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ABSTRACT

We determine the intrinsic shapes and orientations of 27 450 types I and II active galactic nucleus (AGN) galaxies in the spectroscopic sample of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 by studying the distribution of projected axial ratios of AGN hosts. Our aim is to study possible alignments between the AGN and host galaxy systems (e.g. the accretion disc and the galaxy angular momentum) and the effect of dust obscuration geometry on the AGN type. We define control samples of non-AGN galaxies that mimic the morphology, colour, luminosity and concentration distributions of the AGN population, taking into account the effects of dust extinction and reddening. Assuming that AGN galaxies have the same underlying 3D shape distribution as their corresponding control samples, we find that the spiral and elliptical type I AGN populations are strongly skewed towards face-on galaxies, while elliptical and spiral type II AGN populations are skewed towards edge-on orientations. These findings rule out random orientations for AGN hosts at high confidence for type I spirals (δχ² ≈ 230) and type II ellipticals (δχ² ≈ 15), while the signal for type I ellipticals and type II spirals is weaker (δχ² ≈ 3 and ≈6, respectively). We obtain a much stronger tendency for the type II spirals to be edge-on when just high [OIII] equivalent width (EW) AGN are considered, suggesting that >20 per cent of low [OIII] EW edge-on type II AGN may be missing from the optical sample. Galactic dust absorption of the broad-line region alone cannot explain the observed inclination angle and projected axial ratio distributions of types I and II Seyfert galaxies, implying that obscuration by a small-scale circumnuclear torus is necessary. These results favour a scenario in which the angular momentum of the material which feeds the black hole retains a memory of its original gas source at least to some small, non-negligible degree.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxies with active galactic nuclei (AGN) have become a major focus in extragalactic studies due to their role in galaxy formation scenarios favoured today. Feedback from the AGN and its effects on gas infall and star formation are invoked to explain observed trends on galaxy star formation rates, luminosities and colours (see for instance, Bower et al. 2006; Cattaneo et al. 2006; Croton et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2007; Lagos, Cora & Padilla 2008; Marulli et al. 2008; Somerville et al. 2008). However, many aspects of the relationship between AGN and their hosts remain unclear, such as the relation between the angular momentum of the AGN system [i.e. accretion disc and black hole (BH) spin] and the galaxy kinematics. A level of coherence between the two could influence the development of the BH spin (Lagos, Padilla & Cora 2009, hereafter LPC09) which regulates the mass-to-energy conversion in radiatively efficient accretion phases (e.g. Rawlings &...
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Saunders 1991; Marconi et al. 2004) and which has been postulated as key to the process of jet production (e.g. Sikora, Stawarz & Lasota 2007); therefore, this could directly affect the energetic capacity of a BH to produce effective feedback.

A way to study galaxy–AGN orientations, for example in weak objects such as radio-quiet AGN (i.e. Seyfert galaxies, Osterbrock 1984), is via the measurement of the inclination angles relative to the line of sight of AGN host galaxies, since narrow-line (Seyfert II) and broad-line (Seyfert I) AGN are thought to be the same physical phenomenon seen under different orientations (see Antonucci 1993 for a review). This is called the ‘unified AGN model’ (e.g. Barthel 1989; Madu, Ghisellini & Fabian 1994; Urry & Padovani 1995; Gunn & Shanks 1999) in which the emission lines come from the AGN system composed of a BH surrounded by an accretion disc and an obscuring torus. The accretion disc is responsible for the ionizing spectrum of the AGN, and the broad-line region (BLR) gas is distributed on similar scales; the direct observation of these regions results in a Seyfert I galaxy. The opaque torus absorbs the optical emission from the accretion disc and the BLR along certain lines of sight, giving rise to a Seyfert II galaxy.

The main evidence in favour of the unified model comes from observations of broad emission lines in polarized light from Seyfert II galaxies (e.g. Antonucci & Miller 1985; Miller, Peacock & Mead 1990; Zakamska et al. 2005; Borguet et al. 2008; Zhang, Bian & Huang 2008) and is further supported by e.g. measurements of similar BH accretion rates in the two AGN types (Hatziminaoglou, Fritz & Jarrett 2009; Netzer 2009). However, the unified model does not imply a relation between the inclination of the host galaxy and that of the obscuring torus (which may be linked with the BH spin; Volonteri, Sikora & Lasota 2007; King, Pringle & Hofmann 2008; LPC09), leaving open the question of the existence of such a relation. Indications of a relation between the kinematic properties of the outer and inner galactic components have been recently found in the Spectroscopic Areal Unit for Research on Optical Nebulae (SAURON) survey (Bacon et al. 2001; de Zeeuw et al. 2002) by Dumas et al. (2007) in AGN Seyfert galaxies. These show differences in the position angle of outer and inner components typically of $\pm 30\degree$; thus these two components are not randomly oriented with respect to one another. In addition, type II AGN spirals appear to be more elongated in optical bands than are non-active spiral galaxies (Shen, Shao & Gu 2010), possibly indicating a tendency towards edge-on orientations in this type of AGN galaxies, which within the unified AGN model would point to a connection with the torus orientation. On the other hand, Krajnovic et al. (2008) found kinematically decoupled cores (i.e. central regions) in 30 per cent of a small sample of elliptical galaxies observed as part of the SAURON project. Thus, the evidence for alignment between the galaxy as a whole and the AGN is not conclusive.

Most of the studies in the literature on the orientations of AGN have been done using powerful radio objects by studying the level of alignment of relativistic jets and their hosts. Since the jet direction is set by the angular momentum of the accretion disc (e.g. Lynden-Bell 2006) and/or the BH spin (e.g. Blandford & Znajek 1977; Fragile et al. 2007; Barausse & Rezzolla 2009), it can be directly compared with the orientation of the host galaxy. Several papers (e.g. Kinney et al. 2000; Schmitt et al. 2002; Greenhill et al. 2009) have found that radio and optical position angles are uncorrelated, although their samples contain $<100$ objects, meaning that the statistical precision of these studies is limited. Indeed, Battye & Browne (2009) found a significant level of alignment between the minor axes of a sample of 6053 elliptical galaxies and the position angles of relativistic jets emitted from their central AGN.

However, such an apparent alignment could be difficult to distinguish from the ‘alignment effect’ (Chambers, Miley & van Breugel 1988; McCarthy et al. 1987; McNamara et al. 1996 and 1996b) in bright radio sources, in which the jet triggers star formation (e.g. De Young 1995; Blundell, Rawlings & Willott 1999) or where the blue component is simply scattered AGN light (McCarthy 1993).

The BH spin development is intimately linked with the growth of the BH itself. This means that its value and its level of alignment with the galactic components give important clues about the way in which the accretion proceeds (e.g. Moderski, Sikora & Lasota 1998; Hughes & Blandford 2003; Shapiro 2005). The spin of a BH depends on whether it gained most of its mass via mergers with other BHs or via accretion (e.g. Volonteri et al. 2005; Berti & Volonteri 2008; LPC09). Volonteri et al. (2005) found that gas accretion affects the spin evolution more than mergers between BHs do, since binary coalescences alone do not lead to a systematic spin-up or spin-down in time, while a prolonged accretion period efficiently spins BHs up to the maximum value. On the other hand, King & Pringle (2006) suggested that the accretion proceeds via a series of short episodes as small amounts of gas with randomly oriented angular momenta fall in. In contrast to the Volonteri et al. (2005) model, in this scenario BHs can achieve only low spin values, $\dot{a} = c J_{BH}/G M_{BH} \lesssim 0.1$ – 0.3 through gas accretion (King et al. 2008), and relatively high spin values (i.e. $\dot{a} \lesssim 0.7$; Berti & Volonteri 2008) can only be achieved through the effects of galaxy and BH mergers (Fanidakis et al. 2010). X-ray observations reveal relativistically broadened Fe K$\alpha$ fluorescence lines in several AGN, indicative of $\dot{a} > 0.9$ (Iwasawa et al. 1996; Fabian et al. 2002; Reynolds & Nowak 2003; Brenneman & Reynolds 2006). Another approach to constrain BH spins is via quasar demographics. The observed light from quasars is directly proportional to the rate at which supermassive BHs are accreting material; thus, a comparison of the quasar luminosity function with the present-day BH mass function constrains the radiative efficiency (Soltan 1982), which in turn depends on BH spin. Analyses based on this approach have come to different conclusions: Elvis, Risaliti & Zamorani (2002), Cao & Li (2008) and Yu & Lu (2008) reported large energy conversion efficiencies ($>13$ per cent), indicative of large spins, while Yu & Tremaine 2002, Marconi et al. 2004 and Martinez-Sansigre & Taylor (2009) reported efficiencies as low as 7 per cent, indicative of slowly rotating BHs. This may depend on the redshift, given that the typical accretion rates of relatively massive BHs are likely to be much larger at earlier epochs (e.g. Wang et al. 2009).

LPC09 used the $\alpha$-disc model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) to follow the BH spin development in the semi-analytic model of galaxy formation of Lagos et al. (2008) within a cosmological framework and showed that, in the hypothetical case in which accretion discs preserve the angular momentum direction of the bulk of the cold gas involved in star formation, massive galaxies should host BHs with spin values close to unity, regardless of additional physical effects such as accretion disc warps (King et al. 2005) or fragmentation (King & Pringle 2006). We refer to this scenario, in which the BH is spun up smoothly via steady accretion of gas that conserves the angular momentum direction of the original gas source, as the coherent model. Using the same semi-analytic model, the authors tested the effect of assigning random orientations to the incoming material and show that high BH spin values can only be obtained by aligning the inner regions of the accretion disc with theBH spin (i.e. disc warps; King & Pringle 2006); this case will be referred to as the chaotic model.

In this work, we focus on the chaotic/coherent accretion dichotomy, by studying intrinsic shapes and inclinations of host
galaxies of AGN with obscured and unobscured BLR in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, York et al. 2000) Data Release 7 (DR7, Abazajian et al. 2009), to determine the degree of alignment between galaxy and accretion discs (or tori). Throughout this paper, the term AGN will refer only to Seyfert galaxies. We will assume that the torus and the accretion disc are aligned, with the exception of a possible warp in the accretion disc in the vicinity of the central supermassive BH (King et al. 2005) as seen in AGN masers (e.g. Zhang et al. 2006). We compare the results obtained with predictions of the LPC9 model in the coherent and chaotic scenarios to constrain the physics of gas inflow.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the sample and the selection of control samples matched to the properties of AGN galaxies. We characterize the 3D intrinsic shapes of these samples following Padilla & Strauss (2008, hereafter PS08). In Section 3, we study the orientations of AGN host galaxies, systematic effects in the AGN selection and the origin of biases towards face-on or edge-on orientations by analysing the effect of galactic disc and nuclear torus obscuration. In Section 4, we compare the observed inclination angle distributions with theoretical predictions for the chaotic and coherent models of LPC9. We discuss and summarize our main results and their implications for AGN models in Sections 5 and 6, respectively. Throughout this paper, we assume a cosmological model characterized by matter and dark energy density parameters $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ and a Hubble constant $H_0 = 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. These parameters are consistent with the results from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP, Dunkley et al. 2009; Hinshaw et al. 2009; Sánchez et al. 2006).

## 2 THE INTRINSIC SHAPES OF AGN HOST GALAXIES IN THE SDSS

In this section, we characterize the 3D intrinsic shapes of the hosts of AGN. Our AGN sample was identified using the method of Hao et al. (2005b) from the SDSS DR7 spectra. We assume that the AGN have the same intrinsic 3D shapes as well-defined control samples of non-AGN galaxies. Galaxies in the control samples should be free of inclination biases, and therefore their observed projected shapes will only reflect their intrinsic 3D shapes, plus the effect of extinction. Comparison of the axial ratio distribution of AGN galaxies with those of the control sample allows us to make inferences on the orientation distribution of the AGN.

### 2.1 The SDSS DR7 AGN sample

The AGN data used in this work consist of 6153 type I and 2129 type II AGN identified by their emission-line properties from about 698,000 galaxy spectra (the main galaxy sample; Strauss et al. 2002) in the SDSS DR7 using the methods of Hao et al. (2005b). In order to exclude objects with low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) emission lines, Hao et al. reject from the sample galaxies whose H$\alpha$ rest-frame equivalent width (EW) is $< 3 \AA$. We exclude type III AGN (Kaufmann et al. 2003), which lie between the star-forming and AGN loci (Kewley et al. 2001) in the Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987) line ratio diagram, since much of their emission could come from star formation activity. Hao et al. fit for and subtract the stellar continuum before measuring the strength and widths of emission lines. H$\alpha$ and H$\beta$ are fitted to two Gaussians; those objects with a significant broad component [full width at half-maximum (FWHM) $> 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$] are termed type I, while those objects lying beyond the star-forming line ratio limits of Kewley et al. (2001) are termed type II.

We separate the AGN further by morphology, using the fraction of r-band light which fits a de Vaucouleurs profile, $f_{\text{dev}}$ (from model fits available from the SDSS pipeline, Abazajian et al. 2004). Early-type galaxies are selected by requiring $f_{\text{dev}} \geq 0.9$, and we classify all other galaxies as spirals. We therefore define four AGN samples selected by AGN type and morphological host galaxy type.

We apply a dust extinction and reddening correction to luminosities and colours, respectively, of spirals using the model by PS08, where it is assumed that the amount of reddening is proportional to the path-length of the light through the galaxy. The extinction is a function of the inclination angle $\theta$ for any given galaxy, the mean galaxy height to diameter ratio, $y = 1 - C/B$ (where galaxies are modelled as triaxial ellipsoids of major, middle and minor axes $A$, $B$ and $C$, respectively), and the edge-on extinction, $E_0$ (in magnitudes). Here, a perfect edge-on galaxy will have $\theta = 90^\circ$, while a face-on galaxy has $\theta = 0^\circ$. For each spiral galaxy, we obtain $E_0$ and $y$ from table 4 of PS08 (interpolating in absolute magnitude). In turn, $\theta$ is determined from the observed projected axial ratio by interpolating in their table 8. The edge-on reddening in $r$ magnitudes, $R_0$, is related to $E_0$ via $E_0 = f R_0$, where $f = 2.77$ is the reddening parameter. PS08 showed that the model is not strongly dependent on $f$, allowing us to use this simple approximation to correct both colours and luminosities. The extinction affects the maximum volume, $V_{\text{max}}$, out to which a galaxy can be detected in the SDSS flux-limited catalogue. We select galaxies with $M_r - 5 \log_10 h < -17$ in order to avoid very small values of $V_{\text{max}}$, since fainter galaxies tend to dominate the noise in the estimate of the distribution functions we consider below.

### 2.2 Selection of control samples

We select control samples matching the colours ($g-r$) and luminosities ($M_r$) corrected for reddening and extinction, respectively, and concentrations$^1$ of the AGN host samples. All magnitudes shown are $k$-corrected to $z = 0.1$. We then construct a grid in the parameter space defined by these three galaxy properties and populate each cell with the AGN hosts weighted by $1/V_{\text{max}}$. The control samples are constructed by filling each grid cell with randomly chosen main sample galaxies from the SDSS so that $\Sigma V_{\text{max,AGN}} \approx N \times \Sigma V_{\text{max,Gal}}$; $N$ is chosen so as to maximize the size of the control samples, with a maximum departure from the target distribution in any grid cell of less than 20 per cent. $N$ is 5–20 for our four AGN samples. Note that this selection takes into account any intrinsic correlation between these quantities in the AGN sample. This ensures that control samples mimic the AGN hosts as closely as possible. Table 1 shows the number of galaxies in each AGN sample and their corresponding control sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>AGN sample</th>
<th>Control sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I ellipticals</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>50,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II ellipticals</td>
<td>7653</td>
<td>45,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I spirals</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>57,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II spirals</td>
<td>13,578</td>
<td>66,626</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

$^1$ Concentration is defined as the ratio between the radii enclosing 90 and 50 per cent of the Petrosian (1976) light, $r_{90}/r_{50}$.
Figure 1. $V_{\text{max}}$-weighted distributions of $r_{90}/r_{50}$ (left-hand panels), $M_r - 5 \log_{10} h$ (middle left-hand panels), $g - r$ colour (middle right-hand panels) and redshift distributions (right-hand panels) for AGN (solid lines) and control galaxy samples (dashed lines), divided according to AGN and morphological type. Absolute magnitudes and colours were corrected for internal extinction and reddening, respectively.

Fig. 1 shows the $r_{90}/r_{50}$ (left-hand panels), $M_r - 5 \log_{10} h$ (middle left-hand panels) and $g - r$ (middle right-hand panels) $V_{\text{max}}$-weighted distributions of each of the AGN subsamples (solid lines) and their corresponding control samples (dashed lines). The right-hand panels show the redshift distributions of both populations. The control sample distributions all follow the AGN distributions very closely. Hao et al. (2005a) noted that sufficiently low luminosity AGN would not be selected spectroscopically due to (i) undetectable emission lines, (ii) higher noise in the measurements of emission-line ratios for weaker emission-line strengths or (iii) total galaxy fluxes (including the nuclear emission) below the spectroscopic sample limit. This could result in a difference in the redshift distribution of AGN and non-AGN galaxies, which would cause a difference in the values of $V_{\text{max}}$. The observed agreement between the redshift distributions of AGN and non-AGN galaxies (right-hand panel of Fig. 1) suggests that this is not a problem. We also find a broad agreement between the $M_r - 5 \log_{10} h$ distributions of AGN and control samples for the spiral population, and only a small offset at the flux limit ($m \approx 17.5$) in the elliptical population. We conclude that our $V_{\text{max}}$ does not need extra corrections for these effects and is adequate for our analysis.

In Fig. 1, the host galaxy properties of the two AGN types (for the same morphology) show very similar photometric properties, a result consistent with the AGN unified model, in which the difference between the types is due solely to orientation. Even though the non-obscured nuclear emission from type I AGN could affect the photometry of the galaxies, the similar distributions of luminosities and concentrations of type I and type II AGN indicate that such an effect is minor. In fact, type I AGN galaxies are only $\Delta(g - r) \approx 0.1$ redder than type II AGN, smaller than the bin size used. The colour distributions of spiral and elliptical AGN hosts differ only slightly; elliptical hosts are on average only $\Delta(g - r) \approx 0.2$ redder than spiral hosts. This small difference is common in AGN hosts, as they often lie in the ‘green valley’ of the colour–magnitude relation diagrams (see for instance Padilla, Garcia Lambas & Gonzalez 2010).

We have thus constructed normal galaxy samples that reproduce the colour–luminosity–concentration properties of AGN populations (selected by AGN type and host morphology) which, unlike AGN of a given type, are expected to be randomly oriented with respect to the plane of the sky. We now characterize the intrinsic typical shapes and orientations of the selected galaxy control samples.
2.3 The intrinsic shapes of AGN galaxies in the SDSS

We measure the intrinsic galaxy shapes in each AGN sample by studying the projected $b/a$ distribution in the $r$ band for the control samples.

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of minor to major projected axial ratios ($b/a$ from exponential or de Vaucouleurs fits corrected for the effects of the point spread function) for spiral (top panels) and elliptical (bottom panels) type I (right-hand panels) and type II (left-hand panels) AGN galaxies (solid lines) and the corresponding control samples (dashed lines). All the distributions shown are $V_{\text{max}}$ weighted. Errors are calculated using the jackknife technique. Both type II AGN ellipticals and spirals seem to be slightly more edge-on than their control samples, which are assumed to cover the full range of inclination angles. The shift in the $b/a$ distribution peak towards lower $b/a$ is about $\approx 0.05$ and $\approx 0.15$ for the spiral and elliptical type II populations, respectively. There are considerably larger differences between the $b/a$ distributions of the spiral type I AGN population and its control sample; the AGN population is skewed towards high $b/a$ values, indicating inclination angles closer to face-on orientations. The control sample shows a peak in the distribution at $b/a \approx 0.35$, while the AGN population peaks at $b/a \approx 0.65$. This suggests that the source of obscuration in type II objects has a large scaleheight and is preferentially aligned with the plane of the galaxy disc.

At first glance, the larger inclination effect for type I AGN than that observed for type II AGN could be taken as a failure of the unified AGN model, since it naively suggests that the relation between the host galaxy and its AGN depends on the AGN type. However, a proper comparison between the two types of AGN requires a more detailed analysis of relative inclination angles which we will perform in Section 3; another possibility that we will also explore is that the samples of different types of AGN are subject to different selection effects.

2.3.1 Characterization of the intrinsic shapes

We use the $b/a$ distributions to characterize the 3D shapes of galaxies in each control sample. A variety of papers (Ryden 2004; Vincent & Ryden 2005; PS08) have shown that both elliptical and spiral galaxies have shapes consistent with oblate spheroids. In this work, we model galaxies as triaxial ellipsoids of major axis $A$, middle axis $B$ and minor axis $C$ parametrized by two axial ratios, $B/A$ and $C/B$. We follow the PS08 assumption that the distribution of $1 - C/B$ follows a Gaussian with mean $\gamma$ and standard deviation $\sigma_\gamma$, and the distribution of $e = \ln(1 - B/A)$ is Gaussian with mean $\mu$ and dispersion $\sigma$. Indeed, Ryden (2004) found that the distributions of intrinsic axial ratios are consistent with the Gaussian and lognormal shapes we are using. We use the PS08 model to fit the observed
The best-fitting parameters correspond to the minimum value of $\chi^2$ throughout the parameter grid. Following PS08, we assume $E_0 = 0$ for elliptical galaxies.

Fig. 3 shows the best-fitting model compared to the observed distributions for each control galaxy sample. Symbols represent the observed SDSS galaxy $b/a$ distributions, and solid lines are the best-fitting models. The best-fitting parameters for each population are given in Table 2. The likelihood, $P_{\text{max}}$, that corresponds to the minimum $\chi^2$ value quantifies whether our model is a good fit to the data, and is given for each case. The model axis distributions follow the observed ones well. The values of $\mu$ and $\gamma$ for both elliptical galaxy populations are consistent with oblate spheroids, in agreement with previous analyses (e.g. Ryden 2004; Vincent & Ryden 2005; PS08; Battye & Browne 2009). Moreover, control samples of both morphological types are characterized by shapes consistent (within the errors) with those of the full galaxy population as measured by PS08 using the SDSS DR6 (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2006). The measured distributions, as well as the best-fitting values of $E_0$, $\gamma$ and $\sigma_\gamma$, are similar for the types I and II AGN spirals; $\mu$ and $\sigma$ show differences which could be used to argue against the unified model, but these are only marginally significant (slightly above one standard deviation; error bars are given in Table 2). These slight differences could be due to different selection effects acting on each AGN type, an issue that we will explore further in Section 3.1.

In order to analyse possible parameter degeneracies, we study the probability contours (calculated from $\chi^2$ in the $\mu$–$\gamma$ and $E_0$–$\mu$ spaces. Fig. 4 shows $\mu$–$\gamma$ probability contours for the control samples of each AGN sample (as labelled). The white region surrounding the contours represents probabilities $<0.01$. The values of $\mu$ and $\gamma$ are very well constrained, particularly for spiral galaxies, which show a very well defined region of high likelihood, while for elliptical galaxies the contours tend to be slightly elongated and tilted, allowing a larger range of possible parameter values in $\mu$. This may be due to the lower sensitivity of the method to large negative values of $\mu$, which are associated with very round shapes.

We also inspected the $\mu$–$\sigma$ probability contours for all control samples (not shown) and find that these two parameters are slightly more degenerate than the $\mu$–$\gamma$ plane. The quantity $\sigma$ smooths the $N_{\text{model}}(b/a)$ distributions, and therefore, the relatively large uncertainty in this parameter simply indicates that it does not have an important effect on the shape of the modelled $b/a$ axial ratio distributions.

Fig. 5 shows the probability contours in the $E_0$–$\mu$ space for the control samples of the type II (top panel) and type I (bottom panel) AGN spiral galaxy samples (ellipticals are assumed to have $E_0 = 0$). The extinction is only marginally detected in both cases. Dust strongly determines the likelihood that a galaxy will enter the sample at a given viewing angle, which consequently affects the observed axial ratio distribution. Note that $\mu$ is very insensitive to $E_0$, except for extreme values of $\mu$ (i.e. $B/A \ll 1$). This means that when galaxies are intrinsically elongated (independent of their

---

**Table 2.** Best-fitting model for each of the four control galaxy samples selected to mimic the colour, luminosity and concentration distributions of spirals and elliptical types I and II AGN. The last column shows the likelihood associated with the parameter set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\gamma$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I ellipticals</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>$-0.9 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$2.3 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$0.45 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.21 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II ellipticals</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>$-1.35 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$0.45 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.23 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I spirals</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$-0.85 \pm 0.35$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.75 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.07 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II spirals</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$-0.25 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.75 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inclination angle), a large extinction is needed in order to broaden the projected \(b/a\) distribution and move its peak towards larger \(b/a\).

The modelled \(b/a\) distributions do not show significant changes within the errors calculated for each parameter, implying that the confidence regions are reasonable. Now that we have characterized the intrinsic shape distribution of each control sample, we use these measured shapes to estimate the viewing angles of the AGN populations as a whole and for different AGN and morphological types separately.

### 3 Inclinations and Orientation Alignments of the AGN Population

We aim to obtain the inclination angle distribution of the AGN host galaxy population to determine whether there is a preference for face-on or edge-on orientations in a given AGN population. To do this, we first calculate the predicted likelihood distribution of the projected \(b/a\) as a function of the inclination angle (\(\theta\)), given our model for the intrinsic shapes of galaxies of each control sample (i.e. parameters listed in Table 2). This is shown in Fig. 6. Here, the colour bar shows the likelihood normalized to give an integral of 1 at each value of \(b/a\). Elliptical galaxies are characterized by wide distributions in \(\theta\) at a given \(b/a\) as a result of their intrinsic round shapes, while spiral galaxies have much narrower distributions, supported by the value of the probability peak in each case. Note that there are two local maxima in the top right and bottom left of each panel. These represent a non-null probability of having an intrinsically round or elongated object which has an edge-on or face-on inclination, respectively. This probability is more significant in the case of elliptical galaxies.

For each control galaxy sample, we determine their distribution of inclination angles using the distribution of measured \(b/a\) values and the probability function of inclination angles versus \(b/a\) shown in Fig. 6. These probability functions peak at higher values of inclination angle when \(b/a\) decreases and are narrower at lower \(b/a\) values in all the control samples. We count the number of galaxies at each inclination angle, \(\theta\), weighting by \(W_{\text{Gal}}(\theta|b/a)\), where \(W_{\text{Gal}}(\theta|b/a)\) is the probability that a given galaxy has an inclination angle of \(\theta\) given its \(b/a\). When we do this for the control samples, we find that they are consistent within the errors with uniform distributions in \(\cos(\theta)\), as expected. To quantify the deviations from uniformity for the AGN sample, we study the ratios between the normalized distributions of \(\cos(\theta)\) of the AGN population and the corresponding control sample, \(f_{\text{AGN}}/f_{\text{control}}\).

Fig. 7 shows the distributions of \(f_{\text{AGN}}/f_{\text{control}}\) for spiral (solid) and elliptical (dashed) type II (top panel) and type I (bottom panel) AGN populations. The error bars were calculated using the jackknife technique. Spiral type I AGN have a clear tendency to be face-on; the tendency is weaker but still significant in the elliptical type I population. Type II ellipticals show the opposite tendency, with a distribution that has a maximum at \(\theta \approx 90^\circ\), while type II spirals show no clear skew in their inclinations. The weak signal in the latter cases is consistent with the similarity in the \(b/a\) distributions of the AGN and control samples (i.e. \(\Delta b/a \approx 0.05\), Fig. 2), which can be interpreted in our model as differences in the polar viewing angle distributions. In general, the results from Fig. 7 allow us to
We also calculate the expected distributions of \( b/a \) for spirals if the galactic disc is responsible for the absorption of BLR emission in type II AGN.

### 3.1 Possible completeness problems in the AGN samples

Line emission from star formation activity in galaxies could represent an important bias in the optical classification of AGN from their emission-line properties. Several authors (e.g. Goulding & Alexander 2009, 2010; Juneau & Dickinson 2011) have shown that a significant population of Seyfert II galaxies hosted by edge-on galaxies are misclassified due to this effect at low and intermediate redshifts. We expect this effect to be more important at low emission-line luminosities since in this regime, the star formation contribution to the emission-line flux could overwhelm the AGN light. Note that faint, low-luminosity emission lines are also difficult to detect given our high S/N limits. In this section, we explore the sensitivity of the inclination angle distribution to the EW of the lines, with these effects included.

We divide the four samples of AGN galaxies in subsamples of low and high rest-frame EW of the [O\textsc{iii}] emission line\(^2\) (divided at the sample median [O\textsc{iii}] EW of \( \approx 3 \) Å). For each subsample, we construct its corresponding control sample as in Section 2. We find that these control samples are characterized by the same concentrations and \( g - r \) colours as those shown in Fig. 1. However, the typical luminosities of the high and low [O\textsc{iii}] EW samples are brighter and fainter by \( \approx 0.3 \) mag than the distributions of Fig. 1, respectively. This is consistent with the observed BH–bulge relations (e.g. Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Marconi & Hunt 2003; Haring & Rix 2004), where more massive BHs (which drive brighter AGN, in this case linked with higher [O\textsc{iii}] EW) are hosted by more massive galaxies. Despite this difference in the intrinsic luminosity of the hosts, the intrinsic shape parameters derived from the control samples in each subsample of low and high [O\textsc{iii}] EW agree with each other within the uncertainties, and with the parameters found for each AGN sample overall (Table 2). Interestingly, the marginal differences seen between the intrinsic shapes of types I and II AGN spirals in Table 2 disappear when comparing the high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples.

The resulting \( \cos(\theta) \) distributions of the subsample of AGN galaxies of high and low [O\textsc{iii}] EW are shown in Fig. 8. The low/high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples are in good agreement with one another within the same AGN sample, except for type II spiral galaxies, where the high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsample shows a strong edge-on tendency.

Since the control samples have underlying shapes in agreement with each other, the differences seen between the low and high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples of the same AGN sample in Fig. 8 are not due to intrinsic differences in the hosts. This suggests that the low EW [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsample of type II spirals is subject to larger systematic biases than its high EW counterpart, and the unified model does provide a good explanation of the results for the latter.

In order to quantify the differences between the low and high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples of type II AGN spirals, we calculate the \( V_{\text{max}} \)-weighted fractions of edge-on galaxies (those with \( \cos(\theta) \leq 2(1 - \gamma) = 2(C/B), \) i.e. \( \theta \geq 60° \)) in each subsample. These fractions

\(^2\) We remind the reader that the [O\textsc{iii}] emission line is generated in the narrow-line region of AGN, and therefore always appears narrow independent of the AGN type. This enables us to do a fair comparison between type I and II AGN subsamples.
are shown in Table 3. For reference, we also show the edge-on galaxy fraction for type I AGN spirals, and for low and high [O iii] EW subsamples of the type I spirals. This fraction for the high [O iii] EW subsample is 20 per cent higher than in the low [O iii] EW subsample in type II spirals and is larger than the errors of the means. This suggests we are missing \( \approx 20 \) per cent of edge-on type II spirals with low [O iii] EW. This number is a lower limit, since it assumes that the high [O iii] EW subsample is complete and that we are just losing edge-on galaxies. This crude estimate is consistent within a factor of 2 with the estimate by Juneau & Dickinson (2011) of optically misclassified SDSS DR4 AGN identified by their X-ray emission. They found that 40 per cent of X-ray-bright edge-on galaxies are classified as star-forming or composite star-forming/AGN galaxies.

In the case of type I AGN spirals, the high [O iii] EW subsample shows a larger fraction of edge-on galaxies than the low [O iii] EW subsample, possibly indicating that the bias mentioned above is also affecting the type I AGN sample. However, when compared to the control sample, the difference is only 8 per cent, which is smaller than the errors. Thus, the two subsamples are consistent within the errors, as expected from the distributions of Fig. 3. We conclude that obscuration from the galactic disc only has a significant effect on our ability to classify type II spirals.

### 3.2 Could the broad line absorption come from the galactic disc?

The obscuration preventing the direct observation of the accretion disc in type II AGN galaxies could be produced by a local torus or the galactic disc. Even though polarimetry results support the unified AGN model which invokes the local torus, detailed analysis of nearby galaxies has also revealed absorption of BLRs by the galactic disc in some cases (Goulding & Alexander 2009; Goulding et al. 2010).

The results of the previous sections do not distinguish between these two possibilities. In an attempt to address this question, we test whether the observed \( b/a \) distributions of types I and II spiral AGN can be reproduced by absorption by dust in the discs of their host galaxies. To do this, we use the heuristic dust model adopted by PS08, which depends on the parameter \( \gamma \), the mean height of the galaxy ellipsoid. We assume that the dust lanes produce a covering fraction which depends on the luminosity of the galaxy and varies with the inclination angle \( \theta \) of the galaxy as

\[
    f_{\text{cov}}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
        f_0 \times 10^{0.4(\gamma - \cos \theta)}, & \text{if } \cos \theta > \gamma \\
        f_0, & \text{if } \cos \theta < \gamma 
    \end{cases}
\]

where \( f_0 \) is the edge-on covering fraction. Comparing with Section 2, the \( 10^{0.4(\gamma - \cos \theta)} \) factor reflects the fact that we are considering extinction in luminosity rather than magnitudes. We adopt the extreme case of \( f_0 = 1 \). We produce distributions of \( b/a \) for galaxies with inclination angles in bins of \( \cos (\theta) \) weighted by the corresponding covering fraction \( f_{\text{cov}} \), add them together and compare the result with the observed \( b/a \) distribution of types I and II AGN spirals.

It has been pointed out that elliptical galaxies often show circumnuclear discs which can also obscure the central engine (e.g. Kawata, Cen & Ho 2007). However, our method is not able to probe this possibility.
AGN at a given luminosity, in contradiction with the observed ratio of $0.25-0.5^4$ (Hao et al. 2005a; see also Section 4).

Our results indicate that even if part of the broad line absorption takes place in galactic discs, additional sources of absorption, such as a torus, are still needed. We will bear this in mind when analysing the relative orientations of torus and galactic disc angular momenta in the following section.

### 4 Alignments between the Galaxy Disc and an Obscuring Torus

We use the measured inclination angle distribution (cf. Fig. 7) to analyse alignments between the galaxy disc and the broad torus in spiral galaxies within the framework of the AGN unified model.

Within this paradigm, the obscuring torus must be broad in order to explain the wide inclination angle range over which type II AGN are found, and the ratio between the number of type II and type I AGN galaxies (e.g. Hao et al. 2005a; Reyes et al. 2008; Treister, Krolik & Dullemond 2008). We quantify the typical torus width by considering that the fraction of the solid angle covered by the torus corresponds to the weighted ratio of type II AGN to the total number of AGN,

$$f^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\sum W_{\text{AGNII}}}{\sum W_{\text{AGNI}}} ,$$

where $W_{\text{AGN}} = V_{\text{max}}^{-1}$. This calculation shows that the torus has a typical azimuthal height of $\approx 40^\circ$, which corresponds to a $V_{\text{max}}^{-1}$ weighted ratio of type I to type II AGN of 3:1. By measuring the [O iii] luminosity function of types I and II AGN, Hao et al. (2005b) show that this ratio depends on the AGN luminosity. They find ratios close to unity for low luminosities, $\sim 2:1$ for $10^{44} < L(\text{O iii}) < 10^{45}$ $L_\odot$ and $< 1:4$ for $L(\text{O iii}) > 10^{45}$ $L_\odot$, broadly consistent with our estimate, considering that the weighted mean luminosity of our sample is $L(\text{O iii}) \approx 10^{44}$ $L_\odot$.

We use the output galaxies from the semi-analytic model of LPC09 to construct samples of modelled type I and type II AGN spirals and ellipticals using the typical torus width inferred above. We choose a random observer’s line of sight and estimate the projected angle subtended by the angular momentum of the accretion disc, $\theta_{\text{acc}}$, and $\theta_{\text{ac}}$. We assume perfect alignment between the obscuring torus and the accretion disc.\(^5\) We run a Monte Carlo simulation and extract the torus heights, $h_t$, so that the mean corresponds to $\tan(40^\circ) \approx 0.83$. We consider those galaxies with $\theta_{\text{acc}} + h_t > \pi/2$ as type II AGN and the rest as type I AGN. Given each galaxy angular momentum direction, we estimate the observed projected inclination angles.

The left-hand and middle panels of Fig. 10 show the resulting normalized frequency of the inclination angle of AGN hosts for the LPC09 semi-analytic model in the coherent and chaotic scenarios, and compare this with the observed distributions from Fig. 7. For reference, we also show the inclination angle distributions for the high [O iii] EW subsamples from Fig. 8 since they are more likely to be complete samples (see Section 3.1). The right-hand panel of Fig. 10 shows the theoretical expectations for the angle between the angular momentum of the galaxy and the accretion disc in the coherent and chaotic scenarios. In the chaotic model, the two

4 Note that these ratios are $V_{\text{max}}$ weighted and thus refer to weighted number of detections.
5 This is expected at least to a high degree in models where the absorption of BLR occurs in radiation-driven winds from the accretion disc (see for instance Murray et al. 1995).
Figure 8. Ratios between normalized frequencies of \( \cos(\theta) \) of the AGN population and the corresponding control samples, for the spiral and elliptical type II (top panels) and type I (bottom panels) AGN populations, separated according to their [O\,III] EW (low EW in solid lines and high EW in dashed lines).

Table 3. Weighted fraction of edge-on galaxies in the type I and type II AGN spiral galaxy samples compared with the corresponding control sample, and in subsamples of high and low [O\,III] EW (see Fig. 8). Edge-on galaxies are defined as those having an inclination angle of \( \cos(\theta) \leq 2(1 - \gamma) \) (i.e. corresponding to a maximum deviation from the line of sight of \( 1 - \gamma = (C/B) \), see Table 2). Errors indicate the standard deviation of the mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>AGN sample</th>
<th>Control sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All type II AGN spirals</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low [O,III] EW</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High [O,III] EW</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All type I AGN spirals</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low [O,III] EW</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High [O,III] EW</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Angular momenta are unrelated and the distribution is flat. In the coherent model, they are highly aligned due to the assumption that the accretion flow to the BH is perfectly aligned with the large-scale source of the gas. The coherent scenario reproduces the observed distributions of type I AGN quite closely, but it fails for type II AGN (particularly for type II AGN spirals). The discrepancies decrease slightly when comparing to the high [O\,III] EW subsamples.

As was mentioned above, obscuration by the galactic disc may also affect the determination of the AGN type in spiral galaxies (see Section 3). We consider this possibility by also including the heuristic model for the obscuration of the AGN emission by the galactic disc of Section 3.2 in the predictions of the LPC09 model in the coherent and chaotic scenarios. In this case, highly inclined spiral galaxies have higher probability of being seen as type II AGN. A fraction \( f_{\cos}(\theta) \) of spiral galaxies at inclination \( \theta \) will be classified as type II AGN. Fig. 11 shows the distribution of \( \cos(\theta) \) for spiral galaxies from Fig. 10, together with the chaotic model and the coherent model when the obscuration from the disc is included. The tendency of alignment observed in the LPC09 model in the coherent scenario becomes weaker for the type II spirals, and stronger in type I spirals. A tendency towards edge-on and face-on orientations appears for type II and I AGN, respectively, in the chaotic model. This tendency is very similar to that observed: a very weak edge-on tendency for type II spirals and a much stronger tendency towards face-on orientations for type I spirals. This suggests that the observed stronger signal for a preferred inclination of type I compared to type II hosts may be driven by obscuration from the galactic disc. However, the model of galactic disc obscuration we have used is maximal in the sense that it assumes that edge-on AGN galaxies are observed as type II AGN. More importantly, the adopted disc obscuration cannot reproduce the distribution of projected shapes of AGN hosts (see Fig. 9) or explain the tendencies observed in the elliptical population. Thus, disc obscuration cannot fully explain the type I and II dichotomy reported here.

On the other hand, the coherent model predicts a higher skewing towards face-on or edge-on orientations of AGN hosts than we see in the observations, indicating that the degree of alignment between the galaxy and the torus (or accretion disc) in the observational sample is much weaker than in the idealized coherent scenario.

We summarize the comparison between the observed \( \cos(\theta) \) distribution of each AGN sample and the predictions of the LPC09 model with and without disc obscuration in Table 4. As can be seen from the \( \delta \chi^2 \) between observations and models, neither the chaotic nor the coherent model is able to closely reproduce the observed distributions. The only exception is the high [O\,III] EW subsample of type I AGN ellipticals, which is consistent with random orientations. This suggests that in general, the coherent and the chaotic scenarios represent idealized conditions; i.e. some loss of the
angular momentum direction is expected during the infall, but this cannot be complete.

Our results indicate that, within the AGN unified model framework, there is some weak coherence between the torus and the host galaxy angular momenta and that the purely chaotic scenario is ruled out.

5 DISCUSSION

The results shown in the last two sections point to a scenario in which the AGN components, i.e. torus and accretion disc, are aligned to a significant degree with the angular momentum of the host galaxy.

Theoretical suggestions by LPC09 indicate that, under this condition, high BH spin values would be commonplace (cf. Section 1). This preference for alignments could be explained by short timescales characterizing the gas infall to the central parts of the galaxy. Bogdanović, Reynolds & Miller (2007) show that this is likely to happen in gas-rich mergers (see also Barausse & Rezzolla 2009), where the BHs taking part in the galaxy merger will acquire 1–10 per cent of their mass in a time which is short compared to the time needed for the BHs to spiral in towards the centre (≤5 × 10⁸ yr, Escala et al. 2004, 2005) or the time for a starburst to deplete the supply of gas (≈10⁹ yr, Larson 1987). A potential problem with the preservation of the angular momentum direction in inflowing gas assumed in the coherent model comes from the difficulty in transporting gas through the corotation resonance radii of galaxies (Zhang & Buta 2007). However, Haan et al. (2009) found evidence for gas inflow beyond this radius in seven galaxies in the sample studied by García-Burillo et al. (2003), which continues to their resolution limit, 100 pc away from the nuclei.

Direct comparison with detailed simulations of the feeding of BHs (e.g. Hobbs et al. 2010; Hopkins & Quataert 2010; Power, Nayakshin & King 2011) represents an attractive tool to test accretion scenarios and compare their expectations with the orientation results presented in this work. None the less, BH feeding is indeed a challenging issue; understanding it depends strongly on modelling the local environmental effects such as star formation activity, supernovae explosions, local winds and so on (e.g. Ciotti & Ostriker 2007; King et al. 2008; Nayakshin & Power 2010).

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We study the intrinsic shapes of SDSS DR7 AGN host galaxies and their inclination angle distributions, under the assumption that AGN host galaxies have the same underlying shapes as normal galaxies matched in g − r colour, r-band luminosity and concentration, r_{50}/r_{90}. We examine elliptical and spiral type I and II AGN galaxies separately and characterize the 3D shapes of these samples using the model of PS08. With these models we infer the distributions of inclination angles of AGN hosts. The main results are as follows.

(i) The structural parameters of the AGN control samples (Table 1) are consistent with the full SDSS DR6 spiral and elliptical population reported by PS08 and correspond to oblate spheroids with typical γ = (1 − C/B) of ≈0.40 ± 0.24 for elliptical galaxies and ≈0.77 ± 0.08 for spiral galaxies. The unified AGN model states that types I and II AGN are similar objects seen with different orientations. Consistent with this, we find that the intrinsic shapes of types I and II AGN hosts of a given morphological type are comparable.

(ii) Using the intrinsic shape parameters of control samples, we find that type I AGN galaxies have a strong tendency to be face-on, while type II AGN galaxies have only a slight tendency to be edge-on. In particular, type II spiral galaxies show a more uniform inclination angle distribution consistent with random orientations; only galaxies in the subsample of high [O III] EW show clear edge-on orientations, indicating a possible selection effect acting on the low [O III] EW spiral AGN. In the case of type I galaxies, we are able to rule out random orientations with a confidence of δχ² ≈ 3 and ≈230 in the elliptical and spiral populations, respectively. In the case of the type II elliptical and spiral galaxies, we rule out random orientations with a confidence of δχ² ≈ 15 and ≈6, respectively.

(iii) We use the estimated 3D shapes of spiral galaxies to test whether dust extinction in the galactic discs could be responsible for the absorption of broad AGN lines in type II AGN galaxies. We find that the resulting predicted b/a distributions are not compatible with those observed for either type I or type II AGN. This indicates that galactic discs cannot be the only source of broad line absorption and supports the existence of broad tori surrounding the active nuclei.

(iv) Using the weighted frequency of type I to type II AGN, we found that the torus producing the absorption of broad lines has a typical azimuthal height of ≈40°.

(v) We compare the observed inclination angle distributions with the theoretical predictions of the LPC09 semi-analytic
Figure 10. Left-hand and middle panels: distribution of the inclination angle of AGN host galaxies from Fig. 7 (in solid lines; AGN types are as labelled) compared to the predictions of the semi-analytic model LPC09 in the coherent (dot–dashed lines) and chaotic (dotted lines) scenarios. For reference, we also show the \( \cos(\theta) \) distributions for high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples of Fig. 8 as dashed lines. Right-hand panels: predicted distributions of the angle between the angular momentum of the galaxy and the accretion disc for the LPC09 in the coherent (solid line) and chaotic (dashed line) scenarios for elliptical and spiral galaxies (classified as in Lagos et al. 2008 to account for the observed morphology type fractions as a function of stellar mass from Conselice 2006). Error bars were calculated using the jackknife technique.

Figure 11. Distribution of the inclination angle of AGN host spiral galaxies from Fig. 7 (in solid lines; AGN types are as labelled) and for the high [O\textsc{iii}] EW subsamples of Fig. 8 (dashed lines), compared to the predictions of the semi-analytic model LPC09 in the coherent (dot-dashed lines) and chaotic (dotted lines) scenarios, when the effect of galactic disc obscuration, by using the heuristic model of Section 3.2, is included.

model for the coherent scenario under the AGN unified model (e.g. Fanaroff & Riley 1974; Barthel 1989; Madau et al. 1994; Gunn & Shanks 1999). We find that the model overpredicts the observed skewing towards face-on or edge-on orientations of AGN hosts, but it successfully reproduces the differences in the skewing between ellipticals and spirals. On the other hand, the chaotic scenario predicts tendencies towards face-on and edge-on orientations of the types I and II AGN spirals, respectively, only if absorption from the galactic disc is assumed. However, in the case of elliptical galaxies the chaotic scenario fails unless large amounts of dust aligned with the major axis of the galaxy are assumed. Our results suggest that some, but not all, of the direction of the angular momentum of the material falling to the nucleus is coherent.

These results put important constraints on the physical processes involved in the gas inflow from the outer parts of the galaxy to the central engine. If the coherence of the accretion flow is frequent and large enough, high spin values (\( \hat{a} \sim 1 \)) would be commonplace at the massive end of the BH population (LPC09), regardless of other physical processes such as warps (King et al. 2005) and fragmentation inside the accretion disc (King et al. 2008). However, a scenario where just a small fraction of the gas flow keeps its original angular momentum direction has not been studied theoretically yet, and its consequences on BH growth and its spin remain to be explored.

Kinematic studies of individual AGN galaxies, as in Dumas et al. (2007), can help to further explore the alignments between gas infall and the whole galaxy. It should also be borne in mind that our approach of fitting the intrinsic shapes of galaxies from the photometry in SDSS has its limitations; a possible improvement could come from using the Galaxy Zoo project (Lintott et al. 2008) morphologies, which can help to obtain more precise intrinsic shapes...
and, therefore, better and more reliable distributions of inclination angles for AGN of different types. A different and promising approach based on the study of the orientations of host galaxies of radio sources, selected as to indicate relativistic jets pointing close to the line of sight, would give insight into the alignment between the galaxy and the BH spin (Lagos et al., in preparation).

Looking further to the future, the LISA survey (Johann et al. 2008) along with new high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy, will provide unequivocal information on the typical spins of the BH population and the orientations of jets. This will be complemented with detailed kinematic studies of the gas in large samples of galaxies (revealing the gas inflows) that the Square Kilometer Array (SKA; Schilizzi, Dewdney & Lazio 2008) will achieve, helping to solve these still open questions.
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Table 4. $\delta \chi^2 = \chi^2$/d.o.f. from the comparison between the inferred distribution of inclination angles of AGN hosts in the SDSS and the predictions of the LPC09 model in three variants: (i) the chaotic scenario, (ii) the coherent scenario (see Fig. 10) and (iii) the coherent and chaotic scenarios considering obscuration from the galactic disc (only applied to spiral galaxies; see Fig. 11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\delta \chi^2$ chaotic</th>
<th>$\delta \chi^2$ coherent</th>
<th>$\delta \chi^2$ coherent+obscuration</th>
<th>$\delta \chi^2$ chaotic+obscuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I AGN spirals</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II AGN spirals</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I AGN ellipticals</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II AGN ellipticals</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I AGN spirals high [O II] EW</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II AGN spirals high [O II] EW</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I AGN ellipticals high [O II] EW</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II AGN ellipticals high [O II] EW</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>