Internal ribosome entry site drives cap-independent translation of reaper and heat shock protein 70 mRNAs in Drosophila embryos
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ABSTRACT
Translation is a sensitive regulatory step during cellular stress and the apoptosis response. Under such conditions, cap-dependent translation is reduced and internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-dependent translation plays a major role. However, many aspects of how mRNAs are translated under stress remain to be elucidated. Here we report that reaper mRNA, a pro-apoptotic gene from Drosophila melanogaster, is translated in a cap-independent manner. In Drosophila mutant embryos devoid of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), reaper transcription is induced and apoptosis proceeds. In vitro translation experiments using wild-type and eIF4E mutant embryonic extracts show that reporter mRNA bearing reaper 5’ untranslated region (UTR) is effectively translated in a cap-independent manner. The 5’UTR of reaper exhibits a high degree of similarity with that of Drosophila heat shock protein 70 mRNA, and both display IRES activity. Studies of mRNA association to polysomes in embryos indicate that both reaper and heat shock protein 70 mRNAs are recruited to polysomes under apoptosis or thermal stress. Our data suggest that heat shock protein 70 and reaper, two antagonizing factors in apoptosis, use a similar mechanism for protein synthesis.
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INTRODUCTION
Apoptosis has been related in different ways to the control of protein synthesis (Clemens et al. 2000; Holcik et al. 2000). Recent evidence suggests that at least two pro-apoptotic genes, reaper (rpr) and grim, down-regulate inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) by inhibition of general protein synthesis and, in addition, by protein degradation (Holley et al. 2002; Yoo et al. 2002). Apoptosis and other stress-related processes result in a cellular environment of degraded translation factors and impaired protein synthesis. Under these conditions, it has been demonstrated that some cellular mRNAs are translated largely by internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated translation (Holcik et al. 2000).

Translation of the majority of eukaryotic mRNAs requires the recognition of the 5’ cap structure (m7GpppN) by translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E; Gingras et al. 1999). eIF4E activity is regulated by phosphorylation and eIF4E binding proteins in a process that depends on signaling mediated by a plethora of factors, such as growth factors, hormones, mitogens, and cytokines (Morley 1997; Gingras et al. 1999). Several studies have suggested a role for eIF4E on proliferation processes, cell growth, and apoptosis. The overexpression of eIF4E in cell cycle-sensitized mammalian cells results in overgrowth and malignant transformation (Sonenberg and Gingras 1998; De Benedetti and Harris 1999). Inhibition of eIF4E activity in serum-starved cells in culture induces apoptosis (Herbert et al. 2000; Polunovsky et al. 2000). In this context, translation during apoptosis would not require cap structure. However, no direct evidence of such a process has yet been described for normal cells in the context of a whole organism.

Apoptosis is a cellular process required for cell selection and for organ and tissue development, but it also figures as a reaction to eliminate cells under stress or malfunctioning ones. Apoptosis has been studied in detail in Drosophila melanogaster (Abrams 1999), and the pattern of apoptotic cells during development has been established (Abrams et
RESULTS

Induction of apoptosis in the absence of eIF4E

The gene eIF4E-1/2 has been mapped in the region 67A2-B1 of the Drosophila genome (Hernández et al. 1997), where several lethal alleles are known (Leicht and Bonner 1988). We sequenced the gene eIF4E-1/2 from individual homozygous embryos from all the mutants available in the region 67A2-B1. Among them, homozygous embryos corresponding to the mutant l(3)67Af exhibited a point mutation in the splicing acceptor site of the second intron of the gene eIF4E-1/2 (AG to AA; Fig. 1A), which would result in a nonsense reading of the ORF of both eIF4E1 and eIF4E2 (Fig. 1A; GenBank accession no. AJ11964). This mutation was absent in the other mutants analyzed, suggesting that l(3)67Af might be a bona fide allele of eIF4E-1/2. We then studied the mRNA and protein levels of eIF4E-1/2 in homozygous l(3)67Af embryos. RT-PCR analysis revealed an absence of proper splicing (Fig. 1B). Western blot showed a dramatic reduction of eIF4E-1/2 levels in late embryos (Fig. 1C). The embryos die during embryogenesis (Leicht and Bonner 1988; our own observations), although we observed some individuals that survived up to the state of early first instar larvae. We attribute the delayed lethality phase to the persistence of the maternal contribution of eIF4E. The removal of the maternal contribution by flipase recombination targets (FRT)-mediated recombination resulted in sterile females with no germ cell development (data not shown). Thus, we conclude that l(3)67Af is a null allele of eIF4E-1/2.

Reduction of eIF4E levels has been related to apoptosis (Herbert et al. 2000; Polunovsky et al. 2000). Therefore, we analyzed the pattern of programmed cell death in l(3)67Af embryos by TUNEL technique. When compared to wild-type embryos (Fig. 1D), homozygous l(3)67Af embryos showed widespread DNA fragmentation from stage 10 to 12 on Figure 1E. This observation indicates that the absence of eIF4E results in the induction of apoptosis in the context of a whole developing organism, where no depletion of nutrients occurs and sensitized cells are not especially affected, requirements that have been reported as necessary in cell culture. The absence of apoptosis in early embryonic stages also correlates with the contribution of maternal eIF4E up to stage 10–12 (data not shown). One of the early pro-
apoptotic genes in Drosophila is rpr (White et al. 1994), which induces apoptosis when it is expressed in cells or embryos. Thus, we monitored the expression of rpr by whole-mount in situ hybridization. When compared to wild-type embryos (Fig. 1F), mutant embryos beyond stage 10 revealed extensive ectopic activation of rpr (Fig. 1G), which is the likely cause of the observed apoptosis. The mechanism of increased rpr mRNA transcription in the absence of eIF4E remains to be established. However, our observations raised the question of how rpr mRNA is translated in the absence of eIF4E.

**reaper mRNA is translated in a cap-independent manner in vitro**

RPR is a poor antigenic protein. Despite the efforts of many laboratories, good quality antibodies against it are unavailable, and at present it is not possible to supply direct evidence for ectopic RPR protein expression in l(3)67Af embryos. For this reason, we analyzed rpr mRNA translation in a cell-free Drosophila-embryo translation system (Maroto and Sierra 1989; Gebauer et al. 1999). We used different in vitro-transcribed, monocistronic and polyadenylated reporter mRNAs containing several 5’UTRs either capped with m7GpppG or uncapped (Fig. 2A). The mRNAs were added to the translation reaction at different concentrations and the activity of the encoded reporter gene (FLuc, firefly luciferase) measured at different time points within a linear response range (see Supplemental Figure, panel B, at www.mpibpc.gwdg.de/abteilungen/060/publications/Hernandez_RNA/Hernandez_RNA2004_SuppInfo.html).

The translation of an uncapped control reporter mRNA (FLuc; Fig. 2B) was 10× less efficient than the capped control mRNA (cap-FLuc; Fig. 2B). In contrast, uncapped reporter mRNA containing the previously defined IRES from the Ubx mRNA (Ubx-Fluc) (Fig. 2B; Hart and Bienz 1996) was translated five to eight times more efficiently than the uncapped control mRNA (FLuc). Similarly, the uncapped reporter mRNA containing the 5’UTR of rpr mRNA (rpr-Fluc; Fig. 2B) was translated at levels equivalent to the capped control transcript cap-FLuc. Hence, rpr mRNA 5’UTR enhanced the translation of an uncapped reporter transcript in vitro, despite the fact that the stability of uncapped transcripts, measured by the addition of [32P]-labeled transcript to the translation reaction, was 10× lower than that of the capped control transcript cap-FLuc. Hence, rpr mRNA 5’UTR enhances the translation of an uncapped reporter transcript in vitro, despite the fact that the stability of uncapped transcripts, measured by the addition of [32P]-labeled transcript to the
reaction, was strongly reduced when compared to the capped reporter (see Supplemental Figure, panel C). The use of polyadenylated reporter mRNAs bearing a nonfunctional cap structure (ApppG instead of m7GpppG) also resulted in impaired cap-dependent translation (Fig. 2B). In comparison with cap-FLuc, either uncapped or ApppG-FLuc transcripts were translated 10× less efficiently than the capped control. The translation efficiency of ApppG-capped rpr-FLuc and ApppG-capped Ubx-FLuc was equivalent to the capped control (cap-FLuc) and even more efficiently translated than the capped control with the presence of the cap analog. This is most likely the result of the increased stability of the reporter mRNAs (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Figure, panel C). The same effect was observed in vivo after transfection of Drosophila S2 cells with m7GpppG- or ApppG-capped reporter mRNAs (Fig. 2D). Although we consistently observed a decreased stability of ApppG-capped rpr-FLuc mRNA as analyzed by Northern blot (Fig. 2E), we observed that the transcript bearing rpr 5′UTR was translated at least 10× better than the ApppG-capped control (Fig. 2D). To rule out an effect of sequences downstream of the AUG or the rpr ORF, we designed a transcript bearing both rpr 5′UTR and the entire rpr ORF, tagged at the C terminus with a myc epitope (Fig. 2F). Transfection of S2 cells with m7GpppG- or ApppG-capped mRNAs resulted in the translation of both forms as assessed by immunofluorescence (Fig. 2G) and Western blot (Fig. 2I) using anti-myc antibody. Northern blot analysis revealed that both transcripts are neither degraded nor cleaved in the experimental conditions used (Fig. 2H). It is important to note that the translation in vivo of in vitro-transcribed ApppG-capped RNA indicates cap-independent translation and avoids the possible presence of cryptic promoters in the 5′UTRs (see below). Thus, the results confirm a cap-independent mechanism for rpr mRNA translation.

Capping either rpr-FLuc or Ubx-FLuc reporter mRNAs resulted in even higher levels of absolute translation in wild-type extracts with respect to the uncapped transcripts (Fig. 3A). This may either be the consequence of increased mRNA stability or the result of the addition of cap-dependent and cap-independent translation initiation mechanisms. To distinguish between the two possibilities, we performed competition experiments by addition of the cap analog m7GpppG to the in vitro translation reaction in wild-type extracts, which mimics the lack of elf4E in the lysate (Maroto and Sierra 1988). The addition of 0.1 mM cap analog resulted in a 60% decrease in the translation efficiency of cap-FLuc (Fig. 3B, circles and solid line). Further addition of the cap analog reached a plateau at 90% inhibition, a residual activity equivalent to the remaining translation level observed when uncapped or ApppG-capped reporter transcripts were used (cf. Fig. 2B). In contrast, the translation of either uncapped (circles and dashed line) or m7GpppG-capped (squares and dotted line) Ubx-FLuc and rpr-FLuc (squares and dashed line or triangles and dashed lines, respectively) was not significantly reduced by the addition of the cap analog (Fig. 3B). Transcript degradation was not observed during the translation reaction (Fig. 3C). The competition experiments described above rule out an additive effect of cap-dependent initiation on cap-containing reporters and suggest that the translation level observed for the capped rpr- Luc reporter mRNA is the result of improved mRNA stability. Therefore, we conclude that cap recognition is not required for the translation of the mRNA containing the rpr 5′UTR.

To prove that rpr can be translated in the elf4E-1/2 mutant condition, where elf4E is absent, we performed the same in vitro experiments using a translation extract derived from homozygous l(3)67Af embryos (Fig. 3D). Equal amounts of protein were used in every assay to make relative results comparable (see Materials and Methods). We observed that the absolute values of translation in mutant extracts decreased with respect to wild-type extracts, a likely consequence of diminished overall translation activity (data not shown). As described earlier, the translation level of both cap-FLuc and uncapped rpr-FLuc was similar in wild-type extracts. However, in the mutant extracts, the relative efficiency of translation of rpr-FLuc was 3.5× higher than the cap-FLuc control mRNA (Fig. 3D). This indicates that rpr-FLuc is more efficiently translated than a capped transcript in the absence of endogenous elf4E in the extracts.

The mode of translation described here for rpr resembles that described for Drosophila heat shock protein mRNAs. Analysis of the 5′UTR of rpr shows that it is short (170 nt), has a high content of adenine (45%), and lacks an obvious secondary structure. In addition, it neither contains short ORFs nor the polypyrimidin track characteristic of some IRESs (Jackson 2000). These features are nonetheless found to be frequent in heat shock protein mRNA 5′UTR (Schneider 2000). Sequence comparison showed that rpr mRNA 5′UTR displays tracts of high similarity to the 5′UTR of heat shock protein 70 mRNA from D. melanogaster (Dm-hsp70; Fig. 3E). This similarity was not observed when rpr or Dm-hsp70 5′UTRs were compared to human-hsp70. This led us to consider that rpr and Dm-hsp70 might share similarities in the translation mechanism.

**rpr and Dm-hsp70 mRNA 5′UTRs display IRES activity**

To evaluate the mechanism of cap-independent translation, we performed in vitro translation assays with capped dicistronic reporter transcripts, which either lack interscronic sequences (FLuc/RLuc, negative control), bear the IRES of the Ubx mRNA (FLuc/Ubx/RLuc), or contain the rpr 5′UTR (FLuc/rpr/RLuc) in the intercistronic region (Fig. 4A). As expected, the presence of the Ubx IRES increased the efficiency of translation of the second cistron (RLuc) by a factor of three (with respect to the first cistron,
FLuc) when compared to the control without an IRES (Fig. 4B). The presence of rpr 5’UTR resulted in a modest but statistically significant increase of 1.5-fold of the second to the first cistron when compared to the control (Fig. 4B). The same effect was observed when a transcript bearing Dm-hsp70 5’UTR was used as an intercistronic region. On the contrary, the insertion of rpr 5’UTR in antisense orientation (FLuc/ rpr anti/ RLuc) resulted in the reduction of the second cistron activity. The integrity of the transcripts, assessed by the addition of labeled mRNA as tracer and evaluated after the translation reaction, was not affected within the level of detection of the method used, indicating

**FIGURE 3.** Translation of capped rpr 5’UTR is not competed by free cap analog. (A) In vitro translation of capped and uncapped monocistronic reporter transcripts in translation extracts derived from wild-type embryos. (B) Competition of cap-dependent translation with increasing concentrations of free cap analog m’GpppG. The efficiency is referred to the translation of the reporter mRNA in the absence of the cap analog. The translation reactions proceed as described in Figure 2. (C) Stability analysis of the capped transcripts assayed in A and B. Radiolabeled transcripts were added to the translation mixture, purified at the end of the reaction, and separated in agarose gels. The same translation reaction was measured in parallel for expression of the reporter gene. (D) Translation of cap-FLuc and uncapped rpr-FLuc reporter transcripts in embryonic translation extracts prepared from wild-type and l(3)67Af homozygous embryos. The translation reaction was set up using an equivalent amount of embryos representing equal amounts of protein for each reaction. Note that extracts from different batches cannot be compared in absolute values, as their activity varies from lot to lot (see Materials and Methods for details). We observed a lower rate of translation in absolute values when using the mutant extracts (data not shown). However, the relative level of translation of cap-independent reporter transcripts to cap-dependent reporter is higher in extracts derived from l(3)67Af homozygous embryos. (E) Sequence comparison between the 5’UTR of Drosophila hsp70 and rpr mRNAs. Nucleotides highlighted in bold indicate motifs of similarity.
that the translation of the second cistron was not the result of mRNA cleavage (Fig. 4C). Competition experiments using cap analog showed that the efficiency of translation of the second cistron (IRES-dependent) increased, while the translation of the first cistron (cap-dependent) decreased (data not shown). However, we observed that the second...
cistron of the reporter FLuc/RLuc displayed a relatively high level of translation, likely due to ribosome readthrough (see Fig. 4B,G). Therefore, we could not rule out at this point that the relatively modest, although significant, IRES activity of rpr 5′ UTR and hsp70 5′ UTR could have been the consequence of enhanced intercistronic readthrough. This argument is relevant if we consider that both rpr and hsp70 5′ UTRs are short and do not have any potential secondary structure. To address this problem, we assayed IRES activity using the dicistronic reporter vector pFLuc/cad/RLuc, which contains the 5′ UTR of the Drosophila maternal caudal (cad) mRNA downstream of the first cistron (Fig. 4D). cad 5′ UTR is not an IRES, possesses a significant secondary structure, and efficiently prevents readthrough in the same way as observed for the use of hairpins or inactive IREs (P. Vázquez-Pianzola and R. Rivera-Pomar, unpubl.). The insertion of the Ubx 5′ UTR in the reporter FLuc/cad/Ubx/RLuc resulted in a 12-fold relative increase of the second cistron translation with respect to the control mRNA FLuc/cad/RLuc, which is likely the consequence of the decrease in ribosomal readthrough in the control RNA (Fig. 4E). The analysis of the reporter FLuc/cad/rpr/RLuc also showed that the second cistron was efficiently translated with a 14-fold increase with respect to the control FLuc/cad/RLuc and equivalent to that for FLuc/cad/Ubx/RLuc (Fig. 4E,G,H). The increase of IRES activity was also evident for the insertion of hsp70 5′ UTR sequence. In all of these cases, the integrity of the reporter mRNAs was not altered during the translation reaction (Fig. 4F). Although we established that blocking the readthrough improves the detection of the IRES activity of rpr and Dm-hsp70 5′ UTRs, we observed that in the presence of cad 5′ UTR and the rpr or Dm-hsp70 5′ UTR sequences, the absolute activity of the second cistron also increases. Moreover, the use of ApppG-capped dicistronic reporter transcripts showed that while the activity of the first cistron is stopped, the second cistron is still translated (Fig. 4, cf. H and G). Therefore, we could not rule out that the sequence within cad 5′ UTR (and translation factors potentially binding therein) enhances the activity of rpr and hsp70 5′ UTR. To exclude the effect of cad 5′ UTR on the activity of the second cistron, we inserted a synthetic hairpin of high stability (see Materials and Methods; Coldwell et al. 2001) to prevent readthrough (Fig. 4I). The results of the in vitro translation activity and mRNA stability experiment, including a control of the rpr 5′ UTR inserted in antisense orientation, confirmed the data obtained using the cad-based reporter mRNA and strongly indicated that rpr and hsp70 5′ UTR display IRES activity (Fig. 4J,K).

To validate our observations, we then proceeded to study reporter mRNAs in vivo. We transfected Drosophila S2 cells with the same transcripts used in the in vitro experiment shown in Figure 4, A–C. We observed that m7GpppG-capped dicistronic reporter mRNAs bearing either rpr or Dm-hsp70 5′ UTRs display IRES activity as described for the in vitro translation assays (cf. Figs. 5A and 4B). Northern blot experiments showed that the transcript is stable and remains intact after the transcription and incubation time (Fig. 5B). We finally analyzed the translation of several dicistronic reporter plasmids in DNA transfected S2 cells. The reporter mRNAs used contain the minimal Adh promoter under control of five upstream activation sequence (UAS) sites, which are activated by Gal4, and two reporter cistrons: myc-tagged cyan fluorescent protein (myc-CFP, first cistron) and hemagglutinin-tagged yellow fluorescent protein (HA-YFP-NLS bearing a nuclear localization signal, second cistron; Fig. 5C). Cotransfection of Drosophila S2 cells with pActin-Gal4 and either the dicistronic reporter gene without intercistronic sequences or bearing the rpr 5′ UTR was performed (Fig. 5D). We observed that CFP (first cistron) is expressed both in cells transfected with the control plasmid and in cells transfected with the rpr-containing construct, while YFP (second cistron) is only detected in the nuclei of cells that contains the rpr 5′ UTR upstream of the second cistron (Fig. 5D). The score of the cells that display CFP expression showed that none of them expressed YFP in the absence of rpr 5′ UTR sequences, but all of them showed nuclear YFP when rpr 5′ UTR sequences were inserted. Although we believe we have demonstrated the presence of an IRES transfecting dicistronic reporter RNA, we have yet to confirm that the activity determined using the plasmidic reporter was not the result of cryptic promoters within rpr 5′ UTR, either in its natural state or in a Gal4-dependent

FIGURE 4. rpr and Dm-hsp70 5′ UTR do contain an internal ribosome entry site that functions in vitro. (A) Reporter dicistronic mRNAs used in the in vitro translation assays. rpr-anti indicates a construction in which rpr 5′ UTR was cloned in inverse orientation with respect to the second cistron AUG. (RLuc) Renilla luciferase. (B) Normalization of the translation efficiency of dicistronic transcripts. The graph shows the ratio of RLuc activity (translation of the second cistron) to FLuc activity (first cistron) normalized with respect to the same ratio in the FLuc/RLuc transcript. (C) Stability analysis of the dicistronic reporter mRNAs used in B. (D) Reporter dicistronic mRNAs containing the 5′ UTR of caudal to prevent readthrough of ribosomes. (E) Translation efficiency of dicistronic transcripts depicted in D is normalized as in B but to the ratio derived from the use of FLuc/cad/RLuc reporter RNA. (F) Stability analysis of the dicistronic reporter mRNAs used in E. (G) Absolute values of translation corresponding to the first cistron (FLuc, white bars) and the second cistron (RLuc, black bars) of the constructs indicated in A and D using m7GpppG-capped transcript. (H) Absolute values of translation corresponding to the first cistron (FLuc, white bars) and the second cistron (RLuc, black bars) of the constructs indicated in A and D using ApppG-capped transcript. Note that the scale is one order of magnitude lower than G. Absolute values are comparable, as all of the experiments were performed with the same lot of extracts. The activity of the first cistron decreases dramatically, while the activity of the second cistron remains either constant or increases. (I) Reporter dicistronic mRNAs containing a synthetic, stable hairpin that prevents readthrough of ribosomes. (J) Translation efficiency of dicistronic transcripts depicted in I normalized to the FLuc/cad/RLuc. (K) Stability analysis of the dicistronic reporter mRNAs used in J. Values of all experiments represent at least four independent experiments.
manner. We cloned the rpr 5′UTR in a promoterless vector and cotransfected the cells with pActinGal4 (see Materials and Methods). None of the cells transfected with the rpr-HA-YFP-NLS plasmid expressed YFP (Fig. 5D), while a control bearing the 5′UTR of an alternatively spliced isoform of Drosophila eIF4E1 mRNA, which contains a cryptic promoter (P. Vázquez-Pianzola and R. Rivera-Pomar, unpubl.), indeed expressed YFP (Fig. 5D).

We believe that the entire set of in vitro and in vivo experiments, designed to assess translation of a variety of reporter mRNAs, its stability, and the absence of cryptic promoters, provides clear evidence of IRES activity in the rpr and Dm-hsp70 5′UTRs.

**Do rpr and hsp70 share a common mechanism of translation?**

To further analyze rpr and hsp70 mRNA translation, we tested the ability of different reporter mRNAs to function in vitro in translation extracts derived from untreated and heat-shocked embryos, where cap-dependent initiation is severely impaired and translation of heat shock protein mRNAs is favored (Schneider 2000). In extracts derived from untreated embryos, ApppG-capped hsp70-FLuc and rpr-FLuc mRNAs were efficiently translated at a level comparable to m 7GpppG-capped FLuc control (Fig. 6A, data normalized to hsp70-FLuc). In translation extracts derived from heat-shocked embryos, translation of the capped FLuc control is dramatically reduced up to 10-fold, as we have observed in the competition experiments and by using ApppG-capped transcripts (Figs. 2B, 3B) when compared to hsp70-FLuc control (Fig. 6A). At the same time, the translation of ApppG-capped rpr-FLuc reporter increases, likely because of the availability of idle translational machinery. These results are in agreement with the data presented above, which show the ability of rpr-FLuc to be translated in a cap-independent manner. We next assayed the ability of the different 5′UTRs to support translation of the second cistron in dicistronic reporter mRNAs containing either a functional cap (Fig. 6C) or the nonfunctional cap analog ApppG (Fig. 6D) in heat-shocked extracts. The absolute values for the first and second cistron for the dif-

---

**FIGURE 5.** In vivo analysis of rpr and Dm-hsp70 IRES. (A) Relative translation activity of dicistronic reporter gene expression in S2 cells transfected with the m 7GpppG-capped transcripts depicted in Figure 4A. (B) Northern blot experiments on transfected S2 cells show that the transcripts are stable after 6 h of incubation. There is no sign of degradation or cleavage. (C) Dicistronic plasmids containing the cyan fluorescent protein ORF (myc-CFP, first cistron, cytoplasmic) and the yellow fluorescent protein ORF (HA-YFP-NLS, second cistron, nuclear) under the Gal4-dependent UAS-Adh promoter. (SV40) The SV40 t-antigen 3′UTR and polyadenylation signal. (D) Drosophila S2 cells cotransfected with the plasmids depicted in C and actin-Gal4, which activates the UAS-Adh promoter. Merged figure displays digitalized colors, CFP (green) and YFP (red). (Ph. C.) Phase contrast. The panels correspond to the construct used for transfection. For details, see text.


Different dicistronic mRNAs used are summarized in Figure 6E and F. These data demonstrate that the 5'UTR of Dm-hsp70 mRNA supports IRES-dependent translation, as it does rpr 5'UTR also in conditions of heat shock. When combined, these data indicate that rpr and Dm-hsp70 mRNAs are translated in a similar cap-independent fashion, and that both 5'UTRs support IRES activity.

rpr and hsp70 mRNAs are associated with polysomes after heat shock and apoptosis induction in embryos

To obtain definitive evidence of the ability of the endogenous rpr and hsp70 mRNAs to be translated in vivo, we separated ribosomal fractions from translation extracts derived from 0- to 12-h-old Drosophila embryos under normal conditions of development or after either heat shock or irradiation with X-rays, which induces the transcription of hsp70 mRNA and rpr mRNA, respectively. The heat-shocked embryos mimic the absence of eIF4E in the l(3)67Af homozygous mutant. We used them for polysome analysis because the acquisition of enough staged homozygous mutant embryos by hand sorting was unworkable. By ultracentrifugation in sucrose gradients, we isolated mRNAs associated with two well-defined ribonucleoprotein fractions: (1) initiation complexes (43S, 48S, 80S), which correspond to untranslated or newly initiated mRNAs (U in Fig. 7A), and (2) the polysomal fraction that contains mRNAs that are being efficiently translated into proteins (P in Fig. 7A). When compared to untreated embryos (Fig. 7A, left panel), the sucrose profile of heat-shocked embryos (Fig. 7A, middle panel) showed a sharp decrease of polysomes, reflecting the inhibition of translation that occurs during heat shock. A reduction in the amount of polysomes was also observed in sucrose profiles derived from X-ray-irradiated embryos (Fig. 7A, right panel). The effect of irradiation is less dramatic than the effect of heat shock and might reflect a smaller fraction of cells damaged after irradiation with respect to the number of cells responding to heat shock. We then studied the presence of the transcripts corresponding to Actin5C (a cap-dependent transcript; Fig. 7B, left panel), hsp70 (Fig. 7B, middle panel), and rpr (Fig. 7B, right panel) mRNAs in both untranslated and polysome-associated fractions derived from untreated and heat-shocked embryos. The fraction of polysome-associated mRNAs with respect to the untranslated ones provides an indication of their level of translation (P/U in Fig. 7 insets). We first observed that the combined amount of hsp70 and rpr mRNA is lower than the amount of Actin5C mRNA in the control (Fig. 7B, black lines). Actin5C mRNA is actively translated under normal conditions, as indicated by a P/U ratio 2 (Fig. 7B, left panel), while a ratio of 1 was observed for hsp70 mRNA (Fig. 7B, middle panel) and rpr mRNA (Fig. 7B, right panel). This implies that all three mRNA are being translated, although hsp70 and rpr mRNAs are present in lower amounts than Actin5C. After heat shock treatment (Fig. 7B, red lines), we observed an increase of the total amount of hsp70 mRNA and a decrease in the levels of Actin5C and rpr mRNAs relative to untreated embryos (Fig. 7D). However, a major change was evident: The total amount of Actin5C mRNA associated with polysomes decreased (P/U < 1), while the ratio of polysome-associated rpr mRNA remained stable and that of hsp70 mRNA increased (Fig. 7B). Our data demonstrate that during heat...
shock, a cap-dependent mRNA decreases its translation level, while both rpr and hsp70 mRNAs are still actively translated, irrespective of their relative level of transcription. It has been shown that irradiation with X-rays induces the transcription of rpr mRNA in embryos and, in consequence, apoptosis, and that the induction of apoptosis results in impaired translation (Clemens et al. 2000; Ollmann et al. 2000). Thus, we conducted an equivalent experiment using translation extracts derived from X-ray-irradiated Drosophila embryos to provide evidence for the ability of rpr to be translated during apoptosis induction (Fig. 7C). As expected, the overall transcription of rpr mRNA increased (Fig. 7D), no major changes were observed in the levels of Actin5C mRNA, while transcription of hsp70 was reduced. However, the association of Actin5C mRNA with polysomes decreases (P/U = 1.5; Fig. 7C, left panel), while the level of hsp70 and rpr mRNAs associated with polysomes slightly increases (P/U = 1.5; Fig. 7C, middle and right panels). Although the results are less dramatic than those observed in heat-shocked embryos, perhaps due to the number of cells effectively undergoing apoptosis, cap-dependent translation decreases, whereas cap-independent initiation is maintained or slightly elevated. Our data indicate that during apoptosis, mRNAs are poorly translated, reflecting a lower initiation rate, and suggest that cap-dependent, but not cap-independent, translation is preferentially impaired. The increase in rpr mRNA association with polysomes indicates that rpr mRNA is translated in vivo under conditions of diminished cap-dependent initiation through both heat shock and irradiation. The higher proportion of hsp70 and rpr mRNAs in polysomes can be attributed to the increased availability of the basic translation machinery on inhibition of cap-dependent translation, eventually resulting in an important increase of the absolute mass of HSP70 and RPR protein synthesized during heat shock and apoptosis, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have shown that the inhibition of eIF4E function in serum-starved, but not in serum-fed, cells in culture induces apoptosis (Herbert et al. 2000; Polunovsky et al. 2000). Our data indicate that the reduction of eIF4E promotes apoptosis in cells developing in their natural environment. Despite the important work performed on eIF4E since the early 1970s, a complete knock-
out of the gene has not yet been described in a multicellular organism. In yeast, the mutant cdc33-1, which partially affects the function of eIF4E, shows a reduced cap-binding activity and, therefore, a slow growing rate (Brenner et al. 1988; Altmann and Trachsel 1989). In higher organisms, loss-of-function studies have been limited to antisense experiments in mammalian cells in culture (De Benedetti et al. 1991; Joshi-Barve et al. 1992). The use of RNAi in *Caenorhabditis elegans* first allowed the study of the role of eIF4E in developmental processes (Keiper et al. 2000), but gene redundancy rendered functional assessment very difficult, and no evidence for induced apoptosis has been provided to date. More recently, a hypomorphic, nonembryonic lethal allele of *Drosophila* eIF4E-1/2 was shown to affect cell growth and proved to be very useful to determine Ser 209 phosphorylation in vivo and its role for eIF4E function (Lachance et al. 2002). Here we showed that the mutant *l(3)67Af* (see also Lachance et al. 2002) is a null allele of eIF4E that lacks the isoforms 1 and 2. *l(3)67Af* is recessive embryonic lethal and shows widespread induction of apoptosis in homozygosis, a process likely triggered by the upregulation of at least one pro-apoptotic gene, *rpr*. Activation of *rpr* has also been observed in other genetic backgrounds, such as *csens* (Nordstrom et al. 1996). How *rpr* transcriptional activation occurs under these circumstances is still unknown, and the link between a lack of eIF4E and the activation of *rpr* requires further investigation. Another important conclusion from our genetic analysis is that, at least in *Drosophila*, eIF4E is not a limiting factor in vivo, as it is now accepted for the studies carried out in rabbit reticulocyte lysates (Rau et al. 1996). In fact, heterozygous *l(3)67Af* flies, in which the dose of eIF4E is reduced to 50%, are viable, normal, and fertile. Finally, as in *C. elegans*, several eIF4E genes have been annotated in the *Drosophila* genome (Lasko 2000). However, the embryonic lethality of the homozygous *l(3)67Af* mutant indicates an absolute requirement for eIF4E1 and/or eIF4E2 in early developmental stages. This agrees with two observations: only eIF4E-1/2 (the gene analyzed here) is expressed during embryogenesis and eIF4E1 is the unique isoform identified from eIF4F complexes purified from embryos (Maroto and Sierra 1989; Zapata et al. 1994; Hernández and Sierra 1995; G. Hernández, J.M. Sierra, and R. Rivera-Pomar, unpubl.).

It has recently been shown that general inhibition of protein synthesis takes place in *Drosophila*, *Xenopus*, and rabbit reticulocyte translation extracts in vitro in the presence of RPR (Holley et al. 2002; Yoo et al. 2002). Yoo et al. consider that if RPR (as well as GRIM, another pro-apoptotic gene product) promotes apoptosis by inhibition of protein synthesis, the inhibition of translation by other mechanisms might be expected to promote apoptosis. They report, indeed, that cycloheximide treatment of *Drosophila* cells results in apoptosis. The mechanism of protein synthesis inhibition by RPR remains to be established. Presumably, it would be a general process that might include *rpr* mRNA translation inhibition as well. However, we show that the lack of an essential factor for protein synthesis induces *rpr* mRNA transcription, which in turn must escape translation inhibition. We demonstrate that *rpr* can be translated in the absence of cap-binding activity in vitro by using translation extracts derived from eIF4E mutant or heat-shocked embryos or by competition with a cap analog. We revealed that *rpr* and *hsp70* mRNAs, two antagonizing genes induced in response to stress, are translated in a cap-independent manner in vitro and that they are associated with polysomes in vivo in conditions of diminished cap-dependent translation mediated by stress. Our experiments coincide with the observation of Joshi-Barve et al. (1992) that *hsp70* but not *actin* mRNA is associated with polysomes when cap-binding activity is reduced in HeLa cells by antisense eIF4E RNA, which we now extend to the translation of those genes during stress and apoptosis.

IRESs have been identified in some cellular mRNAs that encode apoptotic regulators such as XIAP, p36, BIP, and Apaf-1 (Holcik et al. 2000). Thus, IRES-independent translation is a common theme during apoptosis and possibly in other stress responses (Johannes et al. 1999). The resemblance and similar behavior of *rpr* and *Dm-hsp70* mRNA 5′UTRs correlates with the ability of both *rpr* and *Dm-hsp70* mRNAs to be translated under heat shock and X-ray irradiation conditions, as our polysome analysis showed. A very recent finding suggests that human-*hsp70* mRNA might also support IRES activity (Rubtsova et al. 2003), in contradiction to previously published evidence (Vivinus et al. 2001). Although the IRES capability of human-*hsp70* 5′UTR remains a matter of controversy, more definitive evidence has been provided to support that human-*hsp70* mRNA uses a shunting mechanism (Yueh and Schneider 2000). The evidence presented here shows that both *Dm-hsp70* and *rpr* mRNAs are indeed translated in an IRES-dependent manner and that their 5′UTR shows sequence similarity, although most of the homology blocks between *rpr* and *hsp70* 5′UTR seem to be dispensable for reporter mRNA translation in a cap-independent context (P. Vázquez-Pianzola and R. Rivera-Pomar, unpubl.). The mechanism of shunting demonstrated by Yueh and Schneider (2000) for the translation of human-*hsp70* mRNA involves the pairing of sequences within the 5′UTR and the 18S rRNA. In agreement with the observation of Yueh and Schneider (2000) for *Dm-hsp70* 5′UTR, we could not find any pairing possibility between *rpr* or *Dm-hsp70* 5′UTR mRNAs and *Drosophila* 18S rRNA, and the similarity we observed between *rpr* and *Dm-hsp70* does not extend to human-*hsp 70* mRNA, indicating that the shunting mechanism established for human-*hsp70* mRNA might not necessarily apply to the *Drosophila* homolog.
dards required to prove their activity have increased. We consider that we have fulfilled them in this study. Indisputable IRES, namely, the 5′UTR of certain viruses, implies a complex RNA secondary structure, sometimes the presence of several short ORFs, and a polypyrimidine track. Cellular IRESs are less characterized and show enormous heterogeneity (Jackson 2000). In this context, the IRESs of rpr and Dm-hsp70 appear to be unusual, suggesting that, rather than being defined structures, IRESs may constitute the intrinsic activity of certain mRNAs. Accordingly, the definition of IRES can be very broad and implies a variety of mechanisms, including shunting. IRESs such as those described in this study may reflect the selective advantage of an unstructured 5′UTR with the ability to direct the passive recruitment of ribosomes without the requirement of other factors such as the helicase eIF4A and, possibly, without scanning. Our experiments using translation extracts from heat-shocked embryos indicate that the IRESs of rpr and Dm-hsp70 are indeed translated in an eIF4F-independent manner. This mechanism might be advantageous under stress conditions where the amount of eIF4F complex decreases and the availability of idle ribosomes increases.

Both HSP70 and RPR act, by different pathways, in response to stress (Abrams 1999; Schneider 2000). Heat shock treatment does not result in increased apoptosis, likely due to the counteracting role of HSP70, which is produced at higher rates. HSP70 blocks apoptosis by binding to Apaf-1 (Saleh et al. 2000; Beere and Green 2001) and to the apoptosis-inducing factor AIF (Ravagnan et al. 2001). Thus, a simple mechanism of translation would allow Dm-hsp70 mRNA to escape the repression of translation by caspase-mediated cleavage of translation factors, at least during the initial steps of apoptosis. It is a challenging idea that, at least in Drosophila, where rpr and other pro-apoptotic genes have been identified, early apoptosis genes may be translated in a similar manner as heat shock protein mRNAs. Studies from our laboratory on the translation of other Drosophila pro-apoptotic genes, such as head involution defect (hid) and grim support this assumption (P. Vázquez-Pianzola and R. Rivera-Pomar, unpubl.). The hypothesis that heat shock response and apoptosis evolved in a similar context using common molecular mechanisms during cellular stress has recently been put forward (Beere and Green 2001). Here, we provide evidence supporting the idea of a shared mechanism of protein synthesis in genes involved in different developmental processes, one protecting and the other eliminating cells at risk.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutant analysis and fly work

Mutants from the chromosomal region 67A2-B1 (l(3)67Aa r1 p/TM3, Sb1; l(3)67Ab r1 p/TM3, Sb1; l(3)67Ac r1 p/TM3, Sb1; l(3)67Ad r1 p/TM3, Sb1; l(3)67Ae r1 p/TM3 Sb1 and l(3)67Af r1 e/TM3, Sb1) (Leicht and Bonner 1988) were obtained from Mid-America Drosophila Stock Center. To identify homozygous mutant embryos, we balanced the mutations either to TM2 Ubx b, hkb-lacZ, or TM3, Act-GFP chromosomes. Wild type, heterozygous, and homozygous embryos were hand sorted after β-galactosidase activity staining or in vivo using the GFP marker. elF4E-1/2 gene was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of single homozygous l(3)67A* mutant embryo, using primers corresponding to the nucleotides −652 to −623 and 2737−2708 of the elF4E-1/2 gene (Hernández et al. 1997). Amplified DNA fragments were cloned into the pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen) and fully sequenced in both strands. RNA was isolated from 10 to 20 embryos using the Total RNA purification kit (Qiagen) and digested with RNase-Free DNase I (Qiagen). RT-PCR reactions were carried out with RNA derived from five embryos (stage 6–12) using the Access RT-PCR system (Promega) and primers corresponding to the nucleotides 1368–1391, 1437–1463, and 1511–1534 of the elF4E-1/2 gene (Hernández et al. 1997). They amplified either an 81-nt-long (spliced) or a 98-nt-long (nonspliced) fragment from elF4E-1/2 mRNAs. Western blot analysis was performed by loading 10–20 homozygous embryos (stage 8–12) per lane and was revealed with rabbit anti-Drosophila/elF4E-1/2 antibody (Marato and Sierra 1989). Apoptosis was detected by TUNEL with the In Situ Cell Death Reaction Kit, AP (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed using antisense RNA probes as described (Klinger and Gergen 1993).

Plasmids

The plasmids used in this study were derived from the monocistronic pLUC-cassette (Gebauer et al. 1999). 5′UTR cDNA sequences of Ubx (Hart and Bienz 1996), rpr (White et al. 1994), caudal, and Dm-hsp70 (McGarry and Lindquist 1985) were cloned into the SacI-NcoI site of pLUC-cassette. The dicistronic reporter vector (pFLuc/RLuc) was generated by cloning the Renilla luciferase gene into the BglII site of pFLuc/RLuc. The 5′UTR of the gene (Hernández et al. 1997). Amplified DNA fragments were cloned into the pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen) and fully sequenced in both strands. RNA was isolated from 10 to 20 embryos using the Total RNA purification kit (Qiagen) and digested with RNase-Free DNase I (Qiagen). RT-PCR reactions were carried out with RNA derived from five embryos (stage 6–12) using the Access RT-PCR system (Promega) and primers corresponding to the nucleotides 1368–1391, 1437–1463, and 1511–1534 of the elF4E-1/2 gene (Hernández et al. 1997). They amplified either an 81-nt-long (spliced) or a 98-nt-long (nonspliced) fragment from elF4E-1/2 mRNAs. Western blot analysis was performed by loading 10–20 homozygous embryos (stage 8–12) per lane and was revealed with rabbit anti-Drosophila/elF4E-1/2 antibody (Marato and Sierra 1989). Apoptosis was detected by TUNEL with the In Situ Cell Death Reaction Kit, AP (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed using antisense RNA probes as described (Klinger and Gergen 1993).
In vitro translation assays

Translation extracts were prepared from 0 to 12-hour-old Drosophila embryos as described (Tuschl et al. 1999). In vitro translation was performed as described (Maroto and Sierra 1989; Gebauer et al. 1999) for 60 min at 30°C. Detailed protocols are found in the mentioned reference. The activity of every extract lot was titrated using different amounts of reporter mRNA and time-course experiments to determine the nonsaturating linear range of the reaction (Gebauer et al. 1999; see Supplementary figure, www.mpibpc.gwdg.de/abteilungen/060/publications/Hernandez_RNA/Hernandez_RNA2004_SuppInfo.html). We observed that different batches of translation extracts vary in their absolute translation activity, but the relative activity of each mRNA remains stable. This has also been observed by others (F. Gebauer, pers. comm.). It is important to note that the comparison of absolute values for different batches of the extracts or extracts derived from different treatments is impossible. In all cases where we compared absolute values, we used the same batch of extract. The degradation of the transcripts was assessed using traces of [32P]-labeled reporter RNA in the translation reaction. After the completion of the reaction, the RNA was purified and analyzed by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis and phosphorimaging (see Supplementary figure, www.mpibpc.gwdg.de/abteilungen/060/publications/Hernandez_RNA/Hernandez_RNA2004_SuppInfo.html). Extracts from heat-shocked embryos were prepared from pools of 0- to 12-h embryos which have been treated for 45 min at 37°C and processed without further recovery. Translation extracts from mutant embryos were prepared as described above. The mutant embryos were hand sorted and staged by using the GFP marker as indicated above. Because of the difficulties in obtaining the required amount of fresh embryos in the proper stage, different batches of extract (~50–100 embryos per batch) were prepared, frozen, and pooled for the assays as described above. Protein content on the extracts was measured by Bradford assay. Either m7GpppG or ApppG-capped transcripts (New England BioLabs) or uncapped transcripts were synthesized using T3 RNA polymerase (Ampliscribe mRNA transcription kit, Biozym Diagnostik GmbH). Reporter gene expression (Firefly and Renilla luciferases) was determined using the Dual-luciferase reporter assay (Analytical Luminescence Laboratory).

Polysome analysis

D. melanogaster Oregon R embryos were collected in apple juice-agar plates and dechorionated. Embryos that were 0- to 12-h old were heat shocked for 45 min at 37°C and processed without recovery. For apoptosis induction, 0- to 8-h embryos were exposed to 4000 radians using a Torrex 150D X-ray irradiator and, after further development for 4 h at 25°C, processed. One hundred fifty milligrams of embryos were homogenized in 300 µL ice-cold buffer A (30 mM HEPES at pH 7.4, 100 mM K acetate, 2 mM Mg acetate, 5 mM DTT, 50 µM RNasin, 2 mg/mL heparin, and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail Complete [Roche Diagnostics GmbH]). The homogenate was clarified by centrifugation at 20,000g for 20 min. Two hundred microliters of the cleared lysate were layered onto a 10 mL lysis buffer and centrifuged in a Beckman Ti-SW41 rotor for 2.5 h at 36,000 rpm at 4°C. Ultraviolet (UV) absorbance was recorded at 254 nm and 0.5 mL fractions were collected. The fractions corresponding to preinitiation and initiation complexes (40/43/48S and 80S) and those corresponding to polysomes were pooled.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Pooled fractions of preinitiation/initiation complexes or polysome-bound mRNAs were digested with proteinase K (150 µg/mL) in the presence of 1% SDS for 30 min at 37°C. The digestion was adjusted to sodium acetate 0.3 M and the RNA precipitated using ethanol. The resulting RNA was adjusted to sodium acetate 0.3 M and the RNA precipitated with ethanol. The pellet was dissolved in H2O. The resulting RNA was digested with RNase-free DNase I to prevent any contamination with genomic DNA, further purified using the RNaseasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), and quantified by spectrophotometry. One hundred nanograms of RNA was used for quantitative real-time RT-PCR using the QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) in a DNA Engine Opticon System (MJ Research Inc.). Sequence-specific 25-mer oligonucleotides for Drosophila actin5C, hsp70, and rpr mRNAs were designed to amplify 100-bp fragments.
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