Characterization of University Drop-Out at UNRN Using Data Mining. A Study Case
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Abstract. At the National University of Rio Negro (UNRN), and its Atlantic Coast Delegation in particular, it is an increasing concern for the courses corresponding to the Bachelor's Degree in Systems, the drop-out and crumbling rates observed in the first four years of the Institution. This paper describes the process of identifying the most relevant features of the problem through which, using Data Mining (DM) techniques, a college drop-out model can be obtained for the academic unit mentioned above. In order to identify the most relevant features, after processing the data we will analyze attribute projections for the expected classes or responses. The results of its application to the student data from the courses of the UNRN have been satisfactory, which allows making some recommendations aimed at reducing the percentage of students who drop put from their courses.
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1 Introduction

The organization being studied is the National University of Rio Negro, which was founded in 2008 and started its graduate programs in 2009. There are currently a total of 60 graduate programs. From the very beginning, both the authorities and the educators working in the various programs have been concerned about the high drop-out and crumbling rate observed, despite the short life of the Institution. The main purpose is being able to determine potential academic failure situations in advance so as to apply measures aimed at minimizing the problem.

On the road to achieving the ultimate goal, predicting drop-out, other goals may be found that contribute non-trivial, useful information for the decision-making process, such as describing or characterizing UNRN students by means of profiles that help guide the implementation of measures at those levels where they can have the greatest positive effect.

Several authors have proposed solving this problem through various approaches, both involving student recruitment and drop-out detection and analysis, as well as for assessing the duration of the program [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]. Recently,
environments aimed at facilitating the application of DM techniques in educational contexts have been developed [8].

This paper is part of what is known as the Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) process, whose purpose is the automatic discovery of patterns present in available information without specifying any hypotheses beforehand. Its application requires the identification, based on the problem to be solved, of the information on which work is going to be done, as well as the desired type of model to be obtained. The latter strongly affects the technique to be used.

The available information is obtained from the SIU-Guarani system of the UNRN. That is, for each student there is a significant number of features pertaining to their personal, academic and work situations. Selecting the appropriate features from this data set to build a model can be a challenge. There is a proportional relation between the number of attributes to be used and the complexity of the model to be obtained. For these reasons, the early discovery of the most relevant attributes is desirable in order to simplify the model and reduce the time required to obtain it.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the pre-processing stage carried out on the original data, Section 3 details how these were considered, Section 4 details other strategies previously used to solve this problem, Section 5 describes the attribute selection process based on projections, Section 6 presents a model based on the selected attributes, and Section 7 presents the conclusions drawn.

2 Preparing UNRN Data

Before applying a specific DM technique, the data had to be verified to avoid inconsistencies. This stage was guided by the data preparation methodologies surveyed in the literature and previous experience in the field.

Those attributes with an excessive number of missing data were deleted, any outlying values were cleaned, constant and redundant attributes were removed, and generalization was used to transform high-cardinality attributes. Non-generalizable attributes were deleted, the cardinality of some attributes was reduced by using more general categories, new attributes were built by means of summarization operations, attributes were discretized based on algorithm requirements, and range normalizations were performed. Finally, a state attribute was defined that differentiates between students who have dropped out (after one year with no academic activity) and those who are regular students.

One of the main problems in DM is identifying a representative set of appropriate features to build a model for the task at hand. Problems with a large number of dimensions, limited numbers of available examples, and a lot of redundant or irrelevant information are hard to handle [9]. This study case clearly has these features: the SIU-Guarani provides a significant number of attributes for the students, and the number of examples is limited due to the short life of the UNRN — only information from the last 4 academic years is available. The original (or initial) database view used in this paper is formed by 11,102 students, each with 110 surveyed attributes.
3 Approach

As a first measure, to understand the data available and describe the domain of the problem, it was decided that the target set of students being studied, i.e., the records corresponding to those students who dropped out, would be characterized. This would allow selecting the most relevant features for drop-outs. Among the descriptive tasks provided by data mining, clustering is one of the most frequently used; its purpose is obtaining groups or sets within the examples, so that the elements assigned to the same group are similar [10].

In our study case, the information available includes demographic, economic, social, family, and academic data of the students. By means of the k-means clustering algorithm, drop-outs were segmented into groups. The tests carried out showed the true dimension of the problem. The large number of attributes involved did not allow finding a set of descriptive clusters for the input data.

At this point, DM tools had to be used to guide the selection of a subset of features (attributes) that are relevant for the problem.

4 Previous Work

The authors in [19] used the input data set formed by drop-outs and transformed the feature space by means of two completely different processes: one of the wrapper type and another one of the filter type.

Wrapper processes classify the attributes selected based on the performance of the model that can be built from them [11]. There are various ways to do this. The authors in [19] used a selection process of the Selection Forward type. This technique starts the feature search procedure by assessing all subsets of attributes formed by a single attribute, then finds the best subset of two attributes, then a subset of three attributes, and so forth until the best subset of features is found. To validate the sets of features, the performance of a given learning model is considered. In this case, the k-means method was used to group the available information. The use of an inductive algorithm is what makes this method a wrapper-type process.

The second selection method that was implemented is focused in the genetic selection of the features [12]. The genetic algorithm carries out a heuristic search that minimizes the natural evolution process. For the assessment, it uses the CFS (Correlation-based Features Selection) method, which creates a filter based on the performance measured for the set of features. It assessed the value of a subset of attributes considering the predictive ability of each feature together with the redundancy degree among them, and giving preference to subsets of attributes that are highly correlated with the class but whose inter-correlation is low [13].

Once both methods were implemented on the input data, it could be seen that they both yielded similar subsets of attributes (see Table 1).
Table 1. List of attributes selected by the wrapper and genetic methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Selected By</th>
<th>Wrapper</th>
<th>Genetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The student is single</td>
<td>estado_civil = single</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The father of the student is alive</td>
<td>padre_vive = YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet access at home</td>
<td>alu_tec_int</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student acknowledges that he/she needs a scholarship</td>
<td>alu_beca = needs scholarship</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's current employment</td>
<td>alu_trab_sitimp</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related to course being taken</td>
<td>rel_trab_carrera</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td>alu_trab_remon</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegation where the student takes classes</td>
<td>Delegation</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of birth</td>
<td>lugar_nacimiento</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduation Year</td>
<td>anio_egreso_sec</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student is planning to work in the future</td>
<td>alu_trab_futtip</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>alu_trab_futhor</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of Birth</td>
<td>anio_nacim</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dependent family members</td>
<td>cant_fami_cargo</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's Number of Children</td>
<td>cant_hijos_alum</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to check the validity of the attributes selected by the methods described, all drop-out student records were re-grouped based on the attributes selected by the wrapper method and supported by the other algorithms presented. The groups resulting after this run are compared with those obtained with the previous one, and it was observed that less than 10% of the students had been assigned to a different group, indicating that the clustering criterion remains the same despite the use of a smaller set of features.

Then, the same clustering algorithm was applied to non drop-outs and a similar segmentation was obtained for the main attributes to that of drop-outs, which supports the use of the selected attributes in predictive drop-out algorithms.

5 SOAP: Selection of Attributes by Projection

This section describes how to select the most representative attributes using the SOAP (Selection of Attributes by Projection) method [15]. Unlike those used in the previous section, this is a filter that measures attributes and establishes a deterministic ranking to reduce computation time.

This method adds a new criterion to measure the significance of an attribute within a supervised learning context: it uses the number of label changes. This value is calculated by analyzing dataset element projections on each attribute. Thus, attributes can be sorted by significance when establishing their class (in this case, whether the student drops out from the program or not).
For attribute selection, a measure is used that is based on a single value: NLC (Number of Label Changes), relating each attribute with the label used for classification. The value of NLC is calculated by projecting the examples on the axis that corresponds to this attribute (i.e., by sorting all examples by the attribute in question), and then the axis is run from its origin up to the highest attribute value and the number of label changes that occur is counted.

**SOAP Algorithm.**

**Input:** \( E \)– data set (m examples, n attributes)

**Output:** \( R \)– attribute ranking

\[
\begin{align*}
R & \leftarrow \{\} \\
\text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} & \\
& \text{Sort } E \text{ by attribute } X_i \\
& \text{NLC}_i \leftarrow \text{CountChanges}(E; X_i) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( R \) (attribute ranking based on NLC)

**Function** \( \text{CountChanges}(E; X_i) \)

**Input:** \( E \)– data set (m examples, n attributes), \( X_i \)– attribute to process

**Output:** Changes–Number of label changes

\[
\begin{align*}
R & \leftarrow \{\} \quad \text{Changes} \leftarrow 0 \\
\text{for } j = 1 \text{ to } m \text{ do} & \\
& \text{if } \vec{x}_{ji} \in \text{Multiple_Sorted_Sequence} \\
& \quad \text{Changes} \leftarrow \text{Changes} + \text{SeeChangesForSameValue()} \\
& \text{else} \\
& \quad \text{if } \text{lab}(e_j) \neq \text{lab}(e_{j+1}) \text{ then} \\
& \quad \quad \text{Changes} \leftarrow \text{Changes} + 1 \\
& \quad \text{end if} \\
& \text{end if} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( \text{return}(\text{Changes}) \)

In \( \text{CountChanges} \), it should be noted that, when sorting attribute values, there might be repeating values. This will lead to a Multiple_Sorted_Sequence (MSS), where the value of the attribute is the same for all examples but the labels assigned to each of them will not necessarily be the same. In this case, the function \( \text{SeeChangesForSameValue()} \) is applied, which calculates the number of corresponding changes as follows: If all examples have the same label, then the returned number of changes is zero; otherwise, first we need to know if there is a majority class within the group of examples that share the same attribute value. If there is no majority class, the number of changes is the length of the MSS minus 1. If there is a majority class, the number of changes is the number of elements in the MSS minus the number of elements of the majority class.
5.1 Application of the SOAP Algorithm to the Study Case

The algorithm described above was implemented and applied to the study case. Only those records corresponding to students who dropped out from the program were considered, and were previously grouped by k-means to assign different labels to them. As in the processes described in the previous section, 5 groups were used (k=5).

The results of applying the SOAP algorithm to drop-out student data, segmented into five groups, yield a full attribute ranking, where each attribute receives an NLC value. Top ranking values (lower NLC values, i.e., attributes that project a lower number of label changes) are shown in Table 2, sorted by NLC value.

If the attributes appearing at the top of the ranking are observed, it can be seen that those attributes that are related to student employment are predominant, together with those that describe student age and family responsibilities. These attributes were in general present in all attribute lists obtained with the feature selection algorithms that were implemented first (Table 1).

It can then be concluded that the most relevant features mainly include attributes pertaining to student age, work load, and family responsibilities.

Given the scarcity of examples available for research (due to the short life of the UNRN) and the large number of attributes at the beginning of the tasks, it can be inferred that, for the time being and until there are more examples to feed other algorithms, this group of personal and employment attributes must be accepted as those describing drop-out and non drop-out students. With this idea, a predictive algorithm, in this case a decision tree, can be applied to all of the examples available using a group of attributes that are high in the SOAP ranking to classify students as drop-outs or non drop-outs. Thus, the tree obtained is much simpler than the one that can be achieved with the original set of attributes.

Table 2. First attributes in the ranking as per SOAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NLC</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2186</td>
<td>alu_trab_remon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2186</td>
<td>Alu_trab_sitimp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2252</td>
<td>rel_trab_carrera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3044</td>
<td>alu_trab_futtip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3571</td>
<td>alu_trab_futhor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3987</td>
<td>anio_egreso_sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4004</td>
<td>hora_sem_trab_alum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4063</td>
<td>anio_nacim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5070</td>
<td>alu_otestsup_uni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5394</td>
<td>Sit_laboral_madre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5734</td>
<td>cant_hijos_alum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5747</td>
<td>Sit_laboral_padre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The algorithm described above was implemented and applied to the study case. Only those records corresponding to students who dropped out from the program were considered, and were previously grouped by k-means to assign different labels to them. As in the processes described in the previous section, 5 groups were used (k=5).

The results of applying the SOAP algorithm to drop-out student data, segmented into five groups, yield a full attribute ranking, where each attribute receives an NLC value. Top ranking values (lower NLC values, i.e., attributes that project a lower number of label changes) are shown in Table 2, sorted by NLC value.

If the attributes appearing at the top of the ranking are observed, it can be seen that those attributes that are related to student employment are predominant, together with those that describe student age and family responsibilities. These attributes were in general present in all attribute lists obtained with the feature selection algorithms that were implemented first (Table 1).

It can then be concluded that the most relevant features mainly include attributes pertaining to student age, work load, and family responsibilities.

Given the scarcity of examples available for research (due to the short life of the UNRN) and the large number of attributes at the beginning of the tasks, it can be inferred that, for the time being and until there are more examples to feed other algorithms, this group of personal and employment attributes must be accepted as those describing drop-out and non drop-out students. With this idea, a predictive algorithm, in this case a decision tree, can be applied to all of the examples available using a group of attributes that are high in the SOAP ranking to classify students as drop-outs or non drop-outs. Thus, the tree obtained is much simpler than the one that can be achieved with the original set of attributes.
Table 3: Performance of the tree built with the selected attributes (Table 2) using the C4.5 method and considering a confidence threshold of 0.25 and a minimum number of 2 elements per leaf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>True Drop-out</th>
<th>True Taking courses</th>
<th>Class precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pred Drop-out</td>
<td>5174</td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>71.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred Taking courses</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>2414</td>
<td>63.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Recall</td>
<td>78.85%</td>
<td>54.11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Predictive Drop-Out Model

Tests were carried out with algorithm C4.5 [14] using the top nine attributes in the SOAP ranking (Table 2), except for “anio_egreso_sec,” which corresponds to the year the student graduated from high school. This is because the attribute “anio_nacim,” representing the year the student was born, is already included, and both attributes are closely related to each other. Table 3 shows the performance of the model obtained.

It can be seen that the length of the list of attributes used is 56.25% of the list of attributes in Table 1 (9/16 = 0.5625). The attributes that were selected allow building a model that can successfully predict 71.65% of drop-out cases. The success rate is lower when predicting if the student is still taking courses.

Figure 2 shows a pruned version of the resulting tree considering a minimum number of 10 elements per leaf.

7 Conclusions

This paper presents the application of a feature selection method based on projections that can operate on nominal and numeric attributes in a supervised manner. From the ranking it establishes for attributes, a cut-off point can be determined to identify those that are the most representative. In this case, its application allowed reducing the original list (Table 1) by more than 40% (the first 9 attributes in Table 2).

Table 2 shows that the most relevant attributes are those pertaining to student employment status, both regarding current employment and future employment plans.

As preliminary product, clear guidelines can be obtained to guide the measures to be implemented to reduce student drop out rates at the UNRN: it is clear that student employment variables have a significant effect on their likelihood to continue with their studies, so any actions that specifically target this issue, such as a larger number of scholarships granted, could be a road to follow.

Beyond these conclusions, a predictive model was proposed that can be improved in time as more examples are added to the data set.
Fig. 2. Decision tree to determine drop-outs
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