Assessment Of Interprofessional Training Methodology In Professional Education As A Potential Tool To Improve Patient Safety And Quality Of Care



Anastasia Groshev, MS1 Morsani College of Medicine Yashwant Pathak, MPharm, PhD, EMBA, MS CM College of Pharmacy

March 26, 2014



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

Why?

Errors in Healthcare

- Medical Errors kill 44,000-98,000 people yearly
- Errors result from a <u>faulty</u>
 <u>system</u>
- 8th Leading cause of death
- Annual cost \$29billion

Complex issue





Communication in Healthcare:



Abbreviations			
Admitting Unit	AU		
Emergency Department	ED		
Attending MD	AtMD		
Charge Nurse	CN		
Intern MD	IntMD		
Primary Nurse	PN		
Pharmacist: Hospital	PhHosp		
Resident MD	ResMD		

Benham-Hutchins, M., & Clancy, T. R. J Nur Admin, 2010 40(9), 352-356.



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

Study Objectives:

Systematic current literature analysis:

- Does interprofessional training significantly improve the team communication?
 - Team member awareness (pre- and post- training survey scores)
 - Communication
- Does interprofessional training significantly improve healthcare safety?
 - Morbidity/mortality
 - Adverse events (nosocomial infections, serious safety events)
 - Hospital stay (in-patient setting)



What are interprofessional training methods currently available?

- Critical Resource Management (CRM)
- TeamSTEPPS
- Other



Communication in Healthcare:

Critical Resource Management

Crew Resource Management (CRM):

- Know your environment
- Anticipate, share, review the plan
- Ensure leadership and role clarity
- Communicate effectively
- Call for help early
- Allocate attention wisely
- Distribute work
- Monitor and support team members





Pratt SD, et al. Safety JMPEG 2008

Communication in Healthcare:

TeamSTEPPs

Leadership Brief Huddle Debrief	
Situation Monitoring STEP (Status of patient, Team members, Environment, Progress toward goal) Cross-monitoring	PERFORMANCE
Mutual Support Feedback Advocacy and assertion Two-challenge rule CUS (I'm Concerned, I'm Uncomfortable, this is a Safety issue) DESC script (Describe situation, Express concerns, Suggest alternatives, Consequences) Collaboration	Leadership Communication Situation Monitoring
Communication SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) Call-out Check-back Handoff	KNOWLEDGE PATIENT CARE TEAM ATTITUDES

Sawyer, et. al. J Neo Nur. 2013 32(1), 26-33.





Methods:



USF HEALTH

Study Characteristics



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

Summary of Evidence: CRM

Study	Education method	Participants Outcomes (interdisciplinary team)	Communication	Patient Outcomes
Young-Xu et al, 2011	1 day training			Morbidity: -17% ; 0.83 (p- value=0.01; CL: 0.79-0.88)
Awad et al, 2005	Didactic		Anesthesiologists: 125% improvement (p- value<0.0008) Surgeons: 24% improvement (p- value<0.0004) Nurses: no change (p- value=0.7)	Patient outcomes improved (prophylactic antibiotic and DVT prophylaxis)
McCulloch et al, 2009	9hours didactic training, followed by 3 months of coaching	+5% Teamwork climate improved from 64.1 to 69.2 (p-value=0.007) (assessed by Safety Attitudes Questionnaire Score)		Operating technical errors: - 43% from 1.73 to 0.98 (p-value=0.0009) non-operative procedure errors: - 39.2%, from 1.73 to 0.98 (p=0.001) length of stay: - 9.41% (p- value=0.086)
Morey et al, 2002	Group training setting	+22.7% improvement in teamwork and function (p-value=0.012)		Observed clinical errors errors: - 26.5% (p-value 0.14) decrease form 30.9% to 4.4%
Nielsen et al, 2007	Didactic			Adverse outcomes score: -14.81% (adjusted mean for control 7.2 and intervention group 8.3; CL for the difference between the groups: -5.6 to 3.2)

Crew Resource Management

- Know your environment
- Anticipate, share, review the plan
- Ensure leadership and role clarity
- Communicate effectively
- Call for help early
- Allocate attention wisely
- Distribute work
- Monitor and support team members





Summary of Evidence: TeamSTEPPS

Study	Education method	Participants Outcomes (interdisciplinary	Communication	Patient Outcomes	
Mahoney	1hr training	team) + 5.6%			
et al, 2012	session	from 3.88±0.81 to 4.16±0.66 (pertaining mean, range 1-5)			
Sawyer et al, 2013	2 hours of simulation and 4 hours of didactic	33.5% (p- value<0.001) Improvement in team performance in structure, leadership, situation monitoring, mutual support, and communication significantly improved.	28% (p-value<0.001) The rate of challenging teammate (physician, fellow, attending, or nurse) in case of suspicion of erroneous medication dose also improved (for attending's from 0% to 75% and for fellows from 55% to 77%)	KNOWLEDGE	Leadership Incation Situation Monitoring SKILLS SKILLS SKILLS ATTITUDES
Mayer et al, 2011	2.5 hour customized program	+27.4% Team performance improved (p- value<0.0001- 0.0026)	Improved communication (p-value=0.0026)	-10% Decline in nosocomial infections	
Riley et al, 2011	Didactic and simulation			Control: +42.7%; didactic only: -1%; didactic and simulation: -37% No significant difference of didactic vs control in number of adverse outcomes (perinatal morbidity). Full program (didactic and simulation) resulted in significant decrease of adverse outcomes.	
Stead et al, 2009	2.5day workshop and 4 hours course	+7% improvement in team knowledge, skills, and attitudes (p-value=0.11)		Improvement in patient care as evidenced by decreased patient seclusion rates (p- value<0.001)	
Deering et al, 2011	Web-based and 2.5-day training sessions			Decrease in adverse incidents (medication/transfusion errors): -83%	



Summary of Evidence: Unnamed

Education method	Participants Outcomes (interdisciplinary team)	Communication	Patient Outcomes
didactic training and			Serious safety events (SSEs): -66%, from 0.9 to 0.3 SSEs/10000 adjusted
simulation			between SSEs: 184.5%, from 19.4 to 55.2 (p-value<0.001)
Simulation	-	Increased use of	
and training		advocacy	
in two-		Attending	
challenge		anesthesiologist: from	
rule		2.3 to 3.6 mean score	
		Attending surgeon: from	
		Circulating nurse from	
		2.7 to 2.8 mean score	
Didactic and	Knowledge and awareness	Communication and	
simulation	improved (mean	teamwork improvement	
	difference 15.9 and CL:	from 81.4 to 82.5 mean	
	10.4-21.4) (p-value	score (mean difference	
	<0.0001); shared learning	1.1, CL -2.6 -4.9)	
	increased (mean		
	unierence δ./ LL: 4.3-		
	Education method didactic training and simulation Simulation and training in two- challenge rule Didactic and simulation	Education methodParticipants (interdisciplinary team)didactic training and simulationSimulationSimulationSimulation and training in two- challenge ruleDidactic and simulationKnowledge and awareness improved (mean difference 15.9 and CL: 10.4-21.4) (p-value <0.0001); shared learning increased (mean difference 8.7 CL: 4.3- 13.1)	Education methodParticipants (interdisciplinary team)Communicationdidactic training and simulationIncreased advocacyuse of advocacySimulation and training in two- challenge ruleIncreased advocacyuse of advocacySimulation and training in two- challenge ruleIncreased advocacyuse of advocacyDidactic and simulationKnowledge and awareness improved (mean differenceCommunicationDidactic and simulationKnowledge and awareness improved (mean differenceCommunication and teamwork improvement from 81.4 to 82.5 mean scoreDidactic and differenceKnowle learning increased (mean difference1.1, CL -2.6 -4.9) increased (mean difference



Conclusions

- Interprofessional training methods improve interprofessional team function
 - Communication is one of the strongest and consistent factors in safety in current literature
- Training of interprofessional teams reduces morbidity/mortality and adverse outcomes
- Didactic training has a positive impact on interprofessional teamwork
- Combination of didactic and simulation training provides the strongest improvement in collaborations



Study limitations and Future Perspectives

- Study limitations:
 - Survey restricted to studies pertaining patient safety
 - Meta-analysis not possible, Publication bias
- Future perspectives include:
 - Expanding the number of studies of the interprofessional training, especially in academic/classroom setting
 - Determining sensitive measurement of success of programs in academic/classroom setting



References

- Awad, S. S., Fagan, S. P., Bellows, C., Albo, D., Green-Rashad, B., De La Garza, M., & Berger, D. H. (2005). Bridging the communication gap in the operating room with medical team training. *The American Journal of Surgery*, *190*(5), 770-774.
- Benham-Hutchins, M., & Clancy, T. R. (2010). Social networks as embedded complex adaptive systems. Journal of Nursing Administration, 40(9), 352-356.
- Brock, D., Abu-Rish, E., Chiu, C. R., Hammer, D., Wilson, S., Vorvick, L., ... & Zierler, B. (2013). Republished: Interprofessional education in team communication: working together to improve patient safety. *Postgraduate medical journal*, 89(1057), 642-651.
- Carbo, A. R., Tess, A. V., Roy, C., & Weingart, S. N. (2011). Developing a high-performance team training framework for internal medicine residents: the ABC'S of teamwork. *Journal of patient safety*, 7(2), 72-76.
- Deering, S., Rosen, M. A., Ludi, V., Munroe, M., Pocrnich, A., Laky, C., & Napolitano, P. G. (2011). On the front lines of patient safety: implementation and evaluation of team training in Iraq. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 37(8), 350.
- Haller, G., Garnerin, P., Morales, M. A., Pfister, R., Berner, M., Irion, O., ... & Kern, C. (2008). Effect of crew resource management training in a multidisciplinary obstetrical setting. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 20(4), 254-263.
- Kearney, A., Adey, T., Bursey, M., Cooze, L., Dillon, C., Barrett, J., ... & McCarthy, P. (2010). Enhancing Patient Safety through Undergraduate Inter-professional Health Education. *Health Care Quarterly*, *13*(Special Issue), 88-93.
- Mayer, C. M., Cluff, L., Lin, W. T., Willis, T. S., Stafford, R. E., Williams, C., ... & Amoozegar, J. B. (2011). Evaluating efforts to optimize TeamSTEPPS implementation in surgical and pediatric intensive care units. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 37(8), 365.
- Mahoney, J. S., Ellis, T. E., Garland, G., Palyo, N., & Greene, P. K. (2012). Supporting a Psychiatric Hospital Culture of Safety. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association, 18(5), 299-306.
- Morey, J. C., Simon, R., Jay, G. D., Wears, R. L., Salisbury, M., Dukes, K. A., & Berns, S. D. (2002). Error reduction and performance improvement in the emergency department through formal teamwork training: evaluation results of the MedTeams project. *Health services research*, 37(6), 1553-1581.
- McCulloch, P., Mishra, A., Handa, A., Dale, T., Hirst, G., & Catchpole, K. (2009). The effects of aviation-style non-technical skills training on technical performance and outcome in the operating theatre. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 18(2), 109-115.
- Muething, S. E., Goudie, A., Schoettker, P. J., Donnelly, L. F., Goodfriend, M. A., Bracke, T. M., ... & Kotagal, U. R. (2012). Quality improvement initiative to reduce serious safety events and improve patient safety culture. *Pediatrics*, 130(2), e423-e431.
- Nielsen, P. E., Goldman, M. B., Mann, S., Shapiro, D. E., Marcus, R. G., Pratt, S. D., ... & Sachs, B. P. (2007). Effects of teamwork training on adverse outcomes and process of care in labor and delivery: a randomized controlled trial. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 109(1), 48-55.
- Pian-Smith, M. C., Simon, R., Minehart, R. D., Podraza, M., Rudolph, J., Walzer, T., & Raemer, D. (2009). Teaching residents the two-challenge rule: A simulationbased approach to improve education and patient safety. Simulation in Healthcare, 4(2), 84-91.
- Pratt S.D., Mann S, Greenberg .P, Marcus R., Stabile B., McNamee P., Nielsen P., Sachs B.P. (2008). Impact of CRM–Based Team Training on Obstetric Outcomes and Clinicians' Patient Safety Attitudes. Safety, J. M. E. P.
- Riley, W., Davis, S., Miller, K., Hansen, H., Sainfort, F., & Sweet, R. (2011). Didactic and simulation nontechnical skills team training to improve perinatal patient outcomes in a community hospital. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 37(8), 357-364.
- Sawyer, T., Laubach, V. A., Hudak, J., Yamamura, K., & Pocrnich, A. (2013). Improvements in Teamwork During Neonatal Resuscitation After Interprofessional TeamSTEPPS Training. *Neonatal Network: The Journal of Neonatal Nursing*, 32(1), 26-33.
- Stead, K., Kumar, S., Schultz, T. J., Tiver, S., Pirone, C. J., Adams, R. J., & Wareham, C. A. (2009). Teams communicating through STEPPS. *Med J Aust*, 190(11 Suppl), S128-S132.
- Stewart, M., Purdy, J., Kennedy, N., & Burns, A. (2010). An interprofessional approach to improving paediatric medication safety. BMC medical education, 10(1), 19.
- Velji, K., Baker, G. R., Fancott, C., Andreoli, A., Boaro, N., Tardif, G., ... & Sinclair, L. (2008). Effectiveness of an adapted SBAR communication tool rehabilitation setting. *Healthcare Quarterly*, 11(3), 72-9.

Young-Xu, Y., Neily, J., Mills, P. D., Carney, B. T., West, P., Berger, D. H., ... & Bagian, J. P. (2011). Association between implementation of a media UNIprogrammed automatic of surgery, 146(12), 1368-1373.

Thank you!



USF Tampa Campus, Florida

