Accretion vs. colliding wind models for the gamma-ray binary LS I +61 303: an assessment
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ABSTRACT

\textbf{Context.} LS I +61 303 is a puzzling Be/X-ray binary with variable gamma-ray emission up to \textit{TeV} energies. The nature of the compact object and the origin of the high-energy emission are unclear. One family of models invokes particle acceleration in shocks from the collision between the B-star wind and a relativistic pulsar wind, whereas another centers on a relativistic jet powered by accretion from the Be star decretion disc onto a black hole. Recent high-resolution radio observations showing a putative “cometary tail” pointing away from the Be star near periastron have been cited as support for the pulsar-wind model.

\textbf{Aims.} We wish to carry out a quantitative assessment of these competing models.

\textbf{Methods.} We apply a “Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics” (SPH) code in 3D dynamical simulations for both the pulsar-wind-interaction and accretion-jet models. The former yields a dynamical description of the shape of the wind-wind interaction surface. The latter provides a dynamical estimation of the accretion rate under a variety of conditions, and how this varies with orbital phase.

\textbf{Results.} The results allow critical evaluation of how the two distinct models confront the data in various wavebands. When one accounts for the 3D dynamical wind interaction under realistic constraints for the relative strength of the B-star and pulsar winds, the resulting form of the interaction front does not match the putative “cometary tail” claimed from radio observations. On the other hand, dynamical simulations of the accretion-jet model indicate that the orbital phase variation of accretion power includes a secondary broad peak well away from periastron, thus providing a plausible way to explain the observedTeV gamma ray emission toward LS I +61 303.

\textbf{Conclusions.} Contrary to previous claims, the colliding-wind model is not clearly established for LS I +61 303, whereas the accretion-jet model can reproduce many key characteristics, such as required energy budget, lightcurve, and spectrum of the observed TeV gamma-ray emission.
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1. Introduction

Some massive X-ray binaries emit electromagnetic radiation across the entire spectrum, from radio to \textit{TeV} gamma-rays. Cherenkov gamma-ray telescopes have recently detected variable emission from the binary systems PSR B1259-63 (Aharonian et al. 2005a), LS 5039 (Aharonian et al. 2005b, 2006a), LS I +61 303 (Albert et al. 2006) and Cygnus X-1 (Albert et al. 2007). The first source is a radio pulsar in a long and eccentric orbit around a Be star. Its high-energy emission is interpreted as inverse Compton (IC) upscattering of stellar photons by relativistic electrons accelerated in a colliding wind region (e.g. Tavani & Arons 1997; Kirk et al. 1999; Khangulyan et al. 2007). The case of the next two sources is not so clear, since the nature of the compact object has not been established\textsuperscript{1} and jet-like radio features have been detected (Paredes et al. 2000; Massi et al. 2001). Nonetheless, some authors (e.g. Dubus 2006a) have suggested that all these sources may be similar to PSR B1259-63, in the sense of being powered by colliding winds. In contrast, Cygnus X-1 is a well-established microquasar.

In the case of LS I +61 303, recent radio imaging observations along the orbital period have been cited as evidence that the compact object is an energetic pulsar around which a synchrotron “cometary tail” is formed (Dhawan et al. 2006). Colliding wind models, however, face many problems for explaining the spectral energy distribution (SED), the time evolution of the emission, the required energy budget, or even the radio morphology. Alternative accretion models, wherein the compact object is a black hole and the non-thermal emission arises from relativistic jet flows, remain an open possibility (e.g. Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006; Orellana & Romero 2007).

In this paper we apply a Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) code to develop more realistic, three-dimensional (3D), dynamical simulations of both types of model, and use the results of these simulations to evaluate the respective merits of each model for matching observational characteristics of LS I +61 303. For the pulsar-wind-collision model a key aim is to compute the 3D form of the wind-wind interaction front under realistic constraints for the relative strengths of the B-star and pulsar winds. We adopt a non-relativistic pulsar wind model here, but with a wind momentum adjusted to represent a relativistic wind with the required total energy.
For accretion models, a key point to establish is the level and phase variation of accretion power in this system. Until now, accretion models for LS I +61 303 have applied simplistic estimates based on Bondi-Hoyle scaling laws (e.g. Martí & Paredes 1995; Gregory & Neish 2002), ignoring the perturbation of the compact object on the decretion disc of the Be star, as well as the details of the accretion disc formation. Our 3D dynamical simulations of the accretion disc include cases where the compact object is either a neutron star or a black hole, allowing a quantitative assessment of how well the accretion can be used to power jets that might reproduce the observed spectral energy distribution and its variation with orbital phase. We can then compare the accretion scenario with the colliding wind one, discussing the critical issues for each model type.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the next section we review the main known properties of LS I +61 303. Then we outline the main features of the accretion/ejection scenario for this source.

In Sects. 4 and 5 we respectively describe the simulations for the accretion and colliding wind scenarios and present the main results derived from them. Section 6 provides a global assessment of both competing scenarios and a brief summary.

2. The puzzling gamma-ray binary LS I +61 303

LS I +61 303 is a radio-emitting Be X-ray binary discovered by Gregory & Taylor (1978). The primary star in the system is a B0-B0.5Ve star (Paredes & Figueras 1986), with a dense equatorial mass ejection that forms a circumstellar disc (CD). The distance to the system is ~2 kpc (Frail & Hjellming 1991; Steele et al. 1998). The orbital period is well known from radio observations: $P = 26.4960$ days (Gregory 2002). The orbital parameters have been determined by Casares et al. (2005b) and Grundstrom et al. (2007). The orbit is inferred to be quite eccentric, with eccentricity estimates from $e = 0.72 \pm 0.15$ (Casares et al. 2005b) to $e = 0.55 \pm 0.05$ (Grundstrom et al. 2007). Inferred masses are $12 M_{\odot}$ for the primary and $2.5 M_{\odot}$ for the compact object, assuming an inclination angle $i = 30^\circ$, but lower masses for the secondary are possible for larger inclinations (e.g. Dubus 2006a). The luminosity of the Be star is estimated at $L_* \sim 10^{38}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Hutchings & Crampton 1981).

Soon after its discovery, LS I +61 303 was associated with gamma-ray detections, first with the COS-B source CG 135+01 (Gregory & Taylor 1978), and later with the EGRET source JEG J0241+6103 (Kniffen et al. 1997). In 2006 it was detected by the MAGIC telescope as a variable gamma-ray source at high energies ($E > 200$ GeV, see Albert et al. 2006). The maximum in the gamma-ray light curve occurs not during periastron passage (at phase $\phi = 0.23$), but at phase $\phi = 0.5-0.6$. The radio emission is stronger between phases $0.45-0.9$.

In X-rays the source has been observed by a number of instruments including ROSAT, RXTE, ASCA, Chandra, XMM-Newton, and INTEGRAL (e.g. Taylor et al. 1996; Leahy et al. 1997; Greiner & Rau 2001; Sidoli et al. 2006; Chernyakova et al. 2006; Paredes et al. 2007). The X-ray flux is variable, changing from high to low states in a few hours. The X-ray luminosity, however, is never very high, varying in the range $10^{33}-10^{34}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. Sidoli et al. (2006) have compiled the whole SED of the source (see their Fig. 7). No thermal feature is observed at X-rays. The peak of non-thermal emission is in gamma-rays, reaching a luminosity of $\sim 10^{34}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ in EGRET’s energy range.

At $E > 200$ GeV the luminosity is $\sim 10^{34}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. All this shows that extremely energetic particles are present and that a very large energy budget is required to power the source, i.e. $L > 10^{35}-10^{37}$ ergs s$^{-1}$.

3. The accretion/ejection scenario for LS I +61 303

The idea that the non-thermal emission from LS I +61 303 is powered by accretion onto a compact object was first proposed by Taylor & Gregory (1982, 1984). When Massi et al. (2001, 2004) detected jet-like radio features extending to $\sim 200$ AU from the core, the source was classified as a microquasar (MQ). Since the mass of the compact object is not well-constrained, several authors have proposed that the secondary could be a low mass ($\sim 2.5 M_{\odot}$) black hole (Punsly 1999; Massi 2004; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006; Orellana & Romero 2007). The scenario of an accreting pulsar seems to be ruled out by the low-level of the X-ray luminosity, unless most of the accreting matter is ejected by the pulsar (Zamanov 1995; Romero et al. 2005).

If the power engine of LS I +61 303 is accretion onto a black hole, collimated outflows of relativistic particles can be expected, as in other microquasars and in extragalactic quasars. The usual assumption of accreting models is that the accretion rate is coupled to the jet power: $L_{\text{jet}} = \dot{M}_{\text{ac}} c^2$, where $\dot{M}_{\text{ac}} < 1$ and could be as high as 0.1. The accretion/ejection models can be divided in two groups according to how the high-energy emission originates: leptonic models (e.g. Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006; Bednarek 2006; Gupta & Böttcher 2006), wherein the gamma-rays are the result of IC interactions of relativistic electrons in the outflow with photons from the star, the CD or locally produced by synchrotron mechanism; and hadronic models (e.g. Romero et al. 2003, 2005; Orellana & Romero 2007), wherein the emission at $E > 1$ GeV is dominated by gamma rays from the decay of neutral pions that originate in inelastic $pp$ interactions. Both types of models predict variability over the orbital period, since one expects orbital phase variation in both the power of the jet, as well as in the target density for the relativistic particles (either photons or ambient matter). An additional source of variability is the absorption of gamma-rays in the photon fields of the primary star and the CD (e.g. Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006), which can lead to the formation of electromagnetic cascades and the suppression of the gamma-ray signal during periastron passage (Orellana & Romero 2007).

It may be possible in principle to differentiate between leptonic and hadronic models through the cutoff of the high-energy emission, since electrons cool very fast through IC losses in the ambient photon fields, so their highest energy can hardly go beyond a few TeV. This is not the case for protons, which can reach higher energies. In addition, neutrino emission might be detectable from this type of sources if the bulk of the gamma-ray emission is of hadronic origin (e.g. Christiansen et al. 2006; Aharonian et al. 2006b).

An obvious requirement of the accretion/ejection models for the gamma-ray production in LS I +61 303 is that the accretion rate must be high enough to sustain the gamma-ray production with luminosities of $\sim 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ at phase $\phi = 0.5-0.6$, where MAGIC detected the source. We note that the detected EGRET flux, which is probably due to LS I +61 303, imposes even more severe constraints ($L_{\gamma} \gtrsim 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$). There might be some contribution from background sources, but most of the flux should come from the binary system, since the emission is variable (e.g. 2) In the case of an accreting pulsar, the infalling matter should impact onto the solid surface, producing strong and hard X-ray emission, which is not observed.
Torres et al. 2001; Massi 2004). We will discuss this further in Sect. 4.

4. Numerical simulations of the accretion disc formation around the compact object in LS I +61 303

4.1. The code

Simulations presented here were performed with a three-dimensional, smooth particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code. The code is basically the same as that used by Okazaki et al. (2002) and Hayasaki & Okazaki (2004, 2005, 2006). It is based on a version originally developed by Benz (Benz 1990; Benz et al. 1990) and then by Bate and his collaborators (Bate et al. 1995). Using a variable smoothing length, the SPH equations with a standard cubic-spline kernel are integrated with an individual time step for each particle. In our code, the Be disc and the accretion disc are modeled by an ensemble of gas particles with negligible self-gravity, while the Be star and the compact object are represented by sink particles with the appropriate gravitational mass. Gas particles that fall within a specified accretion radius are accreted by the sink particle.

In order to allow the conversion of the kinetic energy to heat due to viscosity and to deal with shocks, our code uses the standard form of artificial viscosity with two free parameters $\alpha_{\text{SPH}}$ and $\beta_{\text{SPH}}$, which respectively control the strength of the shear and bulk viscosity components and that of a second-order, von Neumann-Richtmyer-type viscosity (Richtmyer & Morton 1994).

4.2. The simulations

To optimize the resolution and computational efficiency of our simulations, we elected to break the accretion and accretion portion of the computation into two separate, but linked parts. The first focuses on the accretion of the Be disc, starting from the stellar equatorial surface radius $R_\ast$, then following its evolution under the combined gravitational influence of the B-star and compact object. Because of the strong photoionization heating from the stellar radiation, this Be disc is taken to be isothermal at 0.6 $T_\ast$ (Carciofi & Bjorkman 2006), where $T_\ast$ is the effective temperature of the Be star. The outward viscous diffusion of material in this Be disc provides an effective source for capture onto the accretion disc around the compact object, taken to occur whenever material reaches a variable capture radius set by $0.9 r_\text{Roche}$, where $r_\text{Roche}$ is the Roche-lobe radius for a circular binary given approximately by (e.g., Paczynski 1971; Warner 1995)

$$n_\text{Roche} \approx 0.462 \left( \frac{a}{1 + a} \right)^{1/3} D .$$

Here the mass ratio $q = M_\text{B}/M_\ast$, where $M_\text{B}$ and $M_\ast$ are respectively the masses of the compact object and Be star, and $D$ is the instantaneous distance between the stars.

Following the approach adopted by Hayasaki & Okazaki (2004), the second part of the simulation focuses on the accretion disc around the compact object, with the above phase-dependent mass transfer from the Be disc simulation now used as an outer boundary condition for the accretion process. The inner edge of the accretion disc is set to a small fraction of the semi-major axis, $2.5 \times 10^{-a}$, below which the further accretion onto the compact object is expected to occur on rapid time scale that is much shorter than that for the simulated region. The value of the semi-major axis is $a = 6.4 \times 10^{12}$ cm. Since the role of external heating is less clear than for the Be disc, the material in this accretion disc is assumed to follow a polytropic relation with index $\gamma = 1.2$, representing a compromise between the isothermal ($\gamma = 1$) and adiabatic limits ($\gamma = 5/3$).

The numerical viscosity is adjusted to keep the Shakura-Sunyaev viscosity parameter $\alpha_{\text{SS}}$ constant, using the approximate relation $\alpha_{\text{SS}} = 0.1 \alpha_{\text{SPH}} h/H$ and $h_{\text{SPH}} = 0$, where $h$ and $H$ are respectively the smoothing length of individual particles and the scale-height of the decretion/accretion disc (for additional details, see Okazaki et al. 2002). In the Be disc simulation, this scale is related to the distance $r$ from the Be star by:

$$\frac{H}{r} = \left( \frac{c_s}{v_{\text{crit}}} \right) \left( \frac{r}{R_\ast} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $c_s$ is the isothermal sound speed and $v_{\text{crit}} = (GM_\ast/R_\ast)^{1/2}$ is the critical velocity of the Be star.

We set the binary orbit in the x-y plane with the major axis along the x-axis. In Be disc simulations, the mass ejection mechanism from the Be star is modeled by constant injection of gas particles at a radius just outside the equatorial surface. For the primary, we assume a B0V star of $M_\text{B} = 12 M_\odot$, $R_\text{B} = 10 R_\odot$, and $T_\text{B} = 26000 K$. The scale-height of the CD is $\sim 0.03 R_\ast$ at $r = R_\ast$, then increasing outward as $r^{2/3}$. For the companion, we take $M_\text{N} = 2.5 M_\odot$. The base density of the Be disc is taken to be $5 \times 10^{-11}$ g cm$^{-3}$. Note that the Be star has a two-component extended atmosphere: a polar, low-density and fast wind ($\sim 10^5$ km s$^{-1}$) and a cool, slow CD. The material supplied from the equatorial stellar surface drifts outwards because of viscous diffusion. The velocity of the CD outflow, within $\sim 10 R_\ast$, is less than a few km s$^{-1}$.

For the binary, we adopt an orbital period $P_{\text{orb}} = 26.496$ d and an eccentricity $e = 0.72$ (Casares et al. 2005b).

4.3. Results

Let us now examine results from a set of simulations with the Be disc plane set coplanar with the binary orbital plane. Figure 1 shows snapshots around periastron passage, which takes place at the orbital phase $\phi = 0.23$, from (a) the Be disc simulation and (b) the corresponding accretion disc simulation. These snapshots are taken after the Be/accretion disc begins to show a regular orbital modulation. Each panel shows the surface density over four orders of magnitude, with a logarithmic scaling for the greyscale. In Fig. 1a, where the centre of mass of the system is fixed, the dark spot near the centre is the Be star, whereas the other dark spot represents the compact object with the variable accretion radius. In Fig. 1b, the dark spot at the centre is the compact object with the fixed accretion radius of $2.5 \times 10^{-5} a$.

Figure 1 illustrates the interaction between the Be disc and the compact object. In this highly eccentric system, strong interaction occurs only for a very short span of time around periastron passage. At periastron, the compact object tidally deforms the Be disc and captures particles in the outermost part (Fig. 1a). Matter infalling from the Be discexcites the $m = 1$ density wave in the accretion disc (Fig. 1b). At the same time, the accretion disc as a whole shrinks by the tidal torque from the Be star. In later phases, the density wave propagates inward, enhancing the accretion rate, as found by Hayasaki & Okazaki (2005). The accretion disc gradually expands until the next periastron passage of the Be star.

\footnote{The descriptions correspond to the printed-journal black and white figures.}
Fig. 1. Snapshots from a) a Be disc simulation and b) the corresponding accretion disc simulation and c) their mosaic, covering ~0.15 $P_{orb}$ around periastron passage at $\phi = 0.23$. Each panel shows the surface density in cgs units on a logarithmic scale. The annotations in each panel give the orbital phase and total number of SPH particles. Indicated in the first panel are the size and surface density scales.

Figure 2 shows the phase dependence of the accretion rate onto the compact object, which is averaged over three orbital periods to reduce the fluctuation noise. Note that this accretion rate has two peaks per orbit, i.e., a narrow peak at periastron, and a broad peak at a later phase. The periastron peak ($M = 5.2 \times 10^{16}$ g s$^{-1}$) is due to shrinking of the accretion disc. In our simulations, particles crossing the accretion radius of the compact object are taken as having accreted and so are removed from the simulation. Since the accretion disc in the real system should have a much smaller inner radius, this peak could be artificial. To test this, we carried out a simulation with the accretion radius doubled, to $5 \times 10^{15}$ g s$^{-1}$. We find that the periastron peak is indeed higher, about five times the value of the standard case, but the secondary, broad peak has a similar amplitude in both simulations. As such, it seems that periastron peak amplitude may be an artifact of the limited resolution, but the extension over a broad secondary peak seems more robust.

This secondary peak has value of $M = 5.2 \times 10^{16}$ g s$^{-1}$ and occurs at a phase ~0.52 that lags the periastron passage by about 0.3 in phase. As noted above, this secondary peak stems from the inward-propagating, $m = 1$ density wave in the accretion disc, which is excited by the ram pressure of material transferred from the Be disc at periastron. The phase lag of ~0.3 results from a combination of the time for wave excitation and the wave propagation time (for a more detailed discussion, see Hayasaki & Okazaki 2005).

These results have important implications for accretion models of LS I +61 303, since they indicate that a peak in non-thermal emission could occur well past periastron passage, after phase 0.5, much as observed by MAGIC (Albert et al. 2006).

Moreover, the energy available is more than adequate to explain the observed high-energy emission. At phase ~0.5 the accretion power is $\sim 4.7 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Assuming that 10% of this power goes into an outflow -- with just 10% of that converted to relativistic protons --, then a gamma-ray luminosity of roughly $\sim 8 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is expected from inelastic pp interactions with the medium surrounding the compact object (Orellana & Romero 2007). If the radiative properties of the jet are dominated by relativistic electrons or electron/positron pairs, gamma-ray production through IC interactions could also explain the observed emission with the inferred accretion rates (Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006). Since our simulations suggest the periastron peak at phase 0.23 may be artificial, opacity effects do not need to be invoked to explain the near-peniastron phase of gamma-rays observed by MAGIC. However, as discussed in Sect. 6, in practice such opacity effects may indeed be significant, making it difficult to detect TeV gamma rays emitted near periastron.

5. Colliding winds and cometary tails

The colliding wind scenario for LS I +61 303 was first proposed by Maraschi & Treves (1981), and then revisited by Dubus (2006a). Recently, additional authors have proposed that an energetic pulsar with a relativistic wind could power the gamma-ray source (Chernyakova et al. 2006; Neronov & Chernyakova 2007). The central idea behind these models is that the collision
between the relativistic pulsar wind and the slow wind from the Be star should result in a strong shock, thus providing a site for particle acceleration, much as is thought to occur in other massive binaries like WR 140 (Eichler & Usov 1993; Benaglia & Romero 2003). Relativistic electrons undergoing IC interactions with stellar photons then produce the observed gamma-ray emission. Since these electrons should also produce synchrotron radiation in any ambient magnetic fields, the colliding wind region itself could be detectable as an extended radio source, perhaps even with an elongation directed away from the Be star (Mirabel 2006, see Fig. 1, right panel; and Dubus 2006a).

Dhawan et al. (2006) present a series of VLBA images, spaced 3 days apart, and covering the entire orbit of LS I +61 303. The images show extended radio structures, with the phase near periastron and indeed an apparent elongation away from the primary star. Since no macroscopic relativistic velocities are measured, the authors identify this elongation as “a pulsar wind nebula shaped by the anisotropic environment, not a jet”. Citing the known pulsar PSR B1259-63 as example, they conclude that LS I +61 303 is a Be-pulsar binary, and not a MQ.\footnote{Note, however that no extended radio emission have been found so far in PSR B1259-63.}

However, there are several crucial issues for this interpretation. First, it is important to note that, unlike PSR B1259-63, no pulsation is detected in LS I +61 303. As such the viability of such a pulsar model requires either that any pulsar signals must be quenched by a sufficient column of matter throughout the orbital phase (including at apastron), or, alternatively, that there is a special geometry between the magnetic and rotational axis of the putative neutron star.

Second, for a reasonable gamma-ray production efficiency of a few percent, the gamma-ray luminosity inferred by EGRET, \(\sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), requires the total power of the pulsar wind to be higher than several times \(10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). Assuming a power of \(10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), Dubus (2006a) cannot reproduce the observed SED, as seen through his Fig. 8, which shows the EGRET flux to be an order of magnitude above the predicted emission.

Finally, this large required pulsar wind power implies also a large pulsar wind momentum, requiring then an even stronger Be-wind momentum if it is to produce the elongated, cometary shape inferred in the radio images. The key parameter determining the shape of the interaction surface of colliding winds is the ratio of wind momentum fluxes, given by

\[
\eta = \frac{E_{\text{PSR}}}{M_{\text{Be}} V_{\text{Be}}} \tag{3}
\]

where \(V_{\text{Be}}\) and \(M_{\text{Be}}\) are the velocity and mass loss rate of the Be wind, and \(E_{\text{PSR}}\) is the power of the pulsar wind. Taking \(V_{\text{Be}} = 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and \(M_{\text{Be}} = 10^{-8} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}\) as strong wind parameters for a B0V star, we have \(\eta \sim 0.53 E_{\text{PSR}}/10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). In a simple 2D model that ignores orbital motion, the interaction surface approaches a cone with opening half-angle,

\[
\theta = 180^\circ \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \tag{4}
\]

which can be derived from the analysis of Antokhin et al. (2004). Note then that for the above strong Be-wind parameters, even the minimally required pulsar wind with energy \(E_{\text{PSR}} \sim 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) would force a quite wide interaction cone, with half-angle \(\theta \approx 62^\circ\). And for somewhat larger, but still quite modest pulsar wind energies \(E_{\text{PSR}} > 2 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), the pulsar momentum overwhelms the Be-wind, forcing an opening half-angle \(\theta > 90^\circ\), implying that the interaction cone is actually wrapped around the Be-star, not the pulsar. (For more detailed discussion of the shape of the interaction surface, see, e.g., Antokhin et al. 2004.) Thus even in such simplified 2D interaction models, it is problematic to obtain an interaction surface that is elongated away from the Be-star, as inferred in the radio images.

The situation is further complicated if one takes into account the azimuthal deflection associated with orbital motion, which can be particularly significant near periastron in this highly eccentric system. To explore how such orbital effects alter the shape of wind interaction surface, we have carried 3D SPH simulations of the collision between a Be stellar wind (with the above standard mass loss rate and flow speed\footnote{We remind the reader that the relevant Be-wind here is the fast stellar wind with spherically symmetric geometry and not the equatorial CD.}) and a much faster flow intended to represent the pulsar wind. Since our SPH simulations cannot directly simulate a relativistic flow, we have adopted a fast but non-relativistic wind, with a speed of \(10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for the pulsar wind, adjusting the mass-loss rate so as to give the same momentum as a relativistic flow with the assumed energy, thus yielding a momentum ratio given as above by \(\eta \sim 0.53 E_{\text{PSR}}/10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). Our approach is designed to account for the relative ram pressure balance between the colliding winds, since this is the primary factor in defining the shape of the wind-wind interface. However, by assuming a cooled post-shock flow we are neglecting the effect of the gas pressure. We are also neglecting the effect of the Be disc, because it is unlikely that a geometrically thin equatorial outflow with very low velocity could produce the broad “cometary tail” inferred from the radio maps. In the future we plan to investigate models with a more complete energy balance treatment, including shock heating and radiative and other relevant cooling processes.

For simplicity, we take both winds to be isothermal and coasting without any net external forces, assuming in effect that gravitational forces are either negligible (i.e. for the pulsar wind) or are effectively canceled by radiative driving terms (i.e. for the Be star). As in the Be and accretion disc simulations, we also ignore the self-gravity of the wind flows. The artificial viscosity parameters adopted are \(\alpha_{\text{SPH}} = 1\) and \(\beta_{\text{SPH}} = 2\), since we deal now with a situation with no shear and a lot of compression. We set the binary orbit in the \(x-y\) plane and the major axis of the orbit along the \(x\)-axis. The outer simulation boundary is set at \(r = 5.25 a\) from the centre of mass of the system. This means scales of \(~1\) mas as seen from the Earth. Particles crossing this boundary are removed from the simulation.

Figure 3 shows a snapshot of a colliding wind model for LS I +61 303 with \(E_{\text{PSR}} = 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), and thus \(\eta = 0.53\). On a logarithmic scale with cgs units, the greyscale shows the density in (a) the orbital plane and (b) the plane perpendicular to this orbital plane and through the orbit’s major axis. Darker and lighter regions represent respectively the Be wind and the pulsar wind. The dark spot near the origin of the figure represents the Be star, and a small dark spot on the left side of the Be star is the pulsar. Note that the density in the pulsar wind is negligible in this simulation.

Although the interaction surface in the simulation exhibits variations from instabilities, its global shape is easily traced and illustrates clearly our key central point. Namely, when orbital effects are included, even the most favorable assumptions toward a large Be/pulsar wind momentum ratio do not produce the simple elongated shape inferred in the VLBI radio image, which...
Detailed outer the corresponding between Fig. 20 mass simulations of stars. The wavelength, to explain a) the orbital plane and b) the plane which is perpendicular to the orbital plane and through the major axis of the orbit, on a logarithmic scale with cgs units. The dark spot near the origin represents the Be star, while the small dark spot to the left represents the pulsar. The inset shows the close-up view of the region near the stars. Annotations in the figure give the orbital phase $\phi$ and the number of particles in the Be wind, $N_1$, and in the pulsar wind, $N_2$. The outer simulation boundary is set at a radius $r = 5.25a$ from the centre of mass of the system.

was previously cited as strong evidence in favor of a pulsar wind interaction scenario.

6. Discussion and conclusions

The previous section shows that the colliding wind model has serious problems, both in matching the observed morphology at radio wavelengths, and in accounting for the observed gamma-ray emission through a pulsar wind with a power of the order of $10^{36}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. An additional problem is the observed gamma-ray phase variation, which shows a peak after phase 0.5. Detailed modeling of the Compton losses of electrons or very strong Compton losses during periastron passage (resulting in a high-energy cutoff) could explain a low flux at phase 0.23 (see Fig. 3).

Khangulyan et al. 2007, for a treatment of this kind in the case of PSR B1259-63); but it remains difficult to explain why the gamma-ray source is not detected before periastron passage, as it is in PSR B1259-63.

Orientation effects might be invoked to explain such a situation, but detailed modeling is lacking. In the accretion models, these problems are solved in a natural way by the variable accretion rate (see Sect. 4).

Opacity effects to gamma-ray propagation in the ambient photon fields of the Be star and disc offer an additional possibility for quenching the high-energy emission close to periastron passage. Our SPH simulations provide the azimuthally averaged surface density profiles for the Be disc, and together with the assumption that the disc is isothermal with a temperature $T_{\text{disc}} = 15,600$ K, this allows us to model the emission of disc photons. Adding then the photon field of the Be star when accounting for the finite stellar size (Dubus 2000b), we apply the basic approach of Becker & Kafatos (1995) to compute the photon-photon attenuation of gamma-rays generated at the base of the jet (a height $\sim 10^3$ cm above the black hole), and directed toward the observer (see Appendix A for further details).

Figure 4 plots the phase variation of the total opacity along the orbit, for two different photon energies. We see that at periastron the TeV gamma-rays have an optical depth $\tau \approx 2$, corresponding to a reduction by a factor $\exp(-2) \approx 0.14$, implying that longer integrations will be required to detect the attenuated source. But since the absorption is low during the rest of the orbit, colliding wind models might predict a detection not only after, but also before periastron passage, unless effects related to the viewing angle are invoked. In any case, the colliding wind model would also face some problems to explain the lightcurves at other wavelengths, where the radiation peaks at similar phases as the VHE emission.

We note that the accretion model is also not free of problems. The changing morphology of the radio emission (Dhawan et al. 2006) requires a highly unstable jet. This was already suggested by the Massi et al. (2004) radio maps. The origin of this instability may be related to the density waves in the accretion disc found in this paper, but detailed simulations are required to
reproduce the observed phenomenology. Alternatively, the impact of wind inhomogeneities could cause the deflection of the jet (Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006).

Another issue concerning accretion models is the low X-ray luminosity observed from this system. The peak accretion rate, when expressed in Eddington units, is \( m \sim 0.01 \). Advection-dominated accretion solutions exist for the inner accretion disc up to \( m < m_{\text{crit}} \sim 0.05-0.1 \) (Narayan et al. 1998, see p. 160). Since the viscosity parameter and \( m_{\text{crit}} \) are related through \( m_{\text{crit}} \sim \alpha^{2} \), the models discussed in Sect. 4 are consistent with advective, under-luminous accretion, which could explain the paucity of thermal X-ray emission in LSI +61 303.

In addition, since the global change of the accretion rate predicted by the simulations is \( \sim 30\% \) and the opacity is negligible close to the apastron, accretion-jet models should introduce effects of the changing environment (photon fields for IC scattering, surrounding matter for \( pp \) interactions) to explain a non-detection at phases beyond \( \sim 0.7 \) through longer exposures of current Cherenkov telescopes. In this sense, the study of Be-disc mass not captured by the compact object is quite interesting since it could be used to explain the infra-red excess observed in the source (Martí & Paredes 1995) and provide an additional source of perturbations and interactions for the jet.

Rapid changes in the jet should produce rapid variability in the gamma-ray emission, that could be observed with GLAST. AGILE and GLAST observations are crucial to determine the MeV-GeV source luminosity and spectrum. MAGIC II could be able to detect the source at the periastron with significant integrations, yielding information on the opacity effects and their origin. Neutrino detection or non-detection with ICECUBE will shed light on the nature of the gamma-ray emission.

We conclude that the current evidence favors an accretion/ejection scenario for LSI +61 303. The debate, however, is not closed and we can expect to learn new lessons from this fascinating source in the near future.
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Appendix A: Calculation of the \( \gamma \)-ray opacity in the star+disc photon field

This appendix briefly summarizes the expressions used for the calculation of the optical depth \( \tau(E) \) given in Fig. 4. We follow the treatment given by Dubus (2006b) and Becker & Kafatos (1995). The differential absorption probability seen by a \( \gamma \)-ray of energy \( E \) located at a position \( P \) and traveling in the direction \( \hat{\epsilon}_{y} \) through a photon field with photons of energy \( \epsilon \) has two terms:

\[
\mathrm{d}\tau_{\gamma\gamma} = \mathrm{d}\tau \left[ (1 - \hat{\epsilon}_{y} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\gamma}) m_{\omega}(\epsilon) \epsilon_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\gamma} \right] + \left( 1 - \hat{\epsilon}_{y} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\delta_{\text{disc}}} \right) \epsilon_{\delta_{\text{disc}}} \epsilon_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}\Omega_{\delta_{\text{disc}}} \quad \text{(A.1)}
\]

where \( \hat{\epsilon}_{\gamma} \) and \( \hat{\epsilon}_{\delta_{\text{disc}}} \) are unit vectors in the photon direction of propagation; \( \mathrm{d}\Omega \) is the solid angle seen from \( P \), of the surface emitting the photons (additional angles, not shown in the figure, are defined to parametrize each surface, star and disc.) The radiation density, \( n(\epsilon) \), is in photons cm\(^{-2}\) erg\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\). The length \( l \) of the \( \gamma \)-ray path is measured from its emission point \( S \), which is at a distance \( d_{0} \) and forming an angle \( \psi_{0} \) from the center of the star (see a sketch in Fig. A.1). The interaction cross-section \( \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \) depends only on the square of the total energy of the two interacting photons in the center of mass frame (which, in turn, depends on the collision angle between the photons, Gould & Schrédé 1967):

\[
s = \frac{\epsilon E}{2 \epsilon_{0} c^{4}} (1 - \epsilon_{x} \cdot \epsilon_{x}) \beta = \left[ 1 - \frac{4 (\epsilon_{0} c^{2})^{2}}{s} \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{(A.2)}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\pi \beta^{2}}{2} (1 - \beta^{2}) \left[ 2 \beta^{2} (2 \beta^{2} - 2) - (3 - \beta^{2}) \right] \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right) \quad \text{(A.3)}
\]

where \( \beta_{0} = \epsilon / m_{c} c \) is the classical electron radius. The integration over \( \epsilon \) should be done above the threshold energy for pair creation, whereas \( l \) is integrated up to infinity in order to compute the probability of detection by a distant observer.

We have considered the star and the circumstellar disc as black-body emitters, characterized by \( T_{s} \) and \( R_{s} \), and \( T_{\text{disc}} \) and \( R_{\text{disc}} \), respectively. At distances greater than a few stellar radii the integration over solid angles reduces to the point-source approximation.

The trajectory considered for the \( \gamma \)-ray photons starts at the orbital position of the compact star, assuming that the high-energy emission originates close to it. The orbital variation of the opacity through the dependence of \( d_{0} \) and \( \psi_{0} \) with the orbital calculation was as calculated in Dubus (2006b).
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\footnote{We note that the emission of outflowing viscous discs of Be stars could be properly modeled as in Porter (1999). However, in the present case, in order to account for the luminosity attributed by Càsares et al. (2005b) to the circumstellar disc contribution in LSI +61 303, we have considered a black-body approximation.}