Communication

Calorimetric and Computational Study of Enthalpy of Formation of Diperoxide of Cyclohexanone

Jorge Marcelo Romero¹, Soledad Bustillo¹, Hugo Enrique Ramirez Maisuls¹, Nelly Lidia Jorge¹, Manuel Eduardo Gómez Vara¹, Eduardo Alberto Castro^{2,*} and Alicia H. Jubert³

 Área de Fisicoquímica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Naturales y Agrimensura, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Corrientes, Argentina; E-mail: lidianj@exa.unne.edu.ar
 INIFTA, Theoretical Chemistry Division, Suc. 4, C.C. 16, La Plata 1900, Buenos Aires, Argentina; E-mail: castro@quimica.unlp.edu.ar; direccion@inifta.unlp.edu.ar
 CEQUINOR, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Exact Sciences and Engineering Faculty, La Plata National University, C.C. 962, La Plata 1900, Buenos Aires, Argentina

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: castro@quimica.inlp.edu.ar; direccion@inifta.unlp.edu.ar

Received: 27 February 200; in revised form: 18 July 2007 / Accepted: 18 July 2007 / Published: 19 July 2007

Abstract: A thermochemical rather simple experimental technique is applied to determine the enthalpy of formation of Diperoxide of ciclohexanone. The study is complemented with suitable theoretical calculations at the semiempirical and *ab initio* levels. A particular satisfactory agreement between both ways is found for the *ab initio* calculation at the 6-311G basis This set level. Some possible extensions of the present procedure are pointed out.

Keywords: tetroxane, diperoxide, enthalpy of formation, heat.

1. Introduction

Ketone and aldehyde diperoxides (1,2,4,5-tetroxanes) contain two peroxy groups in a six membered heterocycle and they are readily formed by acid-catalyzed oxidation of carbonyl compounds with

hydrogen peroxide. Carbonyl oxide dimers are also used to describe these compounds since they can be generated by dimerization of a carbonyl oxide intermediate derived from ozonolysis of olefins [1-3].

Thermolysis of tetroxanes derived from cycloalkanones finds industrial use in the production of macrocyclic hydrocarbons and lactones [4-6]. Tetroxanes are capable of initiating polymerization of olefins and their utility as high temperature initiators is under examination [7]. More recently, tetroxanes have been found to posses an impressive antimalarial activity [8]. As a result of an apparent association between the peroxide functional group and antimalarial activity, a substantial effort has been devoted to developing new peroxide antimalarials [8-10].

In this article, we report the experimental determination of the enthalpy of formation of the diperoxide of ciclohexanone (DPCH) (Figure 1), since this compound posses antimalarial activity, as well as the theoretical results calculated from semiempirical and *ab-initio* Hartree-Fock methods.



Figure 1. Structure of DPCH.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Experimental enthalpy of formation

Table 1 gives the results for a typical combustion experiment on compound DPCH. Table 2 gives the standard molar energy and enthalpy of combustion and formation of diperoxide of ciclohexanone, in the crystalline state at T = 298.15 K, and it corresponds to the chemical reaction:

$$C_{12}H_{20}O_4(c) + 15O_2(g) \to 12CO_2(g) + 10H_2O(l) \tag{1}$$

The uncertainties of the standard molar energy is four times the final overall standard deviation of the mean and they were estimated as outlined by statistical methods. Vapor pressure was determined at different temperatures and the enthalpy of sublimation was calculated as pointed out before.

The standard molar enthalpies of formation for both crystalline and gaseous states of the DPCH at T = 298.15 K are also given in Table 2. No combustion enthalpy and enthalpy of sublimation have been found in the standard literature for comparison purposes.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
M _{DPCH} /g	0.0008	0.0005	0.0010	0.0026	0.0016	0.0006	0.0007	0.0011
m _{gel} /g	0.0383	0.0405	0.0391	0.0363	0.0385	0.0385	0.0414	0.0414
m _{Fe} /g	0.0092	0.0093	0.0096	0.0083	0.0087	0.0075	0.0112	0.0176
ΔΤ/Κ	0.05620	0.056515	0.05604	0.05514	0.05599	0.05315	0.05923	0.06408
$(m_{water}+E)\Delta T/J$								
a	809.1952	840.8873	833.7457	820.4288	833.1059	790.7455	881.2692	953.4474
$m_{gel}\Delta U_{gel}\!/J^b$	698.4167	738.5346	713.0051	661.9456	702.0635	702.0635	754.9463	754.9463
$m_{Fe} \Delta U_{Fe} / J^c$	85.8389	86.7720	89.5711	77.4417	81.1738	69.9774	104.4996	164.2136
$\Delta U_c/J/g^d$	31174.641	31162.118	31169.624	31169.808	31167.729	31173.660	31176.03	31170.256
ΔH _c /kJ/mol	-7115.27	-7112.38	-7114.10	-7114.14	-7113.68	-7115.02	-7115.56	-7114.26

Table 1. Results from typical combustion experiments at 298.15 K.

^am_{water}=2700g

 $^{b}\Delta U_{gel}$ (heat of combustion of gelatine capsules)=18235.13±2.72 joule/g

 $^{c}\Delta U_{Fe}$ (heat of combustion of iron wire)=9330.32±2.93 joule/g

 ${}^{d}\Delta U_{c}$ standard specific heats of combustion

2.2. Theoretical calculation of the enthalpy of formation

In the case of the diperoxide of ciclohexanone, the suitable isodesmic chemical reaction, is:

$$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{-O-O-CH}_3 (\text{DMP})(g) + 2 \text{ C}_6\text{H}_{12} (\text{CH})(g) \rightarrow \text{DPCH} (g) + 4 \text{ CH}_4(g)$$
 (2)

The calculation were computed at the semiempirical, *ab initio* and Functional Density Theory (DFT), with corrections for zero-point energies and differences in $H^{\circ}298 - H^{\circ}0$.

In order to calculate enthalpy values at 298 K, the difference between the enthalpy at temperature T and 0 K can be evaluated according to standard thermodynamics. The sum of electronic and enthalpies energies at 298 K at the Hartree Fock level using the semiempirical, *ab initio* and Functional Density Theory (DFT) procedures with different basis sets for the studied compounds, are also collected in Table 3. We have resorted to the semiempirical calculations at the AM1 and PM3 levels since it is well know that in these procedures a suitable adjustment of the elements of the F matrix is used to bring the calculated results into the best possible agreement with standard thermochemical results, largely enthalpy of formation [11]. Semiempirical methods like AM1 [12] and PM3 [13-15] provide a quite effective compromise between the accuracy of the results and the expense of computer time required. A calculation performed with AM1 and PM3 is able to reflect the experiment as effectively as an *ab initio* calculation using a small basis set [16].

The heats of formation calculated through isodesmic reaction (2) are given in Table 4. The analysis

of theoretical results show that *ab initio* procedures yield better results than semiempirical methods. Besides, the best agreement am among experimental data and theoretical predictions happens for the 3-21G basis set.

	$\Delta U_{c}^{0}(\mathbf{c})^{\mathbf{a}}$	- $\Delta H_c^0(\mathbf{c})^{\mathbf{b}}$	- $\Delta H_{f}^{0}\left(\mathbf{c} ight)^{\mathbf{c}}$	- $\Delta H_{f}^{0}\left(\mathbf{g} ight)^{\mathbf{d}}$
Experience N°	joule/mol	kJ/mol	kJ/mol	kJ/mol
1	31174.641	-7115.27	-465.18	-402.84
2	31162.118	-7112.38	-468.02	-405.68
3	31169.624	-7114.10	-466.31	-403.97
4	31169.808	-7114.14	-466.26	-403.93
5	31167.729	-7113.68	-466.73	-404.38
6	31173.660	-7115.02	-465.39	-403.04
7	31176.030	-7115.56	-464.84	-402.50
8	31170.256	-7114.26	-466.18	-403.84
Average				
value	31170.483	-7114.31	-466.10	-403.76
Standard				
deviation	1.057055	0.241008	0.241008	0.241008

Table 2. Summary of experimental specific heats of combustion and standard molarthermodynamic function of DPCH at T = 298.15 K.

^a Standard molar heat of combustion

^b Standard enthalpy of combustion

^c Standard enthalpy of formation in crystalline state

^d Standard enthalpy of formation in gas phase obtained from the sublimation molar

enthalpy ($\Delta H_S = 62.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$)

Table 3. Calculated electronic energy and heat of reaction (in Hartree units).

- $(\varepsilon_0 + H_{corr})^a$ [kJ/mol]								
	AM1	PM3	RHF RHF B3LYI		B3LYP	B3LYP		
			3-21G	6-31G	3-21G	6-31G		
DPCH	-560.73	-524.10	1997480.21	2007620.97	2010027.54	2020385.03		
CH_4	-89.49	-74.38	104823.31	105358.23	105682.13	106231.30		
СН	-307.08	-325.32	611026.75	614161.77	615492.77	618683.31		
CH ₃ OOCH ₃	-128.30	-85.63	597260.18	600286.20	600769.65	603880.54		
ΔHr^{b}	-47.91	0.28355	201.83	157.97	231.20	182.54		

^a Sum of electronic and thermal enthalpies at semiempirical and Hartree Fock *ab initio*

techniques with different basis sets levels;

^b Heat of reaction obtained with semiempirical and Hartree Fock *ab initio* techniques at different basis sets levels: $\Delta H_r = \sum (\varepsilon_0 + H_{corr})_{products} - \sum (\varepsilon_0 + H_{corr})_{reac \tan ts}$

$\Delta H_{f}^{0}(g)^{a}$ [kJ mol ⁻¹]							
AM1	PM3	RHF	RHF	B3LYP	B3LYP		
		3-21G	6-31G	3-21G	6-31G		
-170.280	-200.20	-402.30	-358.17	-431.40	-382.74		

Table 4. Enthalpy of formation of DPCH.

^a Standard enthalpy of formation in gas phase at the Hartree Fock *ab initio* technique levels and with different basis set.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Material

Diperoxide of ciclohexanone (DPCH) was prepared and purified as described elsewhere [17].

3.2. Thermochemical measurements

The measurement of the heat of combustion of diperoxide of ciclohexanone was made with an isoperibol macrocalorimeter fitted with a stirred water bath. The substance was burned in oxygen at p = 25 atm.. The current of ignition was determined to be 2 Amp. The heat capacity of the calorimeter (E) was determined with a standard reference sample of benzoic acid (Sample SRM 39i, NIST) for all experiments. E was measured to be (856.17 ± 1.5) cal/K. The crystalline compounds were pressed into gelatine capsules of masses $\approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ g. The reduction of the data to standard conditions was made through conventional procedures [18]. The atomic weights used were those recommended by the IUPAC Commission [19,20].

The vapor pressures as a function of temperature of DPCH were measured by a mercury manometer through a Bodestein differential equipment and the enthalpy of sublimation was deduced from the temperature dependence of the vapor pressures (Clausius-Clapeyron equation).

3.3. Theoretical calculations

Among the most important purposes of the calorimetric studies is to find out the molecular energy of a set of structurally and functionally related molecules in order to establish the corresponding structure-activity relationships and to be able to discuss the main electronic features determining the chemical reactivity.

It is well known that in order to make theoretical calculations of molecular enthalpy of formation it is necessary to find suitable isodesmic chemical reactions to optimize the corresponding molecular structure and to perform the frequency calculations from the optimized equilibrium molecular geometries applying the corresponding theoretical method to obtain the total electronic energy at 298 K. Here we have chosen the Gaussian 94 package [21] to perform the theoretical calculations at the semiempirical and *ab initio* levels.

When one tries to get the equilibrium molecular geometries, it is necessary to localize the absolute minimum at the potential energy hypersurface, which is not a trivial task. The optimization procedure is complete when the numerical process converges, i.e. when the forces are null and all the vibrational frequencies are real.

4. Conclusions

We have reported a rather simple and accurate enough experimental method to determine the enthalpy of formation of the title compound and we have complemented it with the theoretical calculation of the property under study via semiempirical, *ab initio* Molecular Orbital methods and DFT procedures. The theoretical value of the enthalpy of formation of diperoxide of ciclohexanone, - 95.52 kcal mol⁻¹, evaluated at the Hartree Fock at the 3-21 G basis set level, is in very good agreement with the experimental value, -96.50 kcal mol⁻¹. This methodology consisting in the experimental determination of the thermochemical property and its complementation with theoretical procedures represents a quite sensible way to study similar oxane derivatives molecules and at present further studies along this line are under development.

Acknowledgements

AHJ is member of the Research Career CIC and EAC is member of the Research Career CONICET.

References and Notes

- a)McCullough, K.J.; Morgan, A.R.; Nonhebel, D.C.; Pauson, P.L.; White, G.J. Ketones-derived Peroxides. Part. III. Decompositions of Cyclic Peroxides derived from Dialkyl Ketones. *J. Chem. Res. Synop.* **1980**, *2*, 36-38, M0601. b)McCullough, K.J.; Morgan, A.R.; Nonhebel, D.C.; Pauson, P.L., Ketones-derived Peroxides. Part. I. Synthetic Methods. *J. Chem. Res. Synop.* **1981**, *2*, 34-36.
- Leiva, L.C.A.; Romero, J.M.; Jorge, N.L.; Gómez Vara, M.E.; Cafferata, L.F.R. Síntesis y Descomposición Térmica Del Diperóxido De Formaldehído. *Revista Internacional Información Tecnológica*. 2002, 13(2), 23-26.
- 3. Adam, W.; Hadjiarapoglou, L.P.; Curci, R.; Mello, R. In *Organic Peroxides*; Ando, W., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, England, 1992; Chapter 4.
- 4. Story, P.R.; Busch, P. In *Advances in Organic Chemistry*, Taylor, E.C. Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1972; Vol. 8, pp. 67-95.
- 5. Eyler G.N.; Mateo, C.M.; Alvarez, E.E.; Cañizo, A.I. Termal Decomposition reaction of Acetone Triperoxide in Toluene solution. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2319-2321.
- 6. Eyler G.N.; Mateo, C.M.; Álvarez, E.E.; Cañizo, A.I.; Cafferata, L.F.R. Solvent Effect in the Thermal Decomposition Reaction of *trans*-3,3-Dimethyl-5,6-tetramethylene-1,2,4-trioxacyclo-hexane. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1999**, *64*, 8457-8460.

- 7. Morales, G.; Eyler G.N.; Cerna, J.R.; Cañizo, A.I. Use of Cyclic Di- and Triperoxides as Initiators of Styrene Polymerization at High Temperature with a View to Their Use in Industrial Applications. *Molecules* **2000**, *5*, 549-550.
- Vennerstrom, J.L.; Fu, H.N.; Ellis, W.Y.; Ager, A.L. Jr.; Wood, J.K.; Andersen, S.L.; Gerena, L.; Milhous, W.K. Dispiro-1,2,4,5-tetraoxanes: a new class of antimalarial peroxides *J. Medical Chem.* 1992, *35*, 3023-3027.
- 9. Kim, H.S.; Shibata, Y.; Wataya, Y.; Tsuchiya, K.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M. Synthesis and Antimalarial Activity of Cyclic Peroxides, 1,2,4,5,7-Pentoxocanes and 1,2,4,5-Tetroxanes. *J. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *42*, 2604-2609.
- McCullogh, K.; Nonami, Y.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; Kim, HS; Wataya, Y. Synthesis, crystal structure and antimalarial activity of novel 1,2,5,6-tetraoxacycloalkanes from 2,3-dihydroperoxy-2-phenylnorbornane. *Tetrahedrom Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 9151-9155.
- 11. Rogers, D.W. Computational Chemistry Using the PC, Third Edition, Wiley: New York, 2003.
- 12. Dewar, M.J.S.; Zoebisch, E.G.; Healy, E.F.; Stewart, J.J.P. AM1: A New General Purpose Quantum Mechanical Model. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 3902-3909.
- 13. Stewart, J.J.P. Semiempirical Molecular Orbital Methods, in *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, Vol. 1, K.B. Lipkpwitz, D.B. Boyd, Editors, VCH: New York, **1990**, 45-81.
- 14. Stewart, J.J.P. Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods I. Method. J. Comput. Chem. 1989, 10, 209-220.
- 15. Stewart, J.J.P. Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods II. Applications. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1989**, *10*, 221-264.
- 16. Höltje, H.D.; Sippl, W.; Rognan, D.; Folkers, G. Molecular Modeling. Basic Principles and Applications, Second Edition, Wiley: New York, **2003**, 23.
- 17. Story, P.R.; Lee, B.; Bishop, C.E.; Denson, D.D.; Busch, P. Macrocyclic Synthesis. II. Cyclohexanone Peroxides. J. Org. Chem. **1970**, 35, 3059-3062.
- Hubbart, W.N.; Scott, D.W.; Waddington G. In *Experimental Thermochemistry*; Rossini, F.D. Ed. Interscience: New York, **1956**, 75-127.
- 19. Cox, J.D.; Wagman, D.D.; Medvedev, V.A., Eds.; *CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics*; Hemisphere: New York, 1989.
- 20. Wieser, M.E. Atomic Weights of The Elements 2005 (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78(11), 2051-2066.
- Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Gill, P.M.W.; Johnson, B.G.; Robb, A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Keith, T.A.; Petersson, G.A.; Montgomery, J.A.; Kaghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M.A.; Kakrewski, V.G.; Ortiz, J.V.; Foresman, J.B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B.B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C.Y.; Ayala, P.Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M.W.; Andrés, J.L.; Replogle, E.S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R.L.; Fox, D.J.; Binkley, J.S.; DeFrees, D.J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J.P.; Head-Gordon, M.; González, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 94*, rev D3, SGI; Gaussian, Inc.; Pittsburgh, PA., 1995.

© 2007 by MDPI (http://www.mdpi.org). Reproduction is permitted for noncommercial purposes.