Bioinformatic and mutational analysis of ophiovirus movement proteins, belonging to the 30K superfamily
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Abstract

Ophioviridae is a family of segmented, negative-sense, single-stranded RNA plant viruses. We showed that their cell-to-cell movement protein (MP) is an isolated member of the 30K MP superfamily with a unique structural organization. All 30K MPs share a core domain that contains a nearly-invariant signature aspartate. We examined its role in the MP of Citrus psorosis virus (CPsV) and Mirafiori lettuce big-vein virus (MiLBVV). Alanine substitution of this aspartate prevented plasmodesmata accumulation of MPMiLBVV, while MPCPsV was not affected. The capacity of ophiovirus MPs to increase the plasmodesmata size exclusion limit and non-cell autonomous protein feature was abolished in both mutants. To investigate the role of the signature aspartate in cell-to-cell movement, we constructed a new movement-deficient Tobacco mosaic virus vector used for trans-complementation assays. We showed that both ophiovirus MP mutants lack the cell-to-cell movement capacity, confirming that this signature aspartate is essential for viral cell-to-cell movement.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For a successful infection, plants viruses must spread from the first infected cells in a process called cell-to-cell movement until they reach the vasculature and rapidly invade the rest of the plant. This crucial process occurs via plasmodesmata (PD), which are intercellular membranous channels that bridge the cytoplasm of contiguous cells (Heinlein and Epel, 2004). PD conductivity is regulated by the plant in order to control the flow of macromolecules (Crawford and Zambryski, 2001; Lucas et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2001). In particular, PD only let molecules spread by diffusion if their size is below a cut off the molecular size exclusion limit (SEL), specific to each PD (Ding, 1997). Because the SEL of PDs is always much smaller than the size of any known plant virus or viral genome, plant viruses need an active strategy to cross the PD limit (SEL), specifically required for their cell-to-cell movement (Ding et al., 1992; Lucas, 2006; Niehl and Heinlein, 2011); (3) inducing the increase of the PD size exclusion limit; and (4) facilitating their own or heterologous macromolecules intercellular trafficking (Su et al., 2010; Vogler et al., 2008; Waghmann and Zambryski, 1995; Wolf et al., 1989).

Ophioviridae is a family of negative strand RNA plant viruses whose type specie is Citrus psorosis virus (CPsV). Ophioviruses cause major diseases in crops of citrus, lettuce, blueberry and ornamental plants (Moreno et al., 2015; Morikawa et al., 1995; Roggero et al., 2000; Thekke-Veetil et al., 2014; Torok and Yetten, 2010; Vaira et al., 2009; Vaira et al., 1997). Their genome is divided into 3 segments for CPsV and 4 segments for Mirafiori lettuce big-vein virus (MiLBVV) (Vaira et al., 2011). RNA 2 encodes the movement protein, named 54K for CPsV and 55K for MiLBVV (Hiraguri et al., 2013; Robles Luna et al., 2013).

MPs are currently grouped in four different structural classes, of which the largest is the 30K superfamily, composed of members structurally related to the 30 kDa MP of Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (Koonin et al., 1991; Melcher, 2000; Mushegian and Koonin, 1993). While this work was in preparation, Mushegian and Elena (2015)
reported that the ophiovirus MP was a member of the 30K superfamily on the basis of sequence analyses. However, in this work we have made a more extensive analysis of the MP, we have delineated the 30K core domain, and we provide biological evidence. MPs of the 30K superfamily have poor overall sequence conservation, but share a conserved core domain with the same predicted secondary structure which consists of 1 α-helix and 7 predicted β-strands (Melcher, 2000; Mushegian and Elena, 2015; Yu et al., 2013). The only sequence feature common to all 30K MPs is a short region between amino acids (aa) 90 and 233 in CPsV numbering (Fig. 1, see below). The signature D* residue is boxed in Fig. 1. This aspartate appears to be required for the movement activity of these MPs (Bertens et al., 2000; Li et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2012), but its precise molecular function is unknown.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that the MPs of CPsV and MiLBVV localize to PD, enhance GFP cell-to-cell diffusion, spread to neighboring epidermal cells and can trans-complement cell-to-cell movement of mutants of *Potato virus X* (PVX) and TMV that are defective for movement (Robles Luna et al., 2013). We now asked the following questions: what is the predicted structural organization of the ophiovirus MP? Can it be assigned to a group within the 30K superfamily or is it an isolated member? What is the role of the signature aspartate in ophiovirus MP? We answered these questions by a combination of bioinformatics and biological analyses.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. The ophiovirus MP is an isolated member of the 30K superfamily, and has a unique N-terminal domain

**Fig. 1** presents an alignment of the sequences of ophiovirus MPs, with their predicted secondary structure. HHpred (see Methods) on aligned ophiovirus MPs identified a match to PFAM family 3A, which corresponds to the 30K MPs of a wide group of taxa including, among others, *Bromoviridae*, *Virgaviridae* and *Tomoviridae*. This match had a marginal E-value (E = 1). We examined it using the software HHAlign (Biegert et al., 2006), which is more sensitive, and is used to validate homologs. HHAlign returned a statistically significant E-value (E = 7.10^-4) for the comparison between the MPs of ophioviruses and the MPs of the PFAM family 3A, indicating that ophiovirus MPs are homologous to these MPs, and thus are part of the 30K superfamily. While this work was in preparation, Mushegian and Elena (2015) reported similar findings. Examination of the predicted secondary structure of the ophiovirus MP indicates that its core domain (helix αA and strands β1 to β7 in the nomenclature of Melcher (2000)) is located between amino acids (aa) 90 and 233 in CPsV numbering (Fig. 1, see below). The signature D* residue is boxed in Fig. 1.

In summary, the predicted structural organization of ophiovirus MPs is presented in Fig. 1. They are predicted to be organized in 6 regions (Fig. 1): (1) a disordered N-terminus, variable in sequence and in length (not shown in the alignment); (2) an N-terminal domain conserved in sequence in ophioviruses (strands [JX to JZ]; (3) the core domain common to all 30K MPs (helix αA and strands β1 to β7), containing the signature D* residue (boxed in Fig. 1); (4) a long, disordered, central region; (5) a C-terminal domain, conserved in secondary structure but not in sequence; (6) a short, variable disordered C-terminus (not shown in the alignment). The central, disordered region contains two parts: (i) a long segment with the potential to form an α-helix (αB), rich in charged residues, and (ii) a region highly variable in sequence downstream of αB. The first 35 amino acids of the C-terminal domain probably form a highly flexible loop, in view of their sequence variability and low predicted secondary structure content (Fig. 1). Interestingly, we noticed that the C-terminal domain contains a strictly conserved DTG tripeptide (boxed in Fig. 1). It is probably conserved for functional, rather than structural reasons. This conserved tripeptide has not been described in the C-terminus of other 30K MPs, to our knowledge.

We then examined the evolutionary relationship of the ophiovirus MP to other 30K MPs. A Psiblast search on the core domain of ophiovirus MPs found no statistically significant match (E < 10^-3), although it returned a marginal hit (E = 3.1) to an MP of the 30K superfamily, the PC4 protein of *Iranian wheat stripe towel virus* (accession number AAP82278.1), with 17% sequence identity over 131 aas. By comparison, in a previous study, a Psiblast query on a single 30K MP, that of trichovirus, retrieved most 30K MPs with significant E-values (Mushegian and Elena, 2015). Therefore, the lack of significant matches to ophiovirus MPs in Psiblast clearly indicates that ophiovirus MPs are evolutionarily distant from most other 30K MPs.

At present, the phylogeny of highly distant proteins cannot be reliably inferred, and consequently we could not use the core domain to infer evolutionary relationships between the MP of ophioviruses and that of other 30K MPs. However, one can use derived characters, i.e. characters that are not common to a whole family of proteins, to assess phylogenetic relationships between these proteins. The N-terminal domain of the ophiovirus 30K MP may be such a derived character. Accordingly, we performed homology searches on this N-terminal domain to determine whether we could identify matches to other 30K MPs. We could identify no homologs of this N-terminal domain, even by examining all hits with marginal significance up to an E-value of 10,000 using Psiblast. Therefore, the N-terminal domain is conserved in sequence only in ophioviruses. When combined, the results above clearly indicate that the ophiovirus MP is an isolated member of the 30K superfamily, distantly related to tenuivirus MPs.

2.2. Alanine substitution of the signature aspartate affects differently the PD localization of MPs of CPsV and MiLBVV

To investigate the role of the signature aspartate of the ophiovirus MPs in PD localization, we constructed mutants replacing the aspartate by alanine, generating MP<sub>PSV-D141A</sub> and MP<sub>MiLBV-D174A</sub>. We co-expressed the mutants fused to eGFP (MP<sub>PSV-D141A:eGFP</sub> and MP<sub>MiLBV-D174A:eGFP</sub>) with the PD marker PDB1:mCherry in *Nicotiana benthamiana* epidermal cells by agroinfiltration, and visualized their localization by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Mutant MP<sub>PSV-D141A:eGFP</sub> co-localizes with the PD marker, as does the wild-type protein MP<sub>PSV</sub>, eGFP (Fig. 2A and B). In contrast, the MP<sub>MiLBV-D174A:eGFP</sub> mutant did not localize at the PD, but remained localized in the cytoplasm (compare Fig. 2C and D), indicating that the alanine substitution of the aspartate abolishes the localization at PD. Thus, in these two ophioviruses, the signature aspartate plays a different role in the ability of the MP to localize at PD. The expression, size and integrity of the fusion proteins were confirmed by western blot (See Supplementary Fig. 1A).

The effect of substituting the signature aspartate of a 30K MP on localization to the PD has been studied in the genera *Tospovirus*, *Comovirus*, *Emaravirus*, *Tobamovirus* and *Cytorhabdovirus*. It has been shown that the signature aspartate D154 of the MP (NSm) of the tospovirus *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TSWV), does not seem to be involved in its subcellular distribution, since when it is replaced by alanine, the cellular distribution of the MP is conserved (Li et al., 2009). In contrast, the substitution D143A in the MP of *Cowpea mosaic virus* reduces its efficiency to target the plasma membrane of the cell (Bertens et al., 2000). Alanine substitution of D127 in the MP of *Raspberry leaf blotch virus* (RLBV) causes loss of
PD localization, although the protein remains associated with membranes (Yu et al., 2013). In the tobamovirus Turnip vein-clearing virus (TVCV) the alanine substitution of the signature D103 of its MP (P30) causes the loss of PD localization (Mann et al., 2016). Within the genus Cytorhabdovirus, the alanine substitution of the signature D impairs PD targeting of Lettuce necrotic yellows virus (LNYV) MP (P3), while the P3 localization of Alfalfa dwarf virus (ADV) was mostly unaffected by that substitution (Mann et al., 2016). Thus, this aspartate appears to play no consistent role in subcellular localization, which could explain the contrasting results observed in the two ophiovirus mutants.

2.3. The signature aspartate of ophiovirus MPs is required to increase the size exclusion limit (SEL) of the plasmodesmata

It is known that in tobacco sink leaves, but not in source leaves, GFP (27 kDa) and other molecules of up to 50 kDa can diffuse freely through PD (Oparka et al., 1999). We previously showed that MPCPsV and MPMiLBVV are able to increase the PD SEL in source tissues (mature leaves), allowing GFP cell-to-cell diffusion (Robles Luna et al., 2013). We investigated whether the signature aspartate in the MP of both ophioviruses was required for this activity, by using gating assays. We co-infiltrate Agrobacterium tumefaciens cultures that allow the expression of GFP and the MPs in N. benthamiana source leaves. Isolated cells expressing GFP were achieved by using highly diluted cultures of Agrobacterium, while to ensure that all cells of the infiltrated area express either MPCPsVD141A:mRFP, MPMiLBVVD174A:mRFP or mRFP (negative control), the corresponding Agrobacterium cultures were used at high concentration. Expression of mRFP fusion proteins was tested by western blot (see Supplementary Fig. 1). Fig. 3A and B(i) show that GFP fluorescent clusters of 2–6 cells were observed in 100% of

**Fig. 1.** Sequence alignment and predicted structural organization of ophiovirus MPs. The MPs sequences are those of *Citrus psorosis virus* (CPsV, accession number AAM47152.1), *Miraflower lettuce big-vein virus* (MiLBVV, accession AGG54707.1), *Blueberry mosaic associated virus* (BlMaV, accession AIF28243.1), and *Lettuce ring necrosis virus* (LRNV, accession YP_053238.1). The boundaries of the central disordered region are approximate. The signature D residue (D*) downstream of β2 and the DTG tripeptide are boxed.
the analysed foci for MP<sup>CPmV</sup>:mRFP and 67% for MP<sup>MLBVV</sup>:mRFP. In contrast, when mutant MP were co-expressed, fluorescence remained almost exclusively within the transformed cell (14% of foci with 2–3 cells in the case of MP<sup>CPmV</sup>D141A: mRFP and 11% for MP<sup>MLBVV</sup>D174A: mRFP, similar to the negative control). These results indicate that the mutants were not able to facilitate the intercellular passage of GFP. Another feature of ophiovirus MP is their ability to mediate their own transport through PD (Robles Luna et al., 2013), the non-cell autonomous protein (NCAP) feature. Thus, our next goal was to analyse whether the mutants MP<sup>CPmV</sup>D141A and MP<sup>MLBVV</sup>D174A are NCAPs. To address this question, we infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves with highly diluted Agrobacterium cultures to express either MP<sup>CPmV</sup>D141A:eGFP or MP<sup>MLBVV</sup>D174A:eGFP in isolated epidermal cells. If MP mutants lost the ability to mediate their own transport through PD, GFP fluorescence would remain within the isolated cells. Indeed, GFP fluorescence was observed mainly in isolated cells, with only 16% of foci with 2 or more cells in the case of both MP<sup>CPmV</sup>D141A: eGFP and MP<sup>MLBVV</sup>D174A:eGFP, in contrast to nearly 90% obtained with wild-type MPs (Fig. 3A and B(ii)).

Together, these results indicate that the alanine substitution of the aspartate prevents MPs from modifying the PD SEL, and from
facilitating their own movement between adjacent cells.

Heinlein (2015) mentioned that the presence of accumulated MP in PD does not necessarily indicate that the PD are gated. Accumulation of MP in PD and gating may even represent independent functions of MP. In this context, the results obtained with the MPCPSVD141A mutant support this idea, since this mutant accumulates at PD but is not able to gate the PD.

2.4. The signature aspartate of ophiovirus MPs is essential for viral movement

We could not perform direct assays of the movement function of MPs in the context of viral infection because an ophiovirus infectious clone system is not available yet. Therefore, we decided to test heterologous viral movement complementation. We created a new TMV-based vector deficient in cell-to-cell movement, which could be used by Agrobacterium infiltration rather than in vitro transcription. This vector derived from the pJL-TRBO-G infectious clone (Lindbo, 2007). The vector carries a large N-terminal deletion in its MP, and expresses GFP instead of the coat protein (CP) under the control of the CP subgenomic promoter (TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP) (Fig. 4A(i)). We optimized the conditions for trans-complementation assays using ectopic expression of the MP of TMV (MP\text{TMV}) from a binary vector, as positive control (Fig. 4A(ii) and A(iii)). We performed assays by infiltrating Agrobacterium culture to express either of each MP fused to mRFP, or free mRFP (used as negative control), together with a diluted Agrobacterium culture carrying the TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP vector into N. benthamiana leaves. At 5 days post agroinfiltration (dpi), GFP fluorescence was detected only in isolated cells of leaves expressing TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP together with mRFP, showing that this TMV mutant can replicate in the transformed cell but not move to adjacent cells (Fig. 4B (negative controls) and C(i)). In contrast, when TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP was co-expressed with any of the tested MPs, expanding foci of several cells expressing GFP were detected, indicating that the TMV mutant could move efficiently from the original cell into neighboring cells (Fig. 4A(iii), B (positive controls), and C(i)). These results demonstrate that the ectopic expression of MP\text{TMV}:mRFP by binary vector was able to complement the cell-to-cell movement of the TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP reporter, indicating that this new vector is functional for trans-complementation assays. As expected, MP\text{CPwV}:mRFP and MP\text{MiLBVV}:mRFP proteins were also able to restore the movement of the TMV, as we have been previously shown with other two

---

**Fig. 3.** GFP-gating and NCAP assays of MPCPSVD141A and MP\text{MiLBVV}D174A mutants in N. benthamiana leaves. (A) Table indicating the percentage of GFP-fluorescent foci at 3 days post agro-infiltration (dpi) with the number of cells in each focus for the conditions described in the left column. Movement—% of foci with GFP signal in ≥ 2 adjacent cells. (B) Graphs showing the percentage of GFP-fluorescent foci with more than one cell indicating the ability of the mutants to facilitate the intercellular diffusion of cytosolic GFP (i) or to mediate their own transport through PD (NCAP) (ii). Bars represent mean values ± SD from 4 (GFP-gating) or 3 (NCAP) independent experiments. Means with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD, p < 0.001).
Fig. 4. Movement trans-complementation assay of TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP vector with MP\textsuperscript{CPvD141A} and MP\textsuperscript{MPLBVB174A} mutants in N. benthamiana leaves. (A) (i) Schematic representation of the T-DNA region of the movement-deficient TMV vector TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP. Block arrow, CaMV duplicated 35S promoter; dark gray box, CaMV polyA signal sequence/terminator; light gray box, Ribozyme; bent arrows, subgenomic promoter. RdRp, TMV 126K/183K ORF; GFP, green fluorescent protein ORF; LB, left border; RB, right border. (ii) Illustration of trans-complementation assay using agro-infiltration (iii) Representative images of UV illuminated N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP and MPTMV:mRFP on the left side or mRFP on the right side at 7 dpai. (B) Representative images of UV illuminated N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP and the proteins indicated above, taken at 7 dpai. (B) Representative images of UV illuminated N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP and the proteins indicated above, taken at 7 dpai. (B) Representative images of UV illuminated N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP and the proteins indicated above, taken at 7 dpai. (C) Representative images of the size of TMVΔMPΔCP-GFP infection foci observed on leaves agroinfiltrated for the expression of (i) mRFP, (ii) MP\textsuperscript{CPvD141A}:mRFP, (iii) MP\textsuperscript{MPLBVB174A}:mRFP, (iv) MP\textsuperscript{MPLBVB174A}:mRFP or (v) MP\textsuperscript{MPLBVB174A}:mRFP. Fluorescence was monitored with a confocal laser scanning microscope at 5 dpai. Scale bar = 100 μm.
movement-deficient viral vectors (Robles Luna et al., 2013).

To determine the impact of the D mutation in cell-to-cell movement, we conducted the same assay with MP\textsuperscript{CPSV}\textsubscript{D141A}:mRFP or MP\textsuperscript{MiLBVV}\textsubscript{D174A}:mRFP mutants. For both mutants, we visualized by GFP fluorescence, foci consisting of single cells (Fig. 4B and C(iii)(v)). Thus, none of these mutants were able to complement the TMV\textDelta\textDeltaCP-GFP movement mutant, indicating that the signature aspartate is essential for movement complementation. Similar results have been found for the viruses RLBV (Emaravirus) (Yu et al., 2013), Rice stripe virus (Tenuivirus) (Zhang et al., 2012), TSWV (Li et al., 2009), Tobacco rattle virus (Tobravirus) (Yu et al., 2013), TVCV, ADV and LNYV (Mann et al., 2016), supporting the importance of the signature aspartate for movement function. These results, together with the differences observed in the subcellular localization, show that PD localization may not be correlated with cell-to-cell movement. Thus, although the signature aspartate seems to be robustly involved in cell-to-cell movement of the 30K MPs, it does not appear to exert the same role on PD localization in all 30K MPs, even between viruses belonging to the same genus as happen with cytorhabdovirus and ophiovirus.

3. Conclusions

To gain insight into the cell-to-cell movement mechanism of ophioviruses, we realized an exhaustive sequence and structure prediction analysis, together with functional studies on the MPs of this family of negative segmented viruses. We found that the ophiovirus MP is an isolated member of the 30K superfamily, with a unique organization. In ophioviruses, the signature aspartate of the MP is necessary to increase the size exclusion limit of the plasmodesmata, to maintain its NCAP capacity and to support cell-to-cell movement of a movement-deficient TMV virus mutant. In contrast, it is not consistently needed for PD localization in CPSV and MiLBVV. By comparing these results with earlier studies in other virus genera, we can conclude that in the superfamily of 30K MPs, the signature aspartate has a conserved role in the movement activities.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Protein sequence analyses

We used ANNIE (Ooi et al., 2009) to predict protein structural organization. We used Psi-Coffee (Di Tommaso et al., 2011; Taly et al., 2011) for multiple sequence alignments. The alignment is presented using Jalview (Waterhouse et al., 2009) with the ClustalX colouring scheme (see Fig. 2b and d in Procter et al. (2010)). The secondary structure of individual sequences was predicted using Jpred (Cole et al., 2008), and was verified in the context of multiple alignments using PROMALS (Pei et al., 2007). We predicted disordered regions with MetaDisorder (Kozlowski and Bujnicki, 2012), according to the principles described in (Ferron et al., 2006).

For remote homology detection we used the profile-sequence comparison method Psi-Blast (Altschul et al., 1990; Altschul et al., 1997) and the profile-profile comparison methods HHBlits (Remmert et al., 2012) and HPred (Hilbrand et al., 2009), as described in (Kuchibhatla et al., 2014), against the databases PFAM, version 30 (Finn et al., 2016), with a standard cut-off E-value of $10^{-3}$. We used HHAlign (Biegert et al., 2006) to validate potential homologs by pairwise comparison, with a cut-off E-value of $10^{-3}$.

4.2. Plasmid construction

The wild type MP\textsuperscript{CPSV} and MP\textsuperscript{MiLBVV} fusions constructs and their expression in leaves of N. benthamiana were described previously (Robles Luna et al., 2013). MP\textsuperscript{CPSV} and MP\textsuperscript{MiLBVV} mutants were constructed by using overlapping PCR with mutagenic primers and cloned into PCR8/GW/TOPO (ThermoFisher Scientific). The resulting entry plasmids were digested with Xhol and recombined with the plant expression vectors pB7RWG2 and pB7FWG2 (Karimi et al., 2002) to obtain C-terminal fusions to mRFP or eGFP, using LR Clonase II Enzyme Mix Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Correct cloning was confirmed by DNA sequencing. Verified recombinant plasmids were transferred to A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 by electroporation.

4.3. Plant agroinfiltration

Agroinfiltration experiments were performed in 5 to 6 week old N. benthamiana plants maintained in a growth chamber at 23 °C to 25 °C with 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod. A. tumefaciens cultures were harvested by centrifugation, diluted in water to a cell density OD\textsubscript{600 nm} value of 0.3 or as indicated, and infiltrated with 1–ml needleless syringe into the abaxial side of the leaf. Leaves were observed at 2–5 days post agroinfiltration (dpi). The expression of the fusion proteins was confirmed by western blot.

4.4. Protein analysis

Total soluble protein extracts of agroinfiltrated plant tissue were prepared by grinding of leaf material frozen in liquid nitrogen and then homogenizing in protein extraction buffer (75 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS and 1.0 mM PMSF) at 4 °C, shaking for 15 min. Extracts were mixed with sample buffer, boiled for 10 min, and clarified by centrifuging for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. Clarified supernatants were separated on 12% [w/v] SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Amersham Hybond-P; GE Healthcare), and blocked with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk powder in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20. eGFP and mRFP fusion proteins were detected with anti-GFP (JL-8) monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences) and then homogenizing in protein extraction buffer (75 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS and 1.0 mM PMSF) at 4 °C, shaking for 15 min. Extracts were mixed with sample buffer, boiled for 10 min, and clarified by centrifuging for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. Clarified supernatants were separated on 12% [w/v] SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Amersham Hybond-P; GE Healthcare), and blocked with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk powder in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20. eGFP and mRFP fusion proteins were detected with anti-GFP (JL-8) monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences Clontech, USA) and anti-mRFP [6G6] monoclonal anti body (Chromotek, Germany), respectively. Horseradish Peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse (BioRad, USA) was used as secondary antibody. Protein sizes were estimated using the PageRuler™ pre-stained protein ladder 10–180 kDa (ThermoFisher Scientific).

4.5. Fluorescence microscopy

CLSM was performed using a Leica TCS SP5 II microscope equipped with a HCX PL APO CS 1.40 OIL UV objective, excitation/emission wavelength of 488/524–550 nm for eGFP and of 543/566–634 nm for mRFP, and LAS AF version 2.2.1 4842 software. Images were processed with ImageJ software.
PD gating assays

PD gating was approached as described previously (Bayne et al., 2005), with minor modifications. Fully expanded leaves of N. benthamiana plants were agroinfiltrated with diluted Agrobacterium cultures carrying pGDC (for cytosolic GFP expression) (Goodin et al., 2002) at OD600nm = 5.0 × 10⁻⁴ and the construct that expressed the silencing suppressor p19 (pBin61-P19) (Voinnet et al., 2003) at OD600nm = 0.2 together with Agrobacterium cultures carrying the construct that expressed either the negative (mRFP) or positive controls (MPCTV:mRFP or MPMLBV:mRFP) at OD600nm = 0.3 in one half of the leaf allowing GFP expression in isolated epidermal cells, or together with Agrobacterium cultures carrying either MPCTV:D141A:mRFP or MPMLBV:D174A:mRFP (OD600nm = 0.3), on the other side. To evaluate NACP activity, leaves were co-agroinfiltrated with a diluted Agrobacterium culture (OD600nm = 5 × 10⁻⁴) carrying pGDC, pB7-MPCTV:eGFP, pB7-MPMLBV:eGFP, pB7-MPCTV:D141A:eGFP or pB7- MPMLBV:D174A:eGFP, mixed with an Agrobacterium culture carrying pBin61-P19 (OD600nm = 0.2). The number of fluorescence foci and cells in each focus were counted at 3 dpi by fluorescence microscopy. Four GFP-gating- or three (NCAP) independent experiments were performed. Arcsine transformation was used to correct data for normal distribution. Comparisons among independent experiments for each protein analysed were done using one-way ANOVA (with Tukey’s post hoc test, α = 0.05).

4.7. Movement trans-complementation assay

N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated with diluted Agrobacterium cultures containing TMV2.MAPΔCP-GFP (OD600nm = 1.0 × 10⁻⁵) and pBin61-P19 (OD600nm = 0.2) together with Agrobacterium cultures for the expression of either the negative control mRFP (OD600nm = 0.3) or the positive controls (MPCTV:mRFP or MPMLBV:mRFP) (OD600nm = 0.3) in one half of the leaf, or together with Agrobacterium cultures carrying either MPCTV:D141A:mRFP or MPMLBV:D174A:mRFP (OD600nm = 0.3), on the other half. Infection foci were analysed at 4–7 dpi under UV illumination and CLSM. Four independent experiments were done using four leaves for each protein analysed.
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