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ABSTRACT
The South American caviomorph ichnogenus *Tracheria troyana* is reevaluated here based on recently discovered specimens that allow a more thorough investigation of footprint taphonomy and ichnotaxonomy. The footprints include detailed autopodium morphology and more complete gait patterns than previously reported. The redefinition of *T. troyana* involves key aspects as digit impressions of the manus asymmetrically arranged and digit V being the shortest and at times do not print. It generally lacks metacarpal pads, resulting in almost digitigrade impressions and regularly preserved as tridactyl footprints. In the pes imprints, digits have a symmetrical arrangement. Phalangeal and metapodial pad impressions are preserved, occasionally showing the presence of two heel pads. Trackways denote a marked overstep in which the pes impressions are placed in front of the manus. New data about *T. troyana* environmental distribution shows that it typically characterizes overbank facies of anastomosing and meandering fluvial systems, which may be reflecting a habitat preference the producer. Here, we also present new digitized 3D images of the original type material of *T. troyana*, in order to preserve these materials, which were previously only available for viewing in the field.
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Introduction
The Pacarana (*Dinomys branickii* Peters, 1873) is the only living species of an almost relictual family of hystri-cognath rodents (Dinomydae) that was diverse and widely distributed during the Miocene-Pliocene (3–23 Ma before present) (Nasif, 2010). The dinomyids are a monophyletic group endemic to South America, which live today in forested areas of the eastern Andes (White and Alberico, 1992). Large dinomyid footprints (*Trache-ria troyana* Krapovicks and Nasif 2011) have been investigated over the last five years in the Vinchina Formation (Miocene), La Rioja Province, Argentina, together with other fossil footprints (Krapovicks and Nasif, 2011; Krapovicks and Vizcaíno, 2016). The outstanding record of the Vinchina Formation includes exceptionally preserved and plentiful footprints and trackways, most of which have no analogues in extant faunas. They comprise footprints of (1) ground sloths (*Venatoripes riojanus* Frenguelli 1950 and other kidney-like footprints); (2) small tridactyl footprints of a homopod rodent-like mammal possibly a caviomorph rodent or typother notoungulate; (3) *Macrauchenichnus* isp., which is interpreted as toxodontid notoungulates or macrauchenid liopertens; (4) large tridactyl footprints of rheiformes; (5) footprints of shorebirds assigned to *Gruipeda* isp.; and (6) a small tridactyl to tetradactyl footprint with pronounced scratch marks (Krapovicks et al., 2009a; Krapovicks et al., 2009b; Krapovicks et al., 2013).

The people of the town of Vinchina, located 2 km from the outcrops, are well aware of the paleontological heritage preserved at the surrounding of their home town. The holotype material of *Tracheria troyana* represents a unique example of community concern about paleontological heritage. The footprints were named “the hands” by the local people (Krapovicks and Nasif, 2011), who attempted to preserve the fossil footprints when they were about to be damaged during explosions planned during repairs of the mountain road (R76) that crosses the outcrop. Although the demolition did not occur, the rocks containing the specimens were extracted. A series of misunderstandings between the local and
provincial authorities ended with both the paleontologists that did the extraction and the footprints at the police station (El Independiente, La Rioja, October 24, 2007). Eventually the paleontologists were freed, but the footprints remained under the custody of the police officers. The lack of a local museum that could ensure the proper preservation of the material, together with the strong interest of the inhabitants of Vinchina to keep their historical heritage nearby where “it belongs” precluded the material to be properly housed. To date, the holotype, para-type, and other examples of Tracheria troyana are still housed at the police station.

We performed a 3D scan of the material housed at the police station in order to accurately document the material in danger. Photographs alone are insufficient to protect the paleontological heritage of this region. It is necessary to protect the material that remains in situ by means of some legal form (i.e., protected area, natural reserve), and in addition to safeguard as much information as we can. The aim of this contribution is to record new material of Tracheria troyana, redefine the ichnogenus based on new evidence, digitally preserve the paleontological heritage through 3D modeling techniques, investigate the morpho-functional aspects expressed in T. troyana, and finally, discuss the paleoenvironmental distribution of these footprints.

Geological setting

The Miocene Vinchina Formation (Turner, 1964) is one of the thickest sedimentary units related to the Andean Orogeny in Argentina, reaching more than 5,100 meters in its type section. It forms the bulk of the Sierra de Los Colorados, La Rioja Province, NW Argentina (Fig. 1), and records the main infill stage of the Vinchina Basin in the broken foreland of western Argentina. The Vinchina Formation rests on a low-relief erosive surface over the eolian sandstones of the early Miocene (?) Vallecito Formation (Tripaldi, 2002; Ciccioli et al., 2010; Ciccioli et al., 2011; Ciccioli et al., 2013), and it is unconformably covered by alluvial sandstones, mudstones, and conglomerates of the Late Miocene-Pliocene Toro Negro Formation (Turner, 1964; Ramos, 1970; Ciccioli et al., 2010; Amidon et al., 2016).

The Vinchina Formation consists of sandstones, mudstones, and conglomerates that accumulated mainly in fluvial and lacustrine environments under arid to semi-arid conditions, with minor intercalations of eolian deposits (Fig. 2) (Tripaldi et al., 2001; Ciccioli et al., 2013; Marensi et al., 2015). Ramos (1970) divided this unit in two members. The Lower Member is characterized by mudstones and arkosic sandstones with highly altered volcanic rock fragments. The Upper Member is composed of muddy sandstones with intercalations of tuffaceous sandstones and conglomerates that contain both fresh and altered volcanic clasts. At the locality of La Troya Creek, the contact between the Lower and Upper members is marked by progressive unconformities that are draped by several conglomerate horizons (Marensi et al., 2000).

Detailed sedimentological descriptions and facies analyses of the Vinchina Formation along the Sierra de Los Colorados (Tripaldi et al., 2001; Ciccioli et al., 2013) were used to propose that both basement and fold-thrust-belt tectonics controlled the development of several sedimentation cycles, which are interpreted as third-order alluvial sequences (Marensi et al., 2015). Advance of the fold-and-thrust belt (Precordillera) from the west and simultaneous basement uplift to the north.

![Figure 1](image1.png) 1) Location map. 2) Facies map of the study area, indicating the occurrences of Tracheria troyana.
(Sierra de Toro Negro) controlled subsidence as well as drainage patterns. Consequently, the Lower Member of the Vinchina Formation records sedimentation in a more distal position than the Upper Member relative to the fold-and-thrust belt, and at the same time, both members record a proximal (north) to distal (south) trend with respect to the elevated basement-cored block.

Recently obtained high-precision U-Pb dates on volcanic zircons estimate the bulk of the Vinchina Formation to span roughly 15.6–9.2 Ma (Ciccioli et al., 2014), although new data from the overlying Toro Negro Formation suggest that the actual duration of the sedimentation along the La Troya Creek section was significantly longer, perhaps reaching up to the 8.2 Ma (Amidon et al., 2016).
Tracheria troyana-bearing strata

Descriptions of the facies and the new tetrapod traces are provided below (Figs. 1 and 2). The lithofacies classification used was modified from Miall (2010; Table 1). Fluvial architectural elements identified (sensu Miall, 1996) including composite (multi-story) channel fills (element CHm), isolated (single) channel fills (CHs), gravel bars (element GB), down-stream accretion macroforms (DA), lateral-accretion macroforms (LA), sandy macroforms (SB), laminated sand sheets (LS), crevasse channels (CR), crevasse splay (CS), and floodplain deposits (OF) are used following Marenssi, et al. (2015).

Facies A: Anastomosing fluvial deposits

Facies description

This facies is characterized by multistory composite channel deposits (CHm), consisting of coarse- and medium-grained sandstone bodies that form channel belts (5–10 m thick) separated by thick intervals of fine-grained sediments (OF). Channel belts are bounded by a flat or slightly concave-upward erosional surfaces (5th-order surface in the sense of Miall, 1995). Each stratigraphic unit is bounded by 4th-order or 3rd-order surfaces that are commonly floored by a thin lag of massive intraformational conglomerates (Gmi) and/or massive gravelly sandstones (SGm). These conglomerates are covered by medium-to large-scale sets of coarse- to medium-grained cross-bedded sandstones (St, Sp) deposited by down-stream migration of transverse channel bars (DA). Horizontally laminated and ripple cross-laminated fine-grained sandstones (Sh, Sr) occur at the top of the succession as longitudinal sandy bars (SB). The interchannel areas contain single-story (or rarely two-story) channels (CR) usually less than 1.5 m thick (Fig. 3.1). These channels have planar, erosional bases and are composed of massive coarse-grained sandstones, large-scale sets of trough cross-bedded coarse-grained sandstones (St), and scarce intraformational conglomerates (Gi), which contain extraformational granules and pebbles. Ripple cross-laminated fine-grained sandstones (Sr) and thin beds of massive mudstones (Fm) appear at the tops of these channels. Thin, sharp-based tabular beds (CS) adjacent to the channels contain massive (Sm), horizontally laminated (Sh), or ripple cross-laminated (Sr) fine- and medium-grained sandstones with interbedded massive mudstones (Fm). Muddy flood-basin deposits (OF)
are mainly composed of up to 2-m-thick intervals of red massive (Fm) or laminated mudstones (Fl). Scarce intercalations of red massive (Sm) or ripple cross-laminated fine-grained sandstones (Sr), up to 1 m thick, are present within the sandy flood-basin deposits. Desiccation cracks and carbonate nodules are common features of the flood-basin sediments. In some cases, floodplain deposits form coarsening-upward and thickening-upward successions up to 12 m thick.

**Interpretation**

These fluvial deposits are interpreted as an anastomosed fluvial system with mixed sand-mud floodplains, due to the presence of thick multistory channel belts (CHm) encased within flood-plain deposits that include stacked coarsening-upward crevasse splays (CS, CR) and muddy flood-basin (OF) deposits (Table 2) (Limarino et al., 2001; Tripaldi et al., 2001; Marenssi et al., 2015).

**Ichnology**

Fossil tetrapod footprints were found in sandstones interbedded with mudstones beds that represent flood-plain deposits. Two main assemblages were recognized. One (A1) occurs on a big fallen block and consists of four trackways preserved as positive hyporelief (Fig. 4.1). They all show tetradactyl manus and pes impressions of sub-equal size with long and robust digits with strong elongated claws. The manus average length is 76 mm and average width is 56 mm, while the pes average length is 85 mm and average width is 49 mm. Manus imprints are asymmetrical; digit III is the longest, digits II and IV are shorter and sub-equal, and digit V is the shortest and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Architectural Elements</th>
<th>Interpretation of the Fluvial System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Sp, St, Sh, (Gmi) Sr, Fm, Fl, Sm</td>
<td>CHm, (DA, SB), OF, CS, CR</td>
<td>Anastomosed (either with mixed sand-mud floodplains or with mud-dominated floodplains)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>SGp, Sp, Sr, Gm, (Gp, Gh) Fr, Fm</td>
<td>CHs, LA, (GB)</td>
<td>Heterolithic high-sinuosity (meandering)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Summary of the main characteristics of the fluvial deposits bearing *Tracheria troyana* in the Vinchina Formation. Less frequent architectural elements and lithofacies are shown in parentheses (modified from Marenssi et al., 2015).

**Figure 4.** New footprints preserved at the localities 1 and 3. 1.) Trackways preserved at locality 1 (A1). Scale bar is 15 cm. 2.) Pes imprint. Scale bar is 2 cm. 3.) Manus imprint. Scale bar is 2 cm. 4.) Isolated tetradactyl footprint (arrow) of locality 3 (B1). Scale bar is 8 cm.
at times are not impressed (or preserved). \emph{Manus} digit prints are more robust than the \emph{pes} impressions (average \emph{manus} digital width is 12 mm; average \emph{pes} digital width is 9 mm), and show major inter-digital divarication angles than the \emph{pes} impressions (Fig. 4.3). In the best preserved impressions, the \emph{manus} impressions demonstrate well developed phalangeal pads, but lacks metacarpal pads. The \emph{pes} impressions are more slender than in the \emph{manus} impression and are symmetrical and tetractactyl, with the central digits (III–IV) longer than the lateral digits (II–V) (Fig. 4.2). Elongated metatarsal pad impressions are present behind all digit impressions, and tarsal pad impressions are preserved when the imprints are fully plantigrade. The central metatarsal pad impressions (III–IV) are slightly further forward than the lateral ones.

Trackways are narrow and footprint impressions lie near to the midline. The \emph{pes} impressions are in front of, and more lateral to the midline, than the \emph{manus} impressions. Finally, the \emph{pes} footprints are plantigrade and \emph{manus} footprints are more digitigrade. No other footprint type has been found in this surface.

The second assemblage (A2) is characterized by two consecutive tridactyl footprints, a set of a tetractactyl and a tridactyl imprint and two isolated tridactyl imprints (Fig. 5.1–4) preserved in positive hyporelief. The first set shows three long and robust digits of sub-equal size with prominent claw impressions. The \emph{manus} imprints have paralleled digits while the \emph{pes} digit impressions are more separated and, consequently, with a greater divarication angle (Fig. 5.2). Imprints with parallel digits are approximately 9 cm long and 6 cm wide, whereas the impressions showing greater divarication are 6 cm long and 8 cm wide. The second track set is a tetractactyl and a tridactyl footprint (Fig. 5.3). The tetractactyl impression is
plantigrade with long and robust digits and metapodial pad impressions. Lateral digits impressions are shorter than central ones. In the tridactyl footprint, digit III is the longest while digits II and IV are shorter. The third track set are tetradactyl imprints preserved as two consecutive imprints of 8 cm long and 5 cm wide (Fig. 5.1). In this set, the digit III imprint is the longest, digits II and IV are shorter, and digit V is the shortest. Digits are wide, highly connected with each other, and lack of phalangeal pad impressions. Other footprints of the same assemblage are: Macrauchenichnus rector Angulo & Casamiquela 1982, interpreted as produced by mediumsized macraucheniid litopterns. Macrauchenichnus isp. is interpreted to have been produced by toxodontid notoungulates or macraucheniid litopterns (Krapovickas and Vizcaíno, 2016).

**Facies B: Meandering river deposits**

**Facies description**

This facies is composed of tabular to lensoid bodies (CHs) of sandstones up to 3 m thick separated by massive mudstones (OF), forming upward-fin deposits. Channel (CHs) deposits are characterized by coarse- to medium-grained sandstone bodies (1–3 m thick) with low-relief erosional bases (5th-order surfaces of Miall, 1995) overlain by decimeter-thick beds of massive extraformational and intraformational conglomerates (Gm). The sandy interval is made up mostly of large-scale, cross-bedded sets of coarse-grained to pebbly sandstones (Sp, SGp). These sets are bounded by inclined 4th-order surfaces (Miall, 1995) that indicate lateral migration of the channels (LA). Ripple cross-laminated fine-grained sandstones (Sr) and massive sandstones (Sm) are frequently found at the top of the channel deposits. Floodplain sediments (OF) are 0.5 to 2 m thick, comprising ripple cross-laminated (Fr) and massive red mudstones (Fm) with desiccation cracks, and in some cases, very thin gypsum laminae (Fig. 3.2). Massive mudstones are bioturbated, exhibiting vertebrate and invertebrate trace fossils assemblages.

**Interpretation**

This facies is interpreted as a transition from a heterolithic high-sinuosity (meandering) to low-sinuosity (wandering) fluvial system. This interpretation is based on the presence of upward-fin deposits made up of tabular beds of sandstones, with lateral–accretion-dominated macroforms (LA) and massive mudstones deposited in floodplain areas (OF) (Table 2) (Miall, 1996; Tripaldi et al., 2001; Cricioli et al., 2013; Marenssi et al., 2015).

**Ichnology**

Fossil tetrapod footprints were found in mudstones representing floodplain deposits. Two main assemblages were recognized. The first assemblage (B1) records one isolated tetradactyl plantigrade impression with long and robust digits and metapodial pad impressions, assignable to *T. troyana* (Fig. 4.4). Lateral digits impressions are shorter than median digits, although one of these is slightly shorter than the other. Additional tetrapod tracks found in the assemblage correspond to small tridactyl footprints of a homopod rodent-like mammal, possibly a caviomorph rodent or thyphother otontoungulate. *Macrauchenichnus* isp. interpreted as toxodontid notoungulates or macraucheniid litopterns; large tridactyl footprints of rheiformes; footprints of shorebirds assigned to *Gruipeda* isp.; and a small tridactyl to tetradactyl footprint with pronounced scratch marks (Krapovickas et al., 2009a; Krapovickas et al., 2009b; Krapovickas and Vizcaíno, 2016).

The second assemblage (B2) records one isolated tetradactyl plantigrade mold with long and robust digits and metapodial pad impressions, putatively assignable to *T. troyana* (Fig. 5.5). Lateral digits are shorter than central digits, one of these is slightly shorter that the other. There are other ichnological morphotypes, such as *Venatoripes riojanus*, which are interpreted as large sloth footprints; kidney-like footprints possibly produced by a medium to small sloth; and large shorebird tetradactyl footprints.

**Digital models**

Three specimens of *T. troyana* housed at the police station of Vichina Town were digitized using a NextEngine Desktop 3D Scanner (Fig. 6) and surface meshes...
were generated through the ScanStudio software (version 2.0.2). These virtual models (Fig. 7, and 3D PDF in the supplementary figures S1, S2, and S3) easily allow us to observe three-dimensional features reinforcing morphological data provided by the new material studied as the asymmetrically arranged digit in manus impressions (see online Appendix S3) previously ignored.

Systematic ichnology review

Based on the new digital models and the numerous tracks and trackways recently recorded we are able to review the systematic ichnology of the ichnogenus.

*Tracheria troyana* (Krapovickas and Nasif, 2011)
1984 “Quiroteroides” (Cuerda et al., 1984, plate XV, figure 2 and plate XVI, figure 1).
1994 “tetradactylous mammal footprint” (Leonardi, 1994, plate XIX, figure 11).
2007 “huellas cuadrúpedas tetradáctilas” (Melchor et al., 2007, p. 54).
2009a “large tetradactyl rodent-like footprints” (Krapovickas et al., 2009a)
2009b “large tetradactyl rodent-like footprints” (Krapovickas et al., 2009b)
2010 “Botiodontitus isp.” (Melchor et al. 2010)

*Emended diagnosis*

Tetradactyl manus and pes impressions of sub-equal size, with long and robust digits with strong elongated claw marks. The manus footprints are asymmetrical. The digit III impression is the longest, while digits II and IV impressions are sub-equal and following in size, and finally digit V is the shortest and at times do not print. They present well developed phalangeal pads, but generally lacks metacarpal pads, resulting in an almost digitigrade impressions. In the pes imprints, the lateral digits

(II–V) are shorter than central digits (III–IV), resulting in a symmetrical arrangement. Elongated phalangeal and metapodial pad impressions are present, occasionally printing two heel pads. Trackways are narrow with footprint impressions near the midline, and pes impressions are placed in front of, and more lateral to the midline, than the manus impressions.

As it is a monospecific ichnogenus, the emended diagnosis is the same for both the ichnogenus and the ichnospecies.

**Comments**

The detailed footprint morphology and trackway patterns of *T. troyana* shown in A1 permit to assign numerous isolated footprints recorded in A2, B1, B2 to the ichnotaxa. Most of the tridactyl and tetradactyl footprints described in A2, B1, and B2 can be assigned to either manus or pes imprints of *T. troyana* accordingly to the emended diagnosis of the ichnogenus (see Figs. 4 and 5). Manus imprints are regularly preserved as tridactyl footprints when the feet are not deeply impressed on the ground. This may be related to the sediment substrate consistency, as it is not plastic enough to record shallower morphological details (Allen, 1997). Also, some of the fossil footprints (Fig. 4.4) are preserved as undertracks, as evidenced by impression walls with gentle slopes and less morphological detail than true tracks generated in soft substrates, as was observed in laboratory experiments by Milán and Bromley (2006).

**Discussion**

The new material of *Tracheria troyana* expands our knowledge of the ichnogenus because it demonstrates more detailed autopodium morphology than previously observable, and it allows the measurement and interpretation of gait patterns expressed in the new trackways. The new findings in the field, which preserve long trackways, allow the refinement of previous interpretations (Krapovickas and Nasif, 2011). The gait patterns observed are similar to the holotype trackway of *T. troyana* (see Krapovickas and Nasif, 2011), in which the pes impression is placed in front of the manus impression. According to Elbroch (2003) and De Angelo et al. (2008), the relative manus-pes step pattern, together with the regular positioning of the manus-pes sets to the sides of the midline (Fig. 4.1), is characteristic of an overstep walk gait. This gait commonly occurs in animals with relatively shorter limbs in relation to the trunk length (as muskrats, coatis, skunks, and porcupines) or in animals with relatively long limbs when compared to the short trunk length such as canids and felids (Elbroch, 2003; De Angelo et al., 2008). Such a gait involves the same-side half suspension of the body at the time the limbs move in the following sequence: left front foot, left hind foot, and after that, right front foot, right hind foot and the cycle of footfalls repeat (e.g. Elbroch, 2003).

The *T. troyana* producer seems to be more compatible with an overstep walk gait, relatively shorter limbs in relation to the trunk length, as it occurs in muskrats, coatis, skunks, and porcupines. The body proportions of the only extant dinomyid species, *Dinomys branickii*, also supports the interpretation of a short-limbed caviomorph (see Peters, 1873). The posture of the trackmaker, inferred from the preserved trackways, appears to be very similar to that of extant dinomyids, as previously discussed by Krapovickas and Nasif (2011). In modern dinomyids the hind foot is fully plantigrade and the front foot is plantigrade to almost digitigrade, only reaching the ground the metacarpal region of the feet but not completely (Peters, 1873).

*T. troyana* has been recorded in floodplain and interchannel area deposits, related to anastomosing and meandering fluvial systems. Other associated ichnospecies (including Macrauchenichnus rector, *Macrauchenichnus* isp., *Gruipeda* isp., small tridactyl rodentiform footprints, and large tridactyl footprints of rheiformes) also occur in playa lake and lake margin facies of this unit (Krapovickas, manuscript in preparation). In spite of possible preservational biases (e.g., poor visibility of *T. troyana* in sandstone deposits), the relative absence of *T. troyana* in other facies may indicate a tendency of the trace maker to inhabit areas next to perennial river systems with a fresh water table close to the sediment-air interface. Nevertheless, this hypothesis should be tested.

One of the objectives of this work was to contribute to protect the palaeontological heritage from potential lost due to their prolonged exposure out of proper collection storage. We have generated digitized 3D copies of the holotype and paratype material of *T. troyana* so that further analyses can be made based on these 3D models. Such analyses may include taxonomic studies using geometric morphometrics, palaeobiological studies relating the area and other characteristics with the interpreted producer’s body mass and mechanical studies of the foot/substrate interaction. The 3D materials are also available for education, and help to increase public awareness of the geosciences; they also foster geo-tourism to Vinchina, where the original material will be placed in the near future.

**Conclusions**

*T. troyana* represents a strongly distinctive ichnotaxa produced by an extinct large caviomorph of the endemic South American fauna. The newly recovered material
permit to establish some of functional capabilities express in the trackways and allows to analyze in the future how this range compares to that of its living relatives. The reevaluation of *T. troyana* ichnotaxonomy is essential to properly document its morphology and later its preservational variants. The new well-preserved material allows us to recognize additional examples of *T. troyana*, suggesting that this ichnospecies is far more abundant in the Vinchina Formation than previously recognized. In addition, the common occurrence of *T. troyana* in the overbank facies of anastomosing and meandering fluvial systems may reflect a habitat preference the producer. Finally, the generation of 3D model of the holotype and paratype material of *T. troyana* facilitated the accurate documentation of material that is not yet properly curated.
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