Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb



ATLAS Collaboration*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 August 2012 Received in revised form 14 November 2012 Accepted 27 November 2012 Available online 29 November 2012 Editor: H. Weerts

ABSTRACT

A search for the electroweak pair production of charged sleptons and weak gauginos decaying into final states with two leptons is performed using 4.7 fb⁻¹ of proton-proton collision data at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV recorded with the ATLAS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. No significant excesses are observed with respect to the prediction from Standard Model processes. In the scenario of direct slepton production, if the sleptons decay directly into the lightest neutralino, left-handed slepton masses between 85 and 195 GeV are excluded at 95% confidence level for a 20 GeV neutralino. Chargino masses between 110 and 340 GeV are excluded in the scenario of direct production of wino-like chargino pairs decaying into the lightest neutralino intermediate on-shell charged slepton for a 10 GeV neutralino. The results are also interpreted in the framework of the phenomenological minimal supersymmetric Standard Model.

© 2012 CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction

Weak scale Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–9] is an extension to the Standard Model (SM). It postulates for each known boson or fermion the existence of a particle whose spin differs by one-half unit from the SM partner. The introduction of these new particles provides solutions to the hierarchy problem [10–13] and, if R-parity is conserved [14–18], a dark matter candidate in the form of the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). R-parity conservation is assumed in this Letter, hence SUSY particles are always produced in pairs. In a large fraction of the SUSY parameter space the LSP is the weakly interacting lightest neutralino, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$.

Gluinos (\tilde{g}) and squarks (\tilde{q}) are the SUSY partners of gluons and quarks. Charginos ($\tilde{\chi}_i^{\pm}$, i = 1, 2) and neutralinos ($\tilde{\chi}_j^0$, j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the mass eigenstates formed from the linear superposition of the SUSY partners of the Higgses and electroweak gauge bosons: higgsinos, winos and the bino (collectively, gauginos). The SUSY partners of the charged leptons are the selectron, smuon and stau, collectively referred to as charged sleptons ($\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$). The SUSY partners of the standard model left-handed leptons are referred to as left-handed sleptons. If the masses of the gluinos and sleptons have masses of a few hundreds of GeV, the direct production of weak gauginos and sleptons may dominate the production of SUSY particles at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Such a scenario is

possible in the general framework of the phenomenological minimal supersymmetric SM (pMSSM) [19]. Naturalness suggests that third generation sparticles, charginos and neutralinos should have masses of a few hundreds of GeV [20,21]. Light sleptons are expected in gauge mediated [22] and anomaly mediated [23,24] SUSY breaking scenarios. Light sleptons could also play a role in helping SUSY to provide a relic dark matter density consistent with observations [25,26].

This Letter presents the first search for direct left-handed slepton pair production at the LHC, and a dedicated search for direct chargino pair production in final states with two leptons (electrons, *e*, or muons, μ). Searches for the general pair production of gauginos decaying into two-lepton final states are also presented. The analysis presented in this Letter is not sensitive to right-handed slepton pair production which has much lower cross-section.

1.1. Direct slepton and chargino pair production

Sleptons can be produced directly in a process similar to Drell– Yan production [27]. The search in this Letter targets the direct pair production of left-handed charged sleptons, where each charged slepton $\tilde{\ell}$ (selectron or smuon) decays through $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, yielding a final state with two same flavour (SF) charged leptons. The undetected $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ gives rise to large missing transverse momentum in the event. Previous experimental searches for direct slepton production [28] assumed gaugino unification. In the present work this assumption is dropped, thereby removing the lower limit on the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$. Direct chargino pair production, where each



^{*} E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

^{0370-2693/ © 2012} CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.058

chargino decays through $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ leads to a signature similar to that of slepton pair production. The analysis presented also targets this production channel and subsequent decay, setting limits on the chargino mass, without the assumptions on the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ usually present in trilepton searches.

1.2. Other weak gaugino production

In the general framework of the pMSSM, several weak gaugino production channels can lead to final states with two leptons. Production modes such as $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_{i=1,2}^\pm$ or $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_{j=2,3,4}^0$, with the subsequent decays $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_j^0, \tilde{\chi}_i^{\pm} \rightarrow q \bar{q}' \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are addressed by a signal region containing two leptons and two jets. In order to complement existing and future trilepton searches a dedicated signal region with two same charge leptons is designed to be sensitive to trilepton final states from $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow (\ell^{\mp} \ell^{\mp} \tilde{\chi}_1^0) + (\ell^{\mp} \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ where one lepton is not identified. All final states yield missing transverse energy due to the presence of two $\tilde{\chi}_1^{0*}s$.

Model-independent visible cross-section upper limits are obtained in each signal region to address the large variety of possible production and decay modes in the gaugino sector. The results are also interpreted in the framework of the pMSSM. This search is not sensitive to weak gaugino decays via on-shell Z bosons. Previous limits on weak chargino and neutralino production have been placed at LEP [28], the Tevatron [29,30] and at the LHC [31,32].

2. The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS experiment [33] is a multi-purpose particle physics detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and nearly 4π coverage in solid angle.¹ It contains four superconducting magnet systems, which include a thin solenoid surrounding the inner tracking detector (ID), and barrel and end-cap toroids supporting a muon spectrometer. The ID occupies the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$ and consists of a silicon pixel detector, a silicon microstrip detector (SCT), and a transition radiation tracker (TRT). In the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 3.2$, high-granularity liquidargon (LAr) electromagnetic (EM) sampling calorimeters are used. An iron-scintillator tile calorimeter provides coverage for hadron detection over $|\eta| < 1.7$. The end-cap and forward regions, spanning $1.5 < |\eta| < 4.9$, are instrumented with LAr calorimeters for both EM and hadronic measurements. The muon spectrometer surrounds the calorimeters and consists of a system of precision tracking chambers ($|\eta| < 2.7$), and detectors for triggering ($|\eta| < 2.4$).

3. Simulated samples

3.1. Standard Model production

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples are used to develop and validate the analysis procedure and to evaluate the SM backgrounds in the signal region. The dominant backgrounds include fully-leptonic $t\bar{t}$, Z/γ^* + jets, single top and dibosons (WW, WZ and ZZ). Production of top-quark pairs is simulated with POWHEG [34], using a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV. Samples of W to $l\nu$ and Z/γ^* to ll, produced with accompanying jets (of both light and heavy flavour), are obtained with SHERPA [36] in signal regions requiring jets and with HERWIG [37] elsewhere. Single

top production is modelled with MC@NLO [38-40]. Fragmentation and hadronisation for the ALPGEN and MC@NLO samples are performed with HERWIG, using JIMMY [41] for the underlying event, and with PYTHIA [42] for the POWHEG sample. Expected diboson yields are normalised using NLO QCD predictions obtained with MCFM [43,44]. The top-quark contribution is normalised to approximate next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) calculations [45]. The inclusive W and Z/γ^* production cross-sections are normalised to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) cross-sections obtained using FEWZ [46]. MC@NLO samples are used to assess the systematic uncertainties associated with the choice of generator for $t\bar{t}$ production, and AcerMC [47] samples are used to assess the uncertainties associated with initial and final state radiation (ISR/FSR) [48]. ALPGEN, HERWIG and SHERPA samples are used to assess the systematic uncertainties associated with the choice of generator for diboson production. SHERPA is used to evaluate the small contribution from internal conversions.

3.2. Direct slepton and direct gaugino production

Four signal regions are designed, optimised for the discovery of various SUSY models where sleptons or gauginos are directly produced in the *pp* interaction. The pMSSM framework is used to produce two sets of signal samples, one where sleptons are directly produced and one where gauginos are directly produced. These samples are used to set the limits on the masses of the directly produced sleptons and gauginos. Samples are also produced in a simplified model at given LSP and chargino masses, and are then used to set limits on the chargino masses, independently of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ mass. In all SUSY models the masses of the squarks, gluinos and third generation supersymmetric partners of the fermions are large (2.5 TeV in the direct slepton production pMSSM models and 2 TeV in the direct gaugino pMSSM and simplified models).

The direct slepton models are based on those described in Ref. [49]. Masses of all gauginos apart from the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are set to 2.5 TeV. The sensitivity of the present search to a given model is determined by the slepton production cross-section and by the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, which affects the kinematics of the final state leptons. The mass of the bino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is varied by scanning values of gaugino mass parameter M_1 in steps of 20 GeV in the range 20–160 GeV. The common selectron and smuon mass is generated in the range 70–190 GeV, scanned in steps of 20 GeV with the constraint $m_{\tilde{\ell}} > m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + 30$ GeV. The cross-section for direct slepton pair production in these models decreases from 3.9 to 0.05 pb independently of neutralino mass as the slepton mass increases from 70 to 190 GeV.

In the considered simplified models, the masses of the relevant particles $(\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\ell}_L, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \text{ and } \tilde{\chi}_2^0)$ are the only free parameters. The latter are wino-like and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is bino-like. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ are pair-produced via the *s*-channel exchange of a virtual gauge boson and decay via left-handed sleptons, including $\tilde{\tau}$, and $\tilde{\nu}$ of mass $m_{\tilde{\nu}} = m_{\tilde{\ell}_L} = (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}})/2$ with a branching ratio of 50% each. The cross-section for $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ pair production in these models is as high as 3 pb for a chargino mass of 50 GeV and decreases rapidly at higher masses, reaching below ~ 0.2 pb for masses above 200 GeV.

For the other weak gaugino production channels, a set of pMSSM models with intermediate sleptons in the gaugino decay chain are generated. The right-handed sleptons, with a common mass for all three generations, are inserted halfway between the two lightest neutralino masses while left-handed slepton masses are kept beyond reach.

The masses of the charginos and the neutralinos depend on the gaugino and Higgsino mass parameters M_1 , M_2 and μ and the

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point in the centre of the detector and the *z*-axis along the beam pipe. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity η is defined in terms of the polar angle θ by $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$.

ratio of the expectation values of the two Higgs doublets $(\tan \beta)$ via mixing matrices [50]. The chargino masses are given by the solution of a 2 × 2 matrix equation which is dependent on M_2 , μ and $\tan \beta$ [51]. The neutralino masses are found by solving a 4 × 4 matrix equation; solutions to which are given in Refs. [52–54]. The parameters M_1 , M_2 and μ are varied independently while $\tan \beta$ is set to 6. In the pMSSM model the cross-sections vary significantly (between 0.5 and 100 pb for $M_1 = 250$ GeV, with the highest cross-sections at low M_2 and μ). The present direct gaugino production search is only sensitive to models with intermediate sleptons.

Signal samples for the pMSSM and slepton model points are generated with HERWIG, whereas Herwig++ [55] is used to generate the simplified model points. Signal cross-sections are calculated to next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant (NLO) using PROSPINO [56]. The nominal cross-section and the uncertainty are taken from an envelope of cross-section predictions using different parton distribution function (PDF) sets and factorisation and renormalisation scales, as described in Ref. [57].

All MC samples are produced using a GEANT4 [58] based detector simulation [59]. The effect of multiple proton-proton collisions from the same or different bunch crossings is incorporated into the simulation by overlaying additional minimum bias events onto hard scatter events using PYTHIA. Simulated events are weighted to match the distribution of the number of interactions per bunch crossing observed in data.

4. Data and event selection

The 7 TeV proton-proton collision data analysed were recorded between March and October 2011. Application of beam, detector and data-quality requirements yields a total integrated luminosity of 4.7 fb $^{-1}$. Events are triggered using a combination of single and double lepton triggers. The single electron triggers vary with the data taking period, and the tightest trigger has an efficiency of \sim 97% for offline electrons with $p_{\rm T}$ > 25 GeV. The single muon trigger used for all data taking periods reaches an efficiency plateau of \sim 75% (\sim 90%) in the barrel (end-caps) for muons with $p_{\rm T}$ > 20 GeV. All quoted efficiencies have been measured with respect to reconstructed leptons. The double lepton triggers reach similar plateau efficiencies, but at lower $p_{\rm T}$ thresholds: > 17 GeV for the dielectron trigger, and > 12 GeV for the dimuon trigger; for the electron-muon trigger the thresholds are 15 and 10 GeV respectively. One or two signal leptons are required to have triggered the event, and be matched to the online triggered leptons: one lepton if one is above the appropriate single lepton trigger plateau threshold, or two leptons if there is no such lepton. An exception to this rule is applied in the $\mu\mu$ channel. In this case when one lepton is above the single lepton trigger plateau threshold, and the other above the double lepton threshold, a logical OR of both triggers is used to recover efficiency.

Jet candidates are reconstructed using the anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm [60] with a distance parameter of 0.4. The jet candidates are corrected for the effects of calorimeter non-compensation and inhomogeneities by using p_T and η -dependent calibration factors based on MC simulations and validated with extensive test-beam and collision-data studies [61]. Only jet candidates with transverse momenta $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$ are subsequently retained. Jets likely to have arisen from detector noise or cosmic rays are rejected [61]. Electron candidates are required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.47$, and pass the "medium" shower shape and track selection criteria of Ref. [62]. Muon candidates are reconstructed using either a full muon spectrometer track matched to an ID track, or a partial muon spectrometer track matched to an ID track. They are then required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. They must be reconstructed with sufficient hits in the pixel, SCT and TRT detectors.

The measurement of the missing transverse momentum two-vector, $\mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}}$, and its magnitude, E_{T}^{miss} , is based on the transverse momenta of all electron and muon candidates, all jets, and all clusters of calorimeter energy with $|\eta| < 4.9$ not associated to such objects. The quantity $E_{T}^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ is defined as

$$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.} = \begin{cases} E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell, j} \ge \pi/2, \\ E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \times \sin \Delta \phi_{\ell, j} & \text{if } \Delta \phi_{\ell, j} < \pi/2, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta \phi_{\ell,j}$ is the azimuthal angle between the direction of $\mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}}$ and that of the nearest electron, muon or jet. In a situation where the momentum of one of the jets or leptons is significantly mismeasured, such that it is aligned with the direction of $\mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}}$, only the E_{T}^{miss} component perpendicular to that object is considered. This is used to significantly reduce mis-measured E_{T}^{miss} in processes such as $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$ [63].

Signal electrons, muons and jets are then selected. Signal electrons are further required to pass the "tight" [62] quality criteria, which place additional requirements on the ratio of calorimetric energy to track momentum, and the number of high-threshold hits in the TRT. They are also required to be isolated: the p_T sum of tracks above 1 GeV within a cone of size $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.2$ around each electron candidate (excluding the electron candidate itself) is required to be less than 10% of the electron p_T . Signal muons must also be isolated: the p_T sum of tracks within a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.2$ around the muon candidate is required to be less than 1.8 GeV.

Signal jets are subject to the further requirements $p_T > 30$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$ and a "jet vertex fraction" greater than 0.75. The jet vertex fraction is defined as the total track momentum associated to the jet and coming from the primary vertex divided by the total track transverse momentum in the jet.

The jet vertex fraction quantifies the fraction of track transverse momentum from the primary vertex, associated to a jet. This variable is used to remove jets that originated from other collisions, and also discards jets without reconstructed tracks.

A *b*-tagging algorithm [64], which exploits the long lifetime of weak *b*- and *c*-hadron decays inside a candidate jet, is used to identify jets containing a *b*-hadron decay. The mean nominal *b*-tagging efficiency, determined from $t\bar{t}$ MC events, is 80%, with a misidentification (mis-tag) rate for light-quark/gluon jets of less than 1%. Scale factors (which depend on p_T and η) are applied to all MC samples to correct for small discrepancies in the *b*-tagging performance observed in data with respect to simulation.

Basic data quality requirements are then applied. Selected events in each signal region (SR-) and control region must satisfy the following requirements. The primary vertex in the event must have at least five associated tracks and each event must contain exactly two signal leptons of opposite-sign (OS) or same sign (SS). Both of these leptons must additionally satisfy the full list of lepton requirements, and the dilepton invariant mass, $m_{\ell\ell}$, must be greater than 20 GeV across all flavour combinations.

5. Signal regions

In this analysis four signal regions are defined. The first and main signal region (labelled SR- m_{T2}) exploits the "stransverse" mass variable, m_{T2} [65,66], to provide sensitivity to both $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$ pair production. This variable is defined as: $m_{T2} = \min_{\mathbf{q}_T + \mathbf{r}_T = \mathbf{p}_T^{miss}} [\max(m_T(\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell_1}, \mathbf{q}_T), m_T(\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell_2}, \mathbf{r}_T))]$, where $\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell_1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell_2}$ are the transverse momenta of the two leptons, and \mathbf{q}_T and \mathbf{r}_T

| lable I | Та | ble | 1 |
|---------|----|-----|---|
|---------|----|-----|---|

Decay modes targeted by each signal region, $\tilde{\chi_i}$ denotes either a chargino or a neutralino. In decays producing three real leptons, one must be mis-reconstructed or fall outside the acceptance of the detector.

| Targeted process Signal region | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Two-lepton final states | | | |
| $\widetilde{\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}\tilde{\ell}^{\mp}} \rightarrow (\ell^{\mp}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) + (\ell^{\mp}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ | SR-m _{T2} | | |
| $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} ightarrow (\ell^{\mp} \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0) + (\ell^{\mp} \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ | SR-m _{T2} , SR-OSjveto | | |
| ${	ilde \chi}^0_2 {	ilde \chi}_i ightarrow (\ell^\mp \ell^\mp {	ilde \chi}^0_1) + (q ar q' {	ilde \chi}^0_1)$ | SR-2jets | | |
| Three-lepton final states | | | |
| $	ilde{\chi}_2^0 	ilde{\chi}_1^\pm ightarrow (\ell^\mp \ell^\mp 	ilde{\chi}_1^0) + (\ell^\mp \nu 	ilde{\chi}_1^0)$ | SR-OSjveto, SR-SSjveto | | |

Table 2

Signal regions. OS (SS) denotes two opposite-sign (same-sign) signal leptons, of same (SF) or different (DF) flavour. The *Z*-veto rejects events with $m_{\ell\ell}$ within 10 GeV of the *Z* boson mass (91.2 GeV). The $m_{\rm CT}$ -veto rejects events kinematically consistent with $t\bar{t}$ (Section 5.2). The values quoted for $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss,rel.}$ and $m_{\rm T2}$ are in units of GeV.

| SR- | m _{T2} | OSjveto | SSjveto | 2 jets |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| charge | OS | OS | SS | OS |
| flavour | any | an | y | SF |
| $m_{\ell\ell}$ | Z-veto | Z-veto | - | Z-veto |
| signal jets | = 0 | = | 0 | ≥ 2 |
| signal <i>b</i> -jets | - | - | | = 0 |
| $E_1^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ | > 40 | > 1 | 00 | > 50 |
| other | $m_{\mathrm{T2}} > 90$ | - | | $m_{\rm CT}$ -veto |

are two vectors which satisfy $\mathbf{q}_{T} + \mathbf{r}_{T} = \mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}}$. m_{T} indicates the transverse mass, $m_{T} = \sqrt{2E_{T,p}^{\ell_{1}}E_{T,q}(1-\cos\phi)}$, where E_{T} is the transverse energy of a particle and ϕ the angle between the two particles in the transverse plane. The minimisation is performed over all possible decompositions of $\mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}}$.

The search for $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$ pair production uses only the same flavour channels e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$, while the $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ pair production search also relies on $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$. Additional sensitivity to $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ pair production is provided by the second signal region, SR-OSjveto, which selects OS lepton pairs with high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ in events with no signal jets.

is provided by the second signal region, SR-OSjveto, which selects OS lepton pairs with high E_T^{miss} in events with no signal jets. The production modes $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_i^{\pm}$ or $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_i^0$, with the subsequent decays $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_i^0, \tilde{\chi}_i^{\pm} \rightarrow q \bar{q}' \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are targeted by a region called SR-2jets, which selects events with two signal jets and two OS leptons.

In this Letter the region SR-OSjveto and an equivalent region, SR-SSjveto, which instead selects the events with SS lepton pairs, also target a three lepton final state. The explicit veto in this analysis on a third lepton makes the results in these regions orthogonal to results from direct gaugino searches with three or more leptons [32]. These regions recover events which are not reconstructed in searches for \geq 3 leptons because one of the three leptons falls outside the acceptance of the detector and selection criteria. The processes directly targeted by each signal region are stated explicitly in Table 1.

The exact requirements on the values to be taken by each variable in each signal region were determined by optimising the expected reach using a significance measure [67] in either the neutralino-slepton mass plane of the pMSSM model (SR- m_{T2}), the neutralino-chargino mass plane of the simplified model (SR-OSjveto and SR-SSjveto) or the M_1 - μ mass plane of the pMSSM (SR-2jets). Table 2 summarises the requirements for entering each signal region.

5.1. Direct slepton and chargino pair production

In SR- m_{T2} the properties of m_{T2} are exploited to search for $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}\tilde{\ell}^{\mp}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\mp}$ production followed by decay to final states con-

taining exactly two OS leptons (of different flavour, DF, or same flavour, SF), no signal jets, and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ from the two $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. In this signal region $t\bar{t}$ and WW are dominant backgrounds. For large mass differences between the sleptons (charginos) and the lightest neutralino, the $m_{\rm T2}$ distribution for signal events extends significantly beyond the distributions for $t\bar{t}$ and diboson backgrounds. The optimised value for the lower $m_{\rm T2}$ requirement is 90 GeV, just above the W boson mass (which is the approximate end-point of the WW and $t\bar{t}$ distributions).

A rejection of events with $m_{\ell\ell}$ within 10 GeV of the Z mass reduces Z/γ^* backgrounds. For the direct slepton pMSSM models with a 20 GeV neutralino, the product of the kinematic and geometrical acceptance and reconstruction and event selection efficiencies varies between 0.1 and 4.0% in this SR for slepton masses between 90 and 190 GeV. For fixed 190 GeV slepton mass, this product increases from 0.2 to 4.0% as the neutralino mass decreases from 140 to 20 GeV. In the simplified models, for $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ pair production, the product of acceptance and efficiency ranges between 1 and 7%, increasing towards higher chargino and lower neutralino masses.

In SR-OSjveto a different approach to reducing the backgrounds is taken. The m_{T2} variable is not used, and instead more stringent requirements are replaced on $E_T^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ to suppress the $t\bar{t}$ background. The dominant Z background is suppressed by rejecting events with $m_{\ell\ell}$ within 10 GeV of the Z boson mass. The final requirement, on $E_T^{\text{miss,rel.}}$, further increases sensitivity to the signals which are associated with much higher E_T^{miss} than the SM backgrounds. In the simplified models, for $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\mp$ pair production, the product of acceptance and efficiency ranges between 1 and 8%, increasing towards higher chargino and lower neutralino masses.

In SR- m_{T2} the expected number of direct slepton signal events for $m_{\tilde{\ell}} = 130 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 20 \text{ GeV}$ is $20.7 \pm 0.8(\text{syst}) \pm 0.6(\text{theory})$, where the first uncertainty denotes experimental uncertainties detailed below, while the theory uncertainty contains PDF and scale uncertainties. In SR-OSjveto the expected number of direct chargino pair events with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 175 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 25 \text{ GeV}$ is $67.8 \pm 3.4(\text{syst}) \pm 2.3(\text{theory})$.

5.2. Other weak gaugino production

In the production channel and decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_i \rightarrow (\ell^{\mp} \ell^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0) + (q \tilde{q}' \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ the resulting OS two-lepton final state has significant $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ and at least two signal jets. The region SR-2jets is thus sensitive to these decays. In SR-2jets, top background is reduced using a "top-tag" veto. The top-tagging requirement is imposed through the use of the contransverse mass variable $m_{\rm CT}$ [68]. This observable can be calculated from the four-momenta of the selected signal jets and leptons:

$$m_{\rm CT}^2(v_1, v_2) = \left[E_{\rm T}(v_1) + E_{\rm T}(v_2) \right]^2 - \left[\mathbf{p}_{\rm T}(v_1) - \mathbf{p}_{\rm T}(v_2) \right]^2,$$

where v_i can be a lepton (l), jet (j) or a lepton-jet combination. Transverse momentum vectors are defined by \mathbf{p}_T and transverse energies E_T are defined as $E_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}$. The quantities $m_{\text{CT}}(j, j)$, $m_{\text{CT}}(l, l)$ and $m_{\text{CT}}(jl, jl)$ are bounded from above by analytical functions of the top-quark and W boson masses. A toptagged event must have at least two jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV, and the scalar sum of the p_T of at least one combination of two signal jets and the two signal leptons in the event must exceed 100 GeV. Furthermore, top-tagged events are required to possess m_{CT} values calculated from combinations of signal jets and leptons consistent with the expected bounds from $t\bar{t}$ events

Table 3

Requirements for entering each control region for top, WW and Z + X background estimation in the OS signal regions. These are used to estimate the top background in all OS signal regions, WW in SR-OSjveto and Z + X in all SF channels of the OS signal regions. When each OS signal region requires differing control region definitions, the conditions are given as a comma separated list (SR-OSjveto, SR-2jets, SR- m_{T2}). The Z-veto is a rejection of events with $m_{\ell\ell}$ within 10 GeV of the Z-mass (91.2 GeV), whereas the Z-window defines the reverse. In the WW control region the *b*-jets considered are those with $p_T > 20$ GeV. The values quoted for $E_T^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ are in units of GeV.

| | top | WW | Z + X |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| $m_{\ell\ell}$ | Z-veto | Z-veto | Z-window |
| signal jets | \geqslant 2 | = 0 | $=$ 0, \geqslant 2, \geqslant 0 |
| signal <i>b</i> -jets | $\geqslant 1$ | = 0 | \geqslant 0, $=$ 0, \geqslant 0 |
| $E_{T}^{miss, rel.}$ | > 100, 50, 40 | 70-100 | > 100, 50, 40 |
| other | - | - | –, m _{CT} -veto, – |

as described in Ref. [69]. Further top rejection is achieved using a veto on any events containing a signal jet tagged as a *b*-jet. Z backgrounds are reduced using the Z-veto, and sensitivity increased by searching at high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss,rel}$. The expected number of signal events in SR-2jets from $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow (\ell^{\mp} \ell^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0) + (q\bar{q}' \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ for a pMSSM point with $M_1 = 100$ GeV, $M_2 = 120$ GeV, $\mu = 100$ GeV is 37.6 ± 4.9 (syst) ± 7.0 (theory).

In the regions targeting fully-leptonic $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ decays (SR-OSjveto and SR-SSjveto), a veto on events containing a signal jet reduces hadronic backgrounds, and high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$ increases the sensitivity to SUSY decays. The final state leptons can be of either OS or SS. In the absence of significant expected Z background in the SS signal region, no Z-veto is applied. The expected number of signal events in SR-SSjveto from fully-leptonic $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ decays for a simplified model with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 200$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 50$ GeV, is 12.4 ± 1.4 (syst) ± 0.7 (theory).

6. Background evaluation

6.1. Backgrounds in SR-m_{T2}

In this Letter, SR- m_{T2} is used to search for $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$ pair production and provides the best sensitivity to $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ pair production. The main backgrounds in this region are: fully-leptonic $t\bar{t}$ and single top, Z/γ^* + jets and dibosons (*WW*, *WZ* and *ZZ*).

Fully-leptonic $t\bar{t}$ is comparable in size to the *WW* background in all flavour channels. $Z/\gamma^* + jets$, *WZ* and *ZZ* processes (collectively, Z + X) are a small proportion of events in the DF channel, but comparable in size to the *WW* and $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds in the SF channels. The remainder of the SM background is accounted for by fake lepton backgrounds. The methods used to evaluate these backgrounds in SR- m_{T2} are described in the following sections.

6.1.1. Top

The combined contribution from $t\bar{t}$ and single top events in each channel (*ee*, $e\mu$ or $\mu\mu$) is evaluated by normalising MC simulation to data in an appropriate control region. Events in the control region (Table 3) must contain at least two signal jets, one of which must be *b*-tagged, and pass the requirement that $E_T^{\text{miss, rel.}}$ must be greater than 40 GeV. The corresponding control region is dominated by top events. The contamination from non-top events is less than 4%. The number of top events in the signal region ($N_{\text{top}}^{\text{SR}}$) is estimated from the number of data events in the control region ($N_{\text{top}}^{\text{CR}}$), after the subtraction of non-top backgrounds, using a transfer factor \mathcal{T} :

The factor,
$$\mathcal{T}$$
, the ratio of top events in the signal to those in the control region is derived using MC

$$\mathcal{T} = \left(\frac{N_{\rm X}^{\rm SR}}{N_{\rm X}^{\rm CR}}\right)_{\rm MC}.$$

The factor S_T corrects for possible differences in jet-veto efficiency between data and MC simulation. Good agreement is observed in separate samples of $t\bar{t}$ and Z/γ^* + jets events and so this factor is taken to be equal to 1.0, with an uncertainty of 6%. The transfer factor is evaluated before the m_{T2} requirement is applied in the signal region since this requirement is designed to eliminate all but the tail of the m_{T2} distribution for $t\bar{t}$. The efficiency of this requirement is then evaluated using MC simulation for a looser selection (which is assumed not to change the m_{T2} shape) and used to obtain the final estimate in SR- m_{T2} . The efficiency of the m_{T2} requirement is found to be $\sim 2\%$ in each channel for top events with an uncertainty of $\sim 50\%$. The uncertainty is largely dominated by MC statistical uncertainty, generator uncertainties and jet and lepton scales and resolutions.

The evaluated $t\bar{t}$ components in each channel are consistent with pure MC estimates normalised to cross-sections to within 1σ . Data and MC simulation are also consistent at this level in the control region. Negligible contamination from the SUSY signal models generated, in the region of the expected reach, is predicted.

6.1.2. Z + X

The Z/γ^* + jets background in the SF channels is also estimated by normalising MC simulation to data in a suitable control region. This procedure is important in order to handle appropriately possible detector imperfections affecting $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ measurement. This technique also estimates the *ZW* and *ZZ* components, providing a combined estimate of the total *Z* + *X* background in the SF channels.

In the DF channel the $Z/\gamma^* + jets$ contribution is significantly smaller and arises mainly from $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decays. This and the diboson components of the Z + X background in the DF channel are estimated using MC simulation.

The control region (Table 3) used to estimate the Z + X background in the SF channels is defined to be identical to the signal region but with the Z-veto reversed. The normalisation is evaluated before the m_{T2} requirement, and the efficiency of the m_{T2} requirement is measured separately using MC simulation. The population of data events inside the control region not produced by Z + X processes is estimated using data $e\mu$ events inside the Z-window, correcting for the differences between electron and muon reconstruction efficiencies. This subtraction removes less than 2% of the events in the control region. This procedure also subtracts contributions from $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau$ + jets events which must be estimated using MC simulation. The MC m_{T2} requirement efficiency for Z + X events is taken to be 0.004 (0.003) for e^+e^- ($\mu^+\mu^-$) events with ~ 50% uncertainty.

The estimated Z + X background is consistent within statistics with the MC prediction. No significant signal contamination is expected for the SUSY model points considered in the region of sensitivity for the searches reported in this Letter.

6.1.3. WW

The *WW* background is evaluated using MC normalised to cross-section and luminosity. The predictions from a variety of generators (see Section 3) were compared before application of the m_{T2} requirement (to maximise acceptance for comparison), in order to assess the theoretical uncertainty on this estimate. The m_{T2} distribution in data agrees well with that in MC simulation, and



Fig. 1. The $E_T^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ distributions prior to the final requirement on $E_T^{\text{miss,rel.}}$ for (a) SR-OSjveto, (b) SR-2jets and (c) SR-SSjveto, and (d) m_{T2} in SR- m_{T2} , prior to the application of the m_{T2} requirement. In (d) only the SF channels are shown. The hatched bands indicate the experimental uncertainties on the background expectations. All components are from MC except for that labelled "Fake leptons". The contribution labelled "Diboson" accounts for WW, WZ and ZZ processes. The bottom panels show the ratio of the data to the expected background (points) and the systematic uncertainty on the background (shaded area). In each figure two signal points are illustrated. In (d) two models of direct slepton pair production are illustrated, with $(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ masses of (130, 20) and (190, 100) GeV. In (a) the two points illustrated are for $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ production in the simplified model points illustrated have $(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ masses of (200, 50) and (112.5, 12.5) GeV. In (b) two pMSSM model points with masses (M_1, M_2, M_μ) of (100, 120, 100) and (140, 160, 300) GeV are illustrated.

the $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$ region under consideration (> 40 GeV) is close to the bulk of the WW sample.

6.1.4. Fake leptons

In this Letter the term "fake leptons" refers to both misidentified jets and real leptons that arise from decays or conversions. The numbers of fake lepton events are estimated using the "matrix method" [70]. First, fake leptons are identified as those satisfying a loose set of identification requirements corresponding to medium-level identification requirements and no isolation. The real efficiency r is calculated using data as the fraction of these loose leptons passing the signal lepton identification and isolation requirements in events with a lepton pair of mass lying within 5 GeV of the Z boson mass. The fake efficiency f is calculated separately for misidentified jets or decays and conversions. The combined fake efficiency for misidentified jets or decays is calculated using MC events with $E_T^{\rm miss,rel.}$ between 40 and 100 GeV, and validated using low- $E_T^{\rm miss,rel.}$ regions in data. This region of moderate $E_T^{\rm miss,rel.}$ is expected to give a sample composition that is representative of the various signal regions. The fake efficiency for conversions is estimated in a data sample dominated by this process, with two muons of invariant mass within 10 GeV of the *Z*-mass, $E_T^{\rm miss,rel.} < 50$ GeV and at least one loose electron with

Table 4

Systematic uncertainties (%) on the total background estimated in each signal region for all flavours combined. The total statistical uncertainty includes limited MC event numbers in the control and signal regions. Jet systematic uncertainties include: JES, JER and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ cluster and pile-up uncertainties. Lepton systematic uncertainties include: all lepton scales and resolutions, reconstruction and trigger efficiencies. MC modelling uncertainties include choice of generator, ISR/FSR and modelling of the Z/γ^* + jets line-shape.

| SR- | m _{T2} | OSjveto | SSjveto | 2 jets |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Total statistical | 9 | 4 | 13 | 6 |
| Total systematic | 19 | 19 | 35 | 49 |
| Jet uncertainties | 9 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Lepton uncertainties | 14 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| <i>b</i> -Tagging efficiency | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| MC modelling | 7 | 17 | 4 | 45 |
| Fake leptons | 5 | 5 | 35 | 4 |

 $m_{\rm T}$ < 40 GeV (the conversion candidate). The overall *f* used is then the weighted (according to the relative proportions of each component present in the signal region) average of these two fake efficiencies. Then, in the signal region the observed numbers of events in data with two loose leptons, two signal leptons, or one

of each are counted. The number of events containing fake leptons in each signal region is finally obtained by acting on these observed counts with a 4×4 matrix with terms containing f and r that relates real-real, real-fake, fake-real and fake-fake lepton event counts to tight-tight, tight-loose, loose-tight and loose-loose counts.

6.2. Backgrounds in SR-OSjveto, SR-SSjveto and SR-2jets

The same techniques are used to estimate the backgrounds in each remaining signal region, with two exceptions which are detailed in this section. Table 3 details any changes to control region definitions used.

1. Due to the high $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$ requirement (> 100 GeV) in SR-OSjveto, *WW* is estimated using MC normalised to data in a control region. The control region used for its estimate is defined using the same requirements as the signal region but with slightly lower $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$ (for orthogonality with the signal region) and an additional *b*-jet veto to suppress $t\bar{t}$ (Table 3). This control region is subject to a 24% contamination from top events, which is estimated and removed using MC simulation.

Table 5

Evaluated SM backgrounds in each signal region separated by flavour (*ee*, $e\mu$, $\mu\mu$) and combined in an "all" channel. In SR-m_{T2} the evaluated background components in the SF channel are quoted separately as the $e\mu$ channel is not appropriate for a direct slepton search. The second quoted error is the total systematic uncertainty whereas the first is the statistical uncertainty arising from limited numbers of MC events. The effect of limited data events in the control region is included in the systematic uncertainty. In all OS signal regions and channels the component Z + X includes the contributions from $Z/\gamma^* + jets$, WZ and ZZ events. All statistical uncertainties are added in quadrature whereas the systematic uncertainties are obtained after taking full account of all correlations between sources, backgrounds and channels. Quoted also are the observed (expected) 95% confidence limits on the visible cross-section for non-SM events in each signal region, $\sigma_{vis}^{obs(exp)}$.

| SR-m _{T2} | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| | e^+e^- | $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ | $\mu^+\mu^-$ | all | SF |
| Z + X WW $t\bar{t}$, single top Fake leptons | $\begin{array}{c} 3.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.7 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4 \\ 2.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3 \\ 1.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 4.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.7 \\ 6.2 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.9 \\ 1.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.7 \\ 3.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5 \\ 4.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.6 \\ -0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$ | $7.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$ $10.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.5$ $12.9 \pm 2.4 \pm 4.6$ $2.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.4$ | $\begin{array}{c} 6.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1 \\ 5.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.9 \\ 6.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.3 \\ 1.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6 \end{array}$ |
| Total Data $\sigma_{\rm vis}^{\rm obs(exp)}~({\rm fb})$ | $9.2 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.5 \\ 7 \\ 1.5 (1.8)$ | $12.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.1 \\ 9 \\ 1.6 (2.0)$ | $11.2 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.0 \\ 8 \\ 1.6 (1.9)$ | $32.8 \pm 3.2 \pm 6.3 \\ 24 \\ 2.5 (3.3)$ | $20.4 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.9 \\ 15 \\ 1.9 (2.5)$ |
| | | SR-0 | OSjveto | | |
| | e^+e^- | $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ | $\mu^+\mu^-$ | a | 11 |
| Z + X WW $t\bar{t}$, single top Fake leptons | $\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2 \\ 8.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 4.4 \\ 21.1 \pm 2.3 \pm 4.2 \\ 2.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5 \\ 20.9 \pm 2.6 \pm 6.2 \\ 47.7 \pm 3.4 \pm 20.5 \\ 6.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.2 \\ 13.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.5 \\ 27.5 \pm 2.5 \pm 9.0 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 12.2\pm1.8\pm1.8\\ 43.0\pm3.7\pm12.2\\ 96.2\pm4.8\pm29.5\\ 10.3\pm2.2\pm4.1 \end{array}$ | |
| Total Data $\sigma_{ m vis}^{ m obs(exp)}~({\rm fb})$ | $37.2 \pm 3.3 \pm 6.4 \\ 33 \\ 3.3 (3.8)$ | $78.5 \pm 4.7 \pm 20.9 \\ 66 \\ 6.8 \ (7.8)$ | $45.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 9.4 \\ 40 \\ 4.0 (4.6)$ | 161.7 ± 6.7 ± 30.8 139 9.8 (11.9) | |
| | | SR-S | Sjveto | | |
| | $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$ | $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ | $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ | a | 11 |
| Charge flip Dibosons Fake leptons | $\begin{array}{c} 0.49 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.17 \\ 0.62 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.18 \\ 3.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.34 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.93 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.36 \\ 2.9 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.9 \end{array}$ | $- \\ 0.94 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.26 \\ 0.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$ | $egin{array}{c} 0.83 \pm 0.0 \ 3.50 \pm 0.2 \ 6.6 \pm 1. \end{array}$ | $egin{array}{c} 0.04 \pm 0.18 \ 31 \pm 0.54 \ 4 \pm 3.8 \end{array}$ |
| Total Data $\sigma_{ m vis}^{ m obs(exp)}~({ m fb})$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.7 \\ 1 \\ 0.7 \ (1.1) \end{array}$ | $5.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.9 \\ 5 \\ 1.6 \ (1.6)$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \\ 3 \\ 1.3 \ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $11.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 3.9 \\ 9 \\ 1.9 (2.1)$ | |
| SR-2jets | | | | | |
| | e^+e^- | $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ | $\mu^+\mu^-$ | S | F |
| Z + X WW $t\bar{t}$, single top Fake leptons | $3.8 \pm 1.3 \pm 2.7 6.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 4.3 14.8 \pm 1.9 \pm 9.2 2.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.5$ | | $5.8 \pm 1.6 \pm 3.9$ 8.4 ± 0.6 ± 5.7 22.1 ± 2.1 ± 20.7 1.7 ± 1.3 ± 0.8 | $9.6 \pm 2. \\14.8 \pm 0\\36.9 \pm 2. \\4.2 \pm 1.$ | 0 ± 5.1 $.7 \pm 9.9$ 9 ± 29.6 8 ± 2.3 |
| Total Data $\sigma_{\rm vis}^{\rm obs(exp)}$ (fb) | $27.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 10.6 \\ 39 \\ 6.9 (5.3)$ | | 37.9 ± 3.0 ± 21.0 39 7.7 (7.6) | 65.5 ± 4. 7 13.6 (| 0 ± 31.8 8 (12.5) |

Uass [GeV Ge Observed limit (+1 a Observed limit (+1 c Expected limit (±1 om L dt = 4.7 fb⁻¹ (s=7TeV L dt = 4.7 fb⁻¹ \s=7TeV Expected limit (+1 a EP II_ excluded → 2 × ៲ν̃(ν̃l) → 2 × Ινχ̃ 80 200 60 150 100 40 50 200 ٥ 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 200 300 350 20 40 60 100 150 250 400 450 500 χ̃ mass [GeV] **Tmass** [GeV] (a) (b)

Fig. 2. 95% CL exclusion limits for $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$ pair production in the $m_{\tilde{\ell}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}$ mass plane of (a) the direct slepton pMSSM and (b) $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\mp}$ pair production in the simplified model. The dashed and solid lines show the 95% CL_s expected and observed limits, respectively, including all uncertainties except for the theoretical signal cross-section uncertainty (PDF and scale). The solid band around the expected limit shows the $\pm 1\sigma$ result where all uncertainties, except those on the signal cross-sections, are considered. The $\pm 1\sigma$ lines around the observed limit represent the results obtained when moving the nominal signal cross-section up or down by the $\pm 1\sigma$ theoretical uncertainty. Illustrated also in (a) is the LEP limit [28] on the mass of the right-handed smuon, $\tilde{\mu}_{R}$. The LEP limit is a conservative limit on slepton pair production: if right-handed slepton masses are excluded, left-handed sleptons of equivalent masses are automatically excluded. (For interpretation of the references to colour, the reader is referred to the web version of this Letter.)

2. In SR-SSjveto, the leptons have the same charge, resulting in a generally different background composition, and the presence of an additional component: "charge-flip". The background components in this region are: fake leptons (estimated using the described matrix method), dibosons (estimated using MC events) and charge-flip. This mis-identification of charge arises when an electron in an event undergoes hard bremsstrahlung with subsequent photon conversion. The probability of an electron undergoing a flip is measured from Z events in data using a likelihood technique [71], and in MC simulation. This probability, evaluated as a function of electron rapidity and $p_{\rm T}$, is applied to $t\bar{t} \rightarrow e^{\pm} \ell^{\mp}$, Z + jets and diboson MC events to evaluate the number of $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$ and $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ events resulting from the charge-flip mechanism. The probability of misidentifying the charge of a muon is negligible. The possible double counting of charge-flip events in the matrix method for SR-SSjveto is not significant.

7. Systematic uncertainties

In this analysis systematic uncertainties arise in the estimates of the background in the signal regions, as well as on the estimate of the SUSY signal itself. The primary sources of systematic uncertainty are the jet energy scale (JES) [61] calibration, the jet energy resolution (JER) [72], choice of MC generator and lepton efficiencies and momentum measurements. Additional statistical uncertainties arise from limited numbers of MC and data events in the control and signal regions, and a 3.9% luminosity uncertainty [73,74] for normalising MC events to cross-sections.

The JES has been determined from a combination of test-beam, simulation and in-situ measurements from 2011 *pp* collision data. Uncertainties on the lepton identification, momentum/energy scale and resolution are estimated from samples of $Z \rightarrow l^+ l^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$ and $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\mp} \nu$ decays [75,76]. The uncertainties on the jet and lepton energies are propagated to $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$; an additional uncertainty on $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ arising from energy deposits not associated to

any reconstructed objects is also included [77]. Uncertainties on the *b*-tagging efficiency are derived from data samples containing muons associated to jets [64] using the method described in Ref. [78]. Included are uncertainties in the mis-tag rate from charm [79], and light flavour tagging [80].

Theory and MC modelling uncertainties are evaluated for $t\bar{t}$ using the prescriptions described in Ref. [81] (choice of generator, and ISR/FSR). For dibosons they are evaluated by varying the choice of generator. Theoretical uncertainties on the Z/γ^* + jets background from varying the PDF and renormalisation scales are also included.

When evaluating the fake lepton component in each region the dominant uncertainties arise from the dependency of the efficiencies on $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss, rel.}$, differences between efficiencies obtained using OS and SS events and uncertainties in the relative normalisations of the different components. An additional uncertainty is applied based on differences observed in the fake efficiencies measured from data to validate the MC efficiencies if different validation regions are chosen.

The relative sizes of these sources of systematic uncertainty are detailed in Table 4. In SR- m_{T2} , the jet and lepton energy scales and resolutions are the most significant uncertainties. In SR-OSjveto and SR-2jets, where $t\bar{t}$ and WW are the most significant SM backgrounds (accounting for approximately 80–85% of the SM contribution), the uncertainties in the MC modelling dominate. In SR-SSjveto, because of the significant fake component, the error on the fake estimate from the sources described becomes the only significant source of uncertainty.

In the SUSY mass planes, the theoretical uncertainty on each of the signal cross-sections is included. These arise from considering the cross-section envelope defined using the 68% CL ranges of the CTEQ6.6 and MSTW 2008 NLO PDF sets, and independent variations of the factorisation and renormalisation scales (see Section 3). Further uncertainties on the numbers of predicted signal events arise from the various experimental uncertainties.



Fig. 3. 95% CL exclusion limits in the μ - M_2 mass plane of the pMSSM for (a) $M_1 = 100$ GeV, (b) $M_1 = 140$ GeV and (c) $M_1 = 250$ GeV. The dashed and solid lines show the 95% CL_s expected and observed limits, respectively, including all uncertainties except for the theoretical signal cross-section uncertainty (PDF and scale). The solid band around the expected limit shows the $\pm 1\sigma$ result where all uncertainties, except those on the signal cross-sections, are considered. The $\pm 1\sigma$ lines around the observed limit represent the results obtained when moving the nominal signal cross-section up or down by the $\pm 1\sigma$ theoretical uncertainty.

8. Results and interpretation

Fig. 1 illustrates the level of agreement in each signal region, prior to the application of the final requirement on $E_T^{\text{miss, rel.}}$ and m_{T2} , between the data and the SM prediction. For each signal region two illustrative model points are also presented.

Table 5 compares the observations in data in each flavour channel and in each signal region with the evaluated background contributions. Good agreement is observed across all channels and in each signal region. The absence of evidence for SUSY weak production allows limits to be set on the visible cross-section for non-SM physics in each signal region, $\sigma_{\text{vis}} = \sigma \times \varepsilon \times A$, for which this analysis has acceptance A and efficiency ε . These are calculated using the modified frequentist CL_s prescription [82] by comparing the number of observed events in data with the SM expectation using the profile likelihood ratio as test statistic. All systematic uncer-

tainties and their correlations are taken into account via nuisance parameters.

The direct slepton pair production 95% CL exclusion region is shown in Fig. 2(a) in the neutralino–slepton mass plane, using the results of SR- m_{T2} in the SF channel. Shown are the 95% CL_s expected (dashed black) and observed limits (solid red) obtained by including all uncertainties except the theoretical signal crosssection uncertainty. The solid yellow band indicates the impact of the experimental uncertainties on the expected limits whereas the dashed red lines around the observed limit show the changes in the observed limit as the nominal signal cross-sections are scaled up and down by the 1 σ theoretical uncertainties. A common value for left-handed electron and left-handed smuon mass between 85 and 195 GeV is excluded when the lightest neutralino has a mass of 20 GeV. The sensitivity decreases as the value of $m_{\tilde{\ell}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ decreases and gives rise to end-points in the m_{T2} distribution at lower mass, nearer to the end-points of the SM backgrounds. For a 60 GeV neutralino only sleptons with masses between 135 and 180 GeV are excluded.

The direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ pair production limits are set for the simplified model, in the scenario of wino-like charginos decaying into the lightest neutralino via an intermediate on-shell charged slepton. The best expected limits are obtained by using for each signal point the signal region that provides the best expected *p*-value. The resulting limit for $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ production is illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Chargino masses between 110 and 340 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a 10 GeV neutralino. The best sensitivity is provided by SR- m_{T2} . Previous gaugino searches at the Tevatron and the LHC [29-32] focused on $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ associated production. The present result provides a new mass limit on $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ independently of the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$. The signal regions are combined in Fig. 3 to derive exclusion

limits in the pMSSM μ - M_2 plane for tan β = 6, by selecting for each signal point the signal region which provides the best expected p-value. Figs. 3(a)-3(c) show respectively the exclusion limits for $M_1 = 100, 140, 250$ GeV. The present result significantly extends previous limits in the pMSSM μ - M_2 plane. The model independent limits in Table 5 provide additional constraints on other gaugino production channels discussed previously in this Letter. In particular, SR-2jets provides sensitivity to models where one gaugino produced in association with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decays hadronically. The best sensitivity to models where final states containing ≥ 3 leptons dominate would come from a statistical combination of the results set in SR-2jets, SR-OSjveto and SR-SSjveto, and results of searches for three or more leptons [32].

9. Summary

This Letter has presented a dedicated search for $\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_1$ pair production in final states with two leptons and E_{T}^{miss} . In scenarios where sleptons decay directly into the lightest neutralino and a charged lepton, left-handed slepton masses between 85 and 195 GeV for a 20 GeV neutralino are excluded at 95% confidence. In the scenario of chargino pair production, with wino-like charginos decaying into the lightest neutralino via an intermediate on-shell charged slepton, chargino masses between 110 and 340 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a neutralino of 10 GeV. New limits in the pMSSM μ - M_2 plane are provided for tan β = 6. Signal regions targeting several gaugino production and decay modes into twolepton final states have also been used to set limits on the visible cross-section.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC. as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF, DNSRC and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; EPLANET and ERC, European Union; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, DFG, HGF, MPG and AvH Foundation, Germany; GSRT, Greece; ISF, MINERVA, GIF, DIP and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW, Poland; GRICES and FCT, Portugal; MERYS (MECTS), Romania; MES of Russia and ROSATOM, Russian Federation; JINR; MSTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MVZT, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MICINN, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SER, SNSF and Cantons of Bern

and Geneva, Switzerland; NSC, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF. United States.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

Open access

This article is published Open Access at sciencedirect.com. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

References

- [1] H. Miyazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 36 (6) (1966) 1266.
- [2] P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D 3 (1971) 2415.
- [3] Y.A. Golfand, et al., JETP Lett. 13 (1971) 323;
- Y.A. Golfand, et al., Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 13 (1971) 452.
- [4] A. Neveu, J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B 31 (1971) 86.
- [5] A. Neveu, J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 4 (1971) 1109.
- [6] J. Gervais, B. Sakita, Nucl. Phys. B 34 (1971) 632.
- [7] D.V. Volkov, V.P. Akulov, Phys. Lett. B 46 (1973) 109.
- [8] J. Wess, B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B 49 (1974) 52.
- [9] J. Wess, B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B 70 (1974) 39.
- [10] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 974.
- [11] E. Gildener, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 1667.
- [12] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 1277.
- [13] L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2619.
- [14] P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 64 (1976) 159.
- [15] P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 69 (1977) 489.
- [16] G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 76 (1978) 575.
- [17] P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 84 (1979) 416.
- [18] S. Dimopoulos, H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B 193 (1981) 150.
- [19] A. Djouadi, J.-L. Kneur, G. Moultaka, Comput. Phys. Commun. 176 (2007) 426, arXiv:hep-ph/0211331.
- [20] R. Barbieri, G. Giudice, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 63.
- [21] B. de Carlos, J. Casas, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 320, arXiv:hep-ph/9303291v1.
- [22] M. Dine, A. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 1277, arXiv:hep-ph/9303230v2.
- [23] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 79, arXiv:hep-th/9810155v2.
- [24] G.F. Giudice, et al., JHEP 9812 (1998) 27, arXiv:hep-ph/9810442.
- [25] G. Belanger, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 706 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0407218
- [26] S.F. King, J.P. Roberts, D.P. Roy, JHEP 0710 (2007), arXiv:0705.4219 [hep-ph].
- [27] H. Baer, et al., Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 3283, arXiv:hep-ph/9311248.
- [28] LEP SUSY Working Group (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL), Notes LEPSUSYWG/ 01-03.1 and 04-01.1, http://lepsusy.web.cern.ch/lepsusy/Welcome.html.
- [29] T. Aaltonen, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 251801, arXiv:0808.2446 [hep-ex].
- [30] V. Abazov, et al., D0 Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 680 (2009) 34, arXiv:0901.0646 [hep-ex].
- [31] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 709 (2012) 137, arXiv:1110.6189 [hep-ex].
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 261804, arXiv:1204.5638 [hep-ex].
- [33] ATLAS Collaboration, JINST 3 (2008) S08003.
- [34] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070, arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph].
- [35] M.L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, R. Pittau, A.D. Polosa, JHEP 0307 (2003) 001, arXiv:hep-ph/0206293.
- [36] T. Gleisberg, et al., JHEP 0902 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [37] G. Corcella, et al., [HEP 0101 (2001) 010, arXiv:hep-ph/0011363.
- [38] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, arXiv:hep-ph/0207182, 2002.
- [39] S. Frixione, P. Nason, B.R. Webber, arXiv:hep-ph/0305252, 2003.
- [40] S. Frixione, E. Laenen, P. Motylinski, arXiv:hep-ph/0512250, 2006.
- [41] J.M. Butterworth, J.R. Forshaw, M.H. Seymour, Z. Phys. C 72 (1996) 637, arXiv: hep-ph/9601371.
- T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/ [42] 0603175.
- [43] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 113006, arXiv:hep-ph/9905386.
- [44] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Williams, JHEP 1107 (2011) 018, arXiv:1105.0020 [hep-ph].

- [45] M. Aliev, H. Lacker, U. Langenfeld, S. Moch, P. Uwer, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 1034, arXiv:1007.1327 [hep-ph].
- [46] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, S. Quackenbush, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 2388, arXiv:1011.3540 [hep-ph].
- [47] B.P. Kersevan, E. Richter-Was, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/744157/, arXiv: hep-ph/0405247, 2004.
- [48] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2043, arXiv:1203.5015 [hep-ex].
- [49] S. AbdusSalam, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1835, arXiv:1109.3859 [hep-ph].
- [50] H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117 (1985) 75.
- [51] J. Beringer, et al., Particle Data Group Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 010001.
- [52] S. Choi, J. Kalinowski, G.A. Moortgat-Pick, P. Zerwas, Eur. Phys. J. C 22 (2001) 563, arXiv:hep-ph/0108117.
- [53] M.M. El Kheishen, A.A. Aboshousha, A.A. Shafik, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4345.
- [54] M. Guchait, Z. Phys. C 57 (1993) 157.
- [55] M. Bahr, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639, arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].
- [56] W. Beenakker, R. Hopker, M. Spira, P. Zerwas, Nucl. Phys. B 492 (1997) 51,
- arXiv:hep-ph/9610490. [57] M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, R. van der Leeuw, M. Mangano, S. Padhi, et al., arXiv: 1206.2892 [hep-ph], 2012.
- [58] S. Agostinelli, Nucl. Instrum, Meth. A 506 (2003) 250.
- [59] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [60] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, JHEP 0804 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ph].
- [61] ATLAS Collaboration, arXiv:1112.6426 [hep-ex], 2011.
- [62] ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP 1012 (2010) 060, arXiv:1010.2130 [hep-ex].
- [63] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 041802, arXiv:1104.5225 [hep-ex].

- [64] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-102, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1369219.
- [65] A. Barr, C. Lester, P. Stephens, J. Phys. G 29 (2003) 2343, arXiv:hep-ph/0304226.
- [66] C. Lester, D. Summers, Phys. Lett. B 463 (1999) 99, arXiv:hep-ph/9906349.
- [67] ATLAS Collaboration, arXiv:0901.0512 [hep-ex], 2009, 1591 pp.
- [68] D. Tovey, JHEP 0804 (2008) 034, arXiv:0802.2879 [hep-ph].
- [69] G. Polesello, D. Tovey, JHEP 1003 (2010) 030, arXiv:0910.0174v3 [hep-ph].
- [70] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1577, arXiv:1012.1792 [hep-ex].
- [71] ATLAS Collaboration, [HEP 1204 (2012) 069, arXiv:1202.5520 [hep-ex].
- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2010-054, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1337782.
- [73] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1630, arXiv:1101.2185 [hep-ex].
 [74] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-116, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/
- record/1376384. [75] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-116, 2011, http://dsweb.cern.ch/ [75] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-063, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/
- record/1345743.
- [76] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-021, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1336750.
- [77] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2012-101, 2012, https://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1463915.
- [78] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2011-143, 2011, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1386703.
- 79] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2012-039, 2012, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1435193.
- [80] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2012-040, 2012, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1435194.
- [81] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 707 (2012) 459, arXiv:1108.3699 [hep-ex].
- [82] A.L. Read, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) 2693.

ATLAS Collaboration

G. Aad ⁴⁸, T. Abajyan ²¹, B. Abbott ¹¹¹, J. Abdallah ¹², S. Abdel Khalek ¹¹⁵, A.A. Abdelalim ⁴⁹, O. Abdinov ¹¹, R. Aben ¹⁰⁵, B. Abi ¹¹², M. Abolins ⁸⁸, O.S. AbouZeid ¹⁵⁸, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵³, H. Abreu ¹³⁶, B.S. Acharya ^{164a, 164b}, L. Adamczyk ³⁸, D.L. Adams ²⁵, T.N. Addy ⁵⁶, J. Adelman ¹⁷⁶, S. Adomeit ⁹⁸, P. Adragna ⁷⁵, T. Adye ¹²⁹, S. Aefsky ²³, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{124b, a}, M. Agustoni ¹⁷, M. Aharrouche ⁸¹, S.P. Ahlen ²², F. Ahles ⁴⁸, A. Ahmad ¹⁴⁸, M. Ahsan ⁴¹, G. Aielli ^{133a, 133b}, T. Akdogan ^{19a}, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁷⁹, G. Akimoto ¹⁵⁵, A.V. Akimov ⁹⁴, M.S. Alam ², M.A. Alam ⁷⁶, J. Albert ¹⁶⁹, S. Albrand ⁵⁵, M. Aleksa ³⁰, I.N. Aleksandrov ⁶⁴, F. Alessandria ^{89a}, C. Alexa ^{26a}, G. Alexander ¹⁵³, G. Alexandre ⁴⁹, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, M. Alhroob ^{164a, 164c}, M. Aliev ¹⁶, G. Alimonti ^{89a}, J. Alison ¹⁰², B. M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁸, P.P. Allport ⁷³, S.E. Allwood-Spiers ⁵³, J. Almond ⁸², A. Aloiso ^{102a, 102b}, R. Alon ¹⁷², A. Alonso ⁷⁹, F. Alonso ⁷⁰, A. Altheimer ³⁵, B. Alvarez Gonzalez ⁸⁸, M.G. Alviggi ^{102a, 102b}, K. Amako ⁶⁵, C. Amelung ²³, V.V. Ammosov ^{128, *}, S.P. Amor Dos Santos ¹²⁴⁴, A. Amorim ^{124a, b}, N. Amtran ¹⁵³, C. Anastopoulos ³⁰, L.S. Ancu ¹⁷, N. Andari ¹¹⁵, T. Andeen ³⁵, C.F. Anders ⁵⁸b, G. Anders ^{58a}, K.J. Anderson ³¹, A. Andreazza ^{89a, 899}, V. Andrei ^{58a}, M.-L. Andrieux ⁵⁵, X.S. Anduaga ⁷⁰, P. Anger ⁴⁴, A. Angerami ³⁵, F. Anghinolfi ³⁰, A. Anisenkov ¹⁰⁷, N. Anjos ^{124a}, A. Annovi ⁴⁷, A. Antonaki ⁹, M. Antonelli ⁴⁷, A. Antonov ⁹⁶, J. Antos ^{144b}, F. Anulli ^{132a}, M. Aoki ¹⁰¹, S. Aoun ⁸³, L. Aperio Bella ⁵, R. Apolle ^{118, c}, G. Arabidze ⁸⁸, I. Aracena ¹⁴³, Y. Arai ⁶⁵, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁵, S. Arfaoui ¹⁴⁸, J.F. Arguin ¹⁵, F. Arki ^{19a, *}, M. Arik ^{19a}, A.J. Armbruster ⁸⁷, O. Arnaez ⁸¹, V. Arnal ⁸⁰, C. Arnaul ¹¹⁵, M. Asala ^{146a, 146b}, L. Asquith ⁶, K. Assamagan ²⁵, A. Astbury ¹⁶⁹, M. Atkinson ¹⁶⁵, B. Aubert ⁵, E. A S. Bedikian ¹⁷⁶, V.A. Bednyakov ⁶⁴, C.P. Bee ⁸³, L.J. Beemster ¹⁰⁵, M. Begel ²⁵, S. Behar Harpaz ¹⁵², P.K. Behera ⁶², M. Beimforde ⁹⁹, C. Belanger-Champagne ⁸⁵, P.J. Bell ⁴⁹, W.H. Bell ⁴⁹, G. Bella ¹⁵³, L. Bellagamba ^{20a}, F. Bellina ³⁰, M. Bellomo ³⁰, A. Belloni ⁵⁷, O. Beloborodova ^{107,f}, K. Belotskiy ⁹⁶, O. Beltramello ³⁰, O. Benary ¹⁵³, D. Benchekroun ^{135a}, K. Bendtz ^{146a,146b}, N. Benekos ¹⁶⁵, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵³, E. Benhar Noccioli ⁴⁹, J.A. Benitez Garcia ^{159b}, D.P. Benjamin ⁴⁵, M. Benoit ¹¹⁵, J.R. Bensinger ²³, K. Benslama ¹³⁰, S. Bentvelsen ¹⁰⁵, D. Berge ³⁰, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ⁴², N. Berger ⁵, F. Berghaus ¹⁶⁹, E. Berglund ¹⁰⁵, J. Beringer ¹⁵, P. Bernat ⁷⁷, R. Bernhard ⁴⁸, C. Bernius ²⁵, T. Berry ⁷⁶, C. Bertella ⁸³, A. Bertin ^{20a,20b}, F. Bertolucci ^{122a,122b}, M.I. Besana ^{89a,89b}, G.J. Besjes ¹⁰⁴, N. Besson ¹³⁶, S. Bethke ⁹⁹, W. Bhimji ⁴⁶, R.M. Bianchi ³⁰, M. Bianco ^{72a,72b}, O. Biebel ⁹⁸, S.P. Bieniek ⁷⁷, K. Biervagner ⁵⁴, J. Biesiada ¹⁵, M. Biglietti ^{134a}, H. Bilokon ⁴⁷, M. Bindi ^{20a,20b}, S. Binet ¹¹⁵, A. Bingul ^{19c}, C. Bini ^{132a,132b}, C. Biscarat ¹⁷⁸, B. Bittner ⁹⁹, K.M. Black ²², R.E. Blair ⁶, J.-B. Blanchard ¹³⁶, G. Blanchot ³⁰, T. Blazek ^{144a}, I. Bloch ⁴², C. Blocker ²³, J. Blocki ³⁹, A. Blocdel ⁴⁹, W. Blum ⁸¹, U. Blumenschein ⁵⁴, G.J. Bobbink ¹⁰⁵, V.B. Bobrovnikov ¹⁰⁷, S.S. Bocchetta ⁷⁹, A. Bocci ⁴⁵, C.R. Boddy ¹¹⁸, M. Boehler ⁴⁸, J. Boek ¹⁷⁵, N. Boelaert ³⁶, J. A. Bogaerts ³⁰, A. Bogdanchikov ¹⁰⁷, A. Bogouch ^{90,*}, C. Bohm ^{146a}, J. Bohm ¹²⁵, V. Boisvert ⁷⁶, T. Bold ³⁸, V. Boltae ^{26a}, N.M. Bolnet ¹³⁶, M. Bomber ⁷⁸, M. Bona ⁷⁵, M. Boonekamp ¹³⁶, S. Bordoni ⁷⁸, C. Borer ¹⁷, A. Borisov ¹²⁸, G. Borissov ⁷¹, I. Borjanovic ^{13a}, M. Borri ⁸², S. Borroni ⁸⁷, V. Bortolotto ^{134a,134b}, K. Bos ¹⁰⁵, D. Boscherini ^{20a}, M. Bosman ¹², H. Boterenbrood ¹⁰⁵, J. Bouchami ⁹³, J. Boudreau ¹²³, E.V. Bouhova D. Boscherini ^{10a}, M. Bosman ¹², H. Boterenbrood ¹⁰⁵, J. Bouchami ¹³⁴, J. Boudreau ¹²³, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁷¹, D. Boumediene ³⁴, C. Bourdarios ¹¹⁵, N. Bousson ⁸³, A. Boveia ³¹, J. Boyd ³⁰, I.R. Boyko ⁶⁴, I. Bozovic-Jelisavcic ^{13b}, J. Bracinik ¹⁸, P. Branchini ^{134a}, G.W. Brandenburg ⁵⁷, A. Brandt ⁸, G. Brandt ¹¹⁸, O. Brandt ⁵⁴, U. Bratzler ¹⁵⁶, B. Brau ⁸⁴, J.E. Brau ¹¹⁴, H.M. Braun ^{175,*}, S.F. Brazzale ^{164a,164c}, B. Brelier ¹⁵⁸, J. Bremer ³⁰, K. Brendlinger ¹²⁰, R. Brenner ¹⁶⁶, S. Bressler ¹⁷², D. Britton ⁵³, F.M. Brochu ²⁸, I. Brock ²¹, R. Brock ⁸⁸, F. Broggi ^{89a}, C. Bromberg ⁸⁸, J. Bronner ⁹⁹, G. Brouinton ⁵³, T. Brooks ⁷⁶, W.K. Brooks ^{32b}, G. Brown ⁸², H. Brown ⁸, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom ³⁹, D. Bruncko ^{144b}, R. Bruneliere⁴⁸, S. Brunet ⁶⁰, A. Bruni ^{20a}, G. Bruni ^{20a}, M. Bruschi ^{20a}, T. Buanes ¹⁴, Q. Buat ⁵⁵, F. Bucci ⁴⁹, J. Buchanan ¹¹⁸, P. Buchholz ¹⁴¹, R.M. Buckingham ¹¹⁸, A.G. Buckley ⁴⁶, S.I. Buda ^{26a}, I.A. Budagov ⁶⁴, B. Budick ¹⁰⁸, V. Büscher ⁸¹, L. Bugge ¹¹⁷, O. Bulekov ⁹⁶, A.C. Bundock ⁷³, M. Bunse ⁴³, T. Buran ¹¹⁷, H. Burckhart ³⁰, S. Burdin ⁷³, T. Burgess ¹⁴, S. Burke ¹²⁹, E. Busato ³⁴, P. Bussey ⁵³, C.P. Buszello ¹⁶⁶, B. Butler ¹⁴³, J.M. Butler ²², C.M. Buttra ⁵⁵, J.M. Butterworth ⁷⁷, W. Buttinger ²⁸, S. Cabrera Urbán ¹⁶⁷, D. Caforio ^{20a,20b}, O. Cakir ^{4a}, P. Calafiura ¹⁵, G. Calderini ⁷⁸, P. Calfayan ⁹⁸, R. Calkins ¹⁰⁶, L.P. Caloba ^{24a}, R. Caloi ^{132a,132b}, D. Calvet ³⁴, S. Cahvet ³⁴, R. Camacho Toro ³⁴, P. Camarri ^{133a,133b}, D. Cameron ¹¹⁷, L.M. Caminada ¹⁵, R. Caminal Armadans ¹², S. Campana ³⁰, M. Campanelli ⁷⁷, V. Canale ^{102a,102b}, F. Canelli ^{31.8}, A. Canepa ^{159a}, J. Cantero ⁸⁰, R. Catrill ⁷⁶, L. Capaso ^{102a,102b}, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido ³⁰, I. Caprini ^{26a}, M. Caprini ^{26a}, D. Capriotti ⁹⁹, M. Capua ^{37a,37b}, R. Caputo ⁸¹, R. Carderlli ^{133a}, T. Carli ³⁰, G. Carlino ^{102a}, L. Carmina E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷¹, D. Boumediene³⁴, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁵, N. Bousson⁸³, A. Boveia³¹, J. Boyd³⁰, S. Chen ⁵⁵C, X. Chen ¹⁷⁵, Y. Chen ⁵⁵, A. Cheplakov ⁶⁴, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli ¹⁵⁵C, V. Chernyatin ²⁵, E. Cheu ⁷, S.L. Cheung ¹⁵⁸, L. Chevalier ¹³⁶, G. Chiefari ^{102a,102b}, L. Chikovani ^{51a,*}, J.T. Childers ³⁰, A. Chilingarov ⁷¹, G. Chiodini ^{72a}, A.S. Chisholm ¹⁸, R.T. Chislett ⁷⁷, A. Chitan ^{26a}, M.V. Chizhov ⁶⁴, G. Choudalakis ³¹, S. Chouridou ¹³⁷, I.A. Christidi ⁷⁷, A. Christov ⁴⁸, D. Chromek-Burckhart ³⁰, M.L. Chu ¹⁵¹, J. Chudoba ¹²⁵, G. Ciapetti ^{132a,132b}, A.K. Ciftci ^{4a}, R. Ciftci ^{4a}, D. Cinca ³⁴, V. Cindro ⁷⁴, C. Ciocca ^{20a,20b}, A. Ciocio ¹⁵, M. Cirilli ⁸⁷, P. Cirkovic ^{13b}, Z.H. Citron ¹⁷², M. Citterio ^{89a}, M. Ciubancan ^{26a}, A. Clark ⁴⁹, P.J. Clark ⁴⁶, R.N. Clarke ¹⁵, W. Cleland ¹²³, J.C. Clemens ⁸³, B. Clement ⁵⁵, C. Clement ^{146a,146b}, Y. Coadou⁸³, M. Cobal^{164a,164c}, A. Coccaro¹³⁸, J. Cochran⁶³, L. Coffey²³, J.G. Cogan¹⁴³, J. Coggeshall¹⁶⁵, E. Cogneras¹⁷⁸, J. Colas⁵, S. Cole¹⁰⁶, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁵, N.J. Collins¹⁸, C. Collins-Tooth⁵³, J. Collot⁵⁵, T. Colombo^{119a,119b}, G. Colon⁸⁴, P. Conde Muiño^{124a}, E. Coniavitis¹¹⁸, M.C. Conidi¹²,

S.M. Consonni^{89a,89b}, V. Consorti⁴⁸, S. Constantinescu^{26a}, C. Conta^{119a,119b}, G. Conti⁵⁷, F. Conventi^{102a,j}, M. Cooke ¹⁵, B.D. Cooper ⁷⁷, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar ¹¹⁸, K. Copic ¹⁵, T. Cornelissen ¹⁷⁵, M. Corradi ^{20a}, F. Corriveau ^{85,k}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez ¹⁶⁵, G. Cortiana ⁹⁹, G. Costa ^{89a}, M.J. Costa ¹⁶⁷, D. Costanzo ¹³⁹, D. Côté ³⁰, L. Courneyea ¹⁶⁹, G. Cowan ⁷⁶, C. Cowden ²⁸, B.E. Cox ⁸², K. Cranmer ¹⁰⁸, F. Crescioli ^{122a,122b}, M. Cristinziani ²¹, G. Crosetti ^{37a,37b}, S. Crépé-Renaudin ⁵⁵, C.-M. Cuciuc ^{26a}, C. Cuenca Almenar ¹⁷⁶, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann ¹³⁹, M. Curatolo ⁴⁷, C.J. Curtis ¹⁸, C. Cuthbert ¹⁵⁰, P. Cwetanski ⁶⁰, H. Czirr ¹⁴¹, P. Czodrowski ⁴⁴, Z. Czyczula ¹⁷⁶, S. D'Auria ⁵³, M. D'Onofrio ⁷³, A. D'Orazio ^{132a,132b}, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa ^{124a}, C. Da Via ⁸², W. Dabrowski ³⁸, A. Dafinca ¹¹⁸, T. Dai ⁸⁷, C. Dallapiccola ⁸⁴, M. Dam ³⁶, M. Dameri ^{50a,50b}, D.S. Damiani ¹³⁷, H.O. Danielsson ³⁰, V. Dao ⁴⁹, G. Darbo ^{50a}, G.L. Darlea ^{26b}, J.A. Dassoulas ⁴², W. Davey ²¹, T. Davidek ¹²⁶, N. Davidson ⁸⁶, R. Davidson ⁷¹, E. Davies ^{118,c}, M. Davies ⁹³, O. Davignon ⁷⁸, A.R. Davison ⁷⁷, Y. Davygora ^{58a}, E. Dawe ¹⁴², I. Dawson ¹³⁹, R.K. Daya-Ishmukhametova ²³, K. De ⁸, R. de Asmundis ^{102a}, S. De Castro ^{20a,20b}, S. De Cecco ⁷⁸, J. de Graat ⁹⁸, N. De Groot ¹⁰⁴, P. de Jong ¹⁰⁵, C. De La Taille ¹¹⁵, H. De la Torre ⁸⁰, F. De Lorenzi ⁶³, L. de Mora ⁷¹, L. De Nooij ¹⁰⁵, D. De Pedis ^{132a,132b}, W.J. Dearnaley ⁷¹, R. Debbe ²⁵, C. Debenedetti ⁴⁶, B. Dechenaux ⁵⁵, D.V. Dedovich ⁶⁴, I. Degenhardt ¹²⁰, C. Del Papa ^{164a,164c}, J. Del Peso ⁸⁰, M. Cooke¹⁵, B.D. Cooper⁷⁷, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹¹⁸, K. Copic¹⁵, T. Cornelissen¹⁷⁵, M. Corradi^{20a}, B. Dechenaux ⁵⁵, D.V. Dedovich ⁶⁴, J. Degenhardt ¹²⁰, C. Del Papa ^{164a,164c}, J. Del Peso ⁸⁰, T. Del Prete ^{122a,122b}, T. Delemontex ⁵⁵, M. Deliyergiyev ⁷⁴, A. Dell'Acqua ³⁰, L. Dell'Asta ²², M. Della Pietra ^{102a,j}, D. della Volpe ^{102a,102b}, M. Delmastro ⁵, P.A. Delsart ⁵⁵, C. Deluca ¹⁰⁵, S. Demers ¹⁷⁶, M. Demichev ⁶⁴, B. Demirkoz ^{12,1}, J. Deng ¹⁶³, S.P. Denisov ¹²⁸, D. Derendarz ³⁹, J.E. Derkaoui ^{135d}, M. Definicitev C, B. Definit Koz Ca, J. Defig CC, S.P. Defisiov CC, D. Defendalz C, J.E. Defkadul CC,
F. Derue ⁷⁸, P. Dervan ⁷³, K. Desch²¹, E. Devetak ¹⁴⁸, P.O. Deviveiros ¹⁰⁵, A. Dewhurst ¹²⁹, B. DeWilde ¹⁴⁸,
S. Dhaliwal ¹⁵⁸, R. Dhullipudi ^{25,m}, A. Di Ciaccio ^{133a,133b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵, A. Di Girolamo ³⁰,
B. Di Girolamo ³⁰, S. Di Luise ^{134a,134b}, A. Di Mattia ¹⁷³, B. Di Micco ³⁰, R. Di Nardo ⁴⁷,
A. Di Simone ^{133a,133b}, R. Di Sipio ^{20a,20b}, M.A. Diaz ^{32a}, E.B. Diehl ⁸⁷, J. Dietrich ⁴², T.A. Dietzsch ^{58a},
S. Diglio ⁸⁶, K. Dindar Yagci ⁴⁰, J. Dingfelder ²¹, F. Dinut ^{26a}, C. Dionisi ^{132a,132b}, P. Dita ^{26a}, S. Dita ^{26a}, S. Diglio⁸⁶, K. Dindar Yagci⁴⁰, J. Dingfelder²¹, F. Dinut^{26a}, C. Dionisi^{132a,132b}, P. Dita^{26a}, S. Dita^{26a}, F. Dittus³⁰, F. Djama⁸³, T. Djobava^{51b}, M.A.B. do Vale^{24c}, A. Do Valle Wemans^{124a,n}, T.K.O. Doan⁵, M. Dobbs⁸⁵, R. Dobinson^{30,*}, D. Dobos³⁰, E. Dobson^{30,o}, J. Dodd³⁵, C. Doglioni⁴⁹, T. Doherty⁵³, Y. Doi^{65,*}, J. Dolejsi¹²⁶, I. Dolenc⁷⁴, Z. Dolezal¹²⁶, B.A. Dolgoshein^{96,*}, T. Dohmae¹⁵⁵, M. Donadelli^{24d}, J. Donini³⁴, J. Dopke³⁰, A. Doria^{102a}, A. Dos Anjos¹⁷³, A. Dotti^{122a,122b}, M.T. Dova⁷⁰, A.D. Doxiadis¹⁰⁵, A.T. Doyle⁵³, N. Dressnandt¹²⁰, M. Dris¹⁰, J. Dubbert⁹⁹, S. Dube¹⁵, E. Duchovni¹⁷², G. Duckeck⁹⁸, D. Duda¹⁷⁵, A. Dudarev³⁰, F. Dudziak⁶³, M. Dührssen³⁰, I.P. Duerdoth⁸², L. Duflot¹¹⁵, M-A. Dufour⁸⁵, L. Duguid⁷⁶, M. Dunford³⁰, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, R. Duxfield¹³⁹, M. Dwuznik³⁸, F. Dydak³⁰, M. Düren⁵², W.L. Ebenstein⁴⁵, J. Ebke⁹⁸, S. Eckweiler⁸¹, K. Edmonds⁸¹, W. Edson², C.A. Edwards⁷⁶, N.C. Edwards⁵³, M. Eltrenfeld⁴², T. Eifert¹⁴³, G. Eigen¹⁴, K. Einsweiler¹⁵, E. Eisenhandler⁷⁵, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁶, M. El Kacimi^{135c}, M. Ellert¹⁶⁶, S. Elles⁵, F. Ellinghaus⁸¹, K. Ellis⁷⁵, N. Ellis³⁰, I. Elmsheuser⁹⁸ W. Enterheid ¹³, 1. Enert ¹³, G. Eigen ¹⁴, K. Entsweher ¹³, E. Eisenhandler ¹⁴, 1. Ekelor ¹³,
M. El Kacimi ^{135c}, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁶, S. Elles ⁵, F. Ellinghaus ⁸¹, K. Ellis ⁷⁵, N. Ellis ³⁰, J. Elmsheuser ⁹⁸,
M. Elsing ³⁰, D. Emeliyanov ¹²⁹, R. Engelmann ¹⁴⁸, A. Engl ⁹⁸, B. Epp ⁶¹, J. Erdmann ⁵⁴, A. Ereditato ¹⁷,
D. Eriksson ^{146a}, J. Ernst ², M. Ernst ²⁵, J. Ernwein ¹³⁶, D. Errede ¹⁶⁵, S. Errede ¹⁶⁵, E. Ertel ⁸¹,
M. Escalier ¹¹⁵, H. Esch ⁴³, C. Escobar ¹²³, X. Espinal Curull ¹², B. Esposito ⁴⁷, F. Etienne ⁸³, A.I. Etienvre ¹³⁶, E. Etzion ¹⁵³, D. Evangelakou ⁵⁴, H. Evans ⁶⁰, L. Fabbri ^{20a,20b}, C. Fabre ³⁰, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹²⁸, S. Falciano ^{132a}, Y. Fang ¹⁷³, M. Fanti ^{89a,89b}, A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{134a}, J. Farley ¹⁴⁸, T. Farooque ¹⁵⁸, S. Farrell ¹⁶³, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷⁰, P. Farthouat ³⁰, F. Fassi ¹⁶⁷, P. Fassnacht ³⁰, D. Fassouliotis ⁹, B. Fatholahzadeh¹⁵⁸, A. Favareto^{89a,89b}, L. Fayard¹¹⁵, S. Fazio^{37a,37b}, R. Febbraro³⁴, P. Federic^{144a}, O.L. Fedin¹²¹, W. Fedorko⁸⁸, M. Fehling-Kaschek⁴⁸, L. Feligioni⁸³, D. Fellmann⁶, C. Feng^{33d}, E.J. Feng⁶, A.B. Fenyuk ¹²⁸, J. Ferencei ^{144b}, W. Fernando⁶, S. Ferrag ⁵³, J. Ferrando ⁵³, V. Ferrara ⁴², A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁶, P. Ferrari ¹⁰⁵, R. Ferrari ^{119a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima ⁵³, A. Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, D. Ferrere ⁴⁹, C. Ferretti ⁸⁷, A. Ferretto Parodi ^{50a,50b}, M. Fiascaris ³¹, F. Fiedler ⁸¹, A. Filipčič ⁷⁴, F. Filthaut ¹⁰⁴, M. Fincke-Keeler ¹⁶⁹, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{124a,h}, L. Fiorini ¹⁶⁷, A. Firan ⁴⁰, G. Fischer ⁴², M.J. Fisher ¹⁰⁹, M. Flechl ⁴⁸, I. Fleck ¹⁴¹, J. Fleckner ⁸¹, P. Fleischmann ¹⁷⁴, S. Fleischmann ¹⁷⁵, T. Flick ¹⁷⁵, A. Floderus ⁷⁹, L.R. Flores Castillo ¹⁷³, M.J. Flowerdew ⁹⁹, T. Fonseca Martin¹⁷, A. Formica¹³⁶, A. Forti⁸², D. Fortin^{159a}, D. Fournier¹¹⁵, A.J. Fowler⁴⁵, H. Fox⁷¹, P. Francavilla¹², M. Franchini^{20a,20b}, S. Franchino^{119a,119b}, D. Francis³⁰, T. Frank¹⁷², S. Franz³⁰, M. Fraternali^{119a,119b}, S. Fratina¹²⁰, S.T. French²⁸, C. Friedrich⁴², F. Friedrich⁴⁴, R. Froeschl³⁰, D. Froidevaux³⁰, J.A. Frost²⁸, C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁶, E. Fullana Torregrosa³⁰, B.G. Fulson¹⁴³, J. Fuster¹⁶⁷, C. Gabaldon³⁰, O. Gabizon¹⁷², T. Gadfort²⁵, S. Gadomski⁴⁹, G. Gagliardi^{50a, 50b}, P. Gagnon⁶⁰,

C. Galea ⁹⁸, B. Galhardo ^{124a}, E.J. Gallas ¹¹⁸, V. Gallo ¹⁷, B.J. Gallop ¹²⁹, P. Gallus ¹²⁵, K.K. Gan ¹⁰⁹, Y.S. Gao ^{143,e}, A. Gaponenko ¹⁵, F. Garberson ¹⁷⁶, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁵, C. García ¹⁶⁷, J.E. García Navarro ¹⁶⁷, R.W. Gardner ³¹, N. Garelli ³⁰, H. Garitaonandia ¹⁰⁵, V. Garonne ³⁰, C. Gatti ⁴⁷, G. Gaudio ^{119a}, B. Gaur ¹⁴¹, L. Gauthier ¹³⁶, P. Gauzzi ^{132a,132b}, I.L. Gavrilenko ⁹⁴, C. Gay ¹⁶⁸, G. Gaycken ²¹, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, P. Ge ^{33d}, Z. Gecse ¹⁶⁸, C.N.P. Gee ¹²⁹, D.A.A. Geerts ¹⁰⁵, Ch. Geich-Gimbel ²¹, K. Gellerstedt ^{146a,146b}, C. Gemme ^{50a}, A. Gemmell ⁵³, M.H. Genest ⁵⁵, S. Gentile ^{132a,132b}, M. George ⁵⁴, S. George ⁷⁶, P. Gerlach ¹⁷⁵, A. Gershon ¹⁵³, C. Geweniger ^{58a}, H. Ghazlane ^{135b}, N. Ghodbane ³⁴, B. Giacobbe ^{20a}, S. Giagu ^{132a,132b}, V. Giakoumopoulou ⁹, V. Giangiobbe ¹², F. Gianotti ³⁰, B. Gibbard ²⁵, A. Gibson ¹⁵⁸, S.M. Gibson ³⁰, M. Gilchriese ¹⁵, D. Gillberg ²⁹, A.R. Gillman ¹²⁹, D.M. Gingrich ^{3.d}, J. Ginzburg ¹⁵³, N. Giokaris ⁹, M.P. Giordani ^{164c}, R. Giordano ^{102a,102b}, F.M. Giorgi ¹⁶, P. Giovannini ⁹⁹, P.F. Giraud ¹³⁶, D. Giugni ^{89a}, M. Giunta ⁹³, P. Giusti ^{20a}, B.K. Gjelsten ¹¹⁷, L.K. Gladilin ⁹⁷, C. Glasman ⁸⁰, J. Glatzer ⁴⁸, A. Glazov ⁴², K.W. Glitza ¹⁷⁵, G.L. Glonti ⁶⁴, J.R. Goddard ⁷⁵, J. Godfrey ¹⁴², J. Godlewski ³⁰, M. Goeel ⁴², T. Göpfert ⁴⁴, C. Goeringer ⁸¹, C. Gössling ⁴³, S. Goldfarb ⁸⁷, T. Golling ¹⁷⁶, A. Gomes ^{124a,b}, L.S. Gomez Faiardo ⁴², R. Goncalo ⁷⁶, I. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa ⁴², L. Gonella ²¹, L.S. Gomez Fajardo⁴², R. Gonçalo⁷⁶, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa⁴², L. Gonella²¹, S. González de la Hoz¹⁶⁷, G. Gonzalez Parra¹², M.L. Gonzalez Silva²⁷, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁴⁹, J.J. Goodson¹⁴⁸, L. Goossens³⁰, P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁵, H.A. Gordon²⁵, I. Gorelov¹⁰³, G. Gorfine¹⁷⁵, B. Gorini³⁰, E. Gorini^{72a,72b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁴, E. Gornicki³⁹, B. Gosdzik⁴², A.T. Goshaw⁶, M. Gosselink¹⁰⁵, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁴, I. Gough Eschrich¹⁶³, M. Gouighri^{135a}, D. Goujdami^{135c}, M.P. Goulette⁴⁹, A.G. Goussiou¹³⁸, C. Goy⁵, S. Gozpinar²³, I. Grabowska-Bold³⁸, P. Grafström^{20a,20b}, K-J. Grahn⁴², A.G. Goussiou ^{13°}, C. Goy ³, S. Gozpinar ²³, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{3°}, P. Grafström ^{204,205}, K-J. Grahn ⁴², E. Gramstad ¹¹⁷, F. Grancagnolo ^{72a}, S. Grancagnolo ¹⁶, V. Grassi ¹⁴⁸, V. Gratchev ¹²¹, N. Grau ³⁵, H.M. Gray ³⁰, J.A. Gray ¹⁴⁸, E. Graziani ^{134a}, O.G. Grebenyuk ¹²¹, T. Greenshaw ⁷³, Z.D. Greenwood ^{25,m}, K. Gregersen ³⁶, I.M. Gregor ⁴², P. Grenier ¹⁴³, J. Griffiths ⁸, N. Grigalashvili ⁶⁴, A.A. Grillo ¹³⁷, S. Grinstein ¹², Ph. Gris ³⁴, Y.V. Grishkevich ⁹⁷, J.-F. Grivaz ¹¹⁵, E. Gross ¹⁷², J. Grosse-Knetter ⁵⁴, J. Groth-Jensen ¹⁷², K. Grybel ¹⁴¹, D. Guest ¹⁷⁶, C. Guicheney ³⁴, S. Guindon ⁵⁴, U. Gul ⁵³, H. Guler ^{85,p}, J. Gunther ¹²⁵, B. Guo ¹⁵⁸, J. Guo ³⁵, P. Gutierrez ¹¹¹, N. Guttman ¹⁵³, O. Gutzwiller ¹⁷³, C. Guyot ¹³⁶, C. Gwenlan ¹¹⁸, C.B. Gwilliam ⁷³, A. Haas ¹⁴³, S. Haas ³⁰, C. Haber ¹⁵, H.K. Hadavand ⁴⁰, D.R. Hadley ¹⁸, P. Haefner ²¹, F. Hahn ³⁰, S. Haider ³⁰, Z. Hajduk ³⁹, H. Hakobyan ¹⁷⁷, D. Hall ¹¹⁸, J. Haller ⁵⁴, C. Gwenian ^{1,3}, C.B. Gwilliam ^{7,4}, A. Haas ^{1,5}, S. Haas ²⁵, C. Habel^{1,5}, H.K. Haddvand^{1,5}, D.K. Hadle^{1,45}, P. Haad ¹¹³, K. Harao ⁸⁶, M. Hamer ⁵⁴, A. Hamilton ^{145b,4}, S. Hamilton ¹⁶¹, L. Han ^{33b}, K. Hamacher ¹⁷⁵, P. Hamal ¹¹³, K. Hamano ⁸⁶, M. Hamer ⁵⁴, A. Hamilton ^{145b,4}, S. Hamilton ¹⁶¹, L. Han ^{33b}, K. Hanagaki ¹¹⁶, K. Hanasen ³⁶, P. Hansen ³⁶, D. Hansen ³⁶, P. Hansen ³⁶, D. Hansen ³⁶, P. Hansen ³⁶, D. Harrer ⁸⁷, R.D. Harrington ⁴⁶, O.M. Harris ¹³⁸, J. Hartert ⁴⁸, F. Hartjes ¹⁰⁵, T. Haruyama ⁶⁵, A. Harvey ⁵⁶, S. Hasegawa ¹⁰¹, Y. Hasegawa ¹⁴⁰, S. Hassani ¹³⁶, S. Haug ¹⁷, M. Hauschild ³⁰, R. Hauser ⁸⁸, M. Havranek ²¹, C.M. Hawkes ¹⁸, R.J. Hawkings ³⁰, A.D. Hawkins ⁷⁹, T. Hayakawa ⁶⁶, T. Hayashi ¹⁶⁰, D. Hayden ⁷⁶, C.P. Hays ¹¹⁸, H.S. Hayward ⁷³, S.J. Haywood ¹²⁹, S.J. Head ¹⁸, V. Hedberg ⁷⁹, L. Heelan ⁸, S. Heim ⁸⁸, B. Heinemann ¹⁵, S. Heiterkamp ³⁶, L. Helary ²², C. Heller ⁹⁸, M. Heller ³⁰, S. Hellman ^{146a,146b}, D. Hellmich ²¹, C. Helsens ¹², R.C.W. Henderson ⁷¹, M. Henke ^{58a}, A. Henrichs ⁵⁴, A.M. Henriques Correia ³⁰, S. Henrot-Versille ¹¹⁵, C. Hensel ⁵⁴, T. Heng ¹⁷⁵, C.M. Hernandez ⁸, Y. Hernández Jiménez ¹⁶⁷, R. Herrberg ¹⁶, G. Herten ⁴⁸, R. Hertenberger ⁹⁸, L. Hervas ³⁰, G.G. Hesketh ⁷⁷, N.P. Hessey ¹⁰⁵, E. Higón-Rodriguez ¹⁶⁷, J.C. Hill ²⁸, K.H. Hiller ⁴², S. Hillert ²¹, S.J. Hillier ¹⁸, I. Hinchliffe ¹⁵, E. Hines ¹²⁰, M. Hiocse ¹¹⁶, F. Hirsch ⁴³, D. Hirschbuehl ¹⁷⁵, J. Hobbs ¹⁴⁸, N. Hod ¹⁵³, M.C. Hodgkinson ¹³⁹, P. Hodgson ¹³⁹, A. Hoecker ³⁰, M.R. Hoeferkamp ¹⁰³, J. Hoffman ⁴⁰, D. Hoffmann ⁸³, M. Hohlfeld ⁸¹, M. Holder ¹⁴¹, S.O. Holmgren ^{146a}, T. Holy ¹²⁷, J.L. Holzbauer ⁸⁸, T.M. Hong ¹²⁰, L. Hoosta ¹⁶, J. Hrivnac ¹¹⁵, T. Hryn'ova ⁵, P.J. Hust ⁸¹, S. G. Hughes ⁷¹, G. Lacobucci ⁴⁹, G. Iakovidis ¹⁰, M. Huvertz ¹⁵, U. Husemann ⁴², N. Huseynov ^{64,r}, J. Huston ⁸⁸, J. Hubacek ¹²⁷, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁷, R. Ishmukhametov⁴⁰, C. Issever¹¹⁸, S. Istin^{19a}, A.V. Ivashin¹²⁸, W. Iwanski³⁹, H. Iwasaki⁶⁵, J.M. Izen⁴¹, V. Izzo^{102a}, B. Jackson¹²⁰, J.N. Jackson⁷³, P. Jackson¹, M.R. Jaekel³⁰, V. Jain⁶⁰, K. Jakobs⁴⁸, S. Jakobsen³⁶, T. Jakoubek¹²⁵, J. Jakubek¹²⁷, D.K. Jana¹¹¹, E. Jansen⁷⁷, H. Jansen³⁰,

893

A. Jantsch ⁹⁹, M. Janus ⁴⁸, G. Jarlskog ⁷⁹, L. Jeanty ⁵⁷, I. Jen-La Plante ³¹, D. Jennens ⁸⁶, P. Jenni ³⁰, A.E. Loevschall-Jensen ³⁶, P. Jež ³⁶, S. Jézéquel ⁵, M.K. Jha ^{20a}, H. Ji ¹⁷³, W. Ji ⁸¹, J. Jia ¹⁴⁸, Y. Jiang ^{33b}, M. Jimenez Belenguer ⁴², S. Jin ^{33a}, O. Jinnouchi ¹⁵⁷, M.D. Joergensen ³⁶, D. Joffe ⁴⁰, M. Johansen ^{146a,146b}, K.E. Johansson ^{146a}, P. Johansson ¹³⁹, S. Johnert ⁴², K.A. Johns ⁷, K. Jon-And ^{146a,146b}, G. Jones ¹⁷⁰, R.W.L. Jones ⁷¹, T.J. Jones ⁷³, C. Joram ³⁰, P.M. Jorge ^{124a}, K.D. Joshi ⁸², J. Jovicevic ¹⁴⁷, T. Jovin ^{13b}, X. Ju ¹⁷³, C.A. Jung ⁴³, R.M. Jungst ³⁰, V. Juranek ¹²⁵, P. Jussel ⁶¹, A. Juste Rozas ¹², S. Kabana ¹⁷, M. Kaci ¹⁶⁷, A. Kaczmarska ³⁹, P. Kadlecik ³⁶, M. Kado ¹¹⁵, H. Kagan ¹⁰⁹, M. Kagan ⁵⁷, E. Kajomovitz ¹⁵², S. Kalinin ¹⁷⁵, L.V. Kalinovskaya ⁶⁴, S. Kama ⁴⁰, N. Kanaya ¹⁵⁵, M. Kaneda ³⁰, S. Kaneti ²⁸, T. Kanno ¹⁵⁷, V.A. Kantserov ⁹⁶, J. Kanzaki ⁶⁵, B. Kaplan ¹⁰⁸, A. Kapliy ³¹, J. Kaplon ³⁰, D. Kar ⁵³, M. Karagounis ²¹, K. Karakostas ¹⁰, M. Karnevskiy ⁴², V. Kartvelishvili ⁷¹, A.N. Karvukhin ¹²⁸, L. Kashif ¹⁷³, G. Kasieczka ^{58b}, R.D. Kass ¹⁰⁹. J. Kanzaki ⁶⁵, B. Kaplan ¹⁰⁸, A. Kapliy ³¹, J. Kaplon ³⁰, D. Kar ⁵³, M. Karagounis ²¹, K. Karakostas ¹⁰, M. Karnevskiy ⁴², V. Kartvelishvili ⁷¹, A.N. Karyukhin ¹²⁸, L. Kashif ¹⁷³, G. Kasieczka ^{58b}, R.D. Kass ¹⁰⁹, A. Kastanas ¹⁴, M. Kataoka ⁵, Y. Kataoka ¹⁵⁵, E. Katsoufis ¹⁰, J. Katzy ⁴², V. Kaushik ⁷, K. Kawagoe ⁶⁹, T. Kawamoto ¹⁵⁵, G. Kawamura ⁸¹, M.S. Kayl ¹⁰⁵, S. Kazama ¹⁵⁵, V.A. Kazanin ¹⁰⁷, M.Y. Kazarinov ⁶⁴, R. Keeler ¹⁶⁹, P.T. Keener ¹²⁰, R. Kehoe ⁴⁰, M. Keil ⁵⁴, G.D. Kekelidze ⁶⁴, J.S. Keller ¹³⁸, M. Kenyon ⁵³, O. Kepka ¹²⁵, N. Kerschen ³⁰, B.P. Kerševan ⁷⁴, S. Kersten ¹⁷⁵, K. Kessoku ¹⁵⁵, J. Keung ¹⁵⁸, F. Khalil-zada ¹¹, H. Khandanyan ^{146a, 146b}, A. Khanov ¹¹², D. Kharchenko ⁶⁴, A. Khodinov ⁹⁶, A. Khomich ^{58a}, T.J. Khoo ²⁸, G. Khoriauli ²¹, A. Khoroshilov ¹⁷⁵, V. Khovanskiy ⁹⁵, E. Khramov ⁶⁴, J. Khubua ^{51b}, H. Kim ^{146a, 146b}, S.H. Kim ¹⁶⁰, N. Kimura ¹⁷¹, O. Kind ¹⁶, B.T. King ⁷³, M. King ⁶⁶, R.S.B. King ¹¹⁸, J. Kirk ¹²⁹, A.E. Kiryunin ⁹⁹, T. Kishimoto ⁶⁶, D. Kisielewska ³⁸, T. Kitamura ⁶⁶, T. Kittelmann ¹²³, K. Kiuchi ¹⁶⁰, E. Kladiva ^{144b}, M. Klein ⁷³, U. Klein ⁷³, K. Kleinknecht ⁸¹, M. Klemetti ⁸⁵, A. Klier ¹⁷², P. Klimek ^{146a, 146b}, A. Klimentov ²⁵, R. Klingenberg ⁴³, I.A. Klinger ⁸², E.B. Klinkby ³⁶. T. Klioutchnikova ³⁰, P.F. Klok ¹⁰⁴, S. Klous ¹⁰⁵. R. Klingenberg⁴³, J.A. Klinger⁸², E.B. Klinkby³⁶, T. Klioutchnikova³⁰, P.F. Klok¹⁰⁴, S. Klous¹⁰⁵, E.-E. Kluge^{58a}, T. Kluge⁷³, P. Kluit¹⁰⁵, S. Kluth⁹⁹, N.S. Knecht¹⁵⁸, E. Kneringer⁶¹, E.B.F.G. Knoops⁸³, A. Knue⁵⁴, B.R. Ko⁴⁵, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁵, M. Kobel⁴⁴, M. Kocian¹⁴³, P. Kodys¹²⁶, K. Köneke³⁰, A. Knue⁵¹, B.K. Ko¹⁵, I. Kobayashi¹⁵⁵, M. Kobel¹¹, M. Kocian¹¹⁵, P. Kodys¹²⁶, K. Koneke⁵⁵, A.C. König¹⁰⁴, S. Koenig⁸¹, L. Köpke⁸¹, F. Koetsveld¹⁰⁴, P. Koevesarki²¹, T. Koffas²⁹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁵, L.A. Kogan¹¹⁸, S. Kohlmann¹⁷⁵, F. Kohn⁵⁴, Z. Kohout¹²⁷, T. Kohriki⁶⁵, T. Koi¹⁴³, G.M. Kolachev^{107,*}, H. Kolanoski¹⁶, V. Kolesnikov⁶⁴, I. Koletsou^{89a}, J. Koll⁸⁸, A.A. Komar⁹⁴, Y. Komori¹⁵⁵, T. Kondo⁶⁵, T. Kono^{42,s}, A.I. Kononov⁴⁸, R. Konoplich^{108,t}, N. Konstantinidis⁷⁷, S. Koperny³⁸, K. Korcyl³⁹, K. Kordas¹⁵⁴, A. Korn¹¹⁸, A. Korol¹⁰⁷, I. Korolkov¹², E.V. Korolkova¹³⁹, V.A. Korotkov¹²⁸, O. Kortner⁹⁹, S. Kortner⁹⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin²¹, S. Kotov⁹⁹, V.M. Kotov⁶⁴, A. Kotwal⁴⁵, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, S. Kortner ⁹⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin ²¹, S. Kotov ⁹⁹, V.M. Kotov ⁶⁴, A. Kotwal ⁴⁵, C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin ²¹, S. Kotov ⁹⁹, V.M. Kotov ⁶⁴, A. Kotwal⁴⁵, C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, V. Kouskoura ¹⁵⁴, A. Koutsman ¹⁵⁹a, R. Kowalewski ¹⁶⁹, T.Z. Kowalski ³⁸, W. Kozanecki ¹³⁶, A.S. Kozhin ¹²⁸, V. Kral ¹²⁷, V.A. Kramarenko ⁹⁷, G. Kramberger ⁷⁴, M.W. Krasny ⁷⁸, A. Krasznahorkay ¹⁰⁸, J.K. Kraus ²¹, S. Kreiss ¹⁰⁸, F. Krejci ¹²⁷, J. Kretzschmar ⁷³, N. Krieger ⁵⁴, P. Krieger ¹⁵⁸, K. Kroeninger ⁵⁴, H. Kroha ⁹⁹, J. Kroll ¹²⁰, J. Kroseberg ²¹, J. Krstic ^{13a}, U. Kruchonak ⁶⁴, H. Krüger ²¹, T. Kruker ¹⁷, N. Krumnack ⁶³, Z.V. Krumshteyn ⁶⁴, T. Kubota ⁸⁶, S. Kuday ^{4a}, S. Kuehn ⁴⁸, A. Kugel ^{58c}, T. Kuhl ⁴², D. Kuhn ⁶¹, V. Kukhtin ⁶⁴, Y. Kulchitsky ⁹⁰, S. Kuleshov ^{32b}, C. Kummer ⁹⁸, M. Kuna ⁷⁸, J. Kunkle ¹²⁰, A. Kupco ¹²⁵, H. Kurashige ⁶⁶, M. Kurata ¹⁶⁰, Y.A. Kurochkin ⁹⁰, V. Kus ¹²⁵, E.S. Kuwertz ¹⁴⁷, M. Kuze ¹⁵⁷, J. Kvita ¹⁴², R. Kwee ¹⁶, A. La Rosa ⁴⁹, L. La Rotonda ^{37a,37b}, L. Labarga ⁸⁰, J. Labbe ⁵, S. Lablak ^{135a}, C. Lacasta ¹⁶⁷, F. Lacava ^{132a,132b}, H. Lacker ¹⁶, D. Lacour ⁷⁸, V.R. Lacuesta ¹⁶⁷, E. Ladygin ⁶⁴, R. Lafaye ⁵, B. Laforge ⁷⁸, T. Lagouri ¹⁷⁶, S. Lai⁴⁸, E. Laisne ⁵⁵, M. Lamanna ³⁰, L. Lambourne ⁷⁷, C.L. Lampen ⁷, W. Lampl ⁷, E. Lancon ¹³⁶, U. Landgraf ⁴⁸, M.P.J. Landon ⁷⁵, J.L. Lane ⁸², V.S. Lang ^{58a}, C. Lange ⁴², A.J. Lankford ¹⁶³, F. Lanni ²⁵, K. Lantzsch ¹⁷⁵, S. Laplace ⁷⁸, C. Lapoire ²¹, J.F. Laporte ¹³⁶, T. Lari ^{89a}, A. Larner ¹¹⁸, M. Lassnig ³⁰, P. Laurelli ⁴⁷, V. Lavorini ^{37a,37b}, W. Lavrijsen ¹⁵, P. Laycock ⁷³, O. Le Dortz ⁷⁸, E. Le Guirrice ⁸³, E. Le Menedeu ¹², T. LeCompte ⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁵⁵, H. Lee ¹⁰⁵, J.S.H. Lee ¹¹⁶, S.C. Lee ¹⁵¹, L. Lee ¹⁷⁶, M. Lefebvre ¹⁶⁹, M. Legendre ¹³⁶, F. Legger ⁹⁸, C. Leggett ¹⁵, M. Lehmacher ²¹, G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁰, X. Lei⁷, M.A.L. Leite ^{24d}, R. Lei M.A.L. Leite^{21d}, R. Leitner¹²⁶, D. Leilouch¹⁷², B. Lemmer⁵¹, V. Lendermann^{36d}, K.J.C. Leney¹¹³⁵, T. Lenz¹⁰⁵, G. Lenzen¹⁷⁵, B. Lenzi³⁰, K. Leonhardt⁴⁴, S. Leontsinis¹⁰, F. Lepold^{58a}, C. Leroy⁹³, J-R. Lessard¹⁶⁹, C.G. Lester²⁸, C.M. Lester¹²⁰, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁸⁷, L.J. Levinson¹⁷², A. Lewis¹¹⁸, G.H. Lewis¹⁰⁸, A.M. Leyko²¹, M. Leyton¹⁶, B. Li⁸³, H. Li^{173,u}, S. Li^{33b,v}, X. Li⁸⁷, Z. Liang^{118,w}, H. Liao³⁴, B. Liberti^{133a}, P. Lichard³⁰, M. Lichtnecker⁹⁸, K. Lie¹⁶⁵, W. Liebig¹⁴, C. Limbach²¹, A. Limosani⁸⁶, M. Limper⁶², S.C. Lin^{151,x}, F. Linde¹⁰⁵, J.T. Linnemann⁸⁸, E. Lipeles¹²⁰, A. Lipniacka¹⁴, T.M. Liss¹⁶⁵, D. Lissauer²⁵, A. Lister⁴⁹, A.M. Litke¹³⁷, C. Liu²⁹, D. Liu¹⁵¹, H. Liu⁸⁷, J.B. Liu⁸⁷, L. Liu⁸⁷, M. Liu^{33b}, Y. Liu^{33b}, M. Livan^{119a,119b}, S.S.A. Livermore¹¹⁸, A. Lleres⁵⁵, J. Llorente Merino⁸⁰, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁵, F. Lobodzinska⁴², P. Loch⁷, W.S. Lockman¹³⁷, T. Loddenkoetter²¹, F.K. Loebinger⁸², A. Loginov¹⁷⁶ E. Lobodzinska⁴², P. Loch⁷, W.S. Lockman¹³⁷, T. Loddenkoetter²¹, F.K. Loebinger⁸², A. Loginov¹⁷⁶,

<page-header>

A. Nisati^{132a}, R. Nisius⁹⁹, T. Nobe¹⁵⁷, L. Nodulman⁶, M. Nomachi¹¹⁶, I. Nomidis¹⁵⁴, S. Norberg¹¹¹,

M. Nordberg³⁰, P.R. Norton¹²⁹, J. Novakova¹²⁶, M. Nozaki⁶⁵, L. Nozka¹¹³, I.M. Nugent^{159a},

A.-E. Nuncio-Quiroz ²¹, G. Nunes Hanninger ⁸⁶, T. Nunnemann ⁹⁸, E. Nurse ⁷⁷, B.J. O'Brien ⁴⁶, D.C. O'Neil ¹⁴², V. O'Shea ⁵³, L.B. Oakes ⁹⁸, F.G. Oakham ^{29,d}, H. Oberlack ⁹⁹, J. Ocariz ⁷⁸, A. Ochi ⁶⁶, S. Oda ⁶⁹, S. Odaka ⁶⁵, J. Odier ⁸³, H. Ogren ⁶⁰, A. Oh ⁸², S.H. Oh ⁴⁵, C.C. Ohm ³⁰, T. Ohshima ¹⁰¹, H. Okawa ²⁵, Y. Okumura ³¹, T. Okuyama ¹⁵⁵, A. Olariu ^{26a}, A.G. Olchevski ⁶⁴, S.A. Olivares Pino ^{32a}, M. Oliveira ^{124a,h}, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁵, E. Oliver Garcia ¹⁶⁷, D. Olivito ¹²⁰, A. Olszewski ³⁹, M. Oliveira ^{124a,h}, D. Oliveira Damazio ²⁵, E. Oliver Garcia ¹⁶⁷, D. Olivito ¹²⁰, A. Olszewski ³⁹, J. Olszowska ³⁹, A. Onofre ^{124a,ab}, P.U.E. Onyisi ³¹, C.J. Oram ^{159a}, M.J. Oreglia ³¹, Y. Oren ¹⁵³, D. Orestano ^{134a,134b}, N. Orlando ^{72a,72b}, I. Orlov ¹⁰⁷, C. Oropeza Barrera ⁵³, R.S. Orr ¹⁵⁸, B. Osculati ^{50a,50b}, R. Ospanov ¹²⁰, C. Osuna ¹², G. Otero y Garzon ²⁷, J.P. Ottersbach ¹⁰⁵, M. Ouchrif ^{135d}, E.A. Ouellette ¹⁶⁹, F. Ould-Saada ¹¹⁷, A. Ouraou ¹³⁶, Q. Ouyang ^{33a}, A. Ovcharova ¹⁵, M. Owen ⁸², S. Owen ¹³⁹, V.E. Ozcan ^{19a}, N. Ozturk⁸, A. Pacheco Pages ¹², C. Padilla Aranda ¹², S. Pagan Griso ¹⁵, E. Paganis ¹³⁹, C. Pahl ⁹⁹, F. Paige ²⁵, P. Pais ⁸⁴, K. Pajchel ¹¹⁷, G. Palacino ^{159b}, C.P. Paleari ⁷, S. Palestini ³⁰, D. Pallin ³⁴, A. Palma ^{124a}, J.D. Palmer ¹⁸, Y.B. Pan ¹⁷³, E. Panagiotopoulou ¹⁰, P. Pani ¹⁰⁵, N. Panikashvili ⁸⁷, S. Panitkin ²⁵, D. Pantea ^{26a}, A. Papadelis ^{146a}, Th.D. Papadopoulou ¹⁰, A. Paramonov ⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez ³⁴, W. Park ^{25,ac}, M.A. Parker ²⁸, F. Parodi ^{50a,50b}, J.A. Parsons ³⁵, U. Parzefall ⁴⁸, S. Pashapour ⁵⁴, E. Pasqualucci ^{132a}, S. Passaggio ^{50a}, A. Passeri ^{134a}, F. Pastore ^{134a,134b,*}, Fr. Pastore ⁷⁶, G. Pásztor ^{49,ad}, S. Pataraia ¹⁷⁵, N. Patel ¹⁵⁰, J.R. Pater ⁸², S. Patricelli ^{102a,102b}, T. Pauly ³⁰, M. Pecsy ^{144a}, M. Pedersen ¹¹⁷, S. Pedraza Lopez ¹⁶⁷, M.I. Pedraza Morales ¹⁷³, S.V. Peleganchuk ¹⁰⁷, D. Pelikan ¹⁶⁶, H. Peng ^{33b}, B. Penning ³¹, A. Penson ³⁵, J. Penwell ⁶⁰, M. Perantoni ^{24a}, K. Perez ^{35,ae}, T. Perez Cavalcanti ⁴², B. Penning³¹, A. Penson³⁵, J. Penwell⁶⁰, M. Perantoni^{24a}, K. Perez^{35,ae}, T. Perez Cavalcanti⁴², E. Perez Codina ^{159a}, M.T. Pérez García-Estañ ¹⁶⁷, V. Perez Reale ³⁵, L. Perini ^{89a,89b}, H. Pernegger ³⁰, R. Perrino ^{72a}, P. Perrodo ⁵, V.D. Peshekhonov ⁶⁴, K. Peters ³⁰, B.A. Petersen ³⁰, J. Petersen ³⁰, T.C. Petersen ³⁶, E. Petit ⁵, A. Petridis ¹⁵⁴, C. Petridou ¹⁵⁴, E. Petrolo ^{132a}, F. Petrucci ^{134a,134b}, D. Petschull ⁴², M. Petteni ¹⁴², R. Pezoa ^{32b}, A. Phan ⁸⁶, P.W. Phillips ¹²⁹, G. Piacquadio ³⁰, A. Picazio ⁴⁹, E. Piccaro ⁷⁵, M. Piccinini ^{20a,20b}, S.M. Piec⁴², R. Piegaia ²⁷, D.T. Pignotti ¹⁰⁹, J.E. Pilcher ³¹, A.D. Pilkington ⁸², J. Pina ^{124a,b}, M. Pinamonti ^{164a,164c}, A. Pinder ¹¹⁸, J.L. Pinfold ³, B. Pinto ^{124a}, C. Pizio ^{89a,89b}, M. Plamondon ¹⁶⁹, M.-A. Pleier ²⁵, E. Plotnikova ⁶⁴, A. Poblaguev ²⁵, S. Poddar ^{58a}, F. Podlyski³⁴, L. Poggioli¹¹⁵, D. Pohl²¹, M. Pohl⁴⁹, G. Polesello^{119a}, A. Policicchio^{37a,37b}, A. Polini^{20a}, J. Poll⁷⁵, V. Polychronakos²⁵, D. Pomeroy²³, K. Pommès³⁰, L. Pontecorvo^{132a}, B.G. Pope⁸⁸, G.A. Popeneciu ^{26a}, D.S. Popovic ^{13a}, A. Poppleton ³⁰, X. Portell Bueso ³⁰, G.E. Pospelov ⁹⁹, S. Pospisil ¹² I.N. Potrap ⁹⁹, C.J. Potter ¹⁴⁹, C.T. Potter ¹¹⁴, G. Poulard ³⁰, J. Poveda ⁶⁰, V. Pozdnyakov ⁶⁴, R. Prabhu ⁷⁷, P. Pralavorio ⁸³, A. Pranko ¹⁵, S. Prasad ³⁰, R. Pravahan ²⁵, S. Prell ⁶³, K. Pretzl ¹⁷, D. Price ⁶⁰, J. Price ⁷³, L.E. Price ⁶, D. Prieur ¹²³, M. Primavera ^{72a}, K. Prokofiev ¹⁰⁸, F. Prokoshin ^{32b}, S. Protopopescu ²⁵, ⁹. S. Pospisil ¹²⁷. J. Proudfoot⁶, X. Prudent⁴⁴, M. Przybycien³⁸, H. Przysiezniak⁵, S. Psoroulas²¹, E. Ptacek¹¹⁴, E. Pueschel⁸⁴, J. Purdham⁸⁷, M. Purohit^{25,ac}, P. Puzo¹¹⁵, Y. Pylypchenko⁶², J. Qian⁸⁷, A. Quadt⁵⁴, D.R. Quarrie¹⁵, W.B. Quayle¹⁷³, F. Quinonez^{32a}, M. Raas¹⁰⁴, V. Radeka²⁵, V. Radescu⁴², P. Radloff¹¹⁴, D.R. Quarrie ¹⁵, W.B. Quayle ¹⁷³, F. Quinonez ^{32a}, M. Raas ¹⁰⁴, V. Radeka ²⁵, V. Radescu ⁴², P. Radloff ¹¹⁴, T. Rador ^{19a}, F. Ragusa ^{89a,89b}, G. Rahal ¹⁷⁸, A.M. Rahimi ¹⁰⁹, D. Rahm ²⁵, S. Rajagopalan ²⁵, M. Rammensee ⁴⁸, M. Rammes ¹⁴¹, A.S. Randle-Conde ⁴⁰, K. Randrianarivony ²⁹, F. Rauscher ⁹⁸, T.C. Rave ⁴⁸, M. Raymond ³⁰, A.L. Read ¹¹⁷, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{119a,119b}, A. Redelbach ¹⁷⁴, G. Redlinger ²⁵, R. Reece ¹²⁰, K. Reeves ⁴¹, E. Reinherz-Aronis ¹⁵³, A. Reinsch ¹¹⁴, I. Reisinger ⁴³, C. Rembser ³⁰, Z.L. Ren ¹⁵¹, A. Renaud ¹¹⁵, M. Rescigno ^{132a}, S. Resconi ^{89a}, B. Resende ¹³⁶, P. Reznicek ⁹⁸, R. Rezvani ¹⁵⁸, R. Richter ⁹⁹, E. Richter-Was ^{5,af}, M. Ridel ⁷⁸, M. Rijpstra ¹⁰⁵, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁴⁸, A. Rimoldi ^{119a,119b}, L. Rinaldi ^{20a}, R.R. Rios ⁴⁰, I. Riu ¹², G. Rivoltella ^{89a,89b}, F. Rizatdinova ¹¹², E. Rizvi ⁷⁵, S.H. Robertson ^{85,k}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau ¹¹⁸, D. Robinson ²⁸, J.E.M. Robinson ⁸², A. Robson ⁵³, J.G. Rocha de Lima ¹⁰⁶, C. Roda ^{122a,122b}, D. Roda Dos Santos ³⁰ A. Roe ⁵⁴ S. Roe ³⁰ O. Røhne ¹¹⁷ S. Polli ¹⁶¹ A. Pomaniouk ⁹⁶ C. Roda^{122a, 122b}, D. Roda Dos Santos³⁰, A. Roe⁵⁴, S. Roe³⁰, O. Røhne¹¹⁷, S. Rolli¹⁶¹, A. Romaniouk⁹⁶, M. Romano ^{20a,20b}, G. Romeo ²⁷, E. Romero Adam ¹⁶⁷, N. Rompotis ¹³⁸, L. Roos ⁷⁸, E. Ros ¹⁶⁷, S. Rosati ^{132a}, K. Rosbach⁴⁹, A. Rose¹⁴⁹, M. Rose⁷⁶, G.A. Rosenbaum¹⁵⁸, E.I. Rosenberg⁶³, P.L. Rosendahl¹⁴, O. Rosenthal¹⁴¹, L. Rosselet⁴⁹, V. Rossetti¹², E. Rossi^{132a,132b}, L.P. Rossi^{50a}, M. Rotaru^{26a}, I. Roth¹⁷², J. Rothberg¹³⁸, D. Rousseau¹¹⁵, C.R. Royon¹³⁶, A. Rozanov⁸³, Y. Rozen¹⁵², X. Ruan^{33a,ag}, F. Rubbo¹², I. Rubinskiy⁴², N. Ruckstuhl¹⁰⁵, V.I. Rud⁹⁷, C. Rudolph⁴⁴, G. Rudolph⁶¹, F. Rühr⁷, A. Ruiz-Martinez⁶³, L. Rumyantsev⁶⁴, Z. Rurikova⁴⁸, N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁴, J.P. Rutherfoord⁷, C. Ruwiedel^{15,*}, P. Ruzicka¹²⁵, Y.F. Ryabov ¹²¹, M. Rybar ¹²⁶, G. Rybkin ¹¹⁵, N.C. Ryder ¹¹⁸, A.F. Saavedra ¹⁵⁰, I. Sadeh ¹⁵³,

 M.J. W. Schotter, S. Sackyov, "4, F. Safai Tehrani ¹⁷²⁴, H. Sakamoto ¹⁵⁵, G. Safamana ⁷⁵, A. Salawotta Persando,", D. Sakkatore ¹⁷⁴, 70, S. Saktore ¹⁷⁴, A. Salawotta Persando, D. Sakkatore ¹⁷⁴, N. Sancker, ¹⁷², N. Sancker, ¹⁷², N. Sancker, ¹⁷², N. Sancker, ¹⁷⁴, N. Sancker, ¹⁷⁵, N. Sanco, ¹⁷⁵, N. Sasto, ¹⁷⁵, N. Satosov, ¹⁷⁵, N. Satosov, ¹⁷⁵, N. Satosov, ¹⁷⁶, N. Scharts, ¹⁷⁷, N. Scharts, ¹⁷⁶, N. Scharts, ¹⁷⁶, N. Scharts, ¹⁷⁷, N. Scha H.F-W. Sadrozinski ¹³⁷, R. Sadykov ⁶⁴, F. Safai Tehrani ^{132a}, H. Sakamoto ¹⁵⁵, G. Salamanna ⁷⁵, A. Salamon ^{133a}, M. Saleem ¹¹¹, D. Salek ³⁰, D. Salihagic ⁹⁹, A. Salnikov ¹⁴³, J. Salt ¹⁶⁷,

G.N. Taylor⁸⁶, W. Taylor^{159b}, M. Teinturier¹¹⁵, F.A. Teischinger³⁰, M. Teixeira Dias Castanheira⁷⁵, P. Teixeira-Dias⁷⁶, K.K. Temming⁴⁸, H. Ten Kate³⁰, P.K. Teng¹⁵¹, S. Terada⁶⁵, K. Terashi¹⁵⁵, J. Terron⁸⁰, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁷⁰, K.K. Temming ⁴⁸, H. Ten Kate ³⁰, P.K. Teng ¹³¹, S. Terada ⁰⁵, K. Terashi ¹³³, J. Terron ⁸⁰, M. Testa ⁴⁷, R.J. Teuscher ^{158,k}, J. Therhaag ²¹, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ⁷⁸, S. Thoma ⁴⁸, J.P. Thomas ¹⁸, E.N. Thompson ³⁵, P.D. Thompson ¹⁸, P.D. Thompson ¹⁵⁸, A.S. Thompson ⁵³, L.A. Thomsen ³⁶, E. Thomson ¹²⁰, M. Thomson ²⁸, W.M. Thong ⁸⁶, R.P. Thun ⁸⁷, F. Tian ³⁵, M.J. Tibbetts ¹⁵, T. Tic ¹²⁵, V.O. Tikhomirov ⁹⁴, Y.A. Tikhonov ^{107,f}, S. Timoshenko ⁹⁶, P. Tipton ¹⁷⁶, S. Tisserant ⁸³, T. Todorov ⁵, S. Todorova-Nova ¹⁶¹, B. Toggerson ¹⁶³, J. Tojo ⁶⁹, S. Tokár ^{144a}, K. Tokushuku ⁶⁵, K. Tollefson ⁸⁸, M. Tomoto ¹⁰¹, L. Tompkins ³¹, K. Toms ¹⁰³, A. Tonoyan ¹⁴, C. Topfel ¹⁷, N.D. Topilin ⁶⁴, I. Torchiani ³⁰, E. Torrence ¹¹⁴, H. Torres ⁷⁸, E. Torró Pastor ¹⁶⁷, J. Toth ^{83,ad}, F. Touchard ⁸³, D.R. Tovey ¹³⁹, T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁴, L. Tremblet ³⁰, A. Tricoli ³⁰, I.M. Trigger ^{159a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid ⁷⁸, M.F. Tripiana ⁷⁰, N. Triplett ²⁵, L. Hendlet ', A. Infold ', I.M. Inggel ', S. Hindaz-Duvoid ', M.F. Infplana ', N. Hiplett ',
W. Trischuk ¹⁵⁸, B. Trocmé ⁵⁵, C. Troncon ^{89a}, M. Trottier-McDonald ¹⁴², M. Trzebinski ³⁹, A. Trzupek ³⁹,
C. Tsarouchas ³⁰, J.C-L. Tseng ¹¹⁸, M. Tsiakiris ¹⁰⁵, P.V. Tsiareshka ⁹⁰, D. Tsionou ^{5,ai}, G. Tsipolitis ¹⁰,
S. Tsiskaridze ¹², V. Tsiskaridze ⁴⁸, E.G. Tskhadadze ^{51a}, I.I. Tsukerman ⁹⁵, V. Tsulaia ¹⁵, J.-W. Tsung ²¹,
S. Tsuno ⁶⁵, D. Tsybychev ¹⁴⁸, A. Tua ¹³⁹, A. Tudorache ^{26a}, V. Tudorache ^{26a}, J.M. Tuggle ³¹, M. Turala ³⁹,
D. Turecek ¹²⁷, I. Turk Cakir ^{4e}, E. Turlay ¹⁰⁵, R. Turra ^{89a,89b}, P.M. Tuts ³⁵, A. Tykhonov ⁷⁴,
M. Tshwa d ¹⁴⁶d ¹⁴⁶b, M. Tsm da ¹²⁹, G. Tsengha ⁹, K. Helsida ²¹, H. Hada ¹⁵⁵, D. Hang ²⁹, M. Helsen d ¹⁴ M. Tylmad ^{146a,146b}, M. Tyndel ¹²⁹, G. Tzanakos ⁹, K. Uchida ²¹, I. Ueda ¹⁵⁵, R. Ueno ²⁹, M. Ugland ¹⁴, M. Uhlenbrock ²¹, M. Uhrmacher ⁵⁴, F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁰, G. Unal ³⁰, A. Undrus ²⁵, G. Unel ¹⁶³, Y. Unno ⁶⁵, D. Urbaniec ³⁵, P. Urquijo ²¹, G. Usai ⁸, M. Uslenghi ^{119a,119b}, L. Vacavant ⁸³, V. Vacek ¹²⁷, B. Vachon ⁸⁵, S. Vahsen ¹⁵, J. Valenta ¹²⁵, S. Valentinetti ^{20a,20b}, A. Valero ¹⁶⁷, S. Valkar ¹²⁶, E. Valladolid Gallego ¹⁶⁷, S. Vallecorsa¹⁵², J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁶⁷, R. Van Berg¹²⁰, P.C. Van Der Deijl¹⁰⁵, R. van der Geer¹⁰⁵, S. Vallecorsa ¹³², J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁶⁷, R. Van Berg ¹²⁰, P.C. Van Der Deijl ¹⁰⁵, R. van der Geer ¹⁰⁵, H. van der Graaf ¹⁰⁵, R. Van Der Leeuw ¹⁰⁵, E. van der Poel ¹⁰⁵, D. van der Ster ³⁰, N. van Eldik ³⁰, P. van Gemmeren ⁶, I. van Vulpen ¹⁰⁵, M. Vanadia ⁹⁹, W. Vandelli ³⁰, A. Vaniachine ⁶, P. Vankov ⁴², F. Vannucci ⁷⁸, R. Vari ^{132a}, T. Varol ⁸⁴, D. Varouchas ¹⁵, A. Vartapetian ⁸, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵⁰, V.I. Vassilakopoulos ⁵⁶, F. Vazeille ³⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder ⁵⁴, G. Vegni ^{89a,89b}, J.J. Veillet ¹¹⁵, F. Veloso ^{124a}, R. Veness ³⁰, S. Veneziano ^{132a}, A. Ventura ^{72a,72b}, D. Ventura ⁸⁴, M. Venturi ⁴⁸, N. Venturi ¹⁵⁸, V. Vercesi ^{119a}, M. Verducci ¹³⁸, W. Verkerke ¹⁰⁵, J.C. Vermeulen ¹⁰⁵, A. Vest ⁴⁴, M.C. Vetterli ^{142,d}, I. Vichou ¹⁶⁵, T. Vickey ^{145b,aj}, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ^{145b}, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹¹⁸, S. Viel ¹⁶⁸, M. Villa ^{20a,20b}, M. Villaplana Perez ¹⁶⁷, E. Vilucchi ⁴⁷, M.G. Vincter ²⁹, E. Vinek ³⁰, V.B. Vinogradov ⁶⁴, M. Virchaux ^{136,*}, J. Virzi¹⁵, O. Vitells¹⁷², M. Viti⁴², I. Vivarelli⁴⁸, F. Vives Vaque³, S. Vlachos¹⁰, D. Vladoiu⁹⁸, M. Vlasak ¹²⁷, A. Vogel ²¹, P. Vokac ¹²⁷, G. Volpi ⁴⁷, M. Volpi ⁸⁶, G. Volpini ^{89a}, H. von der Schmitt ⁹⁹, H. von Radziewski ⁴⁸, E. von Toerne ²¹, V. Vorobel ¹²⁶, V. Vorwerk ¹², M. Vos ¹⁶⁷, R. Voss ³⁰, T.T. Voss ¹⁷⁵, I. von Kauziewski ⁷³, E. von Toerne^{2,7}, V. vorobel ¹²⁰, V. vorwerk ¹², M. Vos ¹⁰⁷, R. Voss ⁵⁰, T.T. Voss ¹⁷³, J.H. Vossebeld ⁷³, N. Vranjes ¹³⁶, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁰⁵, V. Vrba ¹²⁵, M. Vreeswijk ¹⁰⁵, T. Vu Anh⁴⁸, R. Vuillermet ³⁰, I. Vukotic ³¹, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁵, P. Wagner ¹²⁰, H. Wahlen ¹⁷⁵, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁴, J. Wakabayashi ¹⁰¹, S. Walch ⁸⁷, J. Walder ⁷¹, R. Walker ⁹⁸, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴¹, R. Wall ¹⁷⁶, P. Waller ⁷³, B. Walsh ¹⁷⁶, C. Wang ⁴⁵, H. Wang ¹⁷³, H. Wang ^{33b,ak}, J. Wang ¹⁵¹, J. Wang ⁵⁵, R. Wang ¹⁰³, S.M. Wang ¹⁵¹, T. Wang ²¹, A. Warburton ⁸⁵, C.P. Ward ²⁸, M. Warsinsky ⁴⁸, A. Washbrook ⁴⁶, C. Wasicki ⁴², I. Watanabe ⁶⁶, P.M. Watkins ¹⁸, A.T. Watson ¹⁸, I.J. Watson ¹⁵⁰, M.F. Watson ¹⁸, G. Watts ¹³⁸, S. Watts ⁸², A.T. Waugh ¹⁵⁰, R.M. Waugh ⁷⁷, M.S. Weber ¹⁷, P. Weber ⁵⁴, A.P. Weighberg ¹¹⁸, P. Weiger ¹⁹⁰, J. Weiger ⁹¹, J. Waiser ¹⁸, G. Watts ¹⁹³, S. Watts ⁸⁴, G. Watts ¹⁸, S. Watts ⁸⁴, A.T. Waugh ¹⁵⁰, R.M. Watkins ¹⁸, M.S. Weber ¹⁷, P. Weber ⁵⁴, A.P. Weighberg ¹¹⁸, P. Weiger ⁹⁰, J. Weiger ⁹¹, J. Waiser ⁴⁸, C. Wasish ⁴⁸, K. Washbrook ⁴⁶, C. Watts ⁸⁴, A.T. Waugh ¹⁵⁰, R.M. Wattson ¹⁸, G. Watts ¹⁸, S. Watts ⁸⁴, A.T. Waugh ¹⁵⁰, R.M. Wattson ¹⁸, M.S. Weighberg ¹¹⁸, P. Weighberg ¹¹⁹, ⁴⁸, ⁴⁸ B.M. Waugh⁷⁷, M.S. Weber¹⁷, P. Weber⁵⁴, A.R. Weidberg¹¹⁸, P. Weigell⁹⁹, J. Weingarten⁵⁴, C. Weiser⁴⁸, P.S. Wells³⁰, T. Wenaus²⁵, D. Wendland¹⁶, Z. Weng^{151,w}, T. Wengler³⁰, S. Wenig³⁰, N. Wermes²¹, M. Werner⁴⁸, P. Werner³⁰, M. Werth¹⁶³, M. Wessels^{58a}, J. Wetter¹⁶¹, C. Weydert⁵⁵, K. Whalen²⁹, S.J. Wheeler-Ellis¹⁶³, A. White⁸, M.J. White⁸⁶, S. White^{122a,122b}, S.R. Whitehead¹¹⁸, D. Whiteson¹⁶³, D. Whittington⁶⁰, F. Wicek¹¹⁵, D. Wicke¹⁷⁵, F.J. Wickens¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wicke¹⁷⁵, F.J. Wickens¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, M. Weidenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, M. Wielers¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, M. Wielers¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, M. Wielers¹²⁹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, D. Wielers¹²⁹, M. Wielers¹²⁹ P. Wienemann²¹, C. Wiglesworth⁷⁵, L.A.M. Wilk-Fuchs⁴⁸, P.A. Wijeratne⁷⁷, A. Wildauer⁹⁹, M.A. Wildt^{42,s}, I. Wilhelm¹²⁶, H.G. Wilkens³⁰, J.Z. Will⁹⁸, E. Williams³⁵, H.H. Williams¹²⁰, S. Williams ²⁸, W. Willis ³⁵, S. Willocq ⁸⁴, J.A. Wilson ¹⁸, M.G. Wilson ¹⁴³, A. Wilson ⁸⁷, I. Wingerter-Seez ⁵, S. Winkelmann ⁴⁸, F. Winklmeier ³⁰, M. Wittgen ¹⁴³, S.J. Wollstadt ⁸¹, M.W. Wolter ³⁹, H. Wolters ^{124a,h}, S. Winkelmann ¹⁵, F. Winkineler ⁵⁵, M. Wiltgen ¹⁵, S.J. Wonstadt ⁵⁷, M.W. Wolter ⁵⁵, H. Wolter ⁵⁶, H. Wolter ⁵⁷, H. Wolter ⁵⁶, H. Wolter ⁵⁷, M.W. Wolter ⁵⁷, H. Wolter ⁵⁷, M.W. Wolter ⁵⁸, H. Wolter ⁵⁸, M. Wright ⁵³, B.K. Wosiek ³⁹, J. Wotschack ³⁰, M.J. Woudstra ⁸², K.W. Wozniak ³⁹, K. Wraight ⁵³, M. Wright ⁵³, B. Wrona ⁷³, S.L. Wu ¹⁷³, X. Wu ⁴⁹, Y. Wu ^{33b,al}, E. Wulf ³⁵, B.M. Wynne ⁴⁶, S. Xella ³⁶, M. Xiao ¹³⁶, S. Xie ⁴⁸, C. Xu ^{33b,z}, D. Xu ¹³⁹, B. Yabsley ¹⁵⁰, S. Yacoob ^{145a,am}, M. Yamada ⁶⁵, H. Yamaguchi ¹⁵⁵, A. Yamamoto ⁶⁵, K. Yamamoto ⁶³, S. Yamamoto ¹⁵⁵, T. Yamamura ¹⁵⁵, T. Yamanaka ¹⁵⁵, J. Yamazaki ¹⁶⁶, Z. Yan ²², H. Yang ⁸⁷, U.K. Yang ⁸², Y. Yang ¹⁰⁹, Z. Yang ^{146a,146b}, S. Yanush ⁹¹, L. Yao ^{33a}, Y. Yao ¹⁵, Y. Yasu ⁶⁵, G.V. Ybeles Smit ¹³⁰, J. Ye ⁴⁰, S. Ye ²⁵,

M. Yilmaz ^{4c}, R. Yoosoofmiya ¹²³, K. Yorita ¹⁷¹, R. Yoshida ⁶, C. Young ¹⁴³, C.J. Young ¹¹⁸, S. Youssef ²², D. Yu ²⁵, J. Yu ⁸, J. Yu ¹¹², L. Yuan ⁶⁶, A. Yurkewicz ¹⁰⁶, M. Byszewski ³⁰, B. Zabinski ³⁹, R. Zaidan ⁶², A.M. Zaitsev ¹²⁸, Z. Zajacova ³⁰, L. Zanello ^{132a,132b}, D. Zanzi ⁹⁹, A. Zaytsev ²⁵, C. Zeitnitz ¹⁷⁵, M. Zeman ¹²⁵, A. Zemla ³⁹, C. Zendler ²¹, O. Zenin ¹²⁸, T. Ženiš ^{144a}, Z. Zinonos ^{122a,122b}, S. Zenz ¹⁵, D. Zerwas ¹¹⁵, G. Zevi della Porta ⁵⁷, Z. Zhan ^{33d}, D. Zhang ^{33b,ak}, H. Zhang ⁸⁸, J. Zhang ⁶, X. Zhang ^{33d}, Z. Zhang ¹¹⁵, L. Zhao ¹⁰⁸, T. Zhao ¹³⁸, Z. Zhao ^{33b}, A. Zhemchugov ⁶⁴, J. Zhong ¹¹⁸, B. Zhou ⁸⁷, N. Zhou ¹⁶³, Y. Zhou ¹⁵¹, C.G. Zhu ^{33d}, H. Zhu ⁴², J. Zhu ⁸⁷, Y. Zhu ^{33b}, X. Zhuang ⁹⁸, V. Zhuravlov ⁹⁹, D. Zieminska ⁶⁰, N.I. Zimin ⁶⁴, R. Zimmermann ²¹, S. Zimmermann ²¹, S. Zimmermann ⁴⁸, M. Ziolkowski ¹⁴¹, R. Zitoun ⁵, L. Živković ³⁵, V.V. Zmouchko ^{128,*}, G. Zobernig ¹⁷³, A. Zoccoli ^{20a,20b}, M. zur Nedden ¹⁶, V. Zutshi ¹⁰⁶, L. Zwalinski ³⁰

- ¹ School of Chemistry and Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
- ² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, United States
- ³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- ⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Department of Physics, Dumlupinar University, Kutahya; ^(c) Department of Physics, Gazi University, Ankara; ^(d) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara; ^(e) Turkish Atomic Energy Authority, Ankara, Turkey
- LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université de Savoie, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, United States
- ⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States
- ⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, United States
- ⁹ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece
- ¹¹ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ¹² Institute of Physics, Patters Energies and Departament de Fisica de la Universitat Autônoma de Barcelona and ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
 ¹³ (a) Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade; ^(b) Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹⁴ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹⁵ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States
- ¹⁶ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
- ¹⁷ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ¹⁸ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- 19 (a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (b) Division of Physics, Dogus University, Istanbul; (c) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep;
- ^(d) Department of Physics, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
 ^(d) Department of Physics, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
 ^(d) (^(d) INFN Sezione di Bologna; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
 ²¹ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ²² Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States
- ²³ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States
- 24 (a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/JF, Rio de Janeiro; (b) Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (c) Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei; ^(d) Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- ²⁵ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, United States
- 26 (a) National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (b) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest, (c) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
- ²⁷ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ²⁸ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ²⁹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- ³⁰ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³¹ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States
- 32 (a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
- 33 (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui; (c) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; ^(d) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China
- 14 Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université and Université Blaise Pascal and CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ³⁵ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, United States
- ³⁶ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark
- ³⁷ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Arcavata di Rende, Italy
- ³⁸ AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow, Poland
- ³⁹ The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
- ⁴⁰ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, United States
- ⁴¹ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States
- ⁴² DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
- ⁴³ Institut für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
- ⁴⁴ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technical University Dresden, Dresden, Germany
- ⁴⁵ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, United States
- ⁴⁶ SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁷ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- ⁴⁸ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁴⁹ Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland

- ⁵² II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
- ⁵³ SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁴ II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
- 55 Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Joseph Fourier and CNRS/IN2P3 and Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France
- ⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA, United States
- ⁵⁷ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States
- 58 (@ Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (^{b)} Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg; (^{c)}ZITI Institut für Technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
- ⁵⁹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
- ⁶⁰ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, United States

⁵⁰ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Genova; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ⁵¹ (a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia ⁶¹ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria ⁶² University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States

⁶³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States

⁶⁴ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia

⁶⁵ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan

⁶⁶ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

⁶⁷ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

⁶⁸ Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan

⁶⁹ Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

⁷⁰ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina

⁷¹ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom

⁷² (^a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^{(b}) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
 ⁷³ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

⁷⁴ Department of Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁷⁵ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

⁷⁶ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom

⁷⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom

⁷⁸ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

⁷⁹ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden

⁸⁰ Departamento de Fisica Teorica C-15, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

⁸¹ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

⁸² School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

83 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

⁸⁴ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, United States

⁸⁵ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

⁸⁶ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁸⁷ Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States

⁸⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States

⁸⁹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy

⁹⁰ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus

⁹¹ National Scientific and Educational Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

⁹² Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

⁹³ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada

⁹⁴ P.N. Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

⁹⁵ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia

⁹⁶ Moscow Engineering and Physics Institute (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

⁹⁷ Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

98 Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

99 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany

¹⁰⁰ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan

¹⁰¹ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

¹⁰² ^(d) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

¹⁰³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States

¹⁰⁴ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands

¹⁰⁵ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹⁰⁶ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, United States

¹⁰⁷ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia

¹⁰⁸ Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, United States

¹⁰⁹ Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

¹¹⁰ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan

¹¹¹ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States

¹¹² Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States

¹¹³ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic

¹¹⁴ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, United States

¹¹⁵ LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France

¹¹⁶ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

¹¹⁷ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

¹¹⁸ Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom

¹¹⁹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

¹²⁰ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States

¹²¹ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia

¹²² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

¹²³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

124 (a) Laboratorio de Instrumentacao e Física Experimental de Particulas – LIP, Lisboa, Portugal; (b) Departamento de Física Teorica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain

¹²⁵ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic

¹²⁶ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic

127 Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic

¹²⁸ State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

¹²⁹ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

¹³⁰ Physics Department, University of Regina, Regina, SK, Canada

¹³¹ Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan

¹³² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma I; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

133 (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

¹³⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy

135 (a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies – Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat; (^O Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA, Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed V-Agdal, Rabat, Morocco

136 DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹³⁷ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, United States

- ¹³⁸ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States
- ¹³⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
- 140 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
- ¹⁴¹ Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
- ¹⁴² Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
- ¹⁴³ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, United States

144 (a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; (b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic

- 145 (a) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; (b) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁴⁷ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

- ¹⁴⁸ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States
- ¹⁴⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
- ¹⁵⁰ School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
- ¹⁵¹ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- ¹⁵² Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
- ¹⁵³ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
- ¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
- ¹⁵⁵ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹⁵⁶ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹⁵⁸ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 159 (a) TRIUME Vancouver, BC: (b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ¹⁶⁰ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹⁶¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, United States
- ¹⁶² Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia
- ¹⁶³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States
 ¹⁶⁴ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
- ¹⁶⁵ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States
- ¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
- 167 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de
- Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain
- ¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
- ¹⁷⁰ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
- ¹⁷¹ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹⁷² Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- ¹⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States
- ¹⁷⁴ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
- ¹⁷⁵ Fachbereich C Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
- ¹⁷⁶ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States
- ¹⁷⁷ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
- 178 Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
- ^a Also at Laboratorio de Instrumentação e Fisiça Experimental de Particulas LIP, Lisboa, Portugal.
- ^b Also at Faculdade de Ciencias and CFNUL, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.
- Also at Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom,
- ^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada,
- Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno, CA, United States.
- f Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- Also at Fermilab, Batavia, IL, United States.
- ^h Also at Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal.
- Also at Department of Physics, UASLP, San Luis Potosi, Mexico.
- ^j Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.
- k Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.
- 1
- Also at Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, United States,
- Also at Dep Fisica and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal.
- Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom.
- Also at Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- Also at Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa,
- Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.
- Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- Also at Manhattan College, New York, NY, United States.
- Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China.
- Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.
- w Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guanzhou, China.
- Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Also at Dipartimento di Fisica, Università La Sapienza, Roma, Italy.
- Also at DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique), Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- aa Also at Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^{*ab*} Also at Departamento de Fisica, Universidade de Minho, Braga, Portugal.
- Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States.
- Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary,
- Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, United States,
- ^{*df*} Also at Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland.

- ^{ag} Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France.
- ^{ah} Also at Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, United States.
- ^{*ai*} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- ^{*aj*} Also at Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- ^{*ak*} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- ^{*al*} Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States. ^{*am*} Also at Discipline of Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

* Deceased.