated strains was made by biochemical tests. STEC serotyping of O and H antigens and *eae*, *ehxA* and *saa* genes detection were performed as previously described (Karch *et al.*, 1993; Paton *et al.*, 2001; Schmidt *et al.*, 1995).

Improvement actions and consumer information

A structured planning was prepared to assess hygienic and sanitation practices being experienced in this study. The training plan for workers in the butcher shops was conducted in a period between the evaluation and verification periods and was focused to implement the improvement actions. The workers received: 1- Results of the first evaluation period, 2- A guideline including national, provincial, and local regulations about meat sale and 3- Recommendations about Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures (SSOP), raw food handling and meat preservation.

In consumers the strategy was based on activities that included 1- Training to kindergarden teachers of the Tañi Viejo schools, highlighting their role as primary educators of children, in personal hygiene and food handling, 2- Teaching children, through workshops, plays, videos and educational games about the importance of good hygiene habits to prevent foodborne diseases, 3- Transfer of what children had been learned to other members of the family group, and 4- Design and production of teaching materials, kindly provided by a non-governmental organization for HUS mitigation (<http://www.lusuh.org.ar/material.html>) from which we are delegate in the northwest region of the country (NOA), as an strategy to ensure that children adopt the five keys of food safety and transmit them at home.

Verification of the impact of improvement actions

From April to December 2019, the same 28 butcher shops analyzed during the 2017 evaluation period were retested to verify the program impact. Quantity and type of samples, sampling frequency and procedure, risk quantification and bacteriological analysis were performed as described previously in the evaluation period.

Statistical analysis

The McNemar and Student's tests were used to evaluate the impact of the improvement actions after comparing the results of both periods. The facilities, GMP, SSOP, raw food handling/meat preservation and risk quantification were evaluated by the McNemar test. The microbiological quality of meat sold in butcher shops, determined by the counts of mesophilic aerobic organisms, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were evaluated using Student's paired t-test with a two- tailed distribution. The presence or absence of *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157:H7 and STEC in ground beef and environmental surfaces (counter tops, knives, meat grinders, handlers' hands) were evaluated by the McNemar test.

All statistical evaluation and improvement actions in butcher shops analyses were performed using Info Stat software with a significance of 0.05.

Association between indicators/pathogenic microorganisms and the estimated risk level

To demonstrate whether there was a direct relationship between risk quantification vs. counts of indicator microorganisms vs. detection of pathogens, butcher shops were grouped by type of risk, (regardless of the period), with the results of counts outside the limits established by the AFC and the detection of at least some of the pathogens sought in this work.

Results

Twenty-six shops (93 %) were meat stores and 2 (7 %) were butcher shops at supermarkets. Six abattoirs provided meat to the 28 butcher shops.

Comprehensive evaluation of butcher shops in the 2017 period

Risk quantification. During this evaluation period, risk quantification in all butcher shops (n=28) resulted in 6 (21.4 %) high-risk, 19 (67.8 %) moderate- risk and 3 (10.7 %) low-risk shops. Results for each group of five variables were as follows: situation and conditions of construction, 4.42/10.0; equipment and tools, 4.73/15.0; manipulator hands, 18.3/25.0; raw materials and products for sale, 14.34/20.0; and production flow, 13.5/30.0. Individual variables and average risk are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Risk quantification and microbiological analysis on ground beef and environmental surfaces in butcher shops, of Tafi Viejo city-Tucumán.

<i>Groups of variables and average risk</i>	<i>Individual variable</i>	<i>2017</i>	<i>2019</i>	<i>P-value</i>
1- Building situation and conditions	Access to drinking water	96.4%	100.0%	0.0164
	SSOP in the water supply tank	0.0%	0.0%	
	Hot water	14.3%	10.7%	
	Suitable floors	14.3%	35.7%	
	Suitable roofs	21.4%	39.3%	
	Suitable walls	25.0%	46.4%	
	Suitable windows	50.0%	75.0%	
	Protected windows	7.1%	10.7%	
	Adequate lighting	32.1%	35.7%	
	Adequate ventilation	78.6%	92.9%	
	Adequate staff changing room	14.3%	7.1%	
	Adequate staff sanitation area	92.9%	100.0%	
	Waste management	25.0%	60.7%	
	SSOP in work environment	7.1%	35.7%	
	AVERAGE RISK (10.0)^a	4.42	5.37	
2- Equipment and tools	Quantity of tools	71.4%	89.3%	0.1728
	Proper conservation of tools	21.4%	71.4%	
	Good conditions of tools	35.7%	78.6%	
	Sufficient refrigeration equipment	53.6%	64.3%	
	SSOP application on equipment and tools	7.1%	32.1%	
	AVERAGE RISK (15.0)^a	4.73	5.82	
3- Handlers	Proper hygiene habits	60.7%	96.4%	0.0341
	Health verification	96.4%	89.3%	
	AVERAGE RISK (25.0)^a	18.30	20.92	
4- Raw materials and products for sale	Raw material receipt control	92.9%	100.0%	0.8613
	Control of organoleptic properties in products for sale	71.4%	85.7%	
	Proper conservation of raw materials and products for sale	39.3%	46.4%	
	AVERAGE RISK (20.0)^a	14.34	14.87	
	Linear flow of meat in one direction	50.0%	50.0%	
5- Production flow	Control of cross-contamination	32.1%	46.4%	0.014
	Protection of meat products	10.7%	10.7%	
	Conservation at adequate temperatures	53.6%	78.6%	
	Food storage by product type	25.0%	53.6%	
	Pest management	85.7%	92.9%	
	Qualified personnel for handling meat	7.1%	14.3%	
	AVERAGE RISK (30.0)^a	13.54	17.36	

Average risk of each group of variables during the evaluation (2017) and verification (2019) periods. Reference. ^aMaximum value assigned to each group of variables. *p-value* = McNemar test

Bacteriological analysis

Results of microorganism enumeration in the 28 ground beef samples analyzed showed absence of *S. aureus* >10³ UFC/g in all samples, and mesophilic counts >10⁷ UFC/g in 12 (42.8 %) samples, distributed as follows: 3 from high-risk butchers, 8 from moderate-risk and 1 from low-risk butchers. *E. coli* >500 UFC/g was detected in 4 (14.3 %) samples, 2 from high-risk butchers, and 2 from moderate risk.

Salmonella spp. was detected in 5 (17.9 %) ground beef samples and isolated in 2 (7.1 %) of them. STEC was detected in 8 (28.6 %) samples and isolated in 2 (7.1 %) samples. Results are shown in Table 2A.

Table 2A. *Salmonella* spp., and STEC, detected and isolated from ground beef (A) and environmental samples (B) of butcher shops, in the evaluation (2017) and verification (2019) periods.

Microorganism	¹ Period	Ground beef n=28			
		Detection %(n)	² p-value	Isolation %(n)	² p-value
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	17.9 (5)	0.220	7.1 (2)	1.000
	3	3.6 (1)		3.6 (1)	
STEC	1	28.6 (8)	0.013	7.1 (2)	0.479
	3	ND		ND	

Reference. ND: Not detected. ¹Period: 1= Evaluation (2017), before implementing improvement actions; 3=Verification (2019), after implementing improvement actions. ²p-value = McNemar test.

Salmonella spp. was detected in 4/112 (3.6 %) environmental samples and isolated from 2 samples. STEC was detected in 32/112 (28.6 %) environmental samples and isolated from 4 (3.6 %) (knife and meat mincing machine). Results are shown in Table 2B. *E. coli* O157:H7 was not isolated from ground beef and environmental samples.

Improvement actions and consumer information

We promoted the implementation of a GMP program in all butcher shops because of 8 collective training meetings and 28 individual training sessions in each butcher shop. The owners and handlers of meat products belonging to the butchers implemented improvement measures considering the results of risk and microbiological analysis.

Butchers can optimize operational control during the production and sale chain of fresh ground beef. To this end, the concepts of food handling, cold chain, types of contamination, disinfection, and cleaning, among others, were reinforced. Corrective measures were applied on the variables associated with the production line, the SSOP and the raw material reception. Waste treatment was also reinforced.

The guidelines for the implementation of improvement actions addressing butchers are available at <http://www.ipcva.com.ar/files/manualcarniceros.pdf>

Building conditions were adjusted, bathrooms and changing rooms for work clothing were sectorized for workers. Other building improvements included lighting and ventilation, and conditioning of the ceiling, walls, floors, windows, and doors.

Table 2B. *Salmonella* spp., and STEC, detected and isolated from ground beef (A) and environmental samples (B) of butcher shops, in the evaluation (2017) and verification (2019) periods.

Microorganism	Period ¹	Knives n=28			
		Detection % (n)	p-value ²	Isolation % (n)	p-value ²
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	3.6 (1)	0.479	3.6 (1)	0.479
	3	3.6 (1)		ND	
non-O157 STEC	1	35.7 (10)	0.004	14.2 (4)	0.313
	3	ND		ND	
Manipulator hands n=28					
		Detection% (n)	p-value ²	Isolation% (n)	p-value ²
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	3.6 (1)	1.000	ND	-
	3	ND		ND	
non-O157 STEC	1	25 (7)	0.023	ND	-
	3	ND		ND	
Meat tables n=28					
		Detection% (n)	p-value ²	Isolation% (n)	p-value ²
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	7.1 (2)	0.479	3.6 (1)	1.000
	3	ND		ND	
non-O157 STEC	1	25 (7)	0.023	ND	-
	3	ND		ND	
Mincing machines n=28					
		Detection% (n)	p-value ²	Isolation% (n)	p-value ²
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	ND	0.479	ND	-
	3	7.1 (2)		ND	
non-O157 STEC	1	28.6 (8)	0.013	ND	-
	3	ND		ND	

Reference. ND: Not detected. ¹Period: 1= Evaluation (2017), before implementing improvement actions; 3=Verification (2019), after implementing improvement actions.

²p-value = McNemar test.

The procedures relating to good hygiene practices (GHP) of staff included personal hygiene and correct hand washing. Liquid soap, paper towels and wastebaskets were distributed at different points of the establishment. Regarding

dress standards, appropriate clothing was provided to each operator. Although emphasis was placed on its correct use and washing, the results were not always satisfactory. Other topics included pest control and the sanitary filters installation in strategic sites.

Within the framework of this project 53 teachers received informative brochures to prevent foodborne diseases, later transferred also to 1800 children, distributed to 40 school canteens, and exposed in food fairs. These trainings are part of a multisectoral effort including the Tafi Viejo Municipality and the Bromatology department.

Impact verification of the implemented improvement actions

Risk quantification and evaluation. Risk was reassessed in 2019 in all butcher shops, obtaining the following results: 3 (10.7 %) high-risk, 12 (42.8 %) moderate-risk and 13 (46.4 %) low-risk shops. All groups of variables investigated improved in 2019 compared with the 2017 period (Table 1). Specifically, 1) the situation and condition of buildings improved significantly, ($p=0.016$) except for the implementation of SSOP in the water supply tank, hot water and adequate staff changing room; 2) all the individual variables evaluated in equipment and tools did not show significant differences but improved ($p=0.173$); 3) the aspects concerned with handlers improved as compared with that observed in the 2017 period ($p=0.034$) except health verification; 4) in raw materials and products for sale, all the variables improved considerably in 2019 compared with 2017 period although they did not present significant differences ($p=0.861$); 5) most individual variables related with the production flow improved ($p=0.014$). However, further efforts are needed to introduce guidelines and procedures following GMP and SSOP programs, to ensure non-recurrence of deficiencies.

Bacteriological analysis

Results of microorganism enumeration in the 28 ground beef samples analyzed in 2019, showed *S. aureus* > 103 CFU/g in 1 (3.6 %) sample from a moderate-risk butcher, mesophilic counts >107 CFU/g in 3 (10.7 %) samples, 1 from a moderate-risk butcher and 2 from low-risk butchers and *E. coli* >500 CFU/g in 3 (10.7 %) samples, 2 from moderate-risk and 1 from low-risk butchers.

The average count of indicator microorganisms in ground beef between both periods was statistically significant in total mesophylls ($p=0.004$) and in *S. aureus* ($p=0.018$); in *E. coli* no significant differences were observed ($p=0.343$). In ground beef samples a reduction in the number of positive samples for pathogenic microorganisms was observed between both periods (Table 2A). *Salmonella* spp. was isolated from 1 (3.6 %) ground beef sample, showing no statistically significant differences when compared with that observed before the implementation of improvement actions ($p = 0.221$). *E. coli* O157:H7 was not isolated from ground beef and environmental samples. The decrease in the detection rate of STEC showed significant differences from one period to another ($p=0.013$). A significant reduction of STEC isolates was observed after implementation of improvement actions in all environmental samples, but mainly in knife samples ($p=0.004$).

Additionally, STEC were not isolated from environmental samples (meat tables, knives, mincing machines, manipulator hands) in the 2019 sampling period. Co-contamination with STEC and *Salmonella* spp. was detected and isolated from one environmental sample (knives) from a butcher shop.

The proportion of samples from ground beef, meat tables, knives, mincing machines and manipulator hands tested positive for *Salmonella* spp. and STEC is showed in Table 2B.

The association between indicators/pathogenic microorganisms and the estimated risk level (butcher shops) qualified as high risk a count of high microbial indicators associated with the detection of pathogenic microorganisms and in low-risk butcher shops, a low count of microbial indicators without detection of pathogenic microorganisms.

Discussion

In the present study, a first descriptive hygienic-sanitary risk assessment in butcher shops, of Tañi Viejo city, Tucumán province, using a checklist and standard microbiological analysis was completed. The results obtained were used to apply improvement actions, and subsequently verified using the same descriptive hygienic-sanitary risk and microbiological analysis. In Argentina, other authors (Barril *et al.*, 2019; Leotta *et al.*, 2016; Ruíz *et al.*, 2022) previously used this methodology to applied improvements in manipulation of meat products and facilities.

In this study, the butcher shops applied improvement with success. In Tañi Viejo, after applying improvements, 46.4 % of the butcher shops presented low risk, 42.8 % moderate risk and 10.7 % high-risk. In 73 butcher shops analysed in Neuquén province, 53.4 % showed low risk, 45.2 % moderate risk and 1.4 % high-risk. However, in Tandil (Buenos Aires province) 100% of the butcher shops analysed showed low risk. It is possible to identify great differences between butcher shops from different cities. The established criteria and the rigor of the auditors when applying the check list could affect the risk result.

With regards to raw meat products, safety and quality can be estimated using indicator microorganisms, and obtaining the total aerobic mesophilic, *S. aureus*, and *E. coli* count (de Souza Couto Oliveira & Freitas Brilhante de Sao José, 2019; Siriken, 2004). A higher total aerobic mesophilic count is usually an indication of poorer quality and reduced shelf life and could be associated with handling practices in abattoirs (Pérez Terrazzino *et al.*, 2023), transport (Milojevic *et al.*, 2018), discharge in butcher shops or in environment and manipulation in the butcher shops (Leotta *et al.*, 2016).

In this study, prior to application of improvement actions, 42.9 % and 14.3 % of the analysed samples showed total aerobic mesophilic and *E. coli* above AFC reference values. After improvement actions were applied, 10.7 %, 3.6 % and 10.7 % of the analysed samples of raw ground beef showed above reference values to total aerobic mesophilic, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively. These results could be explained by the inefficiency in the applied of SSOP, GHP and GMP procedures in the butcher shops; subsequently these were adjusted, showing lower total aerobic

mesophiles values. However, the *E. coli* counts remained the same, and the number of samples that exceeded the count limits established in the AFC for *S. aureus* increased. Probably, it is necessary to reinforce improvement actions in butcher shops, especially due to the deviations found with *S. aureus* that could be originated during handling (Ho et al., 2015), although we must also consider the quality of the product during the production process in the abattoirs. During 2016-2018, two Tucumán abattoirs that supply the Tañi Viejo butcher shops were analysed (Pérez Terrazzino et al., 2023) and qualified both as high risk. Indicators count on carcasses (approximately 8.000 cm²) for total aerobic mesophilic (3 log CFU/cm²) and *E. coli* (0.45 log CFU/cm²), were reported. These findings allow us to hypothesize abattoirs as a possible source of contamination. Moreover, it is interesting to compare the indicators results obtained in other reports on ground beef from butcher shops in Argentina. In this study, 53.6 % (n=28) of the fresh ground beef samples presented indicator counts above the reference limit.

Several studies carried out around the world on fresh meat reported results that differed from those of Tañi Viejo (Abreu et al., 2011; Bersisa et al., 2019; Maciel Sousa et al., 2012; Tonjo et al., 2022). Differences in contamination levels were probably due to differences in study areas, geographic characteristics of animal feeding systems and, mainly, personal hygiene practices of food handlers (Kegode, et al., 2008). In this study, we were able to demonstrate that in butcher shops where the risk was high, the pattern repeated was related to unacceptable indicator microorganisms counts and pathogenic microorganisms detection. Kang et al. (2018) reported that the counts of indicator microorganisms are mostly affected by temperature regulation during meat processing. The processing conditions, flow and distribution structure of meat are also identified as key factors to reduce microbiological loads. Therefore, meat markets should focus on maintaining raw materials and meat products at low temperatures, preventing cross-contamination, and avoiding the proliferation of microorganisms during distribution (Kang et al., 2018).

The relationship between indicator microorganisms and presence of foodborne pathogens in raw meats remains unclear (Wu et al., 2011). Several studies have shown the presence of *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157:H7, and STEC in ground beef from butcher shops (Bai et al., 2015; Bosilevac et al., 2007, Bosilevac & Koohmaraie, 2011; EFSA & ECDC, 2022; Fayemi et al., 2021; Momtaz et al., 2013; Toro et al., 2018). In our study, 50 % of the butcher shops presented, at least, one positive sample for the pathogenic microorganisms studied. Difference about rate of STEC reports in beef may be due to the methodologies used (PCR, isolation, immunopotentialization, hybridization), serotype search (O157:H7, O111, O145, O26, O121, O103) or all STEC, type of sample (ground beef or raw meat), year season, and controls performed on retails, among others. In this study, before reinforcing GPH, GHM and SSOP procedures, the proportion of ground beef samples that tested positive for STEC was 7.1 %, and the proportion of positive environmental samples was 3.6 %. After improvement actions in Tañi butchers, all samples were negative.

Prevalence studies of pathogens in commercially acquired meat products provide estimates that reflect the consumer exposure level (Barril et al., 2019). The reported rates of contamination with *Salmonella* spp. in beef at retail are also different depending on the country (Cabrera Díaz et al., 2020; EFSA (European Food Safety

Authority) & ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control), 2022; Siriken *et al.*, 2020; Vipham *et al.*, 2012; Yan *et al.*, 2010). In this study, before reinforcing GPH, GHM and SSOP, the proportion of ground beef samples screened positive for *Salmonella* spp. resulted 17.9 %, and the proportion of environmental samples positive to *Salmonella* spp. by isolation was 1.8 %. After improvement actions in Tañi butchers, 3.6 % and 3.6 % of ground beef and environmental samples were found positive, respectively. Unlike previous reports, in which different *Salmonella* serovars were found, in this study the unique *Salmonella* serovar identified was *Typhimurium*. These were isolated from ground meat and environmental samples, before and after implementing improvement actions. *S. Typhimurium* is associated with human diseases worldwide (Duong *et al.*, 2020; Mather *et al.*, 2018; Scallan *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2020; Vithayasai *et al.*, 2011; WHO, 2015). In 2017-2019, of 2.8 % acute diarrhea cases reported in Tucumán province (N=1167), were confirmed as salmonellosis (Base de datos cómputos, Hospital del Niño Jesús, Tucumán, Argentina). The etiological agent was identified as *S. Typhimurium*. In this context, the transmission of *S. Typhimurium* in Tucumán province through bovine ground beef should be considered. A possible source of contamination could be the abattoirs that supplied these butcher shops.

The HUS is endemic in Argentina (Rivas *et al.*, 2006) and recently the annual incidence was 0.6 cases per 100,000 inhabitants considering the total population (Boletín Epidemiológico Nacional 718, 2024). Brusa *et al.* (2020) published a Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment due to beef consumption in Argentina. According to these authors, it would be expected that 32 annual cases (10 %) of HUS in Argentina were originated after beef consumption, being ground beef the meat product with the highest risk. During the present study, only a few cases of HUS were reported in Tañi Viejo/ Tucumán, according with low reports of STEC and *E. coli* O157:H7 in butcher shops.

In Tucumán, reinforcing epidemiological studies in clinical cases to prevent salmonellosis are need. In addition, the effort on butcher shops must be continuous to consolidate the GHP, GMP and SSOP procedures, to reduce the indicators microorganisms charge and pathogenic bacteria.

All risk variables investigated after implementing improvement actions had a positive evolution. In building situation and conditions, handlers and production flow, significative differences were observed. However, in the equipment and tools and raw materials and products for sale significative differences were not observed.

The prevention of zoonotic diseases associated with meat consumption can be improved through collaboration and cooperation under the “One Health” concept, since meat contamination can occur at various points involved in production and processing (in the farm) to consumption (on the table) (Ali & Alsayeqh, 2022). Understanding health in global terms, considering the microorganisms circulating among the population, but also between animals and the environment, is the key to success in the control of present and future infectious conditions. After the improvements actions applied in Tañi Viejo butcher shops, it was only possible to reduce the total aerobic mesophilic count, *Salmonella* was isolated in ground beef from butcher shops classified as high-risk, and STEC was not isolated. The most problematic points detected can be improved with the implementation of an integrated GMP program. In addition, the application of SSOP should be reinforced.

Emphasis should be placed mainly on specific training in the preparation of meat according to species, not mixing cooked products with raw materials or using the same refrigeration chamber for the storage of merchandise other than meat. Therefore, improvement of GMP is critical to reduce cross-contamination between the environment and beef products, and ultimately, to avoid foodborne illness. In this sense, it is necessary to reinforce and consolidate the step of implementation of improvement actions and the verification of the processes in all the butcher shops from the province of Tucumán.

Conclusions

This is the first study carried out in Tucumán province, with a focus on the effective implementation of strategies based on detecting fails in the use of GMP, GHP and SSOP. The feasibility of implementing this comprehensive risk management program with the sampling to validate their application and the statistical verification of the improvements, were useful tools to identify and correct the sanitary and hygienic state of the butcher shops in Tafi Viejo city. However, there is a need to expand collaborative efforts to improve food safety at home and retail level to prevent foodborne illness.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest

There is no conflict of interest, including financial relationships, personal or other type with other people or organizations, which could influence in a way inappropriate at work.

Author agreement and contributions

Moreno Mochi, María Paula: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, roles/writing-original draft, writing-review & editing. Vargas, Juan Martín: Conceptualization & methodology. Costa, Magdalena: writing-review & editing. Solorzano, María Victoria; Vega, Silvia; Condori, Marina Susana: Resources. Leotta, Gerardo Aníbal: Conceptualization & Supervision. Jure, María Angela: Conceptualization, supervision, roles/writing -original draft, writing-review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We thank A Di Maggio for correcting and editing the manuscript.

References

- Abreu CO de, Merlini LS, Begotti IL. 2011. Pesquisa de *Salmonella* spp, *Staphylococcus aureus*, coliformes totais e coliformes termotolerantes em carne moída comercializada no município de Umuarama - Pr*. Arquivos de Ciências Veterinárias e Zoologia da UNIPAR, Umuarama. 14(1):19-23.
- AFC (Argentinean Food Code). Capítulo VI Alimentos cárneos y afines. 2022. Disponible en: https://www.argentina.gob.ar/sites/default/files/capitulo_vi_carneos_actualiz._2022-12.pdf [Consultado 31/8/2024]
- Ali S, Alsayeqh AF. 2022. Review of major meat-borne zoonotic bacterial pathogens. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 10, 1045599. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1045599>
- Bai X, Wang H, Xin Y, Wei R, Tang X, Zhao A, Sun H, Zhang W, Wang Y, Xu Y, Zhang Z, Li Q, Xu J, Xiong Y. 2015. Prevalence and characteristics of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from retail raw meats in China. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 200:31-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.01.018>
- Barril PA, Soto SA, Jaureguiberry MV, Gottardi G, Bascur I, Leotta GA, Oteiza JM. 2019. Microbiological risk characterization in butcher shops from the province of Neuquén, Patagonia Argentina. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*. 107:35-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.02.074>
- Bersisa A, Tulu D, Negera C. 2019. Investigation of bacteriological quality of meat from abattoir and butcher shops in Bishoftu, Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Microbiology*. 2019: 6416803. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6416803>
- Boletín Epidemiológico Nacional, N° 635. Semana epidemiológica 1. 2023. Disponible en: https://www.argentina.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2024/04/ben_635_se_1.pdf
- Boletín Epidemiológico Nacional. N° 718. Semana epidemiológica 33. 2024. Disponible en: https://www.argentina.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2024/04/ben_718_se_33.pdf [Consultado 26/08/2024]
- Bosilevac JM, Koohmaraie M. 2011. Prevalence and characterization of non- O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from commercial ground beef in the United States. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 77(6):2103-12. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02833-10>
- Bosilevac JM, Guerini MN, Brichta-Harhay DM, Arthur TM, Koohmaraie M. 2007. Microbiological characterization of imported and domestic boneless beef trim used for ground beef. *Journal of Food Protection*. 70(2):440-9. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-70.2.440>
- Brusa V, Costa M, Londero A, Leotta GA, Galli L. 2017. Characterization and molecular subtyping of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains in butcher shops. *Foodborne pathogens and disease*. 14(5):253-9. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2016.2238>
- Brusa V, Costa M, Padola NL, Etcheverría A, Sampedro F, Fernández PS, Leotta GA, Signorini ML. 2020. Quantitative risk assessment of haemolytic uremic syndrome associated with beef consumption in Argentina. *PloS one*. 15(11):e0242317. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242317>
- Cabrera Díaz E, Ascencio Anguiano AI, Segura García LE, Pérez Covarrubias OB, Orozco García AG, Varela Hernández JJ, González González G. 2020. Impacto de fallas en las buenas prácticas de manufactura en la producción de carne molida res en carnicerías de tres municipios de la zona metropolitana de Guadalajara. *E-CUCBA*. 14:55-67. <https://doi.org/10.32870/e-cucba.voi14.170>
- Carbonari CC, Miliwebsky ES, Zolezzi G, Deza NL, Fittipaldi N, Manfredi E, Baschkier A, D'Astak BA, Melano RG, Schesi C, Rivas M, Chinen I. 2022. The importance of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O145: NM[H28]/H28 infections in Argentina, 1998-2020. *Microorganisms*. 10(3):582. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10030582>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013. Incidence and trends of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food-foodborne diseases active surveillance network, 10 U.S. sites, 1996-2012. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 62(15):283-7. Disponible en: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6215a2.htm> [Consultado 27/08/2024]

- de Souza Couto Oliveira J, Freitas Brilhante de São José J. 2019. Food handling practices and microbial quality in street food. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research*. 7(4):319-24. <https://doi.org/10.12691/jfnr-7-4-9>
- Duong VT, The HC, Nhu TDH, Tuyen HT, Campbell JI, Minh PV, Phuc HL, Chau TTH, Ngoc NM, Vi LL, Mather AE, Baker S. 2020. Genomic serotyping, clinical manifestations, and antimicrobial resistance of nontyphoidal salmonella gastroenteritis in hospitalized children in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 58(12):e01465-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01465-20>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) & ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control). 2022. The European Union One Health. Zoonoses Report. EFSA Journal. 20 (12):e07666. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7666>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control). 2016. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. EFSA Journal. 14(12):4634,1-231. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4634>
- Fayemi OE, Akanni GB, Elegbeleye JA, Aboaba OO, Njage PM. 2021. Prevalence, characterization and antibiotic resistance of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* serogroups isolated from fresh beef and locally processed ready-to-eat meat products in Lagos, Nigeria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 347: 109191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109191>
- Fundación Lucha contra el Síndrome Urémico Hemolítico. Disponible en: <http://www.lusuh.org.ar/material.html> [Consultado 3/9/2024]
- Gannon VP, D'Souza S, Graham T, King RK, Rahn K, Read S. 1997. Use of the flagellar H7 gene as a target in mutiplex PCR assays and improved specificity in identification of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* strains. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 35(3):656-2. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.35.3.656-662.1997>
- Ho J, Boost MV, O'Donoghue MM. 2015. Tracking sources of *Staphylococcus aureus* hand contamination in food handlers by spa typing. *American Journal of Infection Control*. 43(7):759-61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.03.022>
- IPCVA (Instituto Promotor de la Carne Vacuna Argentina). 2012. Manual Carnicerías Saludables. Disponible en: <http://www.ipcva.com.ar/files/manualcarniceros.pdf> [Consultado 3/9/2024]
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization)-16649-2. 2001. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs—Horizontal method for the enumeration of beta-glucuronidase-positive *Escherichia coli*— Part 2: Colony-count technique at 44 degrees C using 5-bromo-4- chloro-3-indolyl beta-D-glucuronide. Disponible en: <https://www.iso.org/standard/29824.html> [Consultado 28/8/2024]
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization)-16654. 2001. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal method for the detection of *Escherichia coli* O157. International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Disponible en: <https://www.iso.org/standard/29821.html> [Consultado 28/8/2024]
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization)-6579-1. 2017. Microbiology of the food chain — Horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of *Salmonella* — Part 1: Detection of *Salmonella* spp. Disponible en: <https://www.iso.org/standard/56712.html> [Consultado 28/8/2024]
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization)-6888-1.2021. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs—Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus* and other species)—Part 1: Technique using Baird-Parker agar medium. 2da Edición. Disponible en: <https://www.iso.org/standard/76672.html> [Consultado 28/8/2024]
- Jure MA, Condori MS, Pérez Terrazzino G, Catalán MG, López Campo A, Zolezzi G, Chinen I, Rivas M, Castillo M. 2015. Isolation and characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157 in bovine meat products and cattle in the province of Tucumán. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología*. 47(2):125-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2015.03.006>
- Kang IB, Kim DH, Jeong D, Kim H, Seo KH. 2018. Contamination level of hygiene indicator and prevalence of foodborne pathogens in retail beef in parallel with market factor. *Korean Journal Food Science Animal Resources*. 38(6):1237-45. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2018.e57>

- Karch H, Bohm H, Schmidt H, Gunzer F, Aleksic S, Heesemann J. 1993. Clonal structure and pathogenicity of Shiga-like toxin-producing, sorbitol-fermenting *Escherichia coli* O157:H. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 31(5):1200-5.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.31.5.1200-1205.1993>
- Kegode RB, Doetkott DK, Khaita ML, Wesley IV. 2008. Occurrence of Campylobacter species, Salmonella species and generic *Escherichia coli* in meat products from retail outlets in the Fargo Metropolitan area. Journal of Food Safety. 28 (1):111-25.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.2007.00099.x>
- Leotta GA, Chinen I, Epszteyn S, Miliwebsky E, Melamed IC, Motter M, Ferrer M, Marey E, Rivas M. 2005. Validación de una técnica de PCR múltiple para la detección de *Escherichia coli* productor de toxina Shiga. Revista Argentina de Microbiología.37:1-10.
- Leotta GA. 2006. Validación de una técnica de reacción en cadena de la polimerasa (PCR) para la detección de *Escherichia coli* productor de toxina Shiga en alimentos cárnicos (tesis de maestría). Entidad Otorgante: Administración Nacional de Laboratorios e Institutos de Salud (ANLIS) “Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán” y Universidad Nacional de San Martín.
- Leotta GA, Brusa V, Galli L, Adriani C, Linares L, Etcheverría A, Sanz M, Sucari A, Peral García P, Signorini M. 2016. Comprehensive evaluation and implementation of improvement actions in butcher shops. PloS one. 11(9):e0162635.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162635>
- Maciel Sousa T, da Cunha Neto A, Hernandez T, De Souza Souto PC. 2012. Microrganismos patogênicos e indicadores de condições higiênico- sanitárias em carne moída comercializada na cidade de Barra do Garças, MT. Acta Veterinaria Brasilica. 6(2):124-30.
- Malorny B, Hoorfar J, Bunge C, Helmuth R. 2003. Multicenter validation of the analytical accuracy of *Salmonella* PCR: towards an international standard. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 69(1): 290-6.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.1.290-296.2003>
- Mather AE, Phuong TLT, Gao Y, Clare S, Mukhopadhyay S, Goulding DA, Hoang NTD, Tuyen HT, Lan NPH, Thompson CN, Trang NHT, Carrique-Mas J, Tue NT, Campbell JI, Rabaa MA, Thanh DP, Harcourt K, Hoa NT, Trung NV, Schultz C, Baker S. 2018. New variant of multidrug-resistant Salmonella enteric serovar Typhimurium associated with invasive disease in immunocompromised patients in Vietnam. mBio. 9(5), e01056-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01056-18>
- Maturin L, Peeler JT. Chapter 3. Aerobic Plate Count. En: Bacteriological Analytical Manual 2001. 8va edición. Disponible en:
<http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm063346.htm> [Consultado 28/8/2024].
- Milojevic L, Lakicevic B, Jankovic V, Mitrovic R, Nikolic A, Babic M, Velebit B. 2018. A hygiene report regarding slaughter process of pig and cattle carcasses for 2017 in Serbia. Scientific Journal Meat Technology & Quot. 59(1), 1-7.
<https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2018.59.1.1>
- Momtaz H, Dehkordi FS, Hosseini MJ, Sarshar M, Heidari M. 2013. Serogroups, virulence genes and antibiotic resistance in Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheic and non-diarrheic pediatric patients in Iran. Gut pathogens. 5(1):39.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1757-4749-5-39>
- Paton AW, Sriramanote P, Woodrow MC, Paton JC. 2001. Characterization of Saa, a novel autoagglutinating adhesin produced by locus of enterocyte effacement-negative Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* strains that are virulent for humans. Infection and Immunity. 69(11):6999-7009. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.69.11.6999-7009.2001>
- Pérez Terrazzino G, Costa M, López Campo A, Saade C, Moreno Mochi MP, Signorini M, Roge A, Van Der Ploeg C, Leotta G, Jure MA. 2023. Comprehensive evaluation of abattoirs with no Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point plan in Tucumán, Argentina. Revista Argentina de Microbiología. 55(2):181-8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2022.11.003>
- Rivas M, Miliwebsky E, Chinen I, Deza N, Leotta GA. 2006. The epidemiology of hemolytic uremic syndrome in Argentina. Diagnosis of the etiologic agent, reservoirs and routes of transmission. Medicina. 66 Suppl 3, 27-32.

- Ruiz MJ, Padola NL, Leotta GA, Colello R, Passucci J, Rodríguez E, Fernández Fellenz D, Krüger A, Sanz M, Elichiribehety E, Etcheverría AI. 2022. Microbiological quality of fresh ground beef and detection of pathogens in environmental samples taken from butcher shops in the city of Tandil, Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología*. 54(3):215-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2021.04.003>
- Scallan E, Mahon BE, Hoekstra RM, Griffin PM. 2013. Estimates of illnesses, hospitalizations and deaths caused by major bacterial enteric pathogens in young children in the United States. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*. 32(3):217-21. <https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e31827ca763>
- Schmidt H, Beutin L, Karch H. 1995. Molecular analysis of the plasmid- encoded hemolysin of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain EDL 933. *Infection and Immunity*. 63(3):1055-61. <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.63.3.1055-1061.1995>
- Scott E. 2003. Food safety and foodborne disease in 21st century homes. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*. 14(5):277-80. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2003/363984>
- Siriken B. 2004. The microbiological quality of ground beef in Aydin and Afyon Provinces, Turkey. *Revue de médecine vétérinaire*. 155(12):632-6.
- Siriken B, Gökhan Al, Erol I. 2020. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Salmonella Typhimurium* in ground beef and meatball samples in Samsun, Turkey. *Microbial Drug Resistance*. 26(2):136-44. <https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2018.0481>
- Sirsat SA, Kim K, Gibson KE, Crandall PG, Ricke SC, Neal JA. 2014. Tracking microbial contamination in retail environments using fluorescent powder a retail delicatessen environment example. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. 85:51402. <https://doi.org/10.3791/51402>
- Sun H, Wan Y, Du P, Bai L. 2019. The epidemiology of monophasic *Salmonella Typhimurium*. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*. 17(2):87-97. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2019.2676>
- Tonjo T, Manilal A, Seid M. 2022. Bacteriological quality and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of isolates of ready-to-eat raw minced meat from hotels and restaurants in Arba Minch, Ethiopia. *PloSone*. 17(9):e0273790. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273790>
- Toro M, Rivera D, Jiménez MF, Díaz L, Navarrete P, Reyes-Jara A. 2018. Isolation and characterization of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) isolated from retail ground beef in Santiago, Chile. *Food Microbiology*. 75:55-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.10.015>
- Torrez Lamberti MF, López FE, Valdez P, Bianchi A, Barrionuevo Medina E, Pescaretti MLM, Delgado MA. 2020. Epidemiological study of prevalent pathogens in the Northwest region of Argentina (NWA). *PloS one*. 15(10):e0240404. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240404>
- Torso LM, Voorhees RE, Forest SA, Gordon AZ, Silvestri SA, Kissler B, Schlackman J, Sandt CH, Toma P, Bachert J, Mertz KJ, Harrison LH. 2015. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreak associated with restaurant beef grinding. *Journal of Food Protection*. 78(7):1272-79. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-14-545>
- Torti JF, Cuervo P, Nardello A, Pizarro M. 2021. Epidemiology and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* of hemolytic uremic syndrome in Argentina. *Cureus*. 13(8):e17213. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.17213>
- Vipham JL, Brashears MM, Loneragan GH, Echeverry A, Brooks JC, Chaney WE, Miller MF. 2012. *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* baseline in retail ground beef and whole-muscle cuts purchased during 2010 in the United States. *Journal of Food Protection*. 75(12):2110-15. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-077>
- Vithayasai N, Rampengan NH, Hattasingh W, Jennuvat S, Sirivichayakul C. 2011. Clinical features of gastrointestinal salmonellosis in children in Bangkok, Thailand. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*. 42(4):901-11.
- Wagner VR, Silveira JB, Tondo EC. 2013. Salmonellosis in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil, 2002 to 2004. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*. 44(3):723-9. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822013005000064>

- WHO (World Health Organization). 2015. WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007-2015. World Health Organization. Disponible en: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/199350> [Consultado 1/9/2024]
- WHO (World Health Organization). 2020. WHO Food safety. Disponible en: <https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-safety> [Consultado 1/9/2024]
- Wu J, Long SC, Das D, Dorner SM. 2011. Are microbial indicators and pathogens correlated? A statistical analysis of 40 years of research. *Journal of Water and Health*. 9(2):265-78. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2011.117>
- Yan H, Li L, Alam MJ, Shinoda S, Miyoshi S, Shi L. 2010. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* in retail foods in northern China. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 143(3):230-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.07.034>



Available in:

<https://portal.amelica.org/ameli/ameli/journal/25/254942007/254942007.pdf>

How to cite

Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the
Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open
access initiative

María Paula Moreno Mochi, Juan Martín Vargas,
Magdalena2 Costa, María Victoria Solorzano, Silvia Vega,
Susana Condori, Gerardo Aníbal Leotta, María Angela Jure
**Assessment of hygienic-sanitary status and
implementation of an integral risk management program
in butcher shops from Tafí Viejo city, Tucumán, Argentina**
Evaluación del estado higiénico-sanitario e implementación
de un programa de gestión integral de riesgos en carnicerías
de la ciudad de Tafí Viejo, Tucumán, Argentina

Analecta Veterinaria
vol. 44, e087, 2024
Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina
analecta@fcv.unlp.edu.ar

ISSN: 0365-5148
ISSN-E: 1514-2590

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24215/15142590e087>



CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 LEGAL CODE

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-
ShareAlike 4.0 International.**