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Abstract. Reuse-oriented software methodologies have emerged to provide a set of rules or guides for development and evolution of software systems by reusing existing domain engineering artefacts such as requirements, architecture, components, test cases, etc. Domain engineering methods, such as software product lines engineering, aim at reducing development time, effort, cost, and complexity by taking advantage of the commonality within a portfolio of similar products. However, these methodologies are not based on specific domains, instead they cover a wide range of domains without considering particularities within them. Therefore, in this work we define a novel approach in which the creation of a software product line is guided by a subdomain analysis process, oriented to a particular case within the marine ecology domain. The methodology is then applied to a specific organization in order to create a product of the line.
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1 Introduction

The great increment in the number of developed systems and the emerging methodologies to specify a well-design software development have generated new reuse-oriented paradigms. Although this practice of software reuse started since at least thirty years ago [1, 6], it is during the last few years that a new explosion of different methodologies have emerged. In general, the reuse-oriented software

* This work is partially supported by the UNComa project 04/E072 (Identificación, Evaluación y Uso de Composiciones Software).
engineering aims at improving the known drawbacks of the traditional software
development. Thus, they are focused on reducing the development costs, time-
to-market, and complexity; and improving some non-functional requirements as
maintenance, evolution, etc. Within this software reuse research line, the Software
Product Line Engineering (SPLE) has emerged from the advances in the
software architecture area. In \cite{4} the SPLE is introduced as a set of systems
sharing common features and satisfying specific needs of a segment of a market.
The main characteristics involved in this discipline are \cite{10}: variability, in which
individual systems are considered as variations of a common part; architecture-
based, in which the software must be developed by considering the similarities
among individual systems; and two-life cycles approach, in which two engineer-
ings in every software product line process must be considered: domain engi-
eering and application engineering \cite{8,10}. In the last ten years have emerged
several proposals \cite{2,9} based on the feature oriented paradigm within the do-
main analysis area \cite{1}. In general, these proposals provide extensions of the
Feature-Oriented Domain Analysis (FODA) proposed by Kang et.al \cite{6}. Thus,
the feature-oriented paradigm is used as de-facto standard for representing vari-
ability in SPL. However, there exists no a standard methodology and/or tools
to be used in a software product line development. In addition, the new SPL
methodologies are aimed at a wide-spectrum of domains, without considering
particularities of some of them. For instance, when we consider the domain of
geographic information, we can find a set of common services that can be easily
extracted from any GIS, such as map panning and zoom, edition of geographic
features, layer management, etc. Also, the standard information defined by the
Open Geospatial Consortium\footnote{http://www.opengeospatial.org} (OGC) and the ISO Technical Committee 211\footnote{http://www.isotc211.org/}
(ISO/TC 211, Geographic Information/Geomatics) provide a taxonomy of geo-
graphic services that can be used as a starting point to define these common
services. However, although this standard is useful to understand the wide range
of services every GIS is able of offering, these services are defined in a very
generic and abstract way. Creating a software product line with these services
would generate too many open issues that could be handled only by very complex
variabilities. Therefore, it is necessary to delimit the set of geographic services
to a specific subdomain, in our case, the marine ecology domain. The abstract
services defined in the standard can be adapted to this subdomain in order
to obtain and manipulate information useful for organizations working in this
subdomain.

This work emerges as an extension of a previous work presented in \cite{7} in which
we have shown an implementation of a SPL in the marine ecology subdomain. In
this work, we define a new methodology for creating a software product line for
geographic information within the same subdomain. Geographic software here
shares a set of common services that are essential for every application; therefore
these common services must be identified and modeled as part of the product
line together with different variations. To do so, we refine the services provided

\begin{itemize}
  \item \cite{4} http://www.opengeospatial.org
  \item \cite{9} http://www.isotc211.org/
\end{itemize}
by the ISO 19119 std adapting them to the user’s requirements in the domain. Also, we define a set of steps and rules used in the creation of an application framework to be used as a platform for each product of the line. The framework covers the behavior that is common for all products and allow developers to add product-specific features. The methodology applies the knowledge obtained in the subdomain in order to guide the activities for creating the SPL.

This paper is organized as follows: next section describes our methodology to create a product-line for marine ecology applications based on the particularities of this domain. Then, we describe the instantiation of the product line applied to an real project. Future work and conclusions are discussed afterwards.

2 A Subdomain-Oriented Software Product Line Methodology

Our development methodology combines advantages of several methodologies widely referenced in academy and industry [3, 5, 6, 8] and extend them in order to apply a subdomain view which guides the development of a software product line. Figure 1 shows the main activities of the methodology concerning the domain engineering phase. This phase is divided into two levels: subdomain and organizational. At the first level we define three processes which impact directly on the activities defined at the second level. The colored in gray rectangles in the figure denote the processes of the subdomain analysis and their influence into the activities of the organizational analysis. The processes of this subdomain level collect and model information concerning the particularities of the marine ecology domain. On the other hand, at the organizational level, the subdomain information is used to be applied to an organizational analysis in which organizations within the subdomain are analyzed. The information modeled and implemented at the organizational level will be a subset of the information captured at the subdomain level.

Fig. 1. Activities of the Domain Engineering Process for the Marine Ecology Domain
The three processes of the marine ecology subdomain level include the following tasks:

- **Information source analysis**: This process involves three sources to be considered within the marine ecology subdomain: standards, existing applications and domain experts. The standard information is obtained from the ISO 19119 standard in order to extract, classify and refine general services of GIS domain. The existing applications correspond to an analysis of geographic open source tools available on Internet. The analysis of these tools is useful to know which software components or applications can be reused to implement specific services. To do so, we classified the tools into three categories: 
  - *thin web clients* such as Mapfish\(^5\), p.mapper\(^6\), Ka-Map\(^7\), and MapBender\(^8\),
  - *geographic databases* such as MySQL GIS\(^9\) and Postgis\(^10\) databases, and 
  - *map servers* such as UMN MapServer\(^11\) and GeoServer\(^12\). Finally, the domain experts are people experienced in the marine ecology subdomain who can be currently (or not) working at organizations in this subdomain. They provide useful information about different aspects to be considered. Also, software engineers and developers are part of this group and they are responsible for capturing, understanding, and analyzing all the information about the marine ecology subdomain.

- **Subdomain analysis and conceptualization**: Here, the information recovered in the previous process is used to analyze and organize the features or services that subdomain should offer. These features are defined by taking into account the standard services (ISO 19119 std) and the information provided by domain experts. Table 1\(^14\) shows part of the resultant features that are specifically defined for the marine ecology subdomain. For brevity reasons we do not include here all of them.

In addition in this process the subdomain must be conceptualized. Different software artefact can be used here, such as class models, process models, etc.

- **Reusable component analysis**: This process identifies the set of reusable components that could be used to implement the features defined in the last process. Aspect as flexibility, evolution and maintenance must be carefully

---

\(^5\) http://mapfish.org/
\(^6\) http://www.pmapper.net/
\(^7\) http://ka-map.maptools.org/
\(^8\) http://www.mapbender.org/
\(^9\) http://dev.mysql.com/tech-resources/articles/4.1/gis-with-mysql.html
\(^10\) http://postgis.refractions.net/
\(^11\) http://mapserver.org/
\(^12\) http://geoserver.org/
\(^13\) In [3] a feature is defined as logical unit of behavior that is specified by a set of functional and quality requirements.
\(^14\) In the table we can see that the term “feature” has a different meaning. In the standard a “feature” is defined as an abstraction of real world phenomena. We refer this term as “geographic feature” in order to differentiate it from the term “feature” defined by the domain engineering process.
Table 1. Part of geographic services required by the marine ecology subdomain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Specific Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geographic human interaction</td>
<td>S1. Geographic viewer</td>
<td>S1.1) a) Show zones. b) Show stations within a zone. c) ... S1.2) a) Show/hide the density layer. b) Show a map with the location of zones. b) Show a map with the abundance of species...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S2. Geographic feature editor</td>
<td>S2.1) a) Show a map with the location of zones. b) Show a map with the abundance of species...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic model/information management services</td>
<td>S3. Feature access service</td>
<td>S3.1) a) Query zones of density of species. b) Query zones in which the population of species are higher than a specific value. c) ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4. Catalog service</td>
<td>S4.1) a) Query and edit data in a catalog of geographic services. b) ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial processing services</td>
<td>S5. Proximity analysis service</td>
<td>S5.1) a) Obtain the location of stations within a specific zone. b)...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal processing services</td>
<td>S6. Temporal proximity analysis service</td>
<td>S6.1) a) Obtain the number of specimens of specific species in a zone at different times. b) ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thematic processing services</td>
<td>S7. Change detection service</td>
<td>S7.1) a) Find changes among densities of species on different surveys. b) ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

specified by means of the definition of the general structure of the subdomain. This process defines a reference model which will be refined for the activities at the organizational level. Thus, it must be created a preliminary structure composed of reusable components derived from the features obtained in the previous processes. In this process we define several reusable components. One of them is the Graphical Interface which implements some of the subfeatures define in feature S1. This component is in turn connected to other component (named Manage Geographic Features) implementing some subfeatures of features S1 and S2 (see Table 1).

Following, we briefly described the main activities at the organizational level (Figure 1) driven by the marine ecology subdomain analysis processes (described previously).

- **Situation analysis**: This activity analyzes the current situation of different organizations in Argentina working on the marine ecology subdomain. Here is really important the information provided by domain experts that are currently working on organizations (in our case in Argentina) in this subdomain. They provide specific information about their requirements and the way in which they perform their tasks. Thus, a analysis of existing applications in the different organizations is performed. In our analysis, we saw that few organizations had applications involving geographic information. They had only office software tools in which almost all the tasks were made manually. Therefore, the costs and staff needed were analyzed by taking into account two main phases. In the first one, we analyzed the aspects needed to implement the product line and its supporting application framework. In
the second phase, we analyzed what we needed to implement future product lines by instantiating the framework. The output of this activity is an organizational model considering all these aspects.

- **Reuse and boundary analysis:** The analysis performed in the previous activity provides the information about which development approach can be used. In this case, as the organizations did not have previous software developments, the line adopts an evolutionary approach. However, by using the information provided by the information source analysis process at the subdomain level, we consider the use of existing open source software tools for GIS to be used as part of the development process. In addition, the activity defines the organizational boundary and commonality and variability features. Thus, by considering the features specified in the subdomain analysis and conceptualization processes (Table 1) and the information from domain experts, the scope of the product line is defined. Then, this activity analyzes which of the features can be implemented by the geographic open source tools. For instance in our analysis we define that several of the thin web clients tools can implement features S1, S2 and S5. All this information is put in a feature/tool matrix in order to visualize which open source tools implement which features and define the future component/application reuse. In addition to functional features, other tasks in this activity is to identify non-functional requirements (quality aspects). In general, this type of requirements are defined by the software engineers and will impact on the tasks of the next activities.

- **Organizational requirements:** In this activity, we use the information of the commonality and variability features identified in the last activity and the information provided by the subdomain analysis and conceptualization and reusable component analysis processes. The main goal here is to define the range of products and features that the line is able to implement. As our methodology follows a minimalist approach, only the features used in all products are part of the product line. In our case, this approach allowed us to fully implement only common features and let the product-specific features be implemented by each different organization. Thus, our software product line is then seen as a platform. The first task here is to create a product/feature matrix indicating the features required by each product. Then, by using this matrix in the second task the features to be part of the line must be derived. Table 2 shows a subset of features that are part of the product-line and a subset of features that are product-specific features. As we can observe, features S1-S3, S5-S6 and S8 are part of the product-line. S7 is a product-specific feature that will be implemented only by Product 1. Similarly, features S4, S9, S10 and S11 are part only of each Product 2, 3 and 4, respectively. In addition, within each feature we determined the commonality and variability models. For example, for the feature S2.1, the variability model describes the two variants of editing and seeing geographic features - by using maps or tables.

- **Platform analysis and design:** This activity builds the reference architecture based on the features defined in the previous activities and processes. The
Table 2. Features that are part of the product-line and specific-product features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products/Features</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S7</th>
<th>S8</th>
<th>S9</th>
<th>S10</th>
<th>S11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product-line</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

preliminary structure of reusable components defined in the reusable component analysis process is reorganized and refined in order to perform two tasks. Firstly, the components can be refined in order to add the variability. Decisions about specifying different components for each variability or include the variability within the components, or a merge between both, must be taken into account. Secondly, the features must be reorganized into two sets of requirements to separate functional from non-functional (quality) needs. These sets will be the basis to define our architecture’s components. Here, we define a layered architectural style to facilitate dealing with modifiability and scalability requirements. Figure 2 shows the reference architecture in which three main layers are defined. In addition, the figure shows which features (defined in Table 1) are implemented by which components and the dependences among them. For instance, the change detection component (of the geographic processing layer) implements the feature S7.1 and the geographic statistics component implements features S13.1 and S13.2\(^\text{15}\). The components colored in gray are components that are part of the platform and the others are specific for one or more products in the line.

- **Platform implementation**: In this activity the components that are common for all products, that is, the components of the line, are implemented. This activity creates the application framework which will be used as a platform for each product of the line. The framework also allows developers to add the product-specific features.
- **Validation**: There are several aspects to analyze within this activity. Firstly, some test cases must be defined in order to test the framework and the specification of the product line. Secondly, when a new product is developed we must test this new instantiation (as the one we will describe in the next section).

3 Instantiating the Product Line: A Case Study

In this section we show an instantiation of the SPL created specifically for the marine ecology subdomain. We build the Product 1 (Table 2) containing features of the product line plus a set of product-specific ones (S7). The other products

\(^{15}\text{S13 generates statistics from geographic features providing several ways to represent the information (charts, tables, etc.)}\)
in the software line (Products 2-4) will belong to other organizations within the marine ecological domain (Instituto Argentino de Oceanografía\textsuperscript{16}, Centro Nacional Patagónico\textsuperscript{17}, and Laboratorio de Moluscos y Crustáceos belonging to the University of Mar del Plata).

Product 1 emerged from a project between GIISCO research group\textsuperscript{18} and the Instituto de Biología Marina y Pesquera “Almirante Storni”\textsuperscript{19} (IBMPAS). IBMPAS is responsible for analyzing and storing information about sea surveys in the San Matías Gulf, Patagonia Argentina. Each survey, performed once a year (when it is possible), collects information about the population of specific species living in this area. This information is then used for spatial processing in order to obtain information about spatial distribution of data, population variation patterns in different scales, etc.

In order to instantiate and develop the Product 1 we have performed the following steps:

- We have defined the features of Table 1 according to the requirements of the product. The features were refined to include the specific layers required by the IBMPAS. Thus, in this product we implemented several layers including surveys, sea zones\textsuperscript{20}, and stations\textsuperscript{21}.
- We have instantiated the variability models associated to the features (Table 2). For instance, the feature S2.1 in this product is implemented by using tables (not by using histograms).

\textsuperscript{16}http://iado.criba.edu.ar/web/
\textsuperscript{17}http://www.cenpat.edu.ar/
\textsuperscript{18}http://giisco.uncoma.edu.ar/
\textsuperscript{19}http://ibmpas.org/
\textsuperscript{20}A zone is a maritime area bounded and defined with a specific name in the gulf.
\textsuperscript{21}A station is a geographic point located within one of the defined zones. In this location the measures of population of species are obtained.
Finally, we have created the architecture based on the reference architecture defined in the domain engineering process (Figure 2). This architecture contains the components defined for the SPL and those components specifically created for this product (S7).

Part of Product 1 is already implemented. As an example, we describe here two of the services implemented – change detection and query geographic feature services. The first one is shown in Figure 3 and returns information about the places (stations) in which a species (Viera Tehuelche) is found in different surveys (year 87 in yellow and year 96 in violet) in different zones (polygons in green). This service is very useful to analyze migration movements of species. Feature S7.1 is implemented in this service. The other service, query geographic feature, can be also shown in Figure 3. The service presents a description table in the bottom when one or more zones are selected (in this case two zones were selected). This service is the implementation of feature S2.1 with the table variability instantiated.

![Fig. 3. A map showing the change detection and query geographic feature services](image)

4 Conclusion and Future Work

In this work we have defined a methodology oriented to create a software product line in the marine ecology domain. Our work emerges as a solution to different organizations within this domain. Although a great effort must be put initially for creating the product line, benefits appear when creating each new product. In this paper we have shown a real case study in which we have instantiated the line in order to create a specific product for the IBMPAS. The benefits of this model of development have not been directly measured, but one indicator of the success is that the development cost was drastically reduced.

As future work, the methodology and the framework need more validation, but we are aware that developing management guidelines is also crucial for successfully applying the approach. Within this line, we are developing a supporting tool (as Eclipse plug-in) which interacts with software engineers and developers in the process of creation a new product in the line.
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