

Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

ATLAS Collaboration*

CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received: 13 May 2016 / Accepted: 8 June 2016 / Published online: 12 July 2016

© CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS collaboration 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract A search for squarks and gluinos in final states containing hadronic jets, missing transverse momentum but no electrons or muons is presented. The data were recorded in 2015 by the ATLAS experiment in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton–proton collisions at the Large Hadron Collider. No excess above the Standard Model background expectation was observed in 3.2 fb^{-1} of analyzed data. Results are interpreted within simplified models that assume R -parity is conserved and the neutralino is the lightest supersymmetric particle. An exclusion limit at the 95 % confidence level on the mass of the gluino is set at 1.51 TeV for a simplified model incorporating only a gluino octet and the lightest neutralino, assuming the lightest neutralino is massless. For a simplified model involving the strong production of mass-degenerate first- and second-generation squarks, squark masses below 1.03 TeV are excluded for a massless lightest neutralino. These limits substantially extend the region of supersymmetric parameter space excluded by previous measurements with the ATLAS detector.

1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–6] is a generalization of space-time symmetries that predicts new bosonic partners for the fermions and new fermionic partners for the bosons of the Standard Model (SM). If R -parity is conserved [7], SUSY particles (called sparticles) are produced in pairs and the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable and represents a possible dark-matter candidate. The scalar partners of the left- and right-handed quarks, the squarks \tilde{q}_L and \tilde{q}_R , mix to form two mass eigenstates \tilde{q}_1 and \tilde{q}_2 ordered by increasing mass. Superpartners of the charged and neutral electroweak and Higgs bosons also mix to produce charginos ($\tilde{\chi}^\pm$) and neutralinos ($\tilde{\chi}^0$). Squarks and the fermionic partners of the gluons, the gluinos (\tilde{g}), could be produced in strong-interaction processes at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [8] and decay via cascades ending with the stable LSP, which escapes the detector unseen, producing substantial missing transverse momentum (E_T^{miss}).

The production of gluinos and squarks is the primary target for early supersymmetry searches in proton–proton (pp) collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV at the LHC because of the large expected cross-sections predicted for the production of supersymmetric particles which participate to the strong interaction. This document presents a search for these particles in final states containing only hadronic jets and large missing transverse momentum. Interest in this final state is motivated by the large number of R -parity-conserving models [9, 10] in which squarks (including anti-squarks) and gluinos can be produced in pairs ($\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$, $\tilde{q}\tilde{g}$) and can decay through $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ to the lightest neutralino, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, assumed to be the LSP. Additional decay modes can include the production of charginos via $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}^\pm$ (where \tilde{q} and q are of different flavour) and $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}\tilde{\chi}^\pm$. Subsequent chargino decay to $W^\pm\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ can lead to still larger multiplicities of jets. The analysis presented here adopts the same analysis strategy as the previous ATLAS search designed for the analysis of the 7 TeV and 8 TeV data collected during Run 1 of the LHC, described in Refs. [11–15].

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 The ATLAS detector and data samples	2
3 Monte Carlo simulated samples	2
4 Object reconstruction and identification	4
5 Analysis strategy and fit description	5
6 Event selection and signal regions definitions	6
7 Background estimation and validation	7
8 Systematic uncertainties	9
9 Results, interpretation and limits	12
10 Conclusion	14
References	14

* e-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

The CMS Collaboration has set limits on similar models in Refs. [16–21].

In this search, events with reconstructed electrons or muons are rejected to reduce the background from events with neutrinos ($W \rightarrow e\nu, \mu\nu$) and to avoid any overlap with a complementary ATLAS search in final states with one lepton, jets and missing transverse momentum [22]. The selection criteria are optimized in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ and $(m_{\tilde{q}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ planes, (where $m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ are the gluino, squark and the LSP masses, respectively) for simplified models [23–25] in which all other supersymmetric particles are assigned masses beyond the reach of the LHC. Although interpreted in terms of SUSY models, the results of this analysis could also constrain any model of new physics that predicts the production of jets in association with missing transverse momentum.

2 The ATLAS detector and data samples

The ATLAS detector [26] is a multi-purpose detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and nearly 4π coverage in solid angle.¹ The inner tracking detector (ID) consists of pixel and silicon microstrip detectors covering the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$, surrounded by a transition radiation tracker which improves electron identification over the region $|\eta| < 2.0$. The innermost pixel layer, the insertable B-layer [27], was added between Run 1 and Run 2 of the LHC, at a radius of 33 mm around a new, narrower and thinner, beam pipe. The ID is surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing an axial 2 T magnetic field and by a fine-granularity lead/liquid-argon (LAr) electromagnetic calorimeter covering $|\eta| < 3.2$. A steel/scintillator-tile calorimeter provides hadronic coverage in the central pseudorapidity range ($|\eta| < 1.7$). The end-cap and forward regions ($1.5 < |\eta| < 4.9$) of the hadronic calorimeter are made of LAr active layers with either copper or tungsten as the absorber material. The muon spectrometer with an air-core toroid magnet system surrounds the calorimeters. Three layers of high-precision tracking chambers provide coverage in the range $|\eta| < 2.7$, while dedicated chambers allow triggering in the region $|\eta| < 2.4$.

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point in the centre of the detector. The positive x -axis is defined by the direction from the interaction point to the centre of the LHC ring, with the positive y -axis pointing upwards, while the beam direction defines the z -axis. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity η is defined in terms of the polar angle θ by $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$ and the rapidity is defined as $y = (1/2) \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$ where E is the energy and p_z the longitudinal momentum of the object of interest. The transverse momentum p_T , the transverse energy E_T and the missing transverse momentum E_T^{miss} are defined in the x - y plane unless stated otherwise.

The ATLAS trigger system [28] consists of two levels; the first level is a hardware-based system, while the second is a software-based system called the High-Level Trigger. The events used in this search were selected using a trigger logic that accepts events with a missing transverse momentum above 70 GeV, calculated using a sum over calorimeter cells. The trigger is 100 % efficient for the event selections considered in this analysis. Auxiliary data samples used to estimate the yields of background events were selected using triggers requiring at least one isolated electron ($p_T > 24$ GeV), muon ($p_T > 20$ GeV) or photon ($p_T > 120$ GeV). To increase the efficiency at high momenta, additional single-electron and single-muon triggers that do not require any isolation were included with thresholds of $p_T = 60$ GeV and $p_T = 50$ GeV, respectively.

The dataset used in this analysis was collected in 2015 with the LHC colliding proton beams at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, with 25 ns bunch spacing. The peak delivered instantaneous luminosity was $L = 5.2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the mean number of additional pp interactions per bunch crossing in the dataset was $\langle \mu \rangle = 14$. Application of beam, detector and data-quality criteria resulted in a total integrated luminosity of 3.2 fb^{-1} . The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is ± 5 %. It is derived, following a methodology similar to that detailed in Ref. [29], from a preliminary calibration of the luminosity scale using a pair of x - y beam-separation scans performed in August 2015.

3 Monte Carlo simulated samples

Simulated Monte Carlo (MC) data samples are used to optimize the selections, estimate backgrounds and assess the sensitivity to specific SUSY signal models.

SUSY signals are described in this paper by simplified models. They are defined by an effective Lagrangian describing the interactions of a small number of new particles, typically assuming one production process and one decay channel with a 100 % branching fraction. Signal samples used to describe squark- and gluino-pair production, followed by the direct² decays of squarks ($\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) and direct ($\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) or one-step³ ($\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}'W\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) decays of gluinos as shown in Fig. 1, are generated with up to two extra partons in the matrix element using MG5_aMC@NLO event generator [30] interfaced to PYTHIA 8.186 [31]. The CKKW-L merging scheme [32] is applied with a scale parameter that is set to a quarter of the mass of the gluino for $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ production or of the squark for $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ production. The A14 [33] set of tuned

² Direct decays are those where the considered SUSY particles decay directly into SM particles and the LSP.

³ One-step decays refer to the cases where the decays occur via one intermediate on-shell SUSY particle.




Fig. 1 The decay topologies of **a** squark-pair production and **b, c** gluino-pair production, in the simplified models with direct decays of squarks and direct or one-step decays of gluinos

Table 1 The Standard Model background Monte Carlo simulation samples used in this paper. The generators, the order in α_s of cross-section calculations used for yield normalization, PDF sets, parton showers and tunes used for the underlying event are shown

Physics process	Generator	Cross-section normalization	PDF set	Parton shower	Tune
$W(\rightarrow \ell\nu) + \text{jets}$	SHERPA 2.1.1	NNLO	CT10	SHERPA	SHERPA default
$Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}) + \text{jets}$	SHERPA 2.1.1	NNLO	CT10	SHERPA	SHERPA default
$\gamma + \text{jets}$	SHERPA 2.1.1	LO	CT10	SHERPA	SHERPA default
$t\bar{t}$	POWHEG-Box v2	NNLO+NNLL	CT10	PYTHIA 6.428	PERUGIA2012
Single top (Wt -channel)	POWHEG-Box v2	NNLO+NNLL	CT10	PYTHIA 6.428	PERUGIA2012
Single top (s -channel)	POWHEG-Box v2	NLO	CT10	PYTHIA 6.428	PERUGIA2012
Single top (t -channel)	POWHEG-Box v1	NLO	CT10f4	PYTHIA 6.428	PERUGIA2012
$t\bar{t} + W/Z/WW$	MG5_aMC@NLO	NLO	NNPDF2.3LO	PYTHIA 8.186	A14
WW, WZ, ZZ	SHERPA 2.1.1	NLO	CT10	SHERPA	SHERPA default
Multi-jet	PYTHIA 8.186	LO	NNPDF2.3LO	PYTHIA 8.186	A14

parameters (tune) is used for underlying event together with the NNPDF2.3LO [34] parton distribution function (PDF) set. The EVTGEN v1.2.0 program [35] is used to describe the properties of the b - and c - hadron decays in the signal samples and the background samples except those produced with SHERPA [36]. The signal cross-sections are calculated at next-to-leading order (NLO) in the strong coupling constant, adding the resummation of soft gluon emission at next-to-leading-logarithmic accuracy (NLO+NNLL) [37–41]. The nominal cross-section is taken from an envelope of cross-section predictions using different PDF sets and factorization and renormalization scales, as described in Ref. [42], considering only light-flavour quarks (u, d, s, c). Cross-sections are evaluated assuming masses of 450 TeV for the light-flavour squarks in case of gluino- or gluinos in case of squark-pair production. The free parameters are $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and $m_{\tilde{q}} (m_{\tilde{g}})$ for gluino-pair (squark-pair) production models.

A summary of the SM background processes together with the MC generators, cross-section calculation orders in α_s , PDFs, parton shower and tunes used is given in Table 1.

The production of γ, W or Z bosons in association with jets [43] is simulated using the SHERPA 2.1.1 generator. For W or Z bosons, the matrix elements are calculated for up to

two partons at NLO and up to two additional partons at leading order (LO) using the COMIX [44] and OPENLOOPS [45] matrix-element generators, and merged with the SHERPA parton shower [46] using the ME+PS@NLO prescription [47]. Events containing a photon in association with jets are generated requiring a photon transverse momentum above 35 GeV. For these events, matrix elements are calculated at LO with up to three or four partons depending on the p_T of the photon, and merged with the SHERPA parton shower using the ME+PS@LO prescription [48]. In both cases (W/Z +jets or γ +jets production), the CT10 PDF set [49] is used in conjunction with dedicated parton shower-tuning developed by the authors of SHERPA. The $W/Z + \text{jets}$ events are normalized to their NNLO cross-sections [50]. For the γ +jets process the LO cross-section, taken directly from the SHERPA MC generator, is multiplied by a correction factor as described in Sect. 7.

For the generation of $t\bar{t}$ and single-top processes in the Wt and s -channel [51] the POWHEG-BOX v2 [52] generator is used with the CT10 PDF set. The electroweak (EW) t -channel single-top events are generated using the POWHEG-BOX v1 generator. This generator uses the four-flavour scheme for the NLO matrix-element calculations

together with the fixed four-flavour PDF set CT10f4 [49]. For this process, the decay of the top quark is simulated using MADSPIN tool [53] preserving all spin correlations, while for all processes the parton shower, fragmentation, and the underlying event are generated using PYTHIA 6.428 [54] with the CTEQ6L1 [55] PDF set and the corresponding PERUGIA 2012 tune (P2012) [56]. The top quark mass is set to 172.5 GeV. The h_{damp} parameter, which controls the p_T of the first additional emission beyond the Born configuration, is set to the mass of the top quark. The main effect of this is to regulate the high- p_T emission against which the $t\bar{t}$ system recoils [51]. The $t\bar{t}$ events are normalized to the NNLO+NNLL [57,58]. The s - and t -channel single-top events are normalized to the NLO cross-sections [59,60], and the Wt -channel single-top events are normalized to the NNLO+NNLL [61,62].

For the generation of $t\bar{t} + \text{EW}$ processes ($t\bar{t} + W/Z/WW$) [63], the MG5_aMC@NLO [30] generator at LO interfaced to the PYTHIA 8.186 parton-shower model is used, with up to two ($t\bar{t} + W$), one ($t\bar{t} + Z$) or no ($t\bar{t} + WW$) extra partons included in the matrix element. The ATLAS underlying-event tune A14 is used together with the NNPDF2.3LO PDF set. The events are normalized to their respective NLO cross-sections [64,65].

Diboson processes (WW, WZ, ZZ) [66] are simulated using the SHERPA 2.1.1 generator. For processes with four charged leptons (4ℓ), three charged leptons and a neutrino ($3\ell+1\nu$) or two charged leptons and two neutrinos ($2\ell+2\nu$), the matrix elements contain all diagrams with four electroweak vertices, and are calculated for up to one ($4\ell, 2\ell+2\nu$) or no partons ($3\ell+1\nu$) at NLO and up to three partons at LO using the COMIX and OPENLOOPS matrix-element generators, and merged with the SHERPA parton shower using the ME+PS@NLO prescription. For processes in which one of the bosons decays hadronically and the other leptonically, matrix elements are calculated for up to one (ZZ) or no (WW, WZ) additional partons at NLO and for up to three additional partons at LO using the COMIX and OPENLOOPS matrix-element generators, and merged with the SHERPA parton shower using the ME+PS@NLO prescription. In all cases, the CT10 PDF set is used in conjunction with a dedicated parton-shower tuning developed by the authors of SHERPA. The generator cross-sections are used in this case.

The multi-jet background is generated with PYTHIA 8.186 using the A14 underlying-event tune and the NNPDF2.3LO parton distribution functions.

For all Standard Model background samples the response of the detector to particles is modelled with a full ATLAS detector simulation [67] based on GEANT4 [68]. Signal samples are prepared using a fast simulation based on a parameterization of the performance of the ATLAS electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters [69] and on GEANT4 elsewhere.

All simulated events are overlaid with multiple pp collisions simulated with the soft QCD processes of PYTHIA 8.186 using the A2 tune [33] and the MSTW2008LO parton distribution functions [70]. The simulations are not reweighted to match the distribution of the mean number of interactions observed in data. It was checked that the effect of such pile-up reweighting is completely negligible.

4 Object reconstruction and identification

The reconstructed primary vertex of the event is required to be consistent with the luminous region and to have at least two associated tracks with $p_T > 400$ MeV. When more than one such vertex is found, the vertex with the largest $\sum p_T^2$ of the associated tracks is chosen.

Jet candidates are reconstructed using the anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm [71,72] with jet radius parameter of 0.4 and starting from clusters of calorimeter cells [73]. The jets are corrected for energy from pile-up using the method suggested in Ref. [74]: a contribution equal to the product of the jet area and the median energy density of the event is subtracted from the jet energy [75]. Further corrections, referred to as the jet energy scale corrections, are derived from MC simulation and data and used to calibrate on average the energies of jets to the scale of their constituent particles [76]. Only jet candidates with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.8$ after all corrections are retained. An algorithm based on boosted decision trees, ‘MV2c20’ [77], is used to identify jets containing a b -hadron (b -jets), with an operating point corresponding to an efficiency of 77 % in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events, along with a rejection factor of 140 for gluon and light-quark jets and of 4.5 for charm jets [77,78]. Candidate b -tagged jets are required to have $p_T > 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Events with jets originating from detector noise and non-collision background are rejected if the jets fail to satisfy the ‘LooseBad’ quality criteria, or if at least one of the two leading jets with $p_T > 100$ GeV fails to satisfy the ‘TightBad’ quality criteria, both described in Ref. [79]. These selections affect less than 1 % of the events used in the search.

Two different classes of reconstructed lepton candidates (electrons or muons) are used in this analysis. When selecting samples used for the search, events containing a ‘baseline’ electron or muon are rejected. The selections applied to identify baseline leptons are designed to maximize the efficiency with which W +jets and top quark background events are rejected. When selecting ‘control region’ samples for the purpose of estimating residual W +jets and top quark backgrounds, additional requirements are applied to leptons to ensure greater purity of these backgrounds. These leptons are referred to as ‘high-purity’ leptons below and form a subset of the baseline leptons.

Baseline muon candidates are formed by combining information from the muon spectrometer and inner tracking detectors as described in Ref. [80] and are required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.7$. High-purity muon candidates must additionally have $|\eta| < 2.4$, the significance of the transverse impact parameter with respect to the primary vertex, $|d_0^{\text{PV}}|/\sigma(d_0^{\text{PV}}) < 3$, the longitudinal impact parameter with respect to the primary vertex $|z_0^{\text{PV}} \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm, and to satisfy ‘GradientLoose’ isolation requirements described in Ref. [80] which rely on the use of tracking-based and calorimeter-based variables and implement a set of η - and p_T -dependent criteria. The leading muon is also required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV.

Baseline electron candidates are reconstructed from an isolated electromagnetic calorimeter energy deposit matched to an ID track and are required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.47$, and to satisfy ‘Loose’ likelihood-based identification criteria described in Ref. [81]. High-purity electron candidates additionally must satisfy ‘Tight’ selection criteria described in Ref. [81], and the leading electron must have $p_T > 25$ GeV. They are also required to have $|d_0^{\text{PV}}|/\sigma(d_0^{\text{PV}}) < 5$, $|z_0^{\text{PV}} \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm, and to satisfy similar isolation requirements as those applied to high-purity muons.

After the selections described above, ambiguities between candidate jets with $|\eta| < 2.8$ and leptons are resolved as follows: first, any such jet candidate lying within a distance $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.2$ of a baseline electron is discarded; then any baseline lepton candidate remaining within a distance $\Delta R = 0.4$ of any surviving jet candidate is discarded, except in the case where the lepton is a muon (which can radiate a photon and be misidentified as a jet) and the number of tracks associated with the jet is less than three.

Additional ambiguities between electrons and muons in a jet, originating from the decays of hadrons, are resolved to avoid double counting and/or remove non-isolated leptons: the electron is discarded if a baseline electron and a baseline muon share the same ID track. If two baseline electrons are within $\Delta R = 0.05$, the electron with the lowest p_T is discarded.

The measurement of the missing transverse momentum vector $\mathbf{E}_T^{\text{miss}}$ (and its magnitude E_T^{miss}) is based on the calibrated transverse momenta of all electron, muon, photon and jet candidates and all tracks originating from the primary vertex and not associated with such objects [82].

Reconstructed photons, although not used in the main signal-event selection, are selected in the region used to constrain the Z+jets background, as explained in Sect. 7. Photon candidates are required to satisfy $p_T > 130$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.37$, to satisfy photon shower shape and electron rejection criteria [83], and to be isolated. Ambiguities between candidate jets and photons (when used in the event selection) are resolved by discarding any jet candidates lying

within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of a photon candidate. Additional selections to remove ambiguities between electrons or muons and photons are applied such that the photon is discarded if it is within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of an electron or muon.

Corrections derived from data control samples are applied to account for differences between data and simulation for the lepton trigger and reconstruction efficiencies, the lepton momentum/energy scale and resolution, and for the efficiency and mis-tag rate of the b -tagging algorithm.

5 Analysis strategy and fit description

To search for a possible signal, selections are defined to enhance the signal relative to the SM background. These signal region (SR) selections are optimized to maximize the expected significance for each model considered using MC simulation for the signal and the SM backgrounds. To estimate the SM backgrounds in a consistent and robust fashion, corresponding control regions (CRs) are defined for each of the signal regions. They are chosen to be non-overlapping with the SR selections in order to provide independent data samples enriched in particular background sources, and are used to normalize the background MC simulation. The CR selections are optimized to have negligible SUSY signal contamination for the models near the previously excluded boundary [14], while minimizing the systematic uncertainties arising from the extrapolation of the CR event yields to estimate backgrounds in the SR. Cross-checks of the background estimates are performed with data in several validation regions (VRs) selected with requirements such that these regions do not overlap with the CR and SR selections, again with a low expected signal contamination.

To extract the final results, three different classes of likelihood fit are employed: background-only, model-independent and model-dependent fits [84]. A background-only fit is used to estimate the background yields in each SR. The fit is performed using as constraints only the observed event yields from the CRs associated with the SR, but not the SR itself. It is assumed that signal events from physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM) do not contribute to these yields. The scale factors ($\mu_{W+\text{jets}}$, $\mu_{Z+\text{jets}}$, μ_{Top} , $\mu_{\text{Multi-jet}}$) are fitted in each CR attached to a SR. The expected background in the SR is based on the yields predicted by simulation, corrected by the scale factors derived from the fit. The systematic uncertainties and the MC statistical uncertainties in the expected values are included in the fit as nuisance parameters which are constrained by Gaussian distributions with widths corresponding to the sizes of the uncertainties considered and by Poisson distributions, respectively. The background-only fit is also used to estimate the background event yields in the VRs.

If no excess is observed, a model-independent fit is used to set upper limits on the number of BSM signal events in each SR. This fit proceeds in the same way as the background-only fit, except that the number of events observed in the SR is added as an input to the fit, and the BSM signal strength, constrained to be non-negative, is added as a free parameter. The observed and expected upper limits at 95 % confidence level (CL) on the number of events from BSM phenomena for each signal region (S_{obs}^{95} and S_{exp}^{95}) are derived using the CL_s prescription [85], neglecting any possible signal contamination in the control regions. These limits, when normalized by the integrated luminosity of the data sample, may be interpreted as upper limits on the visible cross-section of BSM physics ($(\epsilon\sigma)_{\text{obs}}^{95}$), where the visible cross-section is defined as the product of production cross-section, acceptance and efficiency. The model-independent fit is also used to compute the one-sided p -value (p_0) of the background-only hypothesis, which quantifies the statistical significance of an excess.

Finally, model-dependent fits are used to set exclusion limits on the signal cross-sections for specific SUSY models. Such a fit proceeds in the same way as the model-independent fit, except that both the yield in the signal region and the signal contamination in the CRs are taken into account. Correlations between signal and background systematic uncertainties are taken into account where appropriate. Signal-yield systematic uncertainties due to detector effects and the theoretical uncertainties in the signal acceptance are included in the fit.

6 Event selection and signal regions definitions

Due to the high mass scale expected for the SUSY models considered in this study, the ‘effective mass’, m_{eff} , is a powerful discriminant between the signal and most SM backgrounds. When selecting events with at least N_j jets, $m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ is defined to be the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the leading N_j jets and E_T^{miss} . Requirements placed on m_{eff} and E_T^{miss} form the basis of this search by strongly suppressing the multi-jet background where jet energy mismeasurement generates missing transverse momentum. The final signal selection uses requirements on both $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$, which sums over all jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV and E_T^{miss} , which is required to be larger than 200 GeV.

Following the object reconstruction described in Sect. 4, events are discarded if a baseline electron or muon with $p_T > 10$ GeV remains, or if they contain a jet failing to satisfy quality selection criteria designed to suppress detector noise and non-collision backgrounds (described in Sect. 4). Events are also rejected if no jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV are found. Reconstructed photons and hadronically decaying τ -leptons are not used in SR selections.

In order to maximize the sensitivity in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}})$ plane, a variety of signal regions are defined. Squarks typically gen-

Table 2 Selection criteria and targeted signal model used to define each of the signal regions in the analysis. Each SR is labelled with the inclusive jet multiplicity considered (‘2j’, ‘4j’ etc.) together with the degree of background rejection. The latter is denoted by labels ‘l’ (‘loose’), ‘m’ (‘medium’) and ‘t’ (‘tight’). The $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ cut in any N_j -jet channel uses a value of m_{eff} constructed from only the leading N_j jets ($m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$). However, the final $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ selection, which is used to define the signal regions, includes all jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV

Requirement	Signal Region						
	2jl	2jm	2jt	4jt	5j	6jm	6jt
Targeted signal	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$		$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}_1^0$		$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\tilde{q}'W\tilde{\chi}_1^0$		
E_T^{miss} [GeV] >	200						
$p_T(j_1)$ [GeV] >	200	300	200				
$p_T(j_2)$ [GeV] >	200	50	200	100			
$p_T(j_3)$ [GeV] >	–			100			
$p_T(j_4)$ [GeV] >	–			100			
$p_T(j_5)$ [GeV] >	–				50		
$p_T(j_6)$ [GeV] >	–				50		
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,3}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} >$	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.4			
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{>3}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} >$	–			0.2			
$E_T^{\text{miss}} / \sqrt{H_T}$ [GeV ^{1/2}] >	15		20		–		
Aplanarity >	–			0.04			
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j) >$	–			0.2	0.25	0.2	
$m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ [GeV] >	1200	1600	2000	2200	1600	1600	2000

erate at least one jet in their decays, for instance through $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, while gluinos typically generate at least two jets, for instance through $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Processes contributing to $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ final states therefore lead to events containing at least two or four jets, respectively. Decays of heavy SUSY and SM particles produced in longer \tilde{q} and \tilde{g} decay cascades (e.g. $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow qq'\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) tend to further increase the jet multiplicity in the final state.

Seven inclusive SRs characterized by increasing minimum jet multiplicity from two to six, are defined in Table 2. Some of them require the same jet-multiplicity, but are distinguished by increasing background rejection, ranging from ‘loose’ (labelled ‘l’) to ‘tight’ (labelled ‘t’).

In each region, different thresholds are applied on jet momenta and on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$, which is defined to be the smallest azimuthal separation between E_T^{miss} and the momenta of any of the reconstructed jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV. Requirements on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ are designed to reduce the background from multi-jet processes. For the SRs which are optimized for squark-pair (gluino-pair) production followed by the direct decay of squarks (gluinos), the selection requires $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} > 0.8$ ($\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} > 0.4$) using up to three leading jets present in the event. For the SRs requiring at least four jets in the final state, an additional requirement $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} > 0.2$ is placed on all jets. Signal region 2jm makes use of the presence of jets due to initial-state radiation by requiring a higher p_T threshold for the most energetic jet in the event, and is optimized to tar-

Table 3 Control regions used in the analysis. Also listed are the main targeted background in the SR in each case, the process used to model the background, and the main CR requirement(s) used to select this

CR	SR background	CR process	CR selection
CR γ	$Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})+\text{jets}$	$\gamma+\text{jets}$	Isolated photon
CRQ	Multi-jet	Multi-jet	SR with reversed requirements on (i) $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ and (ii) $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ or $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$
CRW	$W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)+\text{jets}$	$W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)+\text{jets}$	$30 \text{ GeV} < m_T(\ell, E_T^{\text{miss}}) < 100 \text{ GeV}$, b -veto
CRT	$t\bar{t}(\text{+EW})$ and single top	$t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\bar{b}q\ell\nu$	$30 \text{ GeV} < m_T(\ell, E_T^{\text{miss}}) < 100 \text{ GeV}$, b -tag

get models with small mass differences between the SUSY particles (compressed scenarios).

In the 2-jet SRs the requirement on $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ is replaced by a requirement on $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$ (where H_T is defined as the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of all jets), which was found to lead to enhanced sensitivity to models characterized by $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ production. In the other regions, additional suppression of background processes is based on the aplanarity variable, which is defined as $A = 3/2\lambda_3$, where λ_3 is the smallest eigenvalue of the normalized momentum tensor of the jets [86].

7 Background estimation and validation

Standard Model background processes contribute to the event counts in the signal regions. The dominant sources are: Z +jets, W +jets, top quark pairs, single top quarks, dibosons and multi-jet production. Diboson production is estimated with MC simulated data normalized to NLO cross-section predictions, as described in Sect. 3. Most of the W +jets background is composed of $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ events in which the τ -lepton decays to hadrons, with additional contributions from $W \rightarrow e\nu, \mu\nu$ events in which no baseline electron or muon is reconstructed. The largest part of the Z +jets background comes from the irreducible component in which $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ decays generate large E_T^{miss} . Top quark pair production followed by semileptonic decays, in particular $t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau\nu qq'$ (with the τ -lepton decaying to hadrons), as well as single-top-quark events, can also generate large E_T^{miss} and satisfy the jet and lepton-veto requirements. The multi-jet background in the signal regions is due to missing transverse momentum from misreconstruction of jet energies in the calorimeters, as well as neutrino production in semileptonic decays of heavy-flavour hadrons. After applying the requirements based on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ listed in Table 2 the remaining multi-jet background is negligible.


In order to estimate the backgrounds in a consistent and robust fashion, four control regions are defined for each of the seven signal regions, giving 28 CRs in total. The CR selections are optimized to maintain adequate statistical pre-

cess. The transverse momenta of high-purity leptons (photons) used to select CR events must exceed 25 (130) GeV. The jet p_T thresholds and $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ selections match those used in the corresponding SRs


cision while minimizing the systematic uncertainties arising from the extrapolation of the CR event yield to estimate the background in the SR. This latter requirement is addressed through the use of CR jet p_T thresholds and $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ selections which match those used in the SR. The CR definitions are listed in Table 3.

The CR γ region is used to estimate the contribution of $Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})+\text{jets}$ background events to each SR by selecting a sample of $\gamma+\text{jets}$ events with $p_T(\gamma) > 130 \text{ GeV}$ and then treating the reconstructed photon as contributing to E_T^{miss} . For $p_T(\gamma)$ significantly larger than m_Z the kinematic properties of such events strongly resemble those of Z +jets events [13]. In order to reduce the theoretical uncertainties associated with the $Z/\gamma^*+\text{jets}$ background expectations in SRs arising from the use of LO $\gamma+\text{jets}$ cross-sections, a correction factor is applied to the CR γ events. This correction factor, $\kappa = 1.5 \pm 0.1$, is determined by comparing CR γ observations with those in a highly populated auxiliary control region defined by selecting events with two electrons or muons for which the invariant mass lies within 25 GeV of the mass of the Z boson, satisfying $200 \text{ GeV} < |\mathbf{E}_T^{\text{miss}} + \mathbf{p}_T(\ell\bar{\ell})| < 300 \text{ GeV}$, together with at least two jets.


The CRW and CRT regions aim to select samples rich in $W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)+\text{jets}$ and semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ background events respectively. Consequently, they differ in their number of b -jets (zero or greater or equal to one respectively) but apply the same selection requirements on the transverse mass m_T formed by the E_T^{miss} and a high-purity lepton with $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$. These samples are used to estimate respectively the W +jets and combined $t\bar{t}$ and single-top background populations, treating the lepton as a jet with the same momentum to model background events in which a hadronically decaying τ -lepton is produced or events in which no baseline electron or muon is reconstructed because it is outside the detector acceptance or below the required p_T threshold. The CRW and CRT selections omit the SR selection requirements on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ or $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ ($E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$ where appropriate) in order to increase the number of CR data events without significantly increasing the theoretical uncertainties associated with the background estimation procedure.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 2 Observed $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ distributions in control regions **a** CR γ , **b** CRW and **c** CRT after selecting events with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 200$ GeV and at least four energetic jets with the corresponding transverse momenta as indicated in Table 2 for SR 4jt. No selection requirements on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ or $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ are applied in these distributions. The arrows indicate the values at which the requirements on $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$

are applied. The *histograms* denote the pre-fit MC background expectations, normalized to cross-section times integrated luminosity. The last bin includes the overflow. In the *lower panels* the hatched (red error bands) denote the combined experimental, MC statistical and theoretical modelling uncertainties

The CRQ region uses reversed selection requirements on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ and on $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ (or $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$ where appropriate) to produce samples enriched in multi-jet background events.

As an example, the $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ distributions in control regions associated with SR 4jt are shown in Fig. 2. In all

CRs, the data are consistent with the pre-fit MC background prediction within uncertainties, although the overall normalization is lower by approximately one standard deviation.

The background estimation procedure is validated by comparing the numbers of events observed in the VRs to the corresponding SM background expectations obtained from




Fig. 3 Differences between the numbers of observed events in data and the SM background predictions for each VR, expressed as a fraction of the total uncertainty which combines the uncertainty on the background expectations, and the expected statistical uncertainty of the test obtained from the number of expected events

the background-only fits. Several VR samples are selected with requirements distinct from those used in the CRs, which maintain a low probability of signal contamination.

The CR γ estimates of the Z($\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$)+jets background are validated using the samples of Z($\rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}$)+jets events selected by requiring high-purity lepton pairs of opposite sign and identical flavour for which the dilepton invariant mass lies within 25 GeV of the mass of the Z boson (VRZ). In VRZ, the leptons are treated as contributing to E_T^{miss} .

The CRW and CRT estimates of the W+jets and top quark background are validated with the same CRW and CRT selections, but reinstating the requirement on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$

Table 4 Breakdown of the dominant systematic uncertainties in the background estimates. The individual uncertainties can be correlated, and do not necessarily add in quadrature to the total background uncertainty. $\Delta\mu$ uncertainties are the result of the control region statistical

Channel	2jl	2jm	2jt	4jt	5j	6jm	6jt
Total bkg	283	191	23	4.6	13.2	6.9	4.2
Total bkg unc.	± 24 [8 %]	± 21 [11 %]	± 4 [17 %]	± 1.1 [24 %]	± 2.2 [17 %]	± 1.5 [22 %]	± 1.2 [29 %]
MC statistics	–	± 2.3 [1 %]	± 0.5 [2 %]	± 0.31 [7 %]	± 0.5 [4 %]	± 0.4 [6 %]	± 0.32 [8 %]
$\Delta\mu_{Z+\text{jets}}$	± 7 [2 %]	± 6 [3 %]	± 2.5 [11 %]	± 0.7 [15 %]	± 1.0 [8 %]	± 0.8 [12 %]	± 0.7 [17 %]
$\Delta\mu_{W+\text{jets}}$	± 10 [4 %]	± 8 [4 %]	± 1.2 [5 %]	± 0.5 [11 %]	± 1.1 [8 %]	± 0.7 [10 %]	± 0.5 [12 %]
$\Delta\mu_{\text{Top}}$	± 1.8 [1 %]	± 2.0 [1 %]	± 0.23 [1 %]	± 0.26 [6 %]	± 0.4 [3 %]	± 0.24 [3 %]	± 0.22 [5 %]
$\Delta\mu_{\text{Multi-jet}}$	± 0.05 [0 %]	± 0.09 [0 %]	± 0.1 [0 %]	–	–	–	–
CR γ corr. factor	± 11 [4 %]	± 7 [4 %]	± 1.0 [4 %]	± 0.17 [4 %]	± 0.4 [3 %]	± 0.21 [3 %]	± 0.15 [4 %]
Theory Z	± 8 [3 %]	± 4 [2 %]	± 2.4 [10 %]	± 0.6 [13 %]	± 0.6 [5 %]	± 0.5 [7 %]	± 0.6 [14 %]
Theory W	± 2.9 [1 %]	± 2.5 [1 %]	± 0.5 [2 %]	± 0.29 [6 %]	± 0.7 [5 %]	± 0.5 [7 %]	± 0.4 [10 %]
Theory top	± 2.1 [1 %]	± 2.1 [1 %]	± 0.28 [1 %]	± 0.12 [3 %]	± 0.8 [6 %]	± 0.4 [6 %]	± 0.13 [3 %]
Theory diboson	± 15 [5 %]	± 15 [8 %]	± 1.0 [4 %]	–	± 1.0 [8 %]	–	–
Jet/ E_T^{miss}	± 0.7 [0 %]	± 0.6 [0 %]	± 0.09 [0 %]	± 0.1 [2 %]	± 0.4 [3 %]	± 0.21 [3 %]	± 0.19 [5 %]

and $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ (or $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$ as appropriate), and treating the lepton either as a jet (VRW, VRT) or as contributing to E_T^{miss} (VRW ν , VRT ν).

The CRQ estimates of the multi-jet background are validated with VRs for which the CRQ selection is applied, but with the SR $E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(N_j)$ ($E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T}$) requirement reinstated (VRQa), or with a requirement of an intermediate value of $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}}$ applied (VRQb).

The results of the validation procedure are shown in Fig. 3. The entries in the matrix are the differences between the numbers of observed and expected events expressed as fractions of the one-standard deviation (1σ) uncertainties on the latter. Most VR observations lie within 1σ of the background expectations, with the largest discrepancy out of 49 VRs being -1.5σ the CRQb associated with the SR 4jt.

8 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in background estimates arise from the use of extrapolation factors which relate observations in the control regions to background expectations in the signal regions, and from the MC modelling of minor backgrounds.

The overall background uncertainties, detailed in Table 4, range from 8 % in SR 2jl to 29 % in SR 6jt. In SR 2jl the loose selection minimizes theoretical uncertainties and the impact of statistical fluctuations in the CRs, while the opposite is true in SR 6jt.

For the backgrounds estimated with MC simulation-derived extrapolation factors, the primary common sources of systematic uncertainty are the jet energy scale (JES) cali-

uncertainties and the systematic uncertainties entering a specific control region. In brackets, uncertainties are given relative to the expected total background yield, also presented in the Table. Empty cells (indicated by a ‘–’) correspond to uncertainties lower than 1 per mil




Fig. 4 Comparison of the observed and expected event yields as a function of signal region. The background expectations are those obtained from the background-only fits, presented in Table 5

bration, jet energy resolution (JER), theoretical uncertainties, and limited event yields in the MC samples and data CRs. Correlations between uncertainties (for instance between JES or JER uncertainties in CRs and SRs) are taken into account where appropriate.

Table 5 Numbers of events observed in the signal regions used in the analysis compared with background expectations obtained from the fits described in the text. No signal contribution is considered in the CRs for the fit. Empty cells (indicated by a ‘-’) correspond to estimates lower than 0.01. The p -values (p_0) give the probabilities of the observations being consistent with the estimated backgrounds. For an observed number of events lower than expected, the p value is truncated

Signal Region	2jl	2jm	2jt	4jt	5j	6jm	6jt
MC expected events							
Diboson	31	31	3.5	0.6	2.1	0.9	0.4
Z/ γ^* +jets	167	104	13	2.0	5.4	2.8	1.4
W+jets	80	46	5.0	1.1	3.4	1.7	1.0
$t\bar{t}$ (+EW) + single top	18	17	1.3	0.9	2.7	1.6	1.0
Multi-jet	0.7	0.8	0.04	–	–	–	–
Total MC	296	199	23	4.6	14	7.0	3.8
Fitted background events							
Diboson	31 ± 15	31 ± 16	3.5 ± 1.8	0.6 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 0.5	0.43 ± 0.27
Z/ γ^* +jets	170 ± 16	114 ± 11	16 ± 4	2.5 ± 0.9	6.0 ± 1.3	3.2 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 1.0
W+jets	68 ± 10	35 ± 9	3.5 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 1.3	1.9 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.7
$t\bar{t}$ (+EW) + single top	14 ± 3	10 ± 3	0.7 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.5	0.32 ± 0.26
Multi-jet	0.49 ± 0.05	0.6 ± 0.4	0.02 ± 0.10	–	–	–	–
Total bkg	283 ± 24	191 ± 21	23 ± 4	4.6 ± 1.1	13.2 ± 2.2	6.9 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 1.2
Observed	263	191	26	7	7	4	3
$\langle\epsilon\sigma\rangle_{\text{obs}}^{95}$ [fb]	16	15	5.2	2.7	1.7	1.7	1.6
S_{obs}^{95}	44	48	17	8.7	5.4	5.4	5.0
S_{exp}^{95}	54 ⁺²¹ ₋₁₄	48 ⁺¹⁶ ₋₁₀	14.0 ^{+5.4} _{-3.9}	6.3 ^{+2.9} _{-1.7}	8.7 ^{+4.2} _{-1.9}	6.6 ^{+3.2} _{-1.5}	5.7 ^{+2.8} _{-1.5}
p_0 (Z)	0.50 (0.00)	0.50 (0.00)	0.40 (0.26)	0.17 (0.94)	0.50 (0.00)	0.50 (0.00)	0.50 (0.00)

The JES uncertainty was measured using the techniques described in Refs. [76,87,88]. The JER uncertainty is estimated using the methods discussed in Refs. [76,89]. An additional uncertainty in the modelling of energy not associated with reconstructed objects, used in the calculation of E_T^{miss} and measured with unassociated charged tracks, is also included. The combined JES, JER and E_T^{miss} ($\text{Jet}/E_T^{\text{miss}}$) uncertainty ranges from <1 % of the expected background in 2-jet SRs to 5 % in SR 6jt.

Uncertainties arising from theoretical modelling of background processes are evaluated by comparing samples produced with different MC generators. The W/Z+jets events generated with SHERPA are compared to events generated with MG5_aMC@NLO at leading order and interfaced to the PYTHIA 8.186 parton shower model. Uncertainties in the modelling of top quark pair production are estimated by comparing POWHEG-BOX to aMC@NLO [90], and by accounting for different generator and radiation tunes. Uncertainties associated with PDF modelling of top quark pair production are found to be negligible. Uncertainties in diboson production due to PDF, renormalization, factorization and resummation scale uncertainties (estimated by increasing and decreasing the scales used in the MC generators by a factor of two)

at 0.5. Between parentheses, p -values are also given as the number of equivalent Gaussian standard deviations (Z). Also shown are 95 % CL upper limits on the visible cross-section ($\langle\epsilon\sigma\rangle_{\text{obs}}^{95}$), the visible number of signal events (S_{obs}^{95}) and the number of signal events (S_{exp}^{95}) given the expected number of background events (and $\pm 1\sigma$ excursions of the expectation)




Fig. 5 Observed $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ distributions for the **a** 2jl, **b** 2jm, **c** 2jt signal regions. The *histograms* denote the MC background expectations prior to the fits described in the text, normalized to cross-section times integrated luminosity. The last bin includes the overflow. In the *lower panels* the hatched (*red error bands*) denote the combined exper-

imental, MC statistical and theoretical modelling uncertainties. The *arrows* indicate the values at which the requirements on $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ are applied. Expected distributions for benchmark model points, normalized to NLO+NLL cross-section (Sect. 3) times integrated luminosity, are also shown for comparison (masses in GeV)

are accounted for by applying a uniform 50 % uncertainty in all SRs, and are the dominant source of uncertainty in SRs 2jl and 2jm. Uncertainties associated with the modelling of Z+jets production are largest in the SRs with tight selection cuts (up to 14 %). The statistical uncertainty arising from the use of MC samples is largest (8 %) in SR 6jt. The uncertainties arising from the data-driven correction procedure applied to events selected in the CR γ region, described in Sect. 7,

are included in Table 4 under ‘CR γ corr. factor’ and reach a value of 4 % in most of the SRs. The impact of lepton reconstruction uncertainties, and of the uncertainties related to the b-tag/b-veto efficiency, on the overall background uncertainty are found to be negligible for all SRs. The total background uncertainties for all SRs, broken down into the main contributing sources, are summarized in Table 4.




Fig. 6 Observed $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ distributions for the **a** 4jt, **b** 5j, **c** 6jm and **d** 6jt signal regions. The histograms denote the MC background expectations prior to the fits described in the text, normalized to cross-section times integrated luminosity. The last bin includes the overflow. In the lower panels the hatched (red error bands) denote the combined

experimental, MC statistical and theoretical modelling uncertainties. The arrows indicate the values at which the requirements on $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ are applied. Expected distributions for benchmark model points, normalized to NLO+NLL cross-section (Sect. 3) times integrated luminosity, are also shown for comparison (masses in GeV)

9 Results, interpretation and limits

The number of events observed in the data and the number of SM events expected to enter each of the signal regions, determined using the background-only fit, are shown in Table 5 and Fig. 4. The pre-fit background expectations are also shown in Table 5 for comparison. The normalisation factors

extracted simultaneously through the fit range for the different signal regions between 0.7 and 1.2 for W+jets, 0.4 and 0.8 for $t\bar{t}(\text{+EW})$ + single top, and 1.0 and 1.6 for $Z/\gamma^*+\text{jets}$ backgrounds.

Distributions of $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ obtained before the final selections on this quantity (but after applying all other selections), for data and the different MC samples normalized with the

theoretical cross-sections, i.e. before applying the normalization from the CR fit, are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. Examples of typical expected SUSY signals are shown for illustration. These signals correspond to the processes to which each SR is primarily sensitive – $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ production for the lower jet-multiplicity SRs and $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ production for the higher jet-multiplicity SRs. In these figures, data and background distributions largely agree within uncertainties. The differences seen in the lower regions of $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ distribution (1.2 – 2.0 TeV) in Fig. 6 do not affect the background expectations in the signal regions since the backgrounds are normalized using control regions (Table 3) with the same $m_{\text{eff}}(\text{incl.})$ selections. The fit to the CRs for each SR compensates for the differences related to the overall normalization of the background seen in Figs. 5 and 6, leading to the good agreements between data and post-fit expectations in the SRs observed in Table 5 and Fig. 4.

In the absence of a statistically significant excess, limits are set on contributions to the SRs from BSM physics. Upper limits at 95 % CL on the number of BSM signal events in each SR and the corresponding visible BSM cross-section are derived from the model-independent fits described in Sect. 5 using the CL_s prescription. Limits are evaluated using MC pseudo-experiments. The results are presented in Table 5.

The model-dependent fits in all the SRs are then used to set limits on specific classes of SUSY models, using the result from the SR with the best expected sensitivity at each point in each model parameter space. ‘Observed limits’ are calculated from the observed SR event yields for the nominal signal cross-section. ‘Expected limits’ are calculated by setting the nominal event yield in each SR to the corresponding mean expected background.

In Fig. 7, limits are shown for two classes of simplified models in which only direct production of light-flavour squark or gluino pairs are considered. In these simplified model scenarios, the upper limit of the excluded light-flavour squark mass region is 1.03 TeV assuming massless $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, as obtained from the signal region 2jt. The corresponding limit on the gluino mass is 1.51 TeV if the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is massless, as obtained from the signal region 4jt. The best sensitivity in the region of parameter space where the mass difference between the squark (gluino) and the lightest neutralino is small is obtained from the signal region 2jm.

In Fig. 8, limits are shown for pair-produced gluinos each decaying via an intermediate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ to two quarks, a W boson and a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Results are presented for simplified models in which the mass of the chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ is fixed to $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = (m(\tilde{g}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0))/2$. For a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass of ~ 200 GeV, the lower limit on the gluino mass, obtained from the signal region 4jt, extends up to 1.5 TeV in this model. In the region of parameter space where the mass difference between the gluino and the lightest neutralino is small, the best sensitivity is obtained from the signal region 2jm. Results are




Fig. 7 Exclusion limits for direct production of **a** light-flavour squark pairs with decoupled gluinos and **b** gluino pairs with decoupled squarks. Gluinos (light-flavour squarks) are required to decay to two quarks (one quark) and a neutralino LSP. Exclusion limits are obtained by using the signal region with the best expected sensitivity at each point. The blue dashed lines show the expected limits at 95 % CL, with the light (yellow) bands indicating the 1σ excursions due to experimental and background-only theoretical uncertainties. Observed limits are indicated by medium dark (maroon) curves where the solid contour represents the nominal limit, and the dotted lines are obtained by varying the signal cross-section by the renormalization and factorization scale and PDF uncertainties. Results are compared with the observed limits obtained by the previous ATLAS search [15]. The black stars indicate the benchmark models used in Figs. 5 and 6

compared with the observed limits obtained from the statistical combination of the search with no lepton and the search with one isolated lepton, high- p_T jets and missing transverse momentum performed at ATLAS [15] using the 8 TeV data. Statistical combinations of these two searches, designed to be statistically independent in their signal and control region definitions, are performed in order to increase the exclusion reach in models in which at least two analyses obtain comparable sensitivities, and still provide the strongest exclusion




Fig. 8 Exclusion limits for pair-produced gluinos each decaying via an intermediate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ to two quarks, a W boson and a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ for models with a fixed $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) = (m(\tilde{g}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0))/2$ and varying values of $m(\tilde{g})$ and $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. Exclusion limits are obtained by using the signal region with the best expected sensitivity at each point. The blue dashed lines show the expected limits at 95 % CL, with the light (yellow) bands indicating the 1σ excursions due to experimental and background-only theoretical uncertainties. Observed limits are indicated by medium dark (maroon) curves where the solid contour represents the nominal limit, and the dotted lines are obtained by varying the signal cross-section by the renormalization and factorization scale and PDF uncertainties. Results are compared with the observed limits obtained from the statistical combination of the search with no lepton and the search with one isolated lepton, high- p_T jets and missing transverse momentum performed at ATLAS [15]. The black stars indicate the benchmark models used in Fig. 6

limits in the region of parameter space in which the mass of gluino is between 700 and 1100 GeV and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass is above ~ 500 GeV.

10 Conclusion

This paper reports a search for squarks and gluinos in final states containing high- p_T jets, large missing transverse momentum but no electrons or muons, based on a 3.2 fb^{-1} dataset of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ proton–proton collisions recorded by the ATLAS experiment at the LHC in 2015. Good agreement is seen between the numbers of events observed in the data and the numbers of events expected from SM processes.

Results are interpreted in terms of simplified models with only light-flavour squarks, or gluinos, together with a neutralino LSP, with the masses of all the other SUSY particles set beyond the reach of the LHC. For a massless lightest neutralino, gluino masses below 1.51 TeV are excluded at the 95 % confidence level in a simplified model with only gluinos and the lightest neutralino. For a simplified model involving the strong production of squarks of the first and second generations, with decays to a massless lightest neutralino, squark masses below 1.03 TeV are excluded, assuming mass-degenerate squarks. In simplified models with pair-produced

gluinos, each decaying via an intermediate $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ to two quarks, a W boson and a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, gluino masses below 1.5 TeV are excluded for $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses of ~ 200 GeV. These results substantially extend the region of supersymmetric parameter space excluded by previous LHC searches.

Acknowledgments We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently. We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and IDEX, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom. The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA) and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. Y.A. Golfand, E.P. Likhman, Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of p invariance. JETP Lett. **13**, 323 (1971). [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 13:452–455, 1971]
2. D.V. Volkov, V.P. Akulov, Is the neutrino a goldstone particle? Phys. Lett. B **46**, 109 (1973). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(73\)90490-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(73)90490-5)
3. J. Wess, B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four-dimensions. Nucl. Phys. B **70**, 39 (1974). doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90355-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90355-1)
4. J. Wess, B. Zumino, Supergauge invariant extension of quantum electrodynamics. Nucl. Phys. B **78**, 1 (1974). doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90112-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90112-6)

5. S. Ferrara, B. Zumino, Supergauge invariant Yang–Mills theories. Nucl. Phys. B **79**, 413 (1974). doi:[10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90559-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90559-8)
6. A. Salam, J.A. Strathdee, Supersymmetry and nonabelian gauges. Phys. Lett. B **51**, 353–355 (1974). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(74\)90226-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(74)90226-3)
7. G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet, Phenomenology of the production, decay, and detection of new hadronic states associated with supersymmetry. Phys. Lett. B **76**, 575 (1978). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90858-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90858-4)
8. L. Evans, P. Bryant, L.H.C. Machine, JINST **3**, S08001 (2008). doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001)
9. P. Fayet, Supersymmetry and weak, electromagnetic and strong interactions. Phys. Lett. B **64**, 159 (1976). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(76\)90319-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(76)90319-1)
10. P. Fayet, Spontaneously broken supersymmetric theories of weak, electromagnetic and strong interactions. Phys. Lett. B **69**, 489 (1977). doi:[10.1016/0370-2693\(77\)90852-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(77)90852-8)
11. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos using final states with jets and missing transverse momentum with the ATLAS detector in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV proton–proton collisions. Phys. Lett. B **701**, 186–203 (2011). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2011.05.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.05.061). arXiv:[1102.5290](https://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5290) [hep-ex]
12. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos using final states with jets and missing transverse momentum with the ATLAS detector in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV proton–proton collisions. Phys. Lett. B **710**, 67–85 (2012). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.051). arXiv:[1109.6572](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6572) [hep-ex]
13. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos with the ATLAS detector in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 4.7 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV proton–proton collision data. Phys. Rev. D **87**, 012008 (2013). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.87.012008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.012008). arXiv:[1208.0949](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0949) [hep-ex]
14. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos with the ATLAS detector in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV proton–proton collision data. JHEP **09**, 176 (2014). doi:[10.1007/JHEP09\(2014\)176](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)176). arXiv:[1405.7875](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7875) [hep-ex]
15. ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of the searches for squarks and gluinos using $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS experiment at the LHC. JHEP **10**, 054 (2015). doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2015\)054](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2015)054). arXiv:[1507.05525](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.05525) [hep-ex]
16. CMS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry in hadronic final states using MT2 in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. JHEP **10**, 018 (2012). doi:[10.1007/JHEP10\(2012\)018](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)018). arXiv:[1207.1798](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1798) [hep-ex]
17. CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in the multijet and missing transverse momentum final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 171803 (2012). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.171803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.171803). arXiv:[1207.1898](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1898) [hep-ex]
18. CMS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry in hadronic final states with missing transverse energy using the variables α_T and b-quark multiplicity in pp collisions at 8 TeV. Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2568 (2013). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2568-6](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2568-6). arXiv:[1303.2985](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2985) [hep-ex]
19. CMS Collaboration, Inclusive search for supersymmetry using the razor variables in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 081802 (2013). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.081802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.081802). arXiv:[1212.6961](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6961) [hep-ex]
20. CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in the multijet and missing transverse momentum final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. JHEP **06**, 055 (2014). doi:[10.1007/JHEP06\(2014\)055](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)055). arXiv:[1402.4770](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4770) [hep-ex]
21. CMS Collaboration, Searches for supersymmetry using the MT2 Variable in hadronic events produced in pp collisions at 8 TeV. JHEP **05**, 078 (2015). doi:[10.1007/JHEP05\(2015\)078](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)078). arXiv:[1502.04358](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.04358) [hep-ex]
22. ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos in events with isolated leptons, jets and missing transverse momentum at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. JHEP **04**, 116 (2015). doi:[10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)116](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)116). arXiv:[1501.03555](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03555) [hep-ex]
23. J. Alwall et al., Searching for directly decaying gluinos at the tevatron. Phys. Lett. B **666**, 34–37 (2008). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.065). arXiv:[0803.0019](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0019) [hep-ph]
24. J. Alwall, P. Schuster, N. Toro, Simplified models for a first characterization of new physics at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D **79**, 075020 (2009). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.79.075020](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.075020). arXiv:[0810.3921](https://arxiv.org/abs/0810.3921) [hep-ph]
25. D. Alves et al., Simplified models for LHC new physics searches. J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys. **39**, 105005 (2012). doi:[10.1088/0954-3899/39/10/105005](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/39/10/105005). arXiv:[1105.2838](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2838) [hep-ph]
26. ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider. JINST **3**, S08003 (2008). doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003)
27. ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS insertable B-Layer technical design report. ATLAS-TDR-19 (2010). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1291633>
28. ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2010. Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1849 (2012). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1849-1](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1849-1). arXiv:[1110.1530](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1530) [hep-ex]
29. ATLAS Collaboration, Improved luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2518 (2013). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2518-3](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2518-3). arXiv:[1302.4393](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4393) [hep-ex]
30. J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations. JHEP **07**, 079 (2014). doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079). arXiv:[1405.0301](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.0301) [hep-ph]
31. T. Sjöstrand et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2. Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159–177 (2015). doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024). arXiv:[1410.3012](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012) [hep-ph]
32. L. Lönnblad, S. Prestel, Matching tree-level matrix elements with interleaved showers. JHEP **03**, 019 (2012). doi:[10.1007/JHEP03\(2012\)019](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2012)019). arXiv:[1109.4829](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4829) [hep-ph]
33. ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003 (2012). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1474107>
34. R.D. Ball et al., Parton distributions with LHC data. Nucl. Phys. B **867**, 244–289 (2013). doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.003). arXiv:[1207.1303](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1303) [hep-ph]
35. D.J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **462**, 152 (2001). doi:[10.1016/S0168-9002\(01\)00089-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4)
36. T. Gleisberg et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1. JHEP **02**, 007 (2009). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/007](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/007). arXiv:[0811.4622](https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.4622) [hep-ph]
37. W. Beenakker et al., Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders. Nucl. Phys. B **492**, 51–103 (1997). doi:[10.1016/S0550-3213\(97\)00084-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00084-9). arXiv:[hep-ph/9610490](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9610490)
38. A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, Threshold resummation for squark-antisquark and gluino-pair production at the LHC. Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 111802 (2009). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.111802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.111802). arXiv:[0807.2405](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.2405) [hep-ph]
39. A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, Soft gluon resummation for the production of gluino-gluino and squark-antisquark pairs at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D **80**, 095004 (2009). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.80.095004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.095004). arXiv:[0905.4749](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.4749) [hep-ph]
40. W. Beenakker et al., Soft-gluon resummation for squark and gluino hadroproduction. JHEP **12**, 041 (2009). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/041](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/041). arXiv:[0909.4418](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4418) [hep-ph]

41. W. Beenakker et al., Squark and gluino hadroproduction. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 2637–2664 (2011). doi:[10.1142/S0217751X11053560](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X11053560). arXiv:[1105.1110](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1110) [hep-ph]
42. M. Krämer et al., Supersymmetry production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. arXiv:[1206.2892](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2892) [hep-ph]
43. ATLAS Collaboration, Monte Carlo Generators for the Production of a W or Z/ γ^* Boson in Association with Jets at ATLAS in Run 2, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-003 (2016). <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2120133>
44. T. Gleisberg, S. Höche, Comix, a new matrix element generator. *JHEP* **12**, 039 (2008). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/039](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/039). arXiv:[0808.3674](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.3674) [hep-ph]
45. F. Cascioli, P. Maierhofer, S. Pozzorini, Scattering amplitudes with open loops. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 111601 (2012). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.111601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.111601). arXiv:[1111.5206](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.5206) [hep-ph]
46. S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A Parton shower algorithm based on Catani–Seymour dipole factorisation. *JHEP* **03**, 038 (2008). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/038](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/038). arXiv:[0709.1027](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1027) [hep-ph]
47. S. Höche et al., QCD matrix elements + parton showers: the NLO case. *JHEP* **04**, 027 (2013). doi:[10.1007/JHEP04\(2013\)027](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)027). arXiv:[1207.5030](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.5030) [hep-ph]
48. S. Höche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements and truncated showers. *JHEP* **05**, 053 (2009). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/053](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/053). arXiv:[0903.1219](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1219) [hep-ph]
49. H.-L. Lai et al., New parton distributions for collider physics. *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 074024 (2010). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024). arXiv:[1007.2241](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2241) [hep-ph]
50. F. P. R. Gavin Y. Li and S. Quackenbush, FEWZ 2.0: a code for hadronic Z production at nextto- next-to-leading order. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 2388–2403 (2011). doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2011.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2011.06.008). arXiv:[1011.3540](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.3540) [hep-ph]
51. ATLAS Collaboration, Simulation of top quark production for the ATLAS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-004 (2016). <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2120417>
52. S. Alioli et al., A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX. *JHEP* **06**, 043 (2010). doi:[10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043). arXiv:[1002.2581](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.2581) [hep-ph]
53. P. Artoisenet et al., Automatic spin-entangled decays of heavy resonances in Monte Carlo simulations. *JHEP* **03**, 015 (2013). doi:[10.1007/JHEP03\(2013\)015](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)015). arXiv:[1212.3460](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3460) [hep-ph]
54. T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual. *JHEP* **05**, 026 (2006). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026). arXiv:[hep-ph/0603175](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603175)
55. J. Pumplin et al., New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis. *JHEP* **07**, 012 (2002). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012). arXiv:[hep-ph/0201195](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0201195) [hep-ph]
56. P.Z. Skands, Tuning Monte Carlo generators: the Perugia tunes. *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 074018 (2010). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074018). arXiv:[1005.3457](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.3457) [hep-ph]
57. M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, Total top-quark pair-production cross section at Hadron Colliders through $O(\alpha_s^4)$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252004 (2013). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004). arXiv:[1303.6254](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.6254) [hep-ph]
58. M. Czakon, A. Mitov, Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at Hadron Colliders. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 2930 (2014). doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021). arXiv:[1112.5675](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.5675) [hep-ph]
59. M. Aliev et al., HATHOR: HAdronic Top and Heavy quarks crOss section calculatoR. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 1034–1046 (2011). doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2010.12.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.12.040). arXiv:[1007.1327](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1327) [hep-ph]
60. P. Kant et al., HatHor for single top-quark production: updated predictions and uncertainty estimates for single top-quark production in hadronic collisions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 74–89 (2015). doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2015.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.02.001). arXiv:[1406.4403](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.4403) [hep-ph]
61. N. Kidonakis, Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions for single top quark associated production with a W- or H-. *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 054018 (2010). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054018). arXiv:[1005.4451](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4451) [hep-ph]
62. N. Kidonakis, Next-to-next-to-leading-order collinear and soft gluon corrections for t-channel single top quark production. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 091503 (2011). doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.091503). arXiv:[1103.2792](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2792) [hep-ph]
63. ATLAS Collaboration, Modelling of the $t\bar{t}H$ and $t\bar{t}V$ ($V = W; Z$) processes for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV ATLAS analyses, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-005 (2016). <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2120826>
64. K.M.A. Lazopoulos, T. McElmurry, F. Petriello, Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to $t\bar{t}Z$ production at the LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **666**, 62–65 (2008). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.073](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.073). arXiv:[0804.2220](https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.2220) [hep-ph]
65. J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, $t\bar{t}W^\pm$ production and decay at NLO. *JHEP* **07**, 052 (2012). doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2012\)052](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)052). arXiv:[1204.5678](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.5678) [hep-ph]
66. ATLAS Collaboration, Multi-Boson simulation for 13 TeV ATLAS analyses, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-002 (2016). <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2119986>
67. ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70**, 823 (2010). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1429-9](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1429-9). arXiv:[1005.4568](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4568) [physics.ins-det]
68. S. Agostinelli et al., GEANT4: a simulation toolkit. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506**, 250–303 (2003). doi:[10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
69. ATLAS Collaboration, The simulation principle and performance of the ATLAS fast calorimeter simulation FastCaloSim. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-013 (2010). <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1300517>
70. A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63**, 189–285 (2009). doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5). arXiv:[0901.0002](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0002) [hep-ph]
71. M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm. *JHEP* **04**, 063 (2008). doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063). arXiv:[0802.1189](https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1189) [hep-ph]
72. M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder. *Phys. Lett. B* **641**, 57–61 (2006). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037). arXiv:[hep-ph/0512210](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0512210)
73. W. Lampl et al., Calorimeter clustering algorithms: description and performance, ATL-LARG-PUB-2008-002, ATL-COM-LARG-2008-003 (2008). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1099735>
74. M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas. *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 119–126 (2008). doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077). arXiv:[0707.1378](https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.1378) [hep-ph]
75. ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector. (2015). arXiv:[1510.03823](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.03823) [hep-ex]
76. ATLAS Collaboration, Jet calibration and systematic uncertainties for jets reconstructed in the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-015 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2037613>
77. ATLAS Collaboration, Expected performance of the ATLAS b-tagging algorithms in Run-2, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-022 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2037697>
78. ATLAS Collaboration, Commissioning of the ATLAS b-tagging algorithms using $t\bar{t}$ events in early Run-2 data, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-039 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2047871>
79. ATLAS Collaboration, Selection of jets produced in proton–proton collisions with the ATLAS detector using 2015 data. ATLAS-CONF-2015-029 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2037702>
80. ATLAS Collaboration, Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton–proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. arXiv:[1603.05598](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05598) [hep-ex]

81. ATLAS Collaboration, Electron identification measurements in ATLAS using $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data with 50 ns bunch spacing. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-041 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2048202>
82. ATLAS Collaboration, Expected performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction for the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-023 (2015). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2037700>
83. ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of the photon identification efficiency with the ATLAS detector using 4.9fb^{-1} of pp collision data collected in 2011. ATLAS-CONF-2012-123 (2012). <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1473426>
84. M. Baak et al., HistFitter software framework for statistical data analysis. Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 153 (2015). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3327-7. arXiv:1410.1280 [hep-ex]
85. A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL(s) technique. J. Phys. G **28**, 2693 (2002). doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313
86. C. Chen, New approach to identifying boosted hadronically decaying particles using jet substructure in its center-of-mass frame. Phys. Rev. D **85**, 034007 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.034007. arXiv:1112.2567 [hep-ph]
87. ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy measurement with the ATLAS detector in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2304 (2013). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2304-2. arXiv:1112.6426 [hep-ex]
88. ATLAS Collaboration, Single hadron response measurement and calorimeter jet energy scale uncertainty with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2305 (2013). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2305-1. arXiv:1203.1302 [hep-ex]
89. ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy resolution in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector. Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2306 (2013). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2306-0. arXiv:1210.6210 [hep-ex]
90. S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations. JHEP **06**, 029 (2002). doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/029. arXiv:hep-ph/0204244

ATLAS Collaboration

M. Aaboud^{136d}, G. Aad⁸⁷, B. Abbott¹¹⁴, J. Abdallah⁶⁵, O. Abdinov¹², B. Abeloos¹¹⁸, R. Aben¹⁰⁸, O. S. AbouZeid¹³⁸, N. L. Abraham¹⁵⁰, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁴, H. Abreu¹⁵³, R. Abreu¹¹⁷, Y. Abulaiti^{147a,147b}, B. S. Acharya^{164a,164b,a}, S. Adachi¹⁵⁶, L. Adamczyk^{40a}, D. L. Adams²⁷, J. Adelman¹⁰⁹, S. Adomeit¹⁰¹, T. Adye¹³², A. A. Affolder⁷⁶, T. Agatonovic-Jovin¹⁴, J. Agricola⁵⁶, J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{127a,127f}, S. P. Ahlen²⁴, F. Ahmadov^{67,b}, G. Aielli^{134a,134b}, H. Akerstedt^{147a,147b}, T. P. A. Åkesson⁸³, A. V. Akimov⁹⁷, G. L. Alberghi^{22a,22b}, J. Albert¹⁶⁹, S. Albrand⁵⁷, M. J. Alconada Verzini⁷³, M. Aleksa³², I. N. Aleksandrov⁶⁷, C. Alexa^{28b}, G. Alexander¹⁵⁴, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹¹⁴, M. Aliev^{75a,75b}, G. Alimonti^{93a}, J. Alison³³, S. P. Alkire³⁷, B. M. M. Allbrooke¹⁵⁰, B. W. Allen¹¹⁷, P. P. Allport¹⁹, A. Aloisio^{105a,105b}, A. Alonso³⁸, F. Alonso⁷³, C. Alpigiani¹³⁹, M. Alstaty⁸⁷, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³², D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁶⁷, M. G. Alvigi^{105a,105b}, B. T. Amadio¹⁶, K. Amako⁶⁸, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{26a}, C. Amelung²⁵, D. Amidei⁹¹, S. P. Amor Dos Santos^{127a,127c}, A. Amorim^{127a,127b}, S. Amoroso³², G. Amundsen²⁵, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴⁰, L. S. Ancu⁵¹, N. Andari¹⁰⁹, T. Andeen¹¹, C. F. Anders^{60b}, G. Anders³², J. K. Anders⁷⁶, K. J. Anderson³³, A. Andreazza^{93a,93b}, V. Andrei^{60a}, S. Angelidakis⁹, I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁸, P. Anger⁴⁶, A. Angerami³⁷, F. Anghinolfi³², A. V. Anisenkov^{110,c}, N. Anjos¹³, A. Annovi^{125a,125b}, M. Antonelli⁴⁹, A. Antonov⁹⁹, F. Anulli^{133a}, M. Aoki⁶⁸, L. Aperio Bella¹⁹, G. Arabidze⁹², Y. Arai⁶⁸, J. P. Araque^{127a}, A. T. H. Arce⁴⁷, F. A. Arduh⁷³, J.-F. Arguin⁹⁶, S. Argyropoulos⁶⁵, M. Arik^{20a}, A. J. Armbruster¹⁴⁴, L. J. Armitage⁷⁸, O. Arnaez³², H. Arnold⁵⁰, M. Arratia³⁰, O. Arslan²³, A. Artamonov⁹⁸, G. Artoni¹²¹, S. Artz⁸⁵, S. Asai¹⁵⁶, N. Asbah⁴⁴, A. Ashkenazi¹⁵⁴, B. Åsman^{147a,147b}, L. Asquith¹⁵⁰, K. Assamagan²⁷, R. Astalos^{145a}, M. Atkinson¹⁶⁶, N. B. Atlay¹⁴², K. Augsten¹²⁹, G. Avolio³², B. Axen¹⁶, M. K. Ayoub¹¹⁸, G. Azuelos^{96,d}, M. A. Baak³², A. E. Baas^{60a}, M. J. Baca¹⁹, H. Bachacou¹³⁷, K. Bachas^{75a,75b}, M. Backes³², M. Backhaus³², P. Bagiacchi^{133a,133b}, P. Bagnaia^{133a,133b}, Y. Bai^{35a}, J. T. Baines¹³², O. K. Baker¹⁷⁶, E. M. Baldin^{110,c}, P. Balek¹³⁰, T. Balestri¹⁴⁹, F. Balli¹³⁷, W. K. Balunas¹²³, E. Banas⁴¹, Sw. Banerjee^{173,e}, A. A. E. Bannoura¹⁷⁵, L. Barak³², E. L. Barberio⁹⁰, D. Barberis^{52a,52b}, M. Barbero⁸⁷, T. Barillari¹⁰², T. Barklow¹⁴⁴, N. Barlow³⁰, S. L. Barnes⁸⁶, B. M. Barnett¹³², R. M. Barnett¹⁶, Z. Barnovska⁵, A. Baroncelli^{135a}, G. Barone²⁵, A. J. Barr¹²¹, L. Barranco Navarro¹⁶⁷, F. Barreiro⁸⁴, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{35a}, R. Bartoldus¹⁴⁴, A. E. Barton⁷⁴, P. Bartos^{145a}, A. Basalae¹²⁴, A. Bassalat¹¹⁸, R. L. Bates⁵⁵, S. J. Batista¹⁵⁹, J. R. Batley³⁰, M. Battaglia¹³⁸, M. Baue^{133a,133b}, F. Bauer¹³⁷, H. S. Bawa^{144,f}, J. B. Beacham¹¹², M. D. Beattie⁷⁴, T. Beau⁸², P. H. Beauchemin¹⁶², P. Bechtel²³, H. P. Beck^{18,g}, K. Becker¹²¹, M. Becker⁸⁵, M. Beckingham¹⁷⁰, C. Becot¹¹¹, A. J. Beddall^{20d}, A. Beddall^{20b}, V. A. Bednyakov⁶⁷, M. Bedognetti¹⁰⁸, C. P. Bee¹⁴⁹, L. J. Beamster¹⁰⁸, T. A. Beermann³², M. Begel²⁷, J. K. Behr⁴⁴, C. Belanger-Champagne⁸⁹, A. S. Bell⁸⁰, G. Bella¹⁵⁴, L. Bellagamba^{22a}, A. Bellerive³¹, M. Bellomo⁸⁸, K. Belotskiy⁹⁹, O. Beltramello³², N. L. Belyaev⁹⁹, O. Benary¹⁵⁴, D. Bencheikroun^{136a}, M. Bender¹⁰¹, K. Bendtz^{147a,147b}, N. Benekos¹⁰, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁴, E. Benhar Nocchioli¹⁷⁶, J. Benitez⁶⁵, D. P. Benjamin⁴⁷, J. R. Bensinger²⁵, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁸, L. Beresford¹²¹, M. Beretta⁴⁹, D. Berge¹⁰⁸, E. Bergeas Kuutmann¹⁶⁵, N. Berger⁵, J. Beringer¹⁶, S. Berlendis⁵⁷, N. R. Bernard⁸⁸, C. Bernius¹¹¹, F. U. Bernlochner²³, T. Berry⁷⁹, P. Berta¹³⁰, C. Bertella⁸⁵, G. Bertoli^{147a,147b}, F. Bertolucci^{125a,125b}, I. A. Bertram⁷⁴, C. Bertsche⁴⁴, D. Bertsche¹¹⁴, G. J. Besjes³⁸, O. Bessidskaia Bylund^{147a,147b}, M. Bessner⁴⁴, N. Besson¹³⁷, C. Betancourt⁵⁰

S. Bethke¹⁰², A. J. Bevan⁷⁸, W. Bhimji¹⁶, R. M. Bianchi¹²⁶, L. Bianchini²⁵, M. Bianco³², O. Biebel¹⁰¹, D. Biedermann¹⁷, R. Bielski⁸⁶, N. V. Biesuz^{125a,125b}, M. Biglietti^{135a}, J. Bilbao De Mendizabal⁵¹, H. Bilokon⁴⁹, M. Bindi⁵⁶, S. Binet¹¹⁸, A. Bingul^{20b}, C. Bini^{133a,133b}, S. Biondi^{22a,22b}, D. M. Bjergaard⁴⁷, C. W. Black¹⁵¹, J. E. Black¹⁴⁴, K. M. Black²⁴, D. Blackburn¹³⁹, R. E. Blair⁶, J.-B. Blanchard¹³⁷, J. E. Blanco⁷⁹, T. Blazek^{145a}, I. Bloch⁴⁴, C. Blocker²⁵, W. Blum^{85,*}, U. Blumenschein⁵⁶, S. Blunier^{34a}, G. J. Bobbink¹⁰⁸, V. S. Bobrovnikov^{110.c}, S. S. Bocchetta⁸³, A. Bocci⁴⁷, C. Bock¹⁰¹, M. Boehler⁵⁰, D. Boerner¹⁷⁵, J. A. Bogaerts³², D. Bogavac¹⁴, A. G. Bogdanchikov¹¹⁰, C. Bohm^{147a}, V. Boisvert⁷⁹, P. Bokan¹⁴, T. Bold^{40a}, A. S. Boldyrev^{164a,164c}, M. Bomben⁸², M. Bona⁷⁸, M. Boonekamp¹³⁷, A. Borisov¹³¹, G. Borissov⁷⁴, J. Bortfeldt¹⁰¹, D. Bortoletto¹²¹, V. Bortolotto^{62a,62b,62c}, K. Bos¹⁰⁸, D. Boscherini^{22a}, M. Bosman¹³, J. D. Bossio Sola²⁹, J. Boudreau¹²⁶, J. Bouffard², E. V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷⁴, D. Boumediene³⁶, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁸, S. K. Boutle⁵⁵, A. Boveia³², J. Boyd³², I. R. Boyko⁶⁷, J. Bracinik¹⁹, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt⁵⁶, O. Brandt^{60a}, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁷, B. Brau⁸⁸, J. E. Brau¹¹⁷, H. M. Braun^{175,*}, W. D. Breaden Madden⁵⁵, K. Brendlinger¹²³, A. J. Brennan⁹⁰, L. Brenner¹⁰⁸, R. Brenner¹⁶⁵, S. Bressler¹⁷², T. M. Bristow⁴⁸, D. Britton⁵⁵, D. Britzger⁴⁴, F. M. Brochu³⁰, I. Brock²³, R. Brock⁹², G. Brooijmans³⁷, T. Brooks⁷⁹, W. K. Brooks^{34b}, J. Brosamer¹⁶, E. Brost¹¹⁷, J. H. Broughton¹⁹, P. A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁴¹, D. Bruncko^{145b}, R. Bruneliere⁵⁰, A. Bruni^{22a}, G. Bruni^{22a}, B. H. Brunt³⁰, M. Bruschi^{22a}, N. Bruscinò²³, P. Bryant³³, L. Bryngemark⁸³, T. Buanes¹⁵, Q. Buat¹⁴³, P. Buchholz¹⁴², A. G. Buckley⁵⁵, I. A. Budagov⁶⁷, F. Buehrer⁵⁰, M. K. Bugge¹²⁰, O. Bulekov⁹⁹, D. Bullock⁸, H. Burckhart³², S. Burdin⁷⁶, C. D. Burgard⁵⁰, B. Burghgrave¹⁰⁹, K. Burka⁴¹, S. Burke¹³², I. Burmeister⁴⁵, E. Busato³⁶, D. Büscher⁵⁰, V. Büscher⁸⁵, P. Bussey⁵⁵, J. M. Butler²⁴, C. M. Buttar⁵⁵, J. M. Butterworth⁸⁰, P. Butti¹⁰⁸, W. Buttinger²⁷, A. Buzatu⁵⁵, A. R. Buzykaev^{110.c}, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁶⁷, D. Caforio¹²⁹, V. M. Cairo^{39a,39b}, O. Cakir^{4a}, N. Calace⁵¹, P. Calafiura¹⁶, A. Calandri⁸⁷, G. Calderini⁸², P. Calfayan¹⁰¹, L. P. Caloba^{26a}, D. Calvet³⁶, S. Calvet³⁶, T. P. Calvet⁸⁷, R. Camacho Toro³³, S. Camarda³², P. Camarri^{134a,134b}, D. Cameron¹²⁰, R. Caminal Armadans¹⁶⁶, C. Camincher⁵⁷, S. Campana³², M. Campanelli⁸⁰, A. Camplani^{93a,93b}, A. Campoverde¹⁴⁹, V. Canale^{105a,105b}, A. Canepa^{160a}, M. Cano Bret^{35e}, J. Cantero¹¹⁵, R. Cantrill^{127a}, T. Cao⁴², M. D. M. Capeans Garrido³², I. Caprini^{28b}, M. Caprini^{28b}, M. Capua^{39a,39b}, R. Caputo⁸⁵, R. M. Carbone³⁷, R. Cardarelli^{134a}, F. Cardillo⁵⁰, I. Carli¹³⁰, T. Carli³², G. Carlino^{105a}, L. Carminati^{93a,93b}, S. Caron¹⁰⁷, E. Carquin^{34b}, G. D. Carrillo-Montoya³², J. R. Carter³⁰, J. Carvalho^{127a,127c}, D. Casadei¹⁹, M. P. Casado^{13,h}, M. Casolino¹³, D. W. Casper¹⁶³, E. Castaneda-Miranda^{146a}, R. Castelijin¹⁰⁸, A. Castelli¹⁰⁸, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁶⁷, N. F. Castro^{127a,i}, A. Catinaccio³², J. R. Catmore¹²⁰, A. Cattai³², J. Caudron⁸⁵, V. Cavaliere¹⁶⁶, E. Cavallaro¹³, D. Cavalli^{93a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹³, V. Cavasinni^{125a,125b}, F. Ceradini^{135a,135b}, L. Cerda Alberich¹⁶⁷, B. C. Cerio⁴⁷, A. S. Cerqueira^{26b}, A. Cerri¹⁵⁰, L. Cerrito⁷⁸, F. Cerutti¹⁶, M. Cerv³², A. Cervelli¹⁸, S. A. Cetin^{20c}, A. Chafaq^{136a}, D. Chakraborty¹⁰⁹, S. K. Chan⁵⁹, Y. L. Chan^{62a}, P. Chang¹⁶⁶, J. D. Chapman³⁰, D. G. Charlton¹⁹, A. Chatterjee⁵¹, C. C. Chau¹⁵⁹, C. A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵⁰, S. Che¹¹², S. Cheatham⁷⁴, A. Chegwidan⁹², S. Chekanov⁶, S. V. Chekulaev^{160a}, G. A. Chelkov^{67,j}, M. A. Chelstowska⁹¹, C. Chen⁶⁶, H. Chen²⁷, K. Chen¹⁴⁹, S. Chen^{35c}, S. Chen¹⁵⁶, X. Chen^{35f}, Y. Chen⁶⁹, H. C. Cheng⁹¹, H. J. Cheng^{35a}, Y. Cheng³³, A. Cheplakov⁶⁷, E. Cheremushkina¹³¹, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{136e}, V. Chernyatin^{27,*}, E. Cheu⁷, L. Chevalier¹³⁷, V. Chiarella⁴⁹, G. Chiarelli^{125a,125b}, G. Chiodini^{75a}, A. S. Chisholm¹⁹, A. Chitan^{28b}, M. V. Chizhov⁶⁷, K. Choi⁶³, A. R. Chomont³⁶, S. Chouridou⁹, B. K. B. Chow¹⁰¹, V. Christodoulou⁸⁰, D. Chromek-Burckhart³², J. Chudoba¹²⁸, A. J. Chuinard⁸⁹, J. J. Chwastowski⁴¹, L. Chytka¹¹⁶, G. Ciapetti^{133a,133b}, A. K. Ciftci^{4a}, D. Cinca⁵⁵, V. Cindro⁷⁷, I. A. Cioara²³, A. Ciochio¹⁶, F. Ciroto^{105a,105b}, Z. H. Citron¹⁷², M. Citterio^{93a}, M. Ciubancan^{28b}, A. Clark⁵¹, B. L. Clark⁵⁹, M. R. Clark³⁷, P. J. Clark⁴⁸, R. N. Clarke¹⁶, C. Clement^{147a,147b}, Y. Coadou⁸⁷, M. Cobal^{164a,164c}, A. Coccaro⁵¹, J. Cochran⁶⁶, L. Coffey²⁵, L. Colasurdo¹⁰⁷, B. Cole³⁷, A. P. Colijn¹⁰⁸, J. Collot⁵⁷, T. Colombo³², G. Compostella¹⁰², P. Conde Muino^{127a,127b}, E. Coniavitis⁵⁰, S. H. Connell^{146b}, I. A. Connelly⁷⁹, V. Consorti⁵⁰, S. Constantinescu^{28b}, G. Conti³², F. Conventi^{105a,k}, M. Cooke¹⁶, B. D. Cooper⁸⁰, A. M. Cooper-Sarkar¹²¹, K. J. R. Cormier¹⁵⁹, T. Cornelissen¹⁷⁵, M. Corradi^{133a,133b}, F. Corriveau^{89,l}, A. Corso-Radu¹⁶³, A. Cortes-Gonzalez¹³, G. Cortiana¹⁰², G. Costa^{93a}, M. J. Costa¹⁶⁷, D. Costanzo¹⁴⁰, G. Cottin³⁰, G. Cowan⁷⁹, B. E. Cox⁸⁶, K. Cranmer¹¹¹, S. J. Crawley⁵⁵, G. Cree³¹, S. Crépe-Renaudin⁵⁷, F. Crescioli⁸², W. A. Cribbs^{147a,147b}, M. Crispin Ortuzar¹²¹, M. Cristinziani²³, V. Croft¹⁰⁷, G. Crosetti^{39a,39b}, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴⁰, J. Cummings¹⁷⁶, M. Curatolo⁴⁹, J. Cúth⁸⁵, C. Cuthbert¹⁵¹, H. Czirr¹⁴², P. Czodrowski³, G. D'amen^{22a,22b}, S. D'Auria⁵⁵, M. D'Onofrio⁷⁶, M. J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{127a,127b}, C. Da Via⁸⁶, W. Dabrowski^{40a}, T. Dado^{145a}, T. Dai⁹¹, O. Dale¹⁵, F. Dallaire⁹⁶, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁸, M. Dam³⁸, J. R. Dandoy³³, N. P. Dang⁵⁰, A. C. Daniells¹⁹, N. S. Dann⁸⁶, M. Danninger¹⁶⁸, M. Dano Hoffmann¹³⁷, V. Dao⁵⁰, G. Darbo^{52a}, S. Darmora⁸, J. Dassoulas³, A. Dattagupta⁶³, W. Davey²³, C. David¹⁶⁹, T. Davidek¹³⁰, M. Davies¹⁵⁴, P. Davison⁸⁰, E. Dawe⁹⁰, I. Dawson¹⁴⁰, R. K. Daya-Ishmukhametova⁸⁸, K. De⁸, R. de Asmundis^{105a}, A. De Benedetti¹¹⁴, S. De Castro^{22a,22b}, S. De Cecco⁸², N. De Groot¹⁰⁷, P. de Jong¹⁰⁸, H. De la Torre⁸⁴, F. De Lorenzi⁶⁶, A. De Maria⁵⁶, D. De Pedis^{133a}, A. De Salvo^{133a}, U. De Sanctis¹⁵⁰, A. De Santo¹⁵⁰, J. B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁸, W. J. Dearnaley⁷⁴, R. Debbé²⁷, C. Debenedetti¹³⁸, D. V. Dedovich⁶⁷, N. Dehghanian³, I. Deigaard¹⁰⁸, M. Del Gaudio^{39a,39b}, J. Del Peso⁸⁴, T. Del Prete^{125a,125b}, D. Delgove¹¹⁸, F. Deliot¹³⁷, C. M. Delitzsch⁵¹

M. Deliyergiyev⁷⁷, A. Dell'Acqua³², L. Dell'Asta²⁴, M. Dell'Orso^{125a,125b}, M. Della Pietra^{105a,k}, D. della Volpe⁵¹, M. Delmastro⁵, P. A. Delsart⁵⁷, C. Deluca¹⁰⁸, D. A. DeMarco¹⁵⁹, S. Demers¹⁷⁶, M. Demichev⁶⁷, A. Demilly⁸², S. P. Denisov¹³¹, D. Denysiuk¹³⁷, D. Derendarz⁴¹, J. E. Derkaoui^{136d}, F. Derue⁸², P. Dervan⁷⁶, K. Desch²³, C. Deterre⁴⁴, K. Dette⁴⁵, P. O. Deviveiros³², A. Dewhurst¹³², S. Dhaliwal²⁵, A. Di Ciaccio^{134a,134b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W. K. Di Clemente¹²³, C. Di Donato^{133a,133b}, A. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Micco^{135a,135b}, R. Di Nardo³², A. Di Simone⁵⁰, R. Di Sipio¹⁵⁹, D. Di Valentino³¹, C. Diaconu⁸⁷, M. Diamond¹⁵⁹, F. A. Dias⁴⁸, M. A. Diaz^{34a}, E. B. Diehl⁹¹, J. Dietrich¹⁷, S. Diglio⁸⁷, A. Dimitrievska¹⁴, J. Dingfelder²³, P. Dita^{28b}, S. Dita^{28b}, F. Dittus³², F. Djama⁸⁷, T. Djobava^{53b}, J. I. Djuvsland^{60a}, M. A. B. do Vale^{26c}, D. Dobos³², M. Dobre^{28b}, C. Doglioni⁸³, T. Dohmae¹⁵⁶, J. Dolejsi¹³⁰, Z. Dolezal¹³⁰, B. A. Dolgoshein^{99,*}, M. Donadelli^{26d}, S. Donati^{125a,125b}, P. Dondero^{122a,122b}, J. Donini³⁶, J. Dopke¹³², A. Doria^{105a}, M. T. Dova⁷³, A. T. Doyle⁵⁵, E. Drechsler⁵⁶, M. Dris¹⁰, Y. Du^{35d}, J. Duarte-Campderros¹⁵⁴, E. Duchovni¹⁷², G. Duckeck¹⁰¹, O. A. Ducu^{96,m}, D. Duda¹⁰⁸, A. Dudarev³², E. M. Duffield¹⁶, L. Dufлот¹¹⁸, L. Duguid⁷⁹, M. Dührssen³², M. Dumancic¹⁷², M. Dunford^{60a}, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁴, A. Durglishvili^{53b}, D. Duschinger⁴⁶, B. Dutta⁴⁴, M. Dyndal⁴⁴, C. Eckardt⁴⁴, K. M. Ecker¹⁰², R. C. Edgar⁹¹, N. C. Edwards⁴⁸, T. Eifert³², G. Eigen¹⁵, K. Einsweiler¹⁶, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁵, M. El Kacimi^{136c}, V. Ellajosyula⁸⁷, M. Ellert¹⁶⁵, S. Elles⁵, F. Ellinghaus¹⁷⁵, A. A. Elliot¹⁶⁹, N. Ellis³², J. Elmsheuser²⁷, M. Elsing³², D. Emeliyanov¹³², Y. Enari¹⁵⁶, O. C. Endner⁸⁵, M. Endo¹¹⁹, J. S. Ennis¹⁷⁰, J. Erdmann⁴⁵, A. Ereditato¹⁸, G. Erni¹⁷⁵, J. Ernst², M. Ernst²⁷, S. Errede¹⁶⁶, E. Ertel⁸⁵, M. Escalier¹¹⁸, H. Esch⁴⁵, C. Escobar¹²⁶, B. Esposito⁴⁹, A. I. Etievre¹³⁷, E. Etzion¹⁵⁴, H. Evans⁶³, A. Ezhilov¹²⁴, F. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, G. Facini³³, R. M. Fakhruddinov¹³¹, S. Falciano^{133a}, R. J. Falla⁸⁰, J. Faltova¹³⁰, Y. Fang^{35a}, M. Fanti^{93a,93b}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{135a}, C. Farina¹²⁶, T. Farooque¹³, S. Farrell¹⁶, S. M. Farrington¹⁷⁰, P. Farthouat³², F. Fassi^{136e}, P. Fassnacht³², D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Fauci Giannelli⁷⁹, A. Favareto^{52a,52b}, W. J. Fawcett¹²¹, L. Fayard¹¹⁸, O. L. Fedin^{124,n}, W. Fedorko¹⁶⁸, S. Feigl¹²⁰, L. Felgioni⁸⁷, C. Feng^{35d}, E. J. Feng³², H. Feng⁹¹, A. B. Fenyuk¹³¹, L. Feremenga⁸, P. Fernandez Martinez¹⁶⁷, S. Fernandez Perez¹³, J. Ferrando⁵⁵, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁵, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁸, R. Ferrari^{122a}, D. E. Ferreira de Lima^{60b}, A. Ferrer¹⁶⁷, D. Ferrere⁵¹, C. Ferretti⁹¹, A. Ferretto Parodi^{52a,52b}, F. Fiedler⁸⁵, A. Filipčić⁷⁷, M. Filipuzzi⁴⁴, F. Filthaut¹⁰⁷, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁶⁹, K. D. Finelli¹⁵¹, M. C. N. Fiolhais^{127a,127c}, L. Fiorini¹⁶⁷, A. Firan⁴², A. Fischer², C. Fischer¹³, J. Fischer¹⁷⁵, W. C. Fisher⁹², N. Flaschel⁴⁴, I. Fleck¹⁴², P. Fleischmann⁹¹, G. T. Fletcher¹⁴⁰, R. R. M. Fletcher¹²³, T. Flick¹⁷⁵, A. Floderus⁸³, L. R. Flores Castillo^{62a}, M. J. Flowerdew¹⁰², G. T. Forcolin⁸⁶, A. Formica¹³⁷, A. Forti⁸⁶, A. G. Foster¹⁹, D. Fournier¹¹⁸, H. Fox⁷⁴, S. Fracchia¹³, P. Francavilla⁸², M. Franchini^{22a,22b}, D. Francis³², L. Franconi¹²⁰, M. Franklin⁵⁹, M. Frate¹⁶³, M. Fraternali^{122a,122b}, D. Freeborn⁸⁰, S. M. Fressard-Batraneanu³², F. Friedrich⁴⁶, D. Froidevaux³², J. A. Frost¹²¹, C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁷, E. Fullana Torregrosa⁸⁵, T. Fusayasu¹⁰³, J. Fuster¹⁶⁷, C. Gabaldon⁵⁷, O. Gabizon¹⁷⁵, A. Gabrielli^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli¹⁶, G. P. Gach^{40a}, S. Gadatsch³², S. Gadomski⁵¹, G. Gagliardi^{52a,52b}, L. G. Gagnon⁹⁶, P. Gagnon⁶³, C. Galea¹⁰⁷, B. Galhardo^{127a,127c}, E. J. Gallas¹²¹, B. J. Gallop¹³², P. Gallus¹²⁹, G. Galster³⁸, K. K. Gan¹¹², J. Gao^{35b,87}, Y. Gao⁴⁸, Y. S. Gao^{144,f}, F. M. Garay Walls⁴⁸, C. García¹⁶⁷, J. E. García Navarro¹⁶⁷, M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁶, R. W. Gardner³³, N. Garelli¹⁴⁴, V. Garonne¹²⁰, A. Gascon Bravo⁴⁴, C. Gatti⁴⁹, A. Gaudiello^{52a,52b}, G. Gaudio^{122a}, B. Gaur¹⁴², L. Gauthier⁹⁶, I. L. Gavrilenko⁹⁷, C. Gay¹⁶⁸, G. Gaycken²³, E. N. Gazis¹⁰, Z. Gece¹⁶⁸, C. N. P. Gee¹³², Ch. Geich-Gimbel²³, M. Geisen⁸⁵, M. P. Geisler^{60a}, C. Gemme^{52a}, M. H. Genest⁵⁷, C. Geng^{35b,o}, S. Gentile^{133a,133b}, S. George⁷⁹, D. Gerbaudo¹³, A. Gershon¹⁵⁴, S. Ghasemi¹⁴², H. Ghazlane^{136b}, M. Ghneimat²³, B. Giacobbe^{22a}, S. Giagu^{133a,133b}, P. Giannetti^{125a,125b}, B. Gibbard²⁷, S. M. Gibson⁷⁹, M. Gignac¹⁶⁸, M. Gilchriese¹⁶, T. P. S. Gillam³⁰, D. Gillberg³¹, G. Gilles¹⁷⁵, D. M. Gingrich^{3,d}, N. Giokaris⁹, M. P. Giordani^{164a,164c}, F. M. Giorgi^{22a}, F. M. Giorgi¹⁷, P. F. Giraud¹³⁷, P. Giromini⁵⁹, D. Giugni^{93a}, F. Giulii¹²¹, C. Giuliani¹⁰², M. Giulini^{60b}, B. K. Gjelsten¹²⁰, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁵⁵, I. Gkialas¹⁵⁵, E. L. Gkougkousis¹¹⁸, L. K. Gladilin¹⁰⁰, C. Glasman⁸⁴, J. Glatzer³², P. C. F. Glaysheer⁴⁸, A. Glazov⁴⁴, M. Goblirsch-Kolb¹⁰², J. Godlewski⁴¹, S. Goldfarb⁹¹, T. Golling⁵¹, D. Golubkov¹³¹, A. Gomes^{127a,127b,127d}, R. Gonçalves^{127a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa¹³⁷, G. Gonella⁵⁰, L. Gonella¹⁹, A. Gongadze⁶⁷, S. González de la Hoz¹⁶⁷, G. Gonzalez Parra¹³, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵¹, L. Goossens³², P. A. Gorbounov⁹⁸, H. A. Gordon²⁷, I. Gorelov¹⁰⁶, B. Gorini³², E. Gorini^{75a,75b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁷, E. Gornicki⁴¹, A. T. Goshaw⁴⁷, C. Gössling⁴⁵, M. I. Gostkin⁶⁷, C. R. Goudet¹¹⁸, D. Goujdami^{136c}, A. G. Goussiou¹³⁹, N. Govender^{146b,p}, E. Gozani¹⁵³, L. Graber⁵⁶, I. Grabowska-Bold^{40a}, P. O. J. Gradin⁵⁷, P. Grafström^{22a,22b}, J. Gramling⁵¹, E. Gramstad¹²⁰, S. Grancagnolo¹⁷, V. Gratchev¹²⁴, P. M. Gravila^{28e}, H. M. Gray³², E. Graziani^{135a}, Z. D. Greenwood^{81,q}, C. Grefe²³, K. Gregersen⁸⁰, I. M. Gregor⁴⁴, P. Grenier¹⁴⁴, K. Grevtsov⁵, J. Griffiths⁸, A. A. Grillo¹³⁸, K. Grimm⁷⁴, S. Grinstein^{13,r}, Ph. Gris³⁶, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁸, S. Groh⁸⁵, J. P. Grohs⁴⁶, E. Gross¹⁷², J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁶, G. C. Grossi⁸¹, Z. J. Grout¹⁵⁰, L. Guan⁹¹, W. Guan¹⁷³, J. Guenther¹²⁹, F. Guescini⁵¹, D. Guest¹⁶³, O. Gueta¹⁵⁴, E. Guido^{52a,52b}, T. Guillemain⁵, S. Guindon², U. Gul⁵⁵, C. Gumpert³², J. Guo^{35e}, Y. Guo^{35b,o}, S. Gupta¹²¹, G. Gustavino^{133a,133b}, P. Gutierrez¹¹⁴, N. G. Gutierrez Ortiz⁸⁰, C. Gutsche⁴⁶, C. Guyot¹³⁷, C. Gwenlan¹²¹, C. B. Gwilliam⁷⁶, A. Haas¹¹¹, C. Haber¹⁶, H. K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{136e}, A. Hadeef⁸⁷, P. Haefner²³, S. Hageböck²³, Z. Hajduk⁴¹, H. Hakobyan^{177,*}, M. Haleem⁴⁴,

J. Haley¹¹⁵, G. Halladjian⁹², G. D. Hallewell⁸⁷, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁵, P. Hamal¹¹⁶, K. Hamano¹⁶⁹, A. Hamilton^{146a}, G. N. Hamity¹⁴⁰, P. G. Hamnett⁴⁴, L. Han^{35b}, K. Hanagaki^{68,s}, K. Hanawa¹⁵⁶, M. Hance¹³⁸, B. Haney¹²³, P. Hanke^{60a}, R. Hanna¹³⁷, J. B. Hansen³⁸, J. D. Hansen³⁸, M. C. Hansen²³, P. H. Hansen³⁸, K. Hara¹⁶¹, A. S. Hard¹⁷³, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁵, F. Hariri¹¹⁸, S. Harkusha⁹⁴, R. D. Harrington⁴⁸, P. F. Harrison¹⁷⁰, F. Hartjes¹⁰⁸, N. M. Hartmann¹⁰¹, M. Hasegawa⁶⁹, Y. Hasegawa¹⁴¹, A. Hasib¹¹⁴, S. Hassani¹³⁷, S. Haug¹⁸, R. Hauser⁹², L. Hauswald⁴⁶, M. Havranek¹²⁸, C. M. Hawkes¹⁹, R. J. Hawkins³², D. Hayden⁹², C. P. Hays¹²¹, J. M. Hays⁷⁸, H. S. Hayward⁷⁶, S. J. Haywood¹³², S. J. Head¹⁹, T. Heck⁸⁵, V. Hedberg⁸³, L. Heelan⁸, S. Heim¹²³, T. Heim¹⁶, B. Heinemann¹⁶, J. J. Heinrich¹⁰¹, L. Heinrich¹¹¹, C. Heinz⁵⁴, J. Hejbal¹²⁸, L. Helary²⁴, S. Hellman^{147a,147b}, C. Helsens³², J. Henderson¹²¹, R. C. W. Henderson⁷⁴, Y. Heng¹⁷³, S. Henkelmann¹⁶⁸, A. M. Henriques Correia³², S. Henrot-Versille¹¹⁸, G. H. Herbert¹⁷, Y. Hernández Jiménez¹⁶⁷, G. Herten⁵⁰, R. Hertenberger¹⁰¹, L. Hervas³², G. G. Hesketh⁸⁰, N. P. Hessey¹⁰⁸, J. W. Hetherly⁴², R. Hickling⁷⁸, E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁶⁷, E. Hill¹⁶⁹, J. C. Hill³⁰, K. H. Hiller⁴⁴, S. J. Hillier¹⁹, I. Hinchliffe¹⁶, E. Hines¹²³, R. R. Hinman¹⁶, M. Hirose¹⁵⁸, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁵, J. Hobbs¹⁴⁹, N. Hod^{160a}, M. C. Hodgkinson¹⁴⁰, P. Hodgson¹⁴⁰, A. Hoecker³², M. R. Hoferkamp¹⁰⁶, F. Hoenig¹⁰¹, D. Hohn²³, T. R. Holmes¹⁶, M. Homann⁴⁵, T. M. Hong¹²⁶, B. H. Hooberman¹⁶⁶, W. H. Hopkins¹¹⁷, Y. Hori¹⁰⁴, A. J. Horton¹⁴³, J.-Y. Hostachy⁵⁷, S. Hou¹⁵², A. Hoummada^{136a}, J. Howarth⁴⁴, M. Hrabovsky¹¹⁶, I. Hristova¹⁷, J. Hrivnac¹¹⁸, T. Hryn'ova⁵, A. Hrynevich⁹⁵, C. Hsu^{146c}, P. J. Hsu^{152,t}, S.-C. Hsu¹³⁹, D. Hu³⁷, Q. Hu^{35b}, Y. Huang⁴⁴, Z. Hubacek¹²⁹, F. Hubaut⁸⁷, F. Huegging²³, T. B. Huffman¹²¹, E. W. Hughes³⁷, G. Hughes⁷⁴, M. Huhtinen³², T. A. Hülsing⁸⁵, P. Huo¹⁴⁹, N. Huseynov^{67,b}, J. Huston⁹², J. Huth⁵⁹, G. Iacobucci⁵¹, G. Iakovidis²⁷, I. Ibragimov¹⁴², L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁸, E. Ideal¹⁷⁶, Z. Idrissi^{136e}, P. Iengo³², O. Igonkina^{108,u}, T. Iizawa¹⁷¹, Y. Ikegami⁶⁸, M. Ikeno⁶⁸, Y. Ilchenko^{11,v}, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁵, N. Ilic¹⁴⁴, T. Ince¹⁰², G. Introzzi^{122a,122b}, P. Ioannou^{9,*}, M. Iodice^{135a}, K. Iordanidou³⁷, V. Ippolito⁵⁹, M. Ishino⁷⁰, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁸, R. Ishmukhametov¹¹², C. Issever¹²¹, S. Istin^{20a}, F. Ito¹⁶¹, J. M. Iturbe Ponce⁸⁶, R. Iuppa^{134a,134b}, W. Iwanski⁴¹, H. Iwasaki⁶⁸, J. M. Izen⁴³, V. Izzo^{105a}, S. Jabbar³, B. Jackson¹²³, M. Jackson⁷⁶, P. Jackson¹, V. Jain², K. B. Jakobi⁸⁵, K. Jakobs⁵⁰, S. Jakobsen³², T. Jakoubek¹²⁸, D. O. Jamin¹¹⁵, D. K. Jana⁸¹, E. Jansen⁸⁰, R. Jansky⁶⁴, J. Janssen²³, M. Janus⁵⁶, G. Jarlskog⁸³, N. Javadov^{67,b}, T. Javůrek⁵⁰, F. Jeanneau¹³⁷, L. Jeanty¹⁶, J. Jejelava^{53a,w}, G.-Y. Jeng¹⁵¹, D. Jennens⁹⁰, P. Jenni^{50,x}, J. Jentsch⁴⁵, C. Jeske¹⁷⁰, S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁷³, J. Jia¹⁴⁹, H. Jiang⁶⁶, Y. Jiang^{35b}, S. Jiggins⁸⁰, J. Jimenez Pena¹⁶⁷, S. Jin^{35a}, A. Jinaru^{28b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁸, P. Johansson¹⁴⁰, K. A. Johns⁷, W. J. Johnson¹³⁹, K. Jon-And^{147a,147b}, G. Jones¹⁷⁰, R. W. L. Jones⁷⁴, S. Jones⁷, T. J. Jones⁷⁶, J. Jongmanns^{60a}, P. M. Jorge^{127a,127b}, J. Jovicevic^{160a}, X. Ju¹⁷³, A. Juste Rozas^{13,r}, M. K. Köhler¹⁷², A. Kaczmarska⁴¹, M. Kado¹¹⁸, H. Kagan¹¹², M. Kagan¹⁴⁴, S. J. Kahn⁸⁷, E. Kajomovitz⁴⁷, C. W. Kalderon¹²¹, A. Kaluza⁸⁵, S. Kama⁴², A. Kamenshchikov¹³¹, N. Kanaya¹⁵⁶, S. Kaneti³⁰, L. Kanjir⁷⁷, V. A. Kantserov⁹⁹, J. Kanzaki⁶⁸, B. Kaplan¹¹¹, L. S. Kaplan¹⁷³, A. Kapliy³³, D. Kar^{146c}, K. Karakostas¹⁰, A. Karamaoun³, N. Karastathis¹⁰, M. J. Kareem⁵⁶, E. Karentzos¹⁰, M. Karnevskiy⁸⁵, S. N. Karpov⁶⁷, Z. M. Karpova⁶⁷, K. Karthik¹¹¹, V. Kartvelishvili⁷⁴, A. N. Karyukhin¹³¹, K. Kasahara¹⁶¹, L. Kashif¹⁷³, R. D. Kass¹¹², A. Kastanas¹⁵, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁶, C. Kato¹⁵⁶, A. Katre⁵¹, J. Katzy⁴⁴, K. Kawagoe⁷², T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁶, G. Kawamura⁵⁶, S. Kazama¹⁵⁶, V. F. Kazanin^{110,c}, R. Keeler¹⁶⁹, R. Kehoe⁴², J. S. Keller⁴⁴, J. J. Kempster⁷⁹, K. Kentaro¹⁰⁴, H. Keoshkerian¹⁵⁹, O. Kepka¹²⁸, B. P. Kerševan⁷⁷, S. Kersten¹⁷⁵, R. A. Keyes⁸⁹, F. Khalil-zada¹², A. Khanov¹¹⁵, A. G. Kharlamov^{110,c}, T. J. Khoo⁵¹, V. Khovanskiy⁹⁸, E. Khramov⁶⁷, J. Khubua^{53b,y}, S. Kido⁶⁹, H. Y. Kim⁸, S. H. Kim¹⁶¹, Y. K. Kim³³, N. Kimura¹⁵⁵, O. M. Kind¹⁷, B. T. King⁷⁶, M. King¹⁶⁷, S. B. King¹⁶⁸, J. Kirk¹³², A. E. Kiryunin¹⁰², T. Kishimoto⁶⁹, D. Kisielewska^{40a}, F. Kiss⁵⁰, K. Kiuchi¹⁶¹, O. Kivernyk¹³⁷, E. Kladrava^{145b}, M. H. Klein³⁷, M. Klein⁷⁶, U. Klein⁷⁶, K. Kleinknecht⁸⁵, P. Klimek^{147a,147b}, A. Klimentov²⁷, R. Klingenberg⁴⁵, J. A. Klinger¹⁴⁰, T. Klioutchnikova³², E.-E. Kluge^{60a}, P. Kluit¹⁰⁸, S. Kluth¹⁰², J. Knapik⁴¹, E. Kneringer⁶⁴, E. B. F. G. Knoops⁸⁷, A. Knue⁵⁵, A. Kobayashi¹⁵⁶, D. Kobayashi¹⁵⁸, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁶, M. Kobel⁴⁶, M. Kocian¹⁴⁴, P. Kodys¹³⁰, T. Koffas³¹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁸, T. Koi¹⁴⁴, H. Kolanoski¹⁷, M. Kolb^{60b}, I. Koletsou⁵, A. A. Komar^{97,*}, Y. Komori¹⁵⁶, T. Kondo⁶⁸, N. Kondrashova⁴⁴, K. Köneke⁵⁰, A. C. König¹⁰⁷, T. Kono^{68,z}, R. Konoplich^{111,aa}, N. Konstantinidis⁸⁰, R. Kopeliansky⁶³, S. Koperny^{40a}, L. Köpke⁸⁵, A. K. Kopp⁵⁰, K. Korcyl⁴¹, K. Kordas¹⁵⁵, A. Korn⁸⁰, A. A. Korol^{110,c}, I. Korolkov¹³, E. V. Korolkova¹⁴⁰, O. Kortner¹⁰², S. Kortner¹⁰², T. Kosek¹³⁰, V. V. Kostyukhin²³, A. Kotwal⁴⁷, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi¹⁵⁵, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, V. Kouskoura²⁷, A. B. Kowalewska⁴¹, R. Kowalewski¹⁶⁹, T. Z. Kowalski^{40a}, C. Kozakai¹⁵⁶, W. Kozanecki¹³⁷, A. S. Kozhin¹³¹, V. A. Kramarenko¹⁰⁰, G. Kramberger⁷⁷, D. Krasnopevtsev⁹⁹, M. W. Krasny⁸², A. Krasznahorkay³², J. K. Kraus²³, A. Kravchenko²⁷, M. Kretz^{60c}, J. Kretzschmar⁷⁶, K. Kreutzfeldt⁵⁴, P. Krieger¹⁵⁹, K. Krizka³³, K. Kroeninger⁴⁵, H. Kroha¹⁰², J. Kroll¹²³, J. Kroseberg²³, J. Krstic¹⁴, U. Kruchonak⁶⁷, H. Krüger²³, N. Krumnack⁶⁶, A. Kruse¹⁷³, M. C. Kruse⁴⁷, M. Kruskal²⁴, T. Kubota⁹⁰, H. Kucuk⁸⁰, S. Kuday^{4b}, J. T. Kuechler¹⁷⁵, S. Kuehn⁵⁰, A. Kugel^{60c}, F. Kuger¹⁷⁴, A. Kuhl¹³⁸, T. Kuhl⁴⁴, V. Kukhtin⁶⁷, R. Kukla¹³⁷, Y. Kulchitsky⁹⁴, S. Kuleshov^{34b}, M. Kuna^{133a,133b}, T. Kunigo⁷⁰, A. Kupco¹²⁸, H. Kurashige⁶⁹, Y. A. Kurochkin⁹⁴, V. Kus¹²⁸, E. S. Kuwertz¹⁶⁹, M. Kuze¹⁵⁸, J. Kvita¹¹⁶, T. Kwan¹⁶⁹, D. Kyriazopoulos¹⁴⁰, A. La Rosa¹⁰², J. L. La Rosa Navarro^{26d}, L. La Rotonda^{39a,39b}, C. Lacasta¹⁶⁷,

F. Lacava^{133a,133b}, J. Lacey³¹, H. Lacker¹⁷, D. Lacour⁸², V. R. Lacuesta¹⁶⁷, E. Ladygin⁶⁷, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge⁸², T. Lagouri¹⁷⁶, S. Lai⁵⁶, S. Lammers⁶³, W. Lampf⁷, E. Lançon¹³⁷, U. Landgraf⁵⁰, M. P. J. Landon⁷⁸, V. S. Lang^{60a}, J. C. Lange¹³, A. J. Lankford¹⁶³, F. Lanni²⁷, K. Lantzsch²³, A. Lanza^{122a}, S. Laplace⁸², C. Lapoire³², J. F. Laporte¹³⁷, T. Lari^{93a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{22a,22b}, M. Lassnig³², P. Laurelli⁴⁹, W. Lavrijsen¹⁶, A. T. Law¹³⁸, P. Laycock⁷⁶, T. Lazovich⁵⁹, M. Lazzaroni^{93a,93b}, B. Le⁹⁰, O. Le Dortz⁸², E. Le Guirriec⁸⁷, E. P. Le Quilleuc¹³⁷, M. LeBlanc¹⁶⁹, T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁷, C. A. Lee²⁷, S. C. Lee¹⁵², L. Lee¹, G. Lefebvre⁸², M. Lefebvre¹⁶⁹, F. Legger¹⁰¹, C. Leggett¹⁶, A. Lehan⁷⁶, G. Lehmann Miotto³², X. Lei⁷, W. A. Leight³¹, A. Leisos^{155,ab}, A. G. Leister¹⁷⁶, M. A. L. Leite^{26d}, R. Leitner¹³⁰, D. Lellouch¹⁷², B. Lemmer⁵⁶, K. J. C. Leney⁸⁰, T. Lenz²³, B. Lenzi³², R. Leone⁷, S. Leone^{125a,125b}, C. Leonidopoulos⁴⁸, S. Leontsinis¹⁰, G. Lerner¹⁵⁰, C. Leroy⁹⁶, A. A. J. Lesage¹³⁷, C. G. Lester³⁰, M. Levchenko¹²⁴, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁹¹, L. J. Levinson¹⁷², M. Levy¹⁹, D. Lewis⁷⁸, A. M. Leyko²³, M. Leyton⁴³, B. Li^{35b,o}, H. Li¹⁴⁹, H. L. Li³³, L. Li⁴⁷, L. Li^{35e}, Q. Li^{35a}, S. Li⁴⁷, X. Li⁸⁶, Y. Li¹⁴², Z. Liang^{35a}, B. Liberti^{134a}, A. Liblong¹⁵⁹, P. Lichard³², K. Lie¹⁶⁶, J. Liebal²³, W. Liebig¹⁵, A. Limosani¹⁵¹, S. C. Lin^{152,ac}, T. H. Lin⁸⁵, B. E. Lindquist¹⁴⁹, A. E. Lioni⁵¹, E. Lipeles¹²³, A. Lipniacka¹⁵, M. Lisovyi^{60b}, T. M. Liss¹⁶⁶, A. Lister¹⁶⁸, A. M. Litke¹³⁸, B. Liu^{152,ad}, D. Liu¹⁵², H. Liu⁹¹, H. Liu²⁷, J. Liu⁸⁷, J. B. Liu^{35b}, K. Liu⁸⁷, L. Liu¹⁶⁶, M. Liu⁴⁷, M. Liu^{35b}, Y. L. Liu^{35b}, Y. Liu^{35b}, M. Livan^{122a,122b}, A. Lleres⁵⁷, J. Llorente Merino^{35a}, S. L. Lloyd⁷⁸, F. Lo Sterzo¹⁵², E. Lobodzinska⁴⁴, P. Loch⁷, W. S. Lockman¹³⁸, F. K. Loebinger⁸⁶, A. E. Loeschall-Jensen³⁸, K. M. Loew²⁵, A. Loginov¹⁷⁶, T. Lohse¹⁷, K. Lohwasser⁴⁴, M. Lokajicek¹²⁸, B. A. Long²⁴, J. D. Long¹⁶⁶, R. E. Long⁷⁴, L. Longo^{75a,75b}, K. A. Looper¹¹², L. Lopes^{127a}, D. Lopez Mateos⁵⁹, B. Lopez Paredes¹⁴⁰, I. Lopez Paz¹³, A. Lopez Solis⁸², J. Lorenz¹⁰¹, N. Lorenzo Martinez⁶³, M. Losada²¹, P. J. Lösel¹⁰¹, X. Lou^{35a}, A. Lounis¹¹⁸, J. Love⁶, P. A. Love⁷⁴, H. Lu^{62a}, N. Lu⁹¹, H. J. Lubatti¹³⁹, C. Luci^{133a,133b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁷, C. Luedtke⁵⁰, F. Luehring⁶³, W. Lukas⁶⁴, L. Luminari^{133a}, O. Lundberg^{147a,147b}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁸, P. M. Luzi⁸², D. Lynn²⁷, R. Lysak¹²⁸, E. Lytken⁸³, V. Lyubushkin⁶⁷, H. Ma²⁷, L. L. Ma^{35d}, Y. Ma^{35d}, G. Maccarrone⁴⁹, A. Macchiolo¹⁰², C. M. Macdonald¹⁴⁰, B. Maček⁷⁷, J. Machado Miguens^{123,127b}, D. Madaffari⁸⁷, R. Madar³⁶, H. J. Maddocks¹⁶⁵, W. F. Mader⁴⁶, A. Madsen⁴⁴, J. Maeda⁶⁹, S. Maeland¹⁵, T. Maeno²⁷, A. Maevskiy¹⁰⁰, E. Magradze⁵⁶, J. Mahlstedt¹⁰⁸, C. Maiani¹¹⁸, C. Maidantchik^{26a}, A. A. Maier¹⁰², T. Maier¹⁰¹, A. Maio^{127a,127b,127d}, S. Majewski¹¹⁷, Y. Makida⁶⁸, N. Makovec¹¹⁸, B. Malaescu⁸², Pa. Malecki⁴¹, V. P. Maleev¹²⁴, F. Malek⁵⁷, U. Mallik⁶⁵, D. Malon⁶, C. Malone¹⁴⁴, S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³², J. Mamuzic¹⁶⁷, G. Mancini⁴⁹, B. Mandelli³², L. Mandelli^{93a}, I. Mandić⁷⁷, J. Maneira^{127a,127b}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{26b}, J. Manjarres Ramos^{160b}, A. Mann¹⁰¹, A. Manousos³², B. Mansoulie¹³⁷, J. D. Mansour^{35a}, R. Mantifel⁸⁹, M. Mantoani⁵⁶, S. Manzoni^{93a,93b}, L. Mapelli³², G. Marceca²⁹, L. March⁵¹, G. Marchiori⁸², M. Marcisovsky¹²⁸, M. Marjanovic¹⁴, D. E. Marley⁹¹, F. Marroquim^{26a}, S. P. Marsden⁸⁶, Z. Marshall¹⁶, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁶⁷, B. Martin⁹², T. A. Martin¹⁷⁰, V. J. Martin⁴⁸, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁵, M. Martinez^{13,r}, S. Martin-Haugh¹³², V. S. Martoiu^{28b}, A. C. Martyniuk⁸⁰, M. Marx¹³⁹, A. Marzin³², L. Masetti⁸⁵, T. Mashimo¹⁵⁶, R. Mashinistov⁹⁷, J. Masik⁸⁶, A. L. Maslennikov^{110,c}, I. Massa^{22a,22b}, L. Massa^{22a,22b}, P. Mastrandrea⁵, A. Mastroberardino^{39a,39b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁶, P. Mättig¹⁷⁵, J. Mattmann⁸⁵, J. Maurer^{28b}, S. J. Maxfield⁷⁶, D. A. Maximov^{110,c}, R. Mazini¹⁵², S. M. Mazza^{93a,93b}, N. C. Mc Fadden¹⁰⁶, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁵⁹, S. P. Mc Kee⁹¹, A. McCarn⁹¹, R. L. McCarthy¹⁴⁹, T. G. McCarthy¹⁰², L. I. McClymont⁸⁰, E. F. McDonald⁹⁰, K. W. McFarlane^{58,*}, J. A. MCFayden⁸⁰, G. Mchedlidze⁵⁶, S. J. McMahon¹³², R. A. McPherson^{169,1}, M. Medinnis⁴⁴, S. Meehan¹³⁹, S. Mehlhase¹⁰¹, A. Mehta⁷⁶, K. Meier^{60a}, C. Meineck¹⁰¹, B. Meirose⁴³, D. Melini¹⁶⁷, B. R. Mellado Garcia^{146c}, M. Melo^{145a}, F. Meloni¹⁸, A. Mengarelli^{22a,22b}, S. Menke¹⁰², E. Meoni¹⁶², S. Mergelmeyer¹⁷, P. Mermod⁵¹, L. Merola^{105a,105b}, C. Meroni^{93a}, F. S. Merritt³³, A. Messina^{133a,133b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A. S. Mete¹⁶³, C. Meyer⁸⁵, C. Meyer¹²³, J.-P. Meyer¹³⁷, J. Meyer¹⁰⁸, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{60a}, F. Miano¹⁵⁰, R. P. Middleton¹³², S. Miglioranzi^{52a,52b}, L. Mijović²³, G. Mikenberg¹⁷², M. Mikesikova¹²⁸, M. Mikuž⁷⁷, M. Milesi⁹⁰, A. Milic⁶⁴, D. W. Miller³³, C. Mills⁴⁸, A. Milov¹⁷², D. A. Milstead^{147a,147b}, A. A. Minaenko¹³¹, Y. Minami¹⁵⁶, I. A. Minashvili⁶⁷, A. I. Mincer¹¹¹, B. Mindur^{40a}, M. Mineev⁶⁷, Y. Ming¹⁷³, L. M. Mir¹³, K. P. Mistry¹²³, T. Mitani¹⁷¹, J. Mitrevski¹⁰¹, V. A. Mitsou¹⁶⁷, A. Miucci⁵¹, P. S. Miyagawa¹⁴⁰, J. U. Mjörnmark⁸³, T. Moa^{147a,147b}, K. Mochizuki⁹⁶, S. Mohapatra³⁷, S. Molander^{147a,147b}, R. Moles-Valls²³, R. Monden⁷⁰, M. C. Mondragon⁹², K. Mönig⁴⁴, J. Monk³⁸, E. Monnier⁸⁷, A. Montalbano¹⁴⁹, J. Montejo Berlingen³², F. Monticelli⁷³, S. Monzani^{93a,93b}, R. W. Moore³, N. Morange¹¹⁸, D. Moreno²¹, M. Moreno Llacer⁵⁶, P. Morettini^{52a}, D. Mori¹⁴³, T. Mori¹⁵⁶, M. Morii⁵⁹, M. Morinaga¹⁵⁶, V. Morisbak¹²⁰, S. Moritz⁸⁵, A. K. Morley¹⁵¹, G. Mornacchi³², J. D. Morris⁷⁸, S. S. Mortensen³⁸, L. Morvaj¹⁴⁹, M. Mosidze^{53b}, J. Moss¹⁴⁴, K. Motohashi¹⁵⁸, R. Mount¹⁴⁴, E. Mountricha²⁷, S. V. Mouraviev^{97,*}, E. J. W. Moyse⁸⁸, S. Muanza⁸⁷, R. D. Mudd¹⁹, F. Mueller¹⁰², J. Mueller¹²⁶, R. S. P. Mueller¹⁰¹, T. Mueller³⁰, D. Muenstermann⁷⁴, P. Mullen⁵⁵, G. A. Mullier¹⁸, F. J. Munoz Sanchez⁸⁶, J. A. Murillo Quijada¹⁹, W. J. Murray^{170,132}, H. Musheghyan⁵⁶, M. Muškinja⁷⁷, A. G. Myagkov^{131,ae}, M. Myska¹²⁹, B. P. Nachman¹⁴⁴, O. Nackenhorst⁵¹, K. Nagai¹²¹, R. Nagai^{68,z}, K. Nagano⁶⁸, Y. Nagasaka⁶¹, K. Nagata¹⁶¹, M. Nagel⁵⁰, E. Nagy⁸⁷, A. M. Nairz³², Y. Nakahama³², K. Nakamura⁶⁸, T. Nakamura¹⁵⁶, I. Nakano¹¹³, H. Namasivayam⁴³, R. F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁴

R. Narayan¹¹, D. I. Narrias Villar^{60a}, I. Naryshkin¹²⁴, T. Naumann⁴⁴, G. Navarro²¹, R. Nayyar⁷, H. A. Neal⁹¹, P. Yu. Nechaeva⁹⁷, T. J. Neep⁸⁶, P. D. Nef¹⁴⁴, A. Negri^{122a,122b}, M. Negrini^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic¹⁰⁷, C. Nellist¹¹⁸, A. Nelson¹⁶³, S. Nemecek¹²⁸, P. Nemethy¹¹¹, A. A. Nepomuceno^{26a}, M. Nessi^{32.af}, M. S. Neubauer¹⁶⁶, M. Neumann¹⁷⁵, R. M. Neves¹¹¹, P. Nevski²⁷, P. R. Newman¹⁹, D. H. Nguyen⁶, T. Nguyen Manh⁹⁶, R. B. Nickerson¹²¹, R. Nicolaidou¹³⁷, J. Nielsen¹³⁸, A. Nikiforov¹⁷, V. Nikolaenko^{131.ae}, I. Nikolic-Audit⁸², K. Nikolopoulos¹⁹, J. K. Nilsen¹²⁰, P. Nilsson²⁷, Y. Ninomiya¹⁵⁶, A. Nisati^{133a}, R. Nisius¹⁰², T. Nobe¹⁵⁶, L. Nodulman⁶, M. Nomachi¹¹⁹, I. Nomidis³¹, T. Nooney⁷⁸, S. Norberg¹¹⁴, M. Nordberg³², N. Norjoharuddeen¹²¹, O. Novgorodova⁴⁶, S. Nowak¹⁰², M. Nozaki⁶⁸, L. Nozka¹¹⁶, K. Ntekas¹⁰, E. Nurse⁸⁰, F. Nuti⁹⁰, F. O'grady⁷, D. C. O'Neil¹⁴³, A. A. O'Rourke⁴⁴, V. O'Shea⁵⁵, F. G. Oakham^{31.d}, H. Oberlack¹⁰², T. Obermann²³, J. Ocariz⁸², A. Ochi⁶⁹, I. Ochoa³⁷, J. P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{34a}, S. Oda⁷², S. Odaka⁶⁸, H. Ogren⁶³, A. Oh⁸⁶, S. H. Oh⁴⁷, C. C. Ohm¹⁶, H. Ohman¹⁶⁵, H. Oide³², H. Okawa¹⁶¹, Y. Okumura³³, T. Okuyama⁶⁸, A. Olariu^{28b}, L. F. Oleiro Seabra^{127a}, S. A. Olivares Pino⁴⁸, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁷, A. Olszewski⁴¹, J. Olszowska⁴¹, A. Onofre^{127a,127e}, K. Onogi¹⁰⁴, P. U. E. Onyisi^{11.v}, M. J. Oreglia³³, Y. Oren¹⁵⁴, D. Orestano^{135a,135b}, N. Orlando^{62b}, R. S. Orr¹⁵⁹, B. Osculati^{52a,52b}, R. Ospanov⁸⁶, G. Otero y Garzon²⁹, H. Otono⁷², M. Ouchrif^{136d}, F. Ould-Saada¹²⁰, A. Ouraou¹³⁷, K. P. Oussoren¹⁰⁸, Q. Ouyang^{35a}, M. Owen⁵⁵, R. E. Owen¹⁹, V. E. Ozcan^{20a}, N. Ozturk⁸, K. Pachal¹⁴³, A. Pacheco Pages¹³, C. Padilla Aranda¹³, M. Pagáčová⁵⁰, S. Pagan Griso¹⁶, F. Paige²⁷, P. Pais⁸⁸, K. Pajchel¹²⁰, G. Palacino^{160b}, S. Palestini³², M. Palka^{40b}, D. Pallin³⁶, A. Palma^{127a,127b}, E. St. Panagiotopoulou¹⁰, C. E. Pandini⁸², J. G. Panduro Vazquez⁷⁹, P. Pani^{147a,147b}, S. Panitkin²⁷, D. Pantea^{28b}, L. Paolozzi⁵¹, Th. D. Papadopoulou¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou¹⁵⁵, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez¹⁷⁶, A. J. Parker⁷⁴, M. A. Parker³⁰, K. A. Parker¹⁴⁰, F. Parodi^{52a,52b}, J. A. Parsons³⁷, U. Parzefall⁵⁰, V. R. Pascuzzi¹⁵⁹, E. Pasqualucci^{133a}, S. Passaggio^{52a}, Fr. Pastore⁷⁹, G. Pásztor^{31.ag}, S. Patarraia¹⁷⁵, J. R. Pater⁸⁶, T. Pauly³², J. Pearce¹⁶⁹, B. Pearson¹¹⁴, L. E. Pedersen³⁸, M. Pedersen¹²⁰, S. Pedraza Lopez¹⁶⁷, R. Pedro^{127a,127b}, S. V. Peleganchuk^{110.c}, D. Pelikan¹⁶⁵, O. Penc¹²⁸, C. Peng^{35a}, H. Peng^{35b}, J. Penwell⁶³, B. S. Peralva^{26b}, M. M. Perego¹³⁷, D. V. Perepelitsa²⁷, E. Perez Codina^{160a}, L. Perini^{93a,93b}, H. Pernegger³², S. Perrella^{105a,105b}, R. Peschke⁴⁴, V. D. Peshekhonov⁶⁷, K. Peters⁴⁴, R. F. Y. Peters⁸⁶, B. A. Petersen³², T. C. Petersen³⁸, E. Petit⁵⁷, A. Petridis¹, C. Petridou¹⁵⁵, P. Petroff¹¹⁸, E. Petrolo^{133a}, M. Petrov¹²¹, F. Petrucci^{135a,135b}, N. E. Pettersson⁸⁸, A. Peyaud¹³⁷, R. Pezoa^{34b}, P. W. Phillips¹³², G. Piacquadio¹⁴⁴, E. Pianori¹⁷⁰, A. Picazio⁸⁸, E. Piccaro⁷⁸, M. Piccinini^{22a,22b}, M. A. Pickering¹²¹, R. Piegaia²⁹, J. E. Pilcher³³, A. D. Pilkington⁸⁶, A. W. J. Pin⁸⁶, M. Pinamonti^{164a,164c.ah}, J. L. Pinfold³, A. Pingel³⁸, S. Pires⁸², H. Pirumov⁴⁴, M. Pitt¹⁷², L. Plazak^{145a}, M.-A. Pleier²⁷, V. Pleskot⁸⁵, E. Plotnikova⁶⁷, P. Plucinski⁹², D. Pluth⁶⁶, R. Poettgen^{147a,147b}, L. Poggioli¹¹⁸, D. Pohl²³, G. Polesello^{122a}, A. Poley⁴⁴, A. Policicchio^{39a,39b}, R. Polifka¹⁵⁹, A. Polini^{22a}, C. S. Pollard⁵⁵, V. Polychronakos²⁷, K. Pommès³², L. Pontecorvo^{133a}, B. G. Pope⁹², G. A. Popeneciu^{28c}, D. S. Popovic¹⁴, A. Poppleton³², S. Pospisil¹²⁹, K. Potamianos¹⁶, I. N. Potrap⁶⁷, C. J. Potter³⁰, C. T. Potter¹¹⁷, G. Poulard³², J. Poveda³², V. Pozdnyakov⁶⁷, M. E. Pozo Astigarraga³², P. Pralavorio⁸⁷, A. Pranko¹⁶, S. Prell⁶⁶, D. Price⁸⁶, L. E. Price⁶, M. Primavera^{75a}, S. Prince⁸⁹, M. Proissl⁴⁸, K. Prokofiev^{62c}, F. Prokoshin^{34b}, S. Protopopescu²⁷, J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{40a}, D. Puddu^{135a,135b}, M. Purohit^{27.ai}, P. Puzo¹¹⁸, J. Qian⁹¹, G. Qin⁵⁵, Y. Qin⁸⁶, A. Quadt⁵⁶, W. B. Quayle^{164a,164b}, M. Queitsch-Maitland⁸⁶, D. Quilty⁵⁵, S. Raddum¹²⁰, V. Radeka²⁷, V. Radescu^{60b}, S. K. Radhakrishnan¹⁴⁹, P. Radloff¹¹⁷, P. Rados⁹⁰, F. Ragusa^{93a,93b}, G. Rahal¹⁷⁸, J. A. Raine⁸⁶, S. Rajagopalan²⁷, M. Rammensee³², C. Rangel-Smith¹⁶⁵, M. G. Ratti^{93a,93b}, F. Rauscher¹⁰¹, S. Rave⁸⁵, T. Ravenscroft⁵⁵, I. Ravinovich¹⁷², M. Raymond³², A. L. Read¹²⁰, N. P. Readioff⁷⁶, M. Reale^{75a,75b}, D. M. Rebuffi^{122a,122b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷⁴, G. Redlinger²⁷, R. Reece¹³⁸, K. Reeves⁴³, L. Rehnisch¹⁷, J. Reichert¹²³, H. Reisin²⁹, C. Rembser³², H. Ren^{35a}, M. Rescigno^{133a}, S. Resconi^{93a}, O. L. Rezanova^{110.c}, P. Reznicek¹³⁰, R. Rezvani⁹⁶, R. Richter¹⁰², S. Richter⁸⁰, E. Richter-Was^{40b}, O. Ricken²³, M. Ridet⁸², P. Rieck¹⁷, C. J. Riegel¹⁷⁵, J. Rieger⁵⁶, O. Rifki¹¹⁴, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁴⁹, A. Rimoldi^{122a,122b}, M. Rimoldi¹⁸, L. Rinaldi^{22a}, B. Ristić⁵¹, E. Ritsch³², I. Riu¹³, F. Rizatdinova¹¹⁵, E. Rizvi⁷⁸, C. Rizzi¹³, S. H. Robertson^{89.1}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau⁸⁹, D. Robinson³⁰, J. E. M. Robinson⁴⁴, A. Robson⁵⁵, C. Roda^{125a,125b}, Y. Rodina⁸⁷, A. Rodriguez Perez¹³, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez¹⁶⁷, S. Roe³², C. S. Rogan⁵⁹, O. Røhne¹²⁰, A. Romaniouk⁹⁹, M. Romano^{22a,22b}, S. M. Romano Saez³⁶, E. Romero Adam¹⁶⁷, N. Rompotis¹³⁹, M. Ronzani⁵⁰, L. Roos⁸², E. Ros¹⁶⁷, S. Rosati^{133a}, K. Rosbach⁵⁰, P. Rose¹³⁸, O. Rosenthal¹⁴², N.-A. Rosien⁵⁶, V. Rossetti^{147a,147b}, E. Rossi^{105a,105b}, L. P. Rossi^{52a}, J. H. N. Rosten³⁰, R. Rosten¹³⁹, M. Rotaru^{28b}, I. Roth¹⁷², J. Rothberg¹³⁹, D. Rousseau¹¹⁸, C. R. Royon¹³⁷, A. Rozanov⁸⁷, Y. Rozen¹⁵³, X. Ruan^{146c}, F. Rubbo¹⁴⁴, M. S. Rudolph¹⁵⁹, F. Rühr⁵⁰, A. Ruiz-Martinez³¹, Z. Rurikova⁵⁰, N. A. Rusakovich⁶⁷, A. Ruschke¹⁰¹, H. L. Russell¹³⁹, J. P. Rutherford⁷, N. Ruthmann³², Y. F. Ryabov¹²⁴, M. Rybar¹⁶⁶, G. Rybkin¹¹⁸, S. Ryu⁶, A. Ryzhov¹³¹, G. F. Rzehorz⁵⁶, A. F. Saavedra¹⁵¹, G. Sabato¹⁰⁸, S. Sacerdoti²⁹, H. F.-W. Sadrozinski¹³⁸, R. Sadykov⁶⁷, F. Safai Tehrani^{133a}, P. Saha¹⁰⁹, M. Sahinsoy^{60a}, M. Saimpert¹³⁷, T. Saito¹⁵⁶, H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁶, Y. Sakurai¹⁷¹, G. Salamanna^{135a,135b}, A. Salamon^{134a,134b}, J. E. Salazar Loyola^{34b}, D. Salek¹⁰⁸, P. H. Sales De Bruin¹³⁹, D. Salihagic¹⁰², A. Salnikov¹⁴⁴, J. Salt¹⁶⁷, D. Salvatore^{39a,39b}, F. Salvatore¹⁵⁰, A. Salvucci^{62a}, A. Salzburger³², D. Sammel⁵⁰, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁵, A. Sanchez^{105a,105b}, J. Sánchez¹⁶⁷, V. Sanchez Martinez¹⁶⁷,

H. Sandaker¹²⁰, R. L. Sandbach⁷⁸, H. G. Sander⁸⁵, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁵, C. Sandoval²¹, R. Sandstroem¹⁰², D. P. C. Sankey¹³², M. Sannino^{52a,52b}, A. Sansoni⁴⁹, C. Santoni³⁶, R. Santonico^{134a,134b}, H. Santos^{127a}, I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵⁰, K. Sapp¹²⁶, A. Saproonov⁶⁷, J. G. Saraiva^{127a,127d}, B. Sarrazin²³, O. Sasaki⁶⁸, Y. Sasaki¹⁵⁶, K. Sato¹⁶¹, G. Sauvage^{5,*}, E. Sauvan⁵, G. Savage⁷⁹, P. Savard^{159,d}, C. Sawyer¹³², L. Sawyer^{81,q}, J. Saxon³³, C. Sbarra^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi^{22a,22b}, T. Scanlon⁸⁰, D. A. Scannicchio¹⁶³, M. Scarcella¹⁵¹, V. Scarfone^{39a,39b}, J. Schaarschmidt¹⁷², P. Schacht¹⁰², B. M. Schachtner¹⁰¹, D. Schaefer³², R. Schaefer⁴⁴, J. Schaeffer⁸⁵, S. Schaepe²³, S. Schaezel^{60b}, U. Schäfer⁸⁵, A. C. Schaffer¹¹⁸, D. Schaile¹⁰¹, R. D. Schamberger¹⁴⁹, V. Scharf^{60a}, V. A. Schegelsky¹²⁴, D. Scheirich¹³⁰, M. Schernau¹⁶³, C. Schiavi^{52a,52b}, S. Schier¹³⁸, C. Schillo⁵⁰, M. Schioppa^{39a,39b}, S. Schlenker³², K. R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld¹⁰², K. Schmieden³², C. Schmitt⁸⁵, S. Schmitt⁴⁴, S. Schmitz⁸⁵, B. Schneider^{160a}, U. Schnoor⁵⁰, L. Schoeffel¹³⁷, A. Schoening^{60b}, B. D. Schoenrock⁹², E. Schopf²³, M. Schott⁸⁵, J. Schovancova⁸, S. Schramm⁵¹, M. Schreyer¹⁷⁴, N. Schuh⁸⁵, M. J. Schultens²³, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon^{60a}, H. Schulz¹⁷, M. Schumacher⁵⁰, B. A. Schumm¹³⁸, Ph. Schune¹³⁷, A. Schwartzman¹⁴⁴, T. A. Schwarz⁹¹, Ph. Schwegler¹⁰², H. Schweiger⁸⁶, Ph. Schwemling¹³⁷, R. Schwienhorst⁹², J. Schwindling¹³⁷, T. Schwindt²³, G. Sciolla²⁵, F. Scuri^{125a,125b}, F. Scutti⁹⁰, J. Searcy⁹¹, P. Seema²³, S. C. Seidel¹⁰⁶, A. Seiden¹³⁸, F. Seifert¹²⁹, J. M. Seixas^{26a}, G. Sekhniaidze^{105a}, K. Sekhon⁹¹, S. J. Sekula⁴², D. M. Seliverstov^{124,*}, N. Semprini-Cesari^{22a,22b}, C. Serfon¹²⁰, L. Serin¹¹⁸, L. Serkin^{164a,164b}, M. Sessa^{135a,135b}, R. Seuster¹⁶⁹, H. Severini¹¹⁴, T. Sfiligoi⁷⁷, F. Sforza³², A. Sfyrila⁵¹, E. Shabalina⁵⁶, N. W. Shaikh^{147a,147b}, L. Y. Shan^{35a}, R. Shang¹⁶⁶, J. T. Shank²⁴, M. Shapiro¹⁶, P. B. Shatalov⁹⁸, K. Shaw^{164a,164b}, S. M. Shaw⁸⁶, A. Shcherbakova^{147a,147b}, C. Y. Shehu¹⁵⁰, P. Sherwood⁸⁰, L. Shi^{152,aj}, S. Shimizu⁶⁹, C. O. Shimmin¹⁶³, M. Shimojima¹⁰³, M. Shiyakova^{67,ak}, A. Shmeleva⁹⁷, D. Shoaleh Saadi⁹⁶, M. J. Shochet³³, S. Shojaii^{93a,93b}, S. Shrestha¹¹², E. Shulga⁹⁹, M. A. Shupe⁷, P. Sicho¹²⁸, A. M. Sickles¹⁶⁶, P. E. Sidebo¹⁴⁸, O. Sidiropoulou¹⁷⁴, D. Sidorov¹¹⁵, A. Sidoti^{22a,22b}, F. Siegert⁴⁶, Dj. Sijacki¹⁴, J. Silva^{127a,127d}, S. B. Silverstein^{147a}, V. Simak¹²⁹, O. Simard⁵, Lj. Simic¹⁴, S. Simion¹¹⁸, E. Simioni⁸⁵, B. Simmons⁸⁰, D. Simon³⁶, M. Simon⁸⁵, P. Sinervo¹⁵⁹, N. B. Sinev¹¹⁷, M. Sioli^{22a,22b}, G. Siragusa¹⁷⁴, S. Yu. Sivoklov¹⁰⁰, J. Sjölin^{147a,147b}, T. B. Sjurson¹⁵, M. B. Skinner⁷⁴, H. P. Skottowe⁵⁹, P. Skubic¹¹⁴, M. Slater¹⁹, T. Slavicek¹²⁹, M. Slawinska¹⁰⁸, K. Sliwa¹⁶², R. Slovak¹³⁰, V. Smakhtin¹⁷², B. H. Smart⁵, L. Smestad¹⁵, J. Smiesko^{145a}, S. Yu. Smirnov⁹⁹, Y. Smirnov⁹⁹, L. N. Smirnova^{100,al}, O. Smirnova⁸³, M. N. K. Smith³⁷, R. W. Smith³⁷, M. Smizanska⁷⁴, K. Smolek¹²⁹, A. A. Snesarev⁹⁷, S. Snyder²⁷, R. Sobie^{169,1}, F. Socher⁴⁶, A. Soffer¹⁵⁴, D. A. Soh¹⁵², G. Sokhrannyi⁷⁷, C. A. Solans Sanchez³², M. Solar¹²⁹, E. Yu. Soldatov⁹⁹, U. Soldevila¹⁶⁷, A. A. Solodkov¹³¹, A. Soloshenko⁶⁷, O. V. Solovyanov¹³¹, V. Solovyev¹²⁴, P. Sommer⁵⁰, H. Son¹⁶², H. Y. Song^{35b,am}, A. Sood¹⁶, A. Sopczak¹²⁹, V. Sopko¹²⁹, V. Sorin¹³, D. Sosa^{60b}, C. L. Sotiropoulou^{125a,125b}, R. Soualah^{164a,164c}, A. M. Soukharev^{110,c}, D. South⁴⁴, B. C. Sowden⁷⁹, S. Spagnolo^{75a,75b}, M. Spalla^{125a,125b}, M. Spangenberg¹⁷⁰, F. Spanò⁷⁹, D. Sperlich¹⁷, F. Spettel¹⁰², R. Spighi^{22a}, G. Spigo³², L. A. Spiller⁹⁰, M. Spousta¹³⁰, R. D. St. Denis^{55,*}, A. Stabile^{93a}, R. Stamen^{60a}, S. Stamm¹⁷, E. Stanecka⁴¹, R. W. Stanek⁶, C. Stancu^{135a}, M. Stancu-Bellu⁴⁴, M. M. Stanitzki⁴⁴, S. Stapnes¹²⁰, E. A. Starchenko¹³¹, G. H. Stark³³, J. Stark⁵⁷, P. Staroba¹²⁸, P. Starovoitov^{60a}, S. Stärz³², R. Staszewski⁴¹, P. Steinberg²⁷, B. Stelzer¹⁴³, H. J. Stelzer³², O. Stelzer-Chilton^{160a}, H. Stenzel⁵⁴, G. A. Stewart⁵⁵, J. A. Stillings²³, M. C. Stockton⁸⁹, M. Stoebe⁸⁹, G. Stoicea^{28b}, P. Stolte⁵⁶, S. Stonjek¹⁰², A. R. Stradling⁸, A. Straessner⁴⁶, M. E. Stramaglia¹⁸, J. Strandberg¹⁴⁸, S. Strandberg^{147a,147b}, A. Strandlie¹²⁰, M. Strauss¹¹⁴, P. Strizenec^{145b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁴, D. M. Strom¹¹⁷, R. Stroynowski⁴², A. Strubig¹⁰⁷, S. A. Stucci¹⁸, B. Stugu¹⁵, N. A. Styles⁴⁴, D. Su¹⁴⁴, J. Su¹²⁶, R. Subramaniam⁸¹, S. Suchek^{60a}, Y. Sugaya¹¹⁹, M. Suk¹²⁹, V. V. Sulin⁹⁷, S. Sultansoy^{4c}, T. Sumida⁷⁰, S. Sun⁵⁹, X. Sun^{35a}, J. E. Sundermann⁵⁰, K. Suruliz¹⁵⁰, G. Susinno^{39a,39b}, M. R. Sutton¹⁵⁰, S. Suzuki⁶⁸, M. Svatos¹²⁸, M. Swiatlowski³³, I. Sykora^{145a}, T. Sykora¹³⁰, D. Ta⁵⁰, C. Taccini^{135a,135b}, K. Tackmann⁴⁴, J. Taenzer¹⁵⁹, A. Taffard¹⁶³, R. Tafirout^{160a}, N. Taiblum¹⁵⁴, H. Takai²⁷, R. Takashima⁷¹, T. Takeshita¹⁴¹, Y. Takubo⁶⁸, M. Talby⁸⁷, A. A. Talyshev^{110,c}, K. G. Tan⁹⁰, J. Tanaka¹⁵⁶, R. Tanaka¹¹⁸, S. Tanaka⁶⁸, B. B. Tannenwald¹¹², S. Tapia Araya^{34b}, S. Tapprogge⁸⁵, S. Tarem¹⁵³, G. F. Tartarelli^{93a}, P. Tas¹³⁰, M. Tasevsky¹²⁸, T. Tashiro⁷⁰, E. Tassi^{39a,39b}, A. Tavares Delgado^{127a,127b}, Y. Tayalati^{136d}, A. C. Taylor¹⁰⁶, G. N. Taylor⁹⁰, P. T. E. Taylor⁹⁰, W. Taylor^{160b}, F. A. Teischinger³², P. Teixeira-Dias⁷⁹, K. K. Temming⁵⁰, D. Temple¹⁴³, H. Ten Kate³², P. K. Teng¹⁵², J. J. Teoh¹¹⁹, F. Tepel¹⁷⁵, S. Terada⁶⁸, K. Terashi¹⁵⁶, J. Terron⁸⁴, S. Terzo¹⁰², M. Testa⁴⁹, R. J. Teuscher^{159,1}, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁸⁷, J. P. Thomas¹⁹, J. Thomas-Wilsker⁷⁹, E. N. Thompson³⁷, P. D. Thompson¹⁹, A. S. Thompson⁵⁵, L. A. Thomsen¹⁷⁶, E. Thomson¹²³, M. Thomson³⁰, M. J. Tibbetts¹⁶, R. E. Tice Torres⁸⁷, V. O. Tikhomirov^{97,an}, Yu. A. Tikhonov^{110,c}, S. Timoshenko⁹⁹, P. Tipton¹⁷⁶, S. Tisserant⁸⁷, K. Todome¹⁵⁸, T. Todorov^{5,*}, S. Todorova-Nova¹³⁰, J. Tojo⁷², S. Tokár^{145a}, K. Tokushuku⁶⁸, E. Tolley⁵⁹, L. Tomlinson⁸⁶, M. Tomoto¹⁰⁴, L. Tompkins^{144,ao}, K. Toms¹⁰⁶, B. Tong⁵⁹, E. Torrence¹¹⁷, H. Torres¹⁴³, E. Torrón Pastor¹³⁹, J. Toth^{87,ap}, F. Touchard⁸⁷, D. R. Tovey¹⁴⁰, T. Trefzger¹⁷⁴, A. Tricoli²⁷, I. M. Trigger^{160a}, S. Trincáz-Duvoid⁸², M. F. Tripiana¹³, W. Trischuk¹⁵⁹, B. Trocme⁵⁷, A. Trofymov⁴⁴, C. Troncon^{93a}, M. Trotter-McDonald¹⁶, M. Trovatelli¹⁶⁹, L. Truong^{164a,164c}, M. Trzebinski⁴¹, A. Trzupek⁴¹, J. C.-L. Tseng¹²¹, P. V. Tsiarehka⁹⁴, G. Tsipolitis¹⁰, N. Tsirintanis⁹, S. Tsiskaridze¹³, V. Tsiskaridze⁵⁰, E. G. Tskhadadze^{53a}, K. M. Tsui^{62a}, I. I. Tsukerman⁹⁸, V. Tsulaia¹⁶, S. Tsuno⁶⁸,

D. Tsybychev¹⁴⁹, A. Tudorache^{28b}, V. Tudorache^{28b}, A. N. Tuna⁵⁹, S. A. Tuppiti^{22a,22b}, S. Turchikhin^{100,al}, D. Turecek¹²⁹, D. Turgeman¹⁷², R. Turra^{93a,93b}, A. J. Turvey⁴², P. M. Tuts³⁷, M. Tyndel¹³², G. Uccielli^{22a,22b}, I. Ueda¹⁵⁶, R. Ueno³¹, M. Ughetto^{147a,147b}, F. Ukegawa¹⁶¹, G. Unal³², A. Undrus²⁷, G. Unel¹⁶³, F. C. Ungaro⁹⁰, Y. Unno⁶⁸, C. Unverdorben¹⁰¹, J. Urban^{145b}, P. Urquijo⁹⁰, P. Urrejola⁸⁵, G. Usai⁸, A. Usanova⁶⁴, L. Vacavant⁸⁷, V. Vacek¹²⁹, B. Vachon⁸⁹, C. Valderanis¹⁰¹, E. Valdes Santurio^{147a,147b}, N. Valencic¹⁰⁸, S. Valentinetti^{22a,22b}, A. Valero¹⁶⁷, L. Valery¹³, S. Valkar¹³⁰, S. Vallecorsa⁵¹, J. A. Valls Ferrer¹⁶⁷, W. Van Den Wollenberg¹⁰⁸, P. C. Van Der Deijl¹⁰⁸, R. van der Geer¹⁰⁸, H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁸, N. van Eldik¹⁵³, P. van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴³, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁸, M. C. van Woerden³², M. Vanadia^{133a,133b}, W. Vandelli³², R. Vanguri¹²³, A. Vaniachine¹³¹, P. Vankov¹⁰⁸, G. Vardanyan¹⁷⁷, R. Vari^{133a}, E. W. Varnes⁷, T. Varol⁴², D. Varouchas⁸², A. Vartapetian⁸, K. E. Varvell¹⁵¹, J. G. Vasquez¹⁷⁶, F. Vazeille³⁶, T. Vazquez Schroeder⁸⁹, J. Veatch⁵⁶, L. M. Veloce¹⁵⁹, F. Veloso^{127a,127c}, S. Veneziano^{133a}, A. Ventura^{75a,75b}, M. Venturi¹⁶⁹, N. Venturi¹⁵⁹, A. Venturini²⁵, V. Vercesi^{122a}, M. Verducci^{133a,133b}, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁸, J. C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁸, A. Vest^{46,aq}, M. C. Vetterli^{143,d}, O. Viazlo⁸³, I. Vichou¹⁶⁶, T. Vickey¹⁴⁰, O. E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴⁰, G. H. A. Viehhauser¹²¹, S. Viel¹⁶, L. Vigani¹²¹, R. Vigne⁶⁴, M. Villa^{22a,22b}, M. Villaplana Perez^{93a,93b}, E. Vilucchi⁴⁹, M. G. Vincet³¹, V. B. Vinogradov⁶⁷, C. Vittori^{22a,22b}, I. Vivarelli¹⁵⁰, S. Vlachos¹⁰, M. Vlasak¹²⁹, M. Vogel¹⁷⁵, P. Vokac¹²⁹, G. Volpi^{125a,125b}, M. Volpi⁹⁰, H. von der Schmitt¹⁰², E. von Toerne²³, V. Vorobel¹³⁰, K. Vorobev⁹⁹, M. Vos¹⁶⁷, R. Voss³², J. H. Vosseveld⁷⁶, N. Vranjes¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁴, V. Vrba¹²⁸, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁸, R. Vuillermet³², I. Vukotic³³, Z. Vykydal¹²⁹, P. Wagner²³, W. Wagner¹⁷⁵, H. Wahlberg⁷³, S. WAhrmund⁴⁶, J. Wakabayashi¹⁰⁴, J. Walder⁷⁴, R. Walker¹⁰¹, W. Walkowiak¹⁴², V. Wallangen^{147a,147b}, C. Wang^{35c}, C. Wang^{35d,87}, F. Wang¹⁷³, H. Wang¹⁶, H. Wang⁴², J. Wang⁴⁴, J. Wang¹⁵¹, K. Wang⁸⁹, R. Wang⁶, S. M. Wang¹⁵², T. Wang²³, T. Wang³⁷, W. Wang^{35b}, X. Wang¹⁷⁶, C. Wanotayaroj¹¹⁷, A. Warburton⁸⁹, C. P. Ward³⁰, D. R. Wardrope⁸⁰, A. Washbrook⁴⁸, P. M. Watkins¹⁹, A. T. Watson¹⁹, M. F. Watson¹⁹, G. Watts¹³⁹, S. Watts⁸⁶, B. M. Waugh⁸⁰, S. Webb⁸⁵, M. S. Weber¹⁸, S. W. Weber¹⁷⁴, J. S. Webster⁶, A. R. Weidberg¹²¹, B. Weinert⁶³, J. Weingarten⁵⁶, C. Weiser⁵⁰, H. Weits¹⁰⁸, P. S. Wells³², T. Wenaus²⁷, T. Wengler³², S. Wenig³², N. Wermes²³, M. Werner⁵⁰, P. Werner³², M. Wessels^{60a}, J. Wetter¹⁶², K. Whalen¹¹⁷, N. L. Whallon¹³⁹, A. M. Wharton⁷⁴, A. White⁸, M. J. White¹, R. White^{34b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶³, F. J. Wickens¹³², W. Wiedenmann¹⁷³, M. Wielers¹³², P. Wienemann²³, C. Wiglesworth³⁸, L. A. M. Wiik-Fuchs²³, A. Wildauer¹⁰², F. Wilk⁸⁶, H. G. Wilkens³², H. H. Williams¹²³, S. Williams¹⁰⁸, C. Willis⁹², S. Willocq⁸⁸, J. A. Wilson¹⁹, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁷, O. J. Winston¹⁵⁰, B. T. Winter²³, M. Wittgen¹⁴⁴, J. Wittkowski¹⁰¹, S. J. Wollstadt⁸⁵, M. W. Wolter⁴¹, H. Wolters^{127a,127c}, B. K. Wosiek⁴¹, J. Wotschack³², M. J. Woudstra⁸⁶, K. W. Wozniak⁴¹, M. Wu⁵⁷, M. Wu³³, S. L. Wu¹⁷³, X. Wu⁵¹, Y. Wu⁹¹, T. R. Wyatt⁸⁶, B. M. Wynne⁴⁸, S. Xella³⁸, D. Xu^{35a}, L. Xu²⁷, B. Yabsley¹⁵¹, S. Yacoob^{146a}, R. Yakabe⁶⁹, D. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁸, Y. Yamaguchi¹¹⁹, A. Yamamoto⁶⁸, S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁶, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁶, K. Yamauchi¹⁰⁴, Y. Yamazaki⁶⁹, Z. Yan²⁴, H. Yang^{35e}, H. Yang¹⁷³, Y. Yang¹⁵², Z. Yang¹⁵, W-M. Yao¹⁶, Y. C. Yap⁸², Y. Yasu⁶⁸, E. Yatsenko⁵, K. H. Yau Wong²³, J. Ye⁴², S. Ye²⁷, I. Yeletsikh⁶⁷, A. L. Yen⁵⁹, E. Yildirim⁸⁵, K. Yorita¹⁷¹, R. Yoshida⁶, K. Yoshihara¹²³, C. Young¹⁴⁴, C. J. S. Young³², S. Youssef²⁴, D. R. Yu¹⁶, J. Yu⁸, J. M. Yu⁹¹, J. Yu⁶⁶, L. Yuan⁶⁹, S. P. Y. Yuen²³, I. Yusuff^{30,ar}, B. Zabinski⁴¹, R. Zaidan^{35d}, A. M. Zaitsev^{131,ae}, N. Zakharchuk⁴⁴, J. Zalieckas¹⁵, A. Zaman¹⁴⁹, S. Zambito⁵⁹, L. Zanello^{133a,133b}, D. Zanzi⁹⁰, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁵, M. Zeman¹²⁹, A. Zemla^{40a}, J. C. Zeng¹⁶⁶, Q. Zeng¹⁴⁴, K. Zengel²⁵, O. Zenin¹³¹, T. Ženiš^{145a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁸, D. Zhang⁹¹, F. Zhang¹⁷³, G. Zhang^{35b,am}, H. Zhang^{35c}, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang⁵⁰, R. Zhang²³, R. Zhang^{35b,as}, X. Zhang^{35d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁸, X. Zhao⁴², Y. Zhao^{35d}, Z. Zhao^{35b}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁷, J. Zhong¹²¹, B. Zhou⁹¹, C. Zhou⁴⁷, L. Zhou³⁷, L. Zhou⁴², M. Zhou¹⁴⁹, N. Zhou^{35f}, C. G. Zhu^{35d}, H. Zhu^{35a}, J. Zhu⁹¹, Y. Zhu^{35b}, X. Zhuang^{35a}, K. Zhukov⁹⁷, A. Zibell¹⁷⁴, D. Zieminska⁶³, N. I. Zimine⁶⁷, C. Zimmermann⁸⁵, S. Zimmermann⁵⁰, Z. Zinonos⁵⁶, M. Zinser⁸⁵, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴², L. Živković¹⁴, G. Zobernig¹⁷³, A. Zoccoli^{22a,22b}, M. zur Nedden¹⁷, G. Zurzolo^{105a,105b}, L. Zwalinski³²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, USA

³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

⁴ (a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey; (b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey; (c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, USA

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, USA

⁹ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece

¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

- ¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹⁶ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ¹⁷ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
- ¹⁸ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ¹⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- ²⁰ (a)Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey; (b)Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey; (c)Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey; (d)Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Bahcesehir University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ²¹ Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogotá, Colombia
- ²² (a)INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; (b)Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- ²³ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ²⁴ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA
- ²⁵ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA
- ²⁶ (a)Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; (b)Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora, Brazil; (c)Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei, Brazil; (d)Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- ²⁷ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA
- ²⁸ (a)Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov, Romania; (b)National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania; (c)Physics Department, National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj Napoca, Romania; (d)University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania; (e)West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
- ²⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ³⁰ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- ³¹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada
- ³² CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³³ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
- ³⁴ (a)Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile; (b)Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaiso, Chile
- ³⁵ (a)Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; (b)Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; (c)Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; (d)School of Physics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China; (e)Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, (also affiliated with PKU-CHEP), Shanghai, China; (f)Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ³⁶ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Clermont Université and Université Blaise Pascal and CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ³⁷ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, USA
- ³⁸ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark
- ³⁹ (a)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy; (b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy
- ⁴⁰ (a)Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland; (b)Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
- ⁴¹ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
- ⁴² Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, USA
- ⁴³ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA
- ⁴⁴ DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
- ⁴⁵ Institut für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
- ⁴⁶ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
- ⁴⁷ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

- 48 SUPA-School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 49 INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- 50 Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
- 51 Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- 52 ^(a)INFN Sezione di Genova, Genoa, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy
- 53 ^(a)E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia; ^(b)High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 54 II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
- 55 SUPA-School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- 56 II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
- 57 Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
- 58 Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA, USA
- 59 Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 60 ^(a)Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ^(b)Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ^(c)ZITI Institut für technische Informatik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany
- 61 Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
- 62 ^(a)Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong; ^(b)Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ^(c)Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
- 63 Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
- 64 Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
- 65 University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
- 66 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA
- 67 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
- 68 KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
- 69 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- 70 Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 71 Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
- 72 Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 73 Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- 74 Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- 75 ^(a)INFN Sezione di Lecce, Lecce, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- 76 Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 77 Department of Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 78 School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- 79 Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, UK
- 80 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, UK
- 81 Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, USA
- 82 Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- 83 Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
- 84 Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- 85 Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- 86 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
- 87 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- 88 Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA
- 89 Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
- 90 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 91 Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- 92 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- 93 ^(a)INFN Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy
- 94 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, BY

- ⁹⁵ National Scientific and Educational Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, BY
- ⁹⁶ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada
- ⁹⁷ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- ⁹⁸ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- ⁹⁹ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰⁰ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰¹ Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ¹⁰² Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Munich, Germany
- ¹⁰³ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- ¹⁰⁴ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- ¹⁰⁵ ^(a)INFN Sezione di Napoli, Naples, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Naples, Italy
- ¹⁰⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- ¹⁰⁷ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ¹⁰⁸ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ¹⁰⁹ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, USA
- ¹¹⁰ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ¹¹¹ Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, USA
- ¹¹² Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
- ¹¹³ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
- ¹¹⁴ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA
- ¹¹⁵ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA
- ¹¹⁶ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
- ¹¹⁷ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA
- ¹¹⁸ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris Saclay, Orsay, France
- ¹¹⁹ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ¹²⁰ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ¹²¹ Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, UK
- ¹²² ^(a)INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ¹²³ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- ¹²⁴ National Research Centre “Kurchatov Institute” B.P.Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹²⁵ ^(a)INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ¹²⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ¹²⁷ ^(a)Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas-LIP, Lisbon, Portugal; ^(b)Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; ^(c)Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ^(d)Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; ^(e)Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal; ^(f)Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain; ^(g)Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
- ¹²⁸ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹²⁹ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³⁰ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³¹ State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Protvino, Russia
- ¹³² Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
- ¹³³ ^(a)INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy
- ¹³⁴ ^(a)INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- ¹³⁵ ^(a)INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, Rome, Italy; ^(b)Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Rome, Italy
- ¹³⁶ ^(a)Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies-Université Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco; ^(b)Centre National de l’Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat, Morocco; ^(c)Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech, Marrakech, Morocco; ^(d)Faculté des Sciences,

- Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda, Morocco; ^(c)Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
- 137 DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 138 Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA
- 139 Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 140 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 141 Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
- 142 Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
- 143 Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
- 144 SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, USA
- 145 ^(a)Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovak Republic; ^(b)Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
- 146 ^(a)Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; ^(b)Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^(c)School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- 147 ^(a)Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; ^(b)The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
- 148 Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
- 149 Departments of Physics and Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA
- 150 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK
- 151 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
- 152 Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- 153 Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
- 154 Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
- 155 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
- 156 International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 157 Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
- 158 Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- 159 Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 160 ^(a)TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada; ^(b)Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 161 Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- 162 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA
- 163 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
- 164 ^(a)INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine, Italy; ^(b)ICTP, Trieste, Italy; ^(c)Dipartimento di Chimica Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
- 165 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
- 166 Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA
- 167 Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC) and Departamento de Física Atomica, Molecular y Nuclear and Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica and Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona (IMB-CNM), University of Valencia and CSIC, Valencia, Spain
- 168 Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 169 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
- 170 Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
- 171 Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
- 172 Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- 173 Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA
- 174 Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
- 175 Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
- 176 Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- 177 Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
- 178 Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

- ^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, UK
- ^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ^e Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA
- ^f Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno, CA, USA
- ^g Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland
- ^h Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁱ Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal
- ^j Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
- ^k Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy
- ^l Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada
- ^m Also at National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ^o Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ^p Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa
- ^q Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, USA
- ^r Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
- ^s Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ^t Also at Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
- ^u Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ^v Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
- ^w Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ^x Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^y Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia
- ^z Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan
- ^{aa} Also at Manhattan College, New York, NY, USA
- ^{ab} Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece
- ^{ac} Also at Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^{ad} Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China
- ^{ae} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- ^{af} Also at Section de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^{ag} Also at Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary
- ^{ah} Also at International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Trieste, Italy
- ^{ai} Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA
- ^{aj} Also at School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- ^{ak} Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
- ^{al} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ^{am} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^{an} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- ^{ao} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
- ^{ap} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ^{aq} Also at Flensburg University of Applied Sciences, Flensburg, Germany
- ^{ar} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- ^{as} Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- * Deceased