Fossil clitellate annelid cocoons and their microbiological inclusions from the Eocene of Seymour Island, Antarctica
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ABSTRACT

Clitellate annelids have a meagre body fossil record but they secrete proteinaceous cocoons for the protection of eggs that, after hardening, are readily fossilized and offer a largely untapped resource for assessing the evolutionary history of this group. We describe three species of clitellate cocoons (viz., Burejospermum seymourense sp. nov., B. punctatum sp. nov. and Pegmatothylakos manumii gen. et sp. nov.) from the lower Eocene La Meseta Formation, Seymour Island, Antarctica. The cocoons probably derive from continental settings and were transported to, and preserved within, nearshore marine to estuarine environments. The cocoons provide the first evidence of commensal or parasitic relationships in the Eocene continental ecosystems of Antarctica. Moreover, numerous micro-organisms and the oldest fossilized examples of animal spermatozoa are preserved as moulds within the consolidated walls of the cocoons. Fossil annelid cocoons offer potential for enhanced palaeoenvironmental interpretation of sediments, correlation between continental and shallow-marine strata, and improved understanding of the development of clitellate annelid reproductive traits and the evolutionary history of soft-bodied micro-organisms in general.
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INTRODUCTION

Clitellata is a clade of annelids that includes earthworms, leeches, acanthobdellids (spiny leeches), and branchiobdellids (crayfish worms). Extant clitellate annelids live in soils, moist terrestrial habitats, and freshwaters on all continents with the exception of Antarctica, although they are sparse and of low diversity on some Antarctic islands (Erseus and Grimm, 2002; Rodriguez and Rico, 2008). Clitellatans are characterized most notably by the presence of a clitellum—a set of specialized body segments in the anterior half of the body that plays an important role during reproduction. Their anatomical simplicity and the plasticity of key morphological characters of the body hinder precise taxonomic resolution of groups within extant Clitellata (Novo et al., 2011). Greatest taxonomic resolution has been achieved using molecular data (Apakupakul et al., 1999; Martin, 2001; Siddall et al., 2001; Erseus and Kallersjo, 2004; Rousset et al., 2007; Marotta et al., 2008; Struck et al., 2011; Weigert et al., 2014) and ultrastructural characters of spermatozoa (Jamieson and Rouse, 1989; Ferraguti and Erseus, 1999; Cardini and Ferraguti, 2004; Marotta and Ferraguti, 2009), although such data are not yet available for fossils, and the relationships inferred among some groups are still disputed.

The Fossil Record of Clitellata

Clitellate annelids have a poor and disputed body fossil record owing to their simple body form and lack of a robust exo- or endoskeleton (apart from rare setae and mouthparts in a few taxa; Wills, 1993). Although there are some marine clitellate annelids, the evolution of this group included one or more major shifts from marine to freshwater, mire, and soil environments that probably occurred alongside the colonization of the land by plants in the early to mid-Palaeozoic (Sawyer, 1986; Humphreys, 2003). Putative body-fossil impressions have been reported sporadically in fossil-Lagerstatten as old as the Ordovician (Kozur, 1970; Conway Morris et al., 1982; Mikulic et al., 1985; Wills, 1993). However, convincing body fossil evidence of modern clitellate groups has only been forthcoming from Cenozoic strata (Conway Morris et al., 1982). Edwards and Lofty (1977) suggested that clitellate annelids diversified in tandem with angiosperms and the development of closed forests as recently as the Cretaceous. By contrast, molecular dating has inferred differentiation of the clitellate crown group by the Permian, and with a stem group extending back to the late Cambrian (Parry et al., 2014). Thus far, a body fossil record providing the means to test the inferred timing of cladogenesis within Clitellata is lacking.

Clitellate annelids have presumably left an extensive ichnofossil (burrow and trail) record, but these traces are difficult to ascribe to any particular group within the clade. Equivalent traces are produced by a wide range of other burrowing and crawling organisms, e.g., other annelids, nematodes, nemertean worms, hemichordates, molluscs, and some arthropods, amongst other invertebrates, especially in marine and coastal environments (Seilacher, 2007). In the absence of a rich macro- body fossil record, and owing to the difficulties in confident attribution of trace fossils to Clitellata, the distinctive and highly resilient reproductive cocoons probably hold the greatest potential for tracing the evolutionary history of major groups within this clade through geological time. To date, this potential has been hindered by a poor understanding of the diversity of both fossil and modern clitellate annelid cocoons.

Clitellate Cocoon Formation and Preservation

At the time of reproduction, clitellate annelids secrete cylindrical to ovoid cocoons around the clitellum (Sayers et al., 2009). A mucous secretion provides a scaffold onto which successive layers of proteinaceous material are deposited in characteristic arrangements (see figure 1 of Jansson et al., 2008; and figure 2 of Bomfleur et al., 2015). Generally, eggs and sperm are then released into the cocoon by the adult as the animal withdraws. The cocoon is finally sealed with an operculum and deposited on a stable substrate. It then cures over several hours to days to form a resistant case protecting the eggs and developing embryos. The operculum may be demarcated by a narrow band of attenuated wall material or it may have an ultrastructure or chemistry that differs slightly from the main cocoon (Coleman et al., 2008; Rossi et al., 2013), allowing it to detach or decompose to facilitate the emergence of the young. Consequently, fossil cocoons typically lack the operculum (Manum et al., 1991), although isolated opercula have been recovered in rare cases (Jansson et al., 2008).

Fossil clitellate annelid cocoons are common and widespread in post-Palaeozoic continental strata but there have been few detailed studies of these fossils (Manum et al., 1991 and references therein). In most cases, cocoons have simply been noted or illustrated in passing within palynological or palaeobotanical surveys (Harris, 1926; Michael,
felt-like layer termed hapsine by Manum et al. (1991). Burejospermum is characterized by a robust alytine layer with relatively weakly developed hapsine (Figure 1.1). Pilothylakos is similar to Burejospermum in having a solid alytine layer but has a much more extensively developed hapsine of fine felt-like threads (Figure 1.2). Dictyothylakos has a robust net-like hapsine but lacks or has only a poorly developed alytine that is commonly lost during diagenesis or laboratory processing (Figure 1.3). We describe a fourth genus, Pegmatothyla-
kos, characterized by a distinctive robust reticulate layer exterior to the hapsine (Figure 1.4). The hapsine and alytine layers appear to possess essentially identical chemical constituents. Both layers consist of secreted thread-like structures. These threads differ in their arrangement, being loosely distributed in the hapsine, but densely packed or bound in laminae in the alytine. Some modern leeches differ in having two relatively homogenous wall layers covered by a loosely con-voluted outer, ridged layer (Dimitriu et al., 2006).

There is some ambiguity over the categoriza-
tion of clitellate cocoons as either body fossils or trace fossils. Because the cocoons represent sac-like chambers secreted by the adult hermaphroditic clitellate annelid, and do not constitute a compo-
nent of the normal body wall or exoskeleton, these structures might strictly be considered trace fossils and categorized as Calichnia (biogenic structures created for breeding purposes; Genise and Bown, 1994). However, Bertling et al. (2006, p. 266) made a distinction between the remains of “secretions without subsequent manipulation by the producer”, such as eggs, pearls, larval puparia of flies and the cocoons of clitellate annelids (which they classified as body fossils), and secretions that undergo a subsequent step of manipulation by the producer to form recurrent structures, such as silk nets, webs, or woven cocoons (which they considered to be trace fossils). This distinction is probably not unambiguous in all cases, because at least some clitellate cocoons show potential manipulation of the secreted threads to form recurrent architectural components (see descriptions below). However, to avoid segregation of some clitellate annelid cocoons as body fossils and others as trace fossils, we follow Genise (2004) and Bertling et al. (2006) in treating all clitellate cocoons, secreted for the protection of the eggs, as body-(part) fossils. An alternative nomenclatural approach was proposed by Manum et al. (1991, p. 349) who coined the term “hapsidarch” as an informal group name for acid-resistant secreted cocoons by analogy with the term “acritarch” (Evitt, 1963)—an informal

Classification of Clitellate Cocoons

Only three genera have been established for fossil clitellate cocoons thus far: Dictyothylakos Horst 1954 emend. Manum et al., 1991, Burejospermum Krassilov 1972 emend. Manum et al., 1991 and Pilothylakos Manum et al., 1991. These genera are differentiated by characters of the wall architecture. All fossil cocoons described to date have two layers: an inner dense sheet-like layer termed alytine; and an outer loosely threaded or felt-like layer termed hapsine by Manum et al.
group name used in palynology for organic-walled microfossils of apparently unicellular algal origin, but lacking characters enabling definitive assignment to taxa. However, the term hapsidarch has not gained usage in subsequent studies.

**Palaeobiological Significance of Clitellate Cocoons**

Interest in clitellate fossils has increased recently owing to the remarkable discovery of soft-bodied micro-organisms and the world’s oldest known animal spermatozoa entombed within the alytine layer of fossil cocoons (Manum et al., 1994; Bomfleur et al., 2012, 2015). This style of preservation is similar to entombment in amber, although the size of the entombed remains is obviously limited by the small size of the cocoons (normally <10 mm in diameter). Such discoveries provide a new window on the overall sparse fossil record of soft-bodied micro-organisms and yield insights into additional microenvironments and trophic levels within ancient ecosystems. Moreover, the presence of abundant spermatozoa entrapped within the cocoon’s inner wall can provide insights into the evolutionary history of reproductive strategies within the group (e.g., hypodermal injection versus free release of spermatozoa).

Here we describe three new species of clitellate cocoons, one in a new genus, from lower Eocene nearshore marine to estuarine strata of Seymour Island, Antarctica. We evaluate the architecture of the cocoons and illustrate the range of biological inclusions entrapped within the secreted cocoon walls. Further, we assess the affinities, environmental significance, and stratigraphic implications of these acid-resistant mesofossils.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

**Stratigraphy and Depositional Environment**

Seymour Island is situated at 64°17’S in the Weddell Sea, near the northern tip of the Antarctic Peninsula (Figure 2). It is the only place in Antarctica with a Paleogene terrestrial animal fossil record, although the poorly consolidated sediments of the middle Paleocene to upper Eocene/lowermost Oligocene Seymour Island Group, which yielded these fossils, were deposited in proximal submarine fans, shelf settings and deltaic environments (Elliot, 1988). These strata are part of the James Ross Basin, a back-arc basin developed on the eastern flank of the Antarctic Peninsula (Elliot, 1988; Del Valle et al., 1992; Hathway, 2000). Most of the terrestrial fossils are found in the Eocene La Meseta Formation (Elliot and Trautman, 1982), which represents the 720-m-thick poorly consolidated sandstone, mudstone, and shell-rich conglomerate fill (Figure 3.1-3) of a 7-km-wide incised-valley system (Marenssi et al., 1998, 2002; Marenssi, 2006). Fossil material sampled for this study derives from the estuarine, unconformity-bounded Cucullaea I Allomember of Marenssi et al. (1998, 2002) and Marenssi (2006) (i.e., level 35 of Montes et al., 2013), belonging to the informal biozone ‘Telm 5’ of Sadler (1988). Cucullaea I Allomember deposits are characterized by parallel lamination, climbing ripples, flaser- and wavy bedding, small-scale channels, invertebrate burrows, and shell lenses (Elliot and Trautman, 1982; Sadler, 1988; Stilwell and Zinsmeister, 1992). Most of these shell lenses are dominated by the thick-shelled bivalve Cucullaea, but one layer is dominated by the naticid gastropod Polynices, many of them predated by their kin. This ‘Natica horizon’ constitutes lenses up to 1 m thick that pinch out laterally over a few metres (Figure 3.4), but can be traced at several sites along the western flanks of the northern Seymour Island plateau. Besides marine mollusks (see Stilwell and Zinsmeister, 1992), marine vertebrates are also abundant in the ‘Natica horizon’, including teeth and placoid scales of sharks, skates, rays, and chimaerans, together with teeth and bones of teleosts, basilosaurid whales, and penguins (Reguero et al., 2013;
Schwarzhans et al., in press). In addition, these shell lenses have yielded the remains of a broad range of terrestrial organisms, including mammal teeth and bones (e.g., gondwanatheres, marsupials, and ungulates; see Woodburne and Zinsmeister, 1984; Goin and Carlini, 1995; Bargo and Reguero, 1998; Goin et al., 2006; Gelfo et al., 2009, 2015; Bond et al., 2011; Reguero et al., 2013), dispersed seeds, and fossil wood that is usually heavily bored by teredinid bivalves denoting extended immersion in normal marine waters (Savrda, 1991; McLoughlin et al., 1995).

**Age of the La Meseta Formation**

There is broad consensus that the age of the *Cucullaea* I Allomember of the La Meseta Formation is Eocene, but over the past two decades researchers have debated as to whether this unit’s age is early, middle, or even late Eocene. Stilwell and Zinsmeister (1992) suggested a late Eocene age based on a biostratigraphic assessment of struthiolariid gastropods. Strontium isotope ratios ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) from bivalve shells were used by Dutton et al. (2002) to argue for a middle Eocene age (44.5 or 47.4 Ma). However, more resolved strontium data of Ivany et al. (2008) provided a late early
Eocene age (49–51 Ma; see Figure 1.4). This greater age (~49.5 Ma) was confirmed by Gelfo et al. (2009) and Tejedor et al. (2009) who correlated the mammal assemblage from the *Cucullaea* I Allomember with that of the Patagonian Paso del Sapo assemblage, whose age is well constrained by radiometric dates. In contrast, Douglas et al. (2014) proposed an age younger than late middle Eocene (~41 Ma) for Telm 5 on the basis of its dinoflagellate cyst assemblage—an age inconsistent with other recent data. Montes et al. (2013) re-evaluated all previous temporal constraints on the La Meseta Formation in their stratigraphic synthesis of the Seymour Island succession and resolved that the *Cucullaea* I Allomember is Ypresian (early Eocene) in age, ranging from 52.8 Ma at the base to 49.0 Ma at the top. We employ their age determinations for the Seymour Island stratigraphic succession herein.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Material**

The studied material consists of several complete and fragmentary cocoons, most of which derive from locality IAA 1/90 (GPS data: 64°14’04.67”S; 56°39’56.38”W; Figures 2.3; 3.1-3), informally known as the ‘ungulate site’ (Marenssi et al., 1998) owing to the discovery of the first Antarctic ungulates (Bond et al., 1990). IAA 1/90 is the richest Antarctic mammal-bearing locality known to date (Chornogubsky et al., 2009). It exposes a con-
glomeratic lens that is almost 1 m thick and that extends laterally for several metres before thinning. The lens consists of fine- to coarse-grained sand with abundant bioclasts (mainly naticids) and pebbles up to 20 cm in diameter. The lens at IAA 1/90 is situated within the *Struthiolarella steinmanni* Zone of Stilwell and Zinsmeister (1992). The second locality yielding clitellate annelid cocoons is IAA 2/95 (GPS data: 64°13'58"S; 56°39'06"W, Figures 2.3; 3.4), also known as the 'marsupial site' owing to the discovery of marsupial remains at this location. The host deposit at this site is also a conglomeratic lens with a lithology similar to that at IAA 1/90.

All material, prefixed NRM, is held in the collections of the Swedish Museum of Natural History (Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet). The letter ‘S’ in specimen numbers denotes registration within the fossil plant and mesofossil collections of this institution.

**Methods**

The cocoon fossils were obtained from sediment samples that were dry-sieved in the field using 20 mm mesh screens in order to obtain a residue cleared of larger stones and mollusc fragments. The residue was further dry-sieved in the laboratory and separated into finer fractions (>4.0, >2.0, >0.5 mm) in order to search for microvertebrate remains. The cocoons were hand-picked from the >2 mm fraction using a stereomicroscope during this screening process.

Once separated from the remaining fossil residues, individual cocoons were immersed in 38% HF for 30 minutes to remove adhering silicate particles and then rinsed with water. Light micrography of specimens was undertaken using a Nikon Digital Sight DS-2Mv camera fitted to a Zeiss Stemi SV11 stereo-microscope. Selected specimens were mounted on aluminium stubs, coated with gold, and imaged using a Hitachi S-4300 field emission scanning-electron microscope at the Swedish Museum of Natural History. The ultrastructure of a portion of the cocoon wall from one specimen was studied via synchrotron-radiation-based X-ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM) at the TOMCAT beamline of the Swiss Light Source at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland. This specimen was mounted on a 3-mm-diameter brass stub and examined using the technique outlined by Donoghue et al. (2006) with a beam energy of 10 kV and a 20× objective. Slice data were obtained over 180° rotation and in 1501 stepwise increments, and were subsequently analyzed, reconstructed, and manipulated using Avizo software.

**Terminology**

We follow the terminology established by Manum et al. (1991) and Manum (1996) for characters of fossil cocoon walls. We introduce the new term pegmatine (from the Greek *pegma* = a framework or scaffold) for a rigid, dark, single-layered reticulate framework external to the felt-like hapsine layer in some cocoons. The term 'girders' is used to describe the individual, robust, laminated, interconnected struts that comprise the reticulate pegmatine layer.

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

**Phylum ANELLIDA Lamarck, 1809**

**Class CLITELLATA Michaelsen, 1919**

Order and Family uncertain

**Genus BUREJOSPERMUM Krassilov, 1972 emend. Manum, Bose and Sawyer, 1991**

**Type Species.** *Burejospermum crassitestum* Krassilov, 1972, by original designation.

*Burejospermum seymourense* sp. nov.

Figures 4.1-3; 5; 6

**Holotype.** NRMS089727 (Figures 4.1-3).

**Type Locality, Stratum, and Age.** Locality IAA 2/95; ‘*Natica* horizon’ within the *Cucullaea* I Allomember (Telm 5) of the La Meseta Formation; early Eocene.

**Additional Material.** NRMS089730–NRMS089732 (from the type locality).

**Etymology.** After Seymour Island.

**Diagnosis.** Reddish-brown barrel-shaped cocoons <4 mm long. Hapsine over most of the cocoon wall comprising disorganized threads more densely amalgamated in gently sunken equatorial girdle. Plate-like secretions locally developed on the outer surface of hapsine. Alytine dense, consisting of tightly amalgamated threads, and locally containing blind tubular cavities parallel to cocoon surface.

**Description.** Cocoon reddish-brown in reflected and transmitted light (Figure 4.1), ellipsoidal, 2.4 mm long from the anterior terminus to the level of the operculum detachment (estimated total length ~ 3 mm); diameter 2 mm; essentially circular in cross-section except where crushed by burial compaction. Anterior end broadly rounded. Posterior operculum absent from studied specimens (Figure 4.2). Hapsine ~ 5–75 μm thick (Figures 5.5, 6.10) consisting of moderately dense threads interconnected and interwoven to form a thin, brownish,
felt-like covering on the cocoon (Figures 4.3, 5.2, 6.1, 6.9; Supplementary Animation 1). Threads typically ~ 3 μm thick, locally reaching 10 μm thick. Hapsine of consistent density throughout except for a 350-μm-wide, slightly depressed, pale (whitish to yellowish in reflected light) girdle (Figures 4.1, 5.1) bearing more densely spaced and amalgamated threads (Figure 5.3). Isolated, flat, elliptical patches or plates of secreted material, 40–60 μm long, 32–45 μm wide and <3 μm thick distributed sparsely on outer hapsine surface (Figure 5.4, 5.6).

Alytine composed of secreted threads amalgamated into numerous thin sheets to form a continuous densely laminated layer ~ 22 μm thick (Figures 5.5, 6.10). Inner surface of alytine is smooth or undulated (Figure 6.2). Alytine incorporating sparse tubular cavities >400 μm long and ~
25 μm wide, parallel to cocoon surface, mostly in equatorial regions. Tubes lined by threads deflected towards one end of the cavity forming a pseudo-braided or chevron pattern (Figure 6.3-8; Supplementary Animations 2-4).

Remarks. Burejospermum seymourense differs from the two other taxa in the Seymour Island assemblage by its combination of a dense alytine layer and a robust hapsine that stands proud of the alytine surface. It differs from previously described Burejospermum species by its small dimensions, the distinct pale equatorial girdle of dense hapsine threads and the presence of sparse elliptical plate-like secretions on the outer surface of the hapsine. Burejospermum seymourense is the most common cocoon form in the Seymour Island assemblage.

All specimens represent hatched cocoons (lacking an operculum).

The tubular cavities oriented parallel to the surface within the alytine layer (Figure 6.2-8; Supplementary Animations 2–4) are of uncertain origin. Manum et al. (1991) devoted considerable attention to equivalent structures in other examples of Burejospermum and, although they did not identify any analogue among modern clitellate annelids, they hypothesized that such structures may have been produced by individual setae on a clitellar segment that became embedded in the alytine during the process of hirudoin secretion. They proposed that the setae were subsequently extracted from the tubes as the animal's clitellar region contracted before egg-laying. The chevron-shaped threads lining the tubes in the Seymour Island specimens, and the flaring of the tube at one end (Supplementary Animation 4), are consistent with the hypothesis of distortion caused by extraction of setae before the cocoon wall had hardened. However, we note that this hypothesis is tempered by the observation that modern true leeches and branchiobdellids (the two groups most commonly invoked as the producers of hapsine-rich fossil clitellate cocoons: Manum et al., 1991; Bomfleur et al., 2015) lack setae (Smith, 2001).

Burejospermum punctatum sp. nov.

Figures 4.4-6; 7

zoobank.org/D51D3E3F-C6F1-498C-BBDA-960761C7E2D6

Holotype. NRMS089728 (Figures 4.4-6; 7)

Type Locality, Stratum, and Age. Locality IAA 2/95; 'Natica horizon' within the Cucullaea I Allomember (Telm 5) of the La Meseta Formation; early Eocene.

Additional Material. NRMS089733 (from the type locality).

Etymology. After the locally punctate nature of the outer surface of the alytine.

Diagnosis. Reddish-brown cocoons <4 mm long. Hapsine very weakly developed, consisting of irregularly interconnected slender threads that may be depressed locally into the outer layer of the alytine. Alytine composed of numerous densely amalgamated laminae forming a solid wall with irregularly spaced circular pits on its outer surface. Weakly developed, densely granulate girdle positioned slightly to the posterior of cocoon equator.

Description. Cocoon deep reddish-brown in reflected (Figure 4.4) and transmitted light; ellipsoidal (Figure 7.1) with broadly rounded anterior end. Posterior end lacking operculum in all cases (Figure 4.5). Cocoon 3 mm long between anterior terminus and the line of operculum detachment (estimated length ~ 3.5 mm including operculum); diameter 2.4 mm; essentially circular in cross-section except where crushed. Hapsine weakly developed (Figure 4.6) or locally absent (Figure 7.3); where present it is composed of slender threads interconnected to form an irregular reticulum that is commonly depressed into the outer layer of the alytine (Figure 7.2). Hapsine threads <4 μm (typically ~ 2 μm) thick.

Alytine composed of numerous (>25) thin (0.5–1 μm thick) laminae densely amalgamated to form a solid layer ~ 24 μm thick (Figure 7.6). Outer surface of alytine commonly bearing irregularly spaced, circular pits up to 10 μm in diameter and <10 μm deep (Figure 7.3); surface otherwise relatively smooth except where it is densely granulate in a weakly developed 400-μm-wide girdle (Figure 7.4) positioned slightly to the posterior of the equator. Outer alytine surface with minute, short (80 μm long, ~ 20 μm wide), parallel cleft-and-fold structures (Figure 7.5); inner alytine surface smooth.

Remarks. Burejospermum punctatum differs from all other species in the genus by its relatively smooth outer surface, i.e., by having a very weakly developed hapsine that, to varying degrees, is depressed into the outer surface of the alytine. Cocoons of this type are similar in size, shape and colour to B. seymourense, so it is conceivable that they represent variability of cocoon development by a single cocoon maker. However, we did not observe any intermediate forms between these two cocoon types, so we propose that they were produced by different species of clitellate annelids. Only sparse examples of spermatozoa entrapment were identified between the alytine laminae of this species (described below).
Genus Pegmatothylakos gen. nov.  
zoobank.org/339BAEBC-42C6-46E3-B480-61B6E3E8CA4D  
Type Species. Pegmatothylakos manumii sp. nov., by monotypy.  
Etymology. Greek: *pegma* – a scaffold or framework; *thylakos* – sac. Gender: neuter.

**Diagnosis.** Fossil cocoons lacking an obvious sheet-like alytine. Inner layer of cocoon consisting of irregular slender-threaded, mesh-like hapsine. Outer layer of cocoon (= pegmatine) representing a shell of rigid girders interconnected to form a semi-

**FIGURE 5.** Scanning electron micrographs of *Burejospermum seymourense* sp. nov. (NRMS089727). 1, Cocoon in lateral view. 2, Details of typical hapsine threads. 3, Detail of dense hapsine threads over girdle region. 4, Elliptical secreted plate-like structures on exterior of hapsine. 5, Broken cocoon wall in cross-section showing solid alytine (lower) and thread-like hapsine (upper). 6, Detail of plate-like secretion on exterior of hapsine. Scale bars represent 1 mm in 1; 100 µm in 2; 50 µm in 3, 4; 25 µm in 5, 6.
FIGURE 6. Computed tomographic images of a *Burejosperrnum seymourense* cocoon wall (NRMS089729) generated from Synchrotron-radiation-based X-Ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM) at the TOMCAT beamline of the Swiss Light Source at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland. 1, Isosurface rendering of cocoon’s external surface showing mineral grains embedded in hapsine. 2, Isosurface rendering of cocoon’s internal surface showing creasing caused by alytine threads. Arrow indicates the position of a hollow tube within the alytine. 3, 4, Longitudinal orthoslice sections, at different focal planes, of a tube within the alytine showing pseudosegmentation. 5–8, Translucent volume rendering of a tube within the alytine imaged at different focal planes and magnifications to highlight the transverse to chevron-shaped thread arrangement lining the tube wall. 9, Isosurface rendering of hapsine outer surface showing details of the complex arrangement of hirudoin threads. 10, Transverse orthoslice section of the cocoon wall showing the near-solid alytine layer (bottom) and mesh-like hapsine layer (top). 11, Isosurface rendering of interior alytine wall showing an embedded spermatozoal nuclear region. 12, Colour-inverted rendering of Figure 6.11. 13, Volume rendering of the cocoon wall showing numerous mineral (white–yellow) inclusions. 14, Oblique translucent volume rendering of the cocoon wall showing the distribution of mineral grains mainly in the outer hapsine layer. Scale bars represent 100 µm in 1, 2, 10, 13, 14; 50 µm in 9; 25 µm in 3–7; 10 µm in 8; 5 µm in 11, 12.
regular reticulate framework enclosing rounded-polygonal lumina.

**Remarks.** This is the fourth genus established for fossil clitellate annelid cocoons. The genus is unique in lacking any obvious sheet-like alytine layer and in possessing a robust, rigid, reticulate framework layer (pegmatine) external to the felt-like hapsine (Figure 1.4). The pegmatine also differs from the outermost (hapsine) layer of other fossil clitellate cocoons in being dark brown to black rather than yellow to red in reflected light, thus suggesting some difference in its chemistry. The hapsine of *Pegmatothylinos* is otherwise similar to the equivalent layer in *Burejospermum* and

**FIGURE 7.** Scanning electron micrographs of *Burejospermum punctatum* sp. nov. (NRMS089728). 1, Cocoon in lateral view. 2, Details of sparse hapsine threads embedded in alytine surface. 3, Detail of typical cocoon surface showing pitting. 4, Detail of girdle region showing granular microtexture and a single surface pit. 5, Detail of outer alytine surface showing cleft and fold structures (arrowed). 6, Cross-section of cocoon wall showing laminated architecture. Scale bars represent 1 mm in 1; 100 µm in 3, 5; 10 µm in 2, 4, 6.
**Dictyothylakos** species, but less dense than that in *Pithothylakos*.

*Pegmatothylakos manumii* sp. nov. Figures 4.7-9; 8
zoobank.org/21970058-1A59-424A-855E-CE1BAEE14E9A

**Holotype.** NRMS089730 (Figures 4.7-9; 8).

**Type Locality, Stratum, and Age.** Locality: IAA 1/90; ‘*Natica* horizon’ within the *Cucullaea* I Allomember (Telm 5) of the La Meseta Formation; early Eocene.

**Additional Material.** NRMS089734, locality: IAA 2/95; ‘*Natica* horizon’ within the *Cucullaea* I Allomember (Telm 5) of the La Meseta Formation; early Eocene.

**Etymology.** After Professor Svein Bendik Manum (1926–2015), Norwegian palaeobotanist, who carried out the first major survey of fossil clitellate annelid cocoons.

**Diagnosis.** Cocoon ellipsoidal, <6 mm long. Pegmatine dark brown to black in reflected light, consisting of a semi-regular reticulum of girders enclosing circular, elliptical, or rounded-polygonal lumina mostly ~75–200 μm in diameter. Girders consisting of a core of fibrous or hackly material coated on the lateral and interior surfaces by multiple flattened strips of smooth, tightly overlapping threads. Hapsine amber-coloured, consisting of loosely interwoven slender threads.

**Description.** Cocoon ellipsoidal (Figure 4.7, 8.1); 4.6 mm in preserved length (estimated complete length ~5 mm), ~3 mm in equatorial diameter, circular in cross-section except where crushed; anterior end broadly rounded; posterior end damaged in all examples but potentially operculate (Figure 4.8). Outer shell (pegmatine) consisting of a semi-regular reticulate network of girders enclosing roughly equidimensional (rounded-polygonal, broadly elliptical, or circular) lumina with maximum dimensions of 50–220 μm (Figures 4.9, 8.2). Girders consisting of two components—a core of brown fibrous or hackly material 20–35 μm thick (Figure 8.6), and a lateral- and interior-surface coating of multiple flattened strips of subsequently secreted dark brown to black glossy dense threads that tightly overlap (Figure 8.3-5) and locally bifurcate in complex arrangements (Figure 8.7). Girders are ~50 μm thick and 50–80 μm wide. Rare spermatzoal fragments and other inclusions are entombed between the laminar threads coating the inner surface of the pegmatine girders (described below).

Hapsine positioned to the interior side of the pegmatine but not obviously attached. Hapsine weakly developed, consisting of slender (2–8 μm diameter), amber-coloured, cylindrical, sparsely branched threads arranged in a loose, complex interwoven felt-like meshwork (Figure 8.8).

**Remarks.** Only two specimens are available of this newly described taxon, but its unique architecture incorporating a robust pegmatine reticulum enables the species to be readily differentiated from all other fossil clitellate annelid cocoons. Attribution to Clitellata is based on the presence of a hapsine layer, apparently operculate character, and the sporadic occurrence of diagnostic spermatozoa inclusions embedded between the pegmatine filaments.

The pupal sacs of some Diptera, Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, and Neuroptera are enveloped by an external meshwork that is somewhat reminiscent of the pegmatine layer of *Pegmatothylakos*. However, such pupal casings are constructed in an essentially woven manner, i.e., having a succession of continuous threads that are superimposed in more-or-less opposite directions and subsequently connected. By contrast, the pegmatine in *Pegmatothylakos* has multiple layers of threads delineating individual rounded-polygonal lumina without any apparent hierarchical relationship in girder structure. We hypothesize that the formation of the pegmatine may—unlike that of a woven or otherwise coordinated construction—result, at least in part, from the self-organization of the girders on some ephemeral scaffold, possibly a mucous foam- or bubble-layer, at the time of cocoon secretion. Additional differences are the more variable and greater size of the lumina in the reticulum of insect pupal casings, and the much greater separation of the reticulum from the inner pupal sac (see, e.g., Eiseman and Charney, 2010; Holland, 2010).

Some insect eggs (especially those of lepidopterans) also have morphologies superficially similar to the reticulate structure of *Pegmatothylakos* (Sourakov and Emmel, 1995; Dunn, 2010), and several examples of ornate Mesozoic insect eggs have recently been described in detail (e.g., Pott et al., 2008; Heřmanová and Kvaček, 2010; Heřmanová et al., 2013; Fisher and Watson, 2015). However, both modern and fossil insect eggs have complex wall anatomies and surface morphologies with reiterating patterns (Hinton, 1970, 1981; Ren, 1992; Wolf and Reid, 2001; Fisher and Watson, 2015) that allow their differentiation from annelid cocoons.

**INCLUSIONS WITHIN THE COCOON WALLS**

Micro-biological structures (Figures 6.11-12, 9) and sparse mineral particles (Figure 6.13-14) are entombed within both the alytine and hapsine
FIGURE 8. Scanning electron micrographs of *Pegmatothyliakos manumii* sp. nov. (NRMS089730). 1, Cocoon in lateral view. 2, Enlargement of robust reticulate pegmatine covering thread-like hapsine. 3, Enlargement of areole in pegmatine reticulum showing underlying mesh-like hapsine. 4, Enlargement of girders forming pegmatine showing hackly inner zone and smoothly welded threads lining girder margins. 5, Detail of hackly and smooth threads in pegmatine girders. 6, Broken pegmatine girder showing irregular internal structure. 7, Enlargement of interior surface of pegmatine girder showing smooth threads with irregular branching and orientation. 8, Enlargement of hapsine threads. Scale bars represent 1 mm in 1; 250 μm in 2; 100 μm in 3, 4; 25 μm in 5–8.
layers of each cocoon taxon. The organic inclusions appear to be preserved as moulds (cavities from which all original organic matter has been lost) encased by very thin (nanometre-scale) coatings of hirudoin. Synchrotron x-ray tomographic microscopy could only detect the microbiological inclusions at the limit of applied beam resolution, and could not identify any internal structural features (Figures 6.11-12). Thus, we used primarily SEM for analysis of these wall inclusions. The minute size (<500 nm in diameter) of most inclusions inhibits acquisition of crisp high-resolution SEM images of unequivocal spermatozoan moulds in cross-section. Therefore, we illustrate all examples in surface view, which provides diagnostic details of the microfossils’ external morphologies (Figures 9.1-14).

Bomfleur et al. (2015) identified the oldest fossilized animalian spermatozoa entombed within the alytine of one specimen of Burejospermum seymourense (NRMS089729) from the Seymour Island assemblage, pre-dating by over 10 million years previous examples of fossil sperm cells reported from collembollans in late Eocene amber (Poinar, 2000), and from ostracods in early Miocene phosphorite (Matzke-Karasz et al., 2014). Here we describe and illustrate an additional array of entombed structures to those outlined by Bomfleur et al. (2015) in order to document the full diversity of micro- and nanofossils preserved in the clitellate cocoon walls.

Spermatozoa

Fossil spermatozoa are most readily observable and abundant within the innermost alytine laminae of Burejospermum seymourense (Figure 9.1-3, 9.5-12). However, sparse spermatozoal fragments were also identified within the alytine of B. punctatum (Figure 9.13) and in the innermost pegmatine of Pegmatothylakos manumii (Figure 9.14). The spermatozoa of B. seymourense are typically incomplete—in most cases the anterior acrosome or ‘drill bit’ structure having detached. We interpret the complete spermatozoon of this species to consist of (from anterior to posterior): 1, an apical button (~300 nm long and wide; Figure 9.2); 2, a tightly coiled acrosome (8–18 µm long, ~500 nm wide) with a swollen tip that probably contains the acrosome vesicle (1.2 µm long, 800 nm wide; Figure 9.2-3); 3, a granular-ornamented nuclear region that tapers distally (2.75–4 µm long, 500–650 nm in maximum width; Figure 9.5, 9.7, 9.9, 9.11-12); 4, a granular to weakly striate mitochondrial region (1–1.2 µm long; Figure 9.11) that also tapers distally; and 5, a whip-like flagellum (18 µm to at least 25 µm long, ~160 nm wide; Figure 9.5) that, in some cases, possesses anterior swellings (Figure 9.7) and commonly a beaded morphology (Figure 9.6, 9.8, 9.10) that may reflect the presence of a helical marginal fibre. The spermatozoa preserved within B. punctatum cocoons appear to be of essentially the same dimensions and architecture as those preserved within B. seymourense but have slightly tighter and narrower coils on the acrosome (Figure 9.13). Only ill-preserved spermatozoal fragments were observed in the cocoons of P. manumii (Figure 9.14), and these do not show morphological features that enabled differentiation from those of B. seymourense.

As noted by Bomfleur et al. (2015), the spermatozoa of B. seymourense have characters that suggest affiliation with branchiobdellids, especially the very long acrosome, granular nuclear region, and beaded structure of the flagellum that possibly reflects the presence of a helical marginal fibre—a feature unique to branchiobdellid spermatozoa. Given that the spermatozoa entrapped within the cocoons of B. punctatum and P. manumii are structurally similar to those of B. seymourense, they too probably have branchiobdellid affinities. These interpretations must be tempered by the observation that the full range of cocoon and spermatozoal morphologies of extant clitellate annelid groups has not yet been documented.

Bacteria

Numerous ellipsoidal, oblong, reinform, or spherical laevigate bodies are embedded in the alytine of B. seymourense cocoons (Figure 9.2, 9.4, 9.5, 9.7, 9.12). The ellipsoidal, reinform, and oblong forms are 1.4–2 µm long and 500–900 nm in diameter. Spherical forms are typically smaller (500–750 nm in diameter) and commonly occur in chains or clusters (Figures 9.4-5; 10). Many of these structures have a characteristic circular to oblong dimple in their surface.

The lack of distinctive morphological features on these bodies prohibits definitive assignment to a particular biological group. However, based on their minute size, rounded termini, and occurrence in clusters or chains, we interpret these bodies to be probable bacterial bacilli and cocci. Extant bacteria are typically 500 nm to 5 µm in maximum dimensions but they may range from 300 nm to 500 µm (Robertson et al., 1975; Schulz and Jorgensen, 2001). The dimple-like structure on many specimens may be taphonomic (i.e., caused by desiccation or compression of the hirudoin coating
FIGURE 9. Spermatozoa and bacteria preserved embedded in the innermost layer of the alytine wall of *Burejospermum seymourense* sp. nov., NRMS089729 (1–12); *Burejospermum punctatum* sp. nov., NRMS089728 (13); and *Pegmatothythakos manumii* sp. nov., NRMS089730 (14). 1, Overview of cocoon inner surface showing a range of elongate spermatozoa and elliptical–reniform bacteria. 2, Spermatozoon acrosome (white arrow) with swollen tip (probably containing the acrosome vesicle) and apical button (black arrow). 3, Enlargement of a spirally organized detached acrosome. 4, Thin sheet-like innermost layer of alytine draped over mineral inclusion (top) and entombing numerous elliptical bacteria. 5, Several spermatozoal nuclear regions, one with an attached whip-like flagellum (white arrow). A pair of dimpled elliptical bacteria are also present in the upper left (black arrow). 6, Enlargement of a portion of a spermatozoon flagellum showing slightly beaded structure. 7, Enlargement of the nuclear and mitochondrial region of a spermatozoon showing granular ornamentation and swellings in the anterior portion of the attached flagellum. Reniform bacterium also present in upper right (white arrow). 8, Several spermatozoal flagella showing indistinct spiral architecture. 9, Nuclear (white arrow), mitochondrial (black arrow) and anterior flagellar (hollow arrow) regions of a spermatozoon. 10, Enlargement of a flagellum showing beaded structure that probably reflects helical architecture. 11, Enlargement of Figure 9.9 showing details of nuclear (n), mitochondrial (m) and anterior flagellar (f) regions. 12, Overlapping elliptical bacterium (b) and flagellate spermatozoon. 13, Elongate coiled acrosome (a) and slender flagellum (f) of separate spermatozoa. 14, Nuclear (n) and flagellar (f) regions of a spermatozoon. Scale bars represent 10 µm in 1, 4, 5, 13, 14; 2 µm in 2, 3, 7–9; 1 µm in 6, 10–12.
into the hollow fossil mould) as several spermatozoal nuclear regions also bear a longitudinal crease (Figures 9.5; 10).

Vermiform Bodies

Two vermiform bodies, 750–840 nm wide and 11.3–12 µm long are co-preserved with a range of spermatozoal fragments and bacteria in the wall of one specimen of *Burejospermum seymourense* (NRMS089729) (Figure 10). They are embedded deeply in the cocoon wall, have rounded termini, but are otherwise relatively featureless.

These structures are notably broader than co-preserved spermatozoal acrosomes and lack the characteristic helical architecture of the latter. Manum et al. (1994) reported a nematode, *Capti vonema cretacea*, preserved in an Early Cretaceous clitellate annelid cocoon from Spitsbergen. However, nematodes, including the Spitsbergen example, are typically an order of magnitude larger (~ 5–100 µm in diameter, and at least 100 µm long; Brady and Weil, 2009) than the Seymour Island vermiform structures. A metazoan origin can probably be excluded for these structures based on their diminutive size. Instead, the Seymour Island examples may represent elongate protists, such as microsporidia (typically 1–40 µm long and rod-like; Vávra and Larsson, 2014), or euglenoids (typically 10–500 µm long and elliptical, spindle-shaped or vermiform; Raven et al., 1986).

DISCUSSION

Cocoon Affinities

Egg/larva-bearing cocoons are secreted by most clitellate annelids, although asexual reproduction by paritomy (division at budding zones) or architomy (fragmentation) is common in some genera (Martin et al., 2008). Earthworm (= traditional ‘oligochaete’) cocoons are distinguished by the presence of prominent polar extensions on the cocoon and by lacking, or having only a very weakly developed, outer filamentous (hapsine) layer (Manum et al., 1991; Bhattacharjee and Chaudhuri, 2002) (Figure 11.1). Few acanthobdellid cocoons have been studied, but they appear to be ovoid with at least one polar extension (Kutschera and Epshtein, 2006). Modern hirudineans (leeches) have variable development of the mesh-like hapsine over the alytine layer (Dimitriu et al., 2006; Coleman et al., 2008) (Figure 11.2). Few branchiobdellid (crayfish worm) cocoons have been illustrated or described in previous studies. However, at least some cocoons attributed to this group are elliptical, with a smooth to weakly filamentous or scabrate outer layer, and at least one
prominent polar extension forming an attachment to the commensal crayfish host (Quaglio et al., 2006; Geasa, 2014) (Figure 11.3).

Whereas the development of a distinctive two-layered architecture has provided support for Burejospermum, Dictyothylakos and Pilothylakos having affinities to hirudineans in past studies (Manum et al., 1991; Manum, 1996), a potential affinity with branchiobdellids has been largely overlooked. Based on the distinctive spermatozoa associated with all three cocoon types described in this study and by Bomfleur et al. (2015), the producers may have been branchiobdellids, and if so, then these remains would represent the first fossil evidence of this clade. Moreover, modern branchiobdellids are restricted to the Northern Hemisphere so the Antarctic fossils would indicate a greater biogeographic range for this group in the Paleogene and imply extinction of that group in the Southern Hemisphere subsequent to the early Eocene. Alternatively, the cocoons may belong to an extinct lineage of Clitellata that shared some characters with branchiobdellids.

The architecture of Pegmatothylakos does not correspond precisely to that of any known modern clitellate group. It is atypical in having a rigid framework layer (pegmatine) exterior to the felt-like hapsine. It is possible that Pegmatothylakos possessed a very thin and delicate, sheet-like, alytine layer that was destroyed during transport, diagenesis or sieving. This layer is commonly degraded or very weakly developed in Dictyothylakos (Manum et al., 1991) (Figure 1.3), so its absence in Pegmatothylakos may be a taphonomic artefact. In some respects, the pegmatine (outermost layer) of Pegmatothylakos resembles the alytine (innermost layer) of Burejospermum and Pilothylakos in being composed of tightly bound laminae or threads, but its semi-regular reticulate architecture, contrasting colour, and the two-component architecture of the pegmatine girders is quite different to the paler and relatively simple sheet-like structure of Burejospermum alytine. For these reasons we
consider that these layers are not strictly homologous. Nevertheless, the broad similarities (in shape, size, and secreted-thread construction) between Pegmatothylakos and other clitellate cocoons favours attribution to Clitellata, and the former may represent the cocoons of an unrecognized extinct lineage within that clade.

Depositional Environment

The Cucullaea I Allomember of the La Meseta Formation is interpreted to have been deposited in a nearshore marine to estuarine depositional system based on sedimentological criteria and the presence of various environmentally diagnostic invertebrate (Stilwell and Zinsmeister, 1992) and vertebrate (Reguero et al., 2012) fossils. Nevertheless, the presence of fossil seeds, wood, and sporadic terrestrial vertebrate bones and teeth attest to a significant contribution of continental material to the depositional environment.

The majority of extant earthworms and leeches occupy moist-terrestrial and freshwater settings, although some are known from marine environments (Lévêque et al., 2005). Branchiobdellids are obligate commensal symbionts on freshwater crayfish hosts (Lee et al., 2009). On this basis, we consider that the producers of the La Meseta Formation cocoons were probably part of the biota living on the adjacent Antarctic Peninsula magmatic arc, and that the cocoons were transported by fluvial processes into nearshore marine settings of the back-arc basin. These are the first examples of clitellate annelid cocoons recovered from unequivocal marine strata; previous examples have been almost invariably recovered from plant-rich fluvial floodbasin and levee facies (Manum et al., 1991; McLoughlin et al., 2002, 2014; Tosolini et al., 2002; Tosolini and Pole, 2010; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2015). Their occurrence as relatively complete and weakly compressed specimens (in most cases lacking only the detachable operculum) in marine strata reflects their durability to transport and resistance to burial diagenesis. Their resilience and ability to be transported between major environments indicate that clitellate cocoons might be useful for correlation between continental and shallow marine successions once comprehensive systematic surveys provide a better understanding of the diversity and stratigraphic ranges within the group.

The fossil record of clitellate cocoons extends back to at least the Middle Triassic (Vigran et al., 2014), but a comprehensive survey of mesofossils from a Middle Permian peat by Slater et al. (2012) found no evidence of annelid egg casings in an otherwise suitable host deposit, and such remains have not been forthcoming from palynological studies of other Palaeozoic strata. The occurrence and, thus, the stratigraphic and palaeoenvironmental application of these mesofossils is, therefore, likely to be restricted to post-Palaeozoic strata.

These are the first clitellate annelid cocoons recorded from the Cenozoic of Antarctica—only Triassic forms have been described previously (Bomfleur et al., 2012). Of the two most favoured groups to have produced these fossil cocoons, branchiobdellids live in commensal symbiosis with their crayfish hosts, feeding on detritus and epibions (Lee et al., 2009), whereas hirudineans are predators or obligate parasites on a broad range of terrestrial and aquatic hosts (Sket and Trontelj, 2008). No parasitic or commensal associations have been described previously from the Paleogene continental biotas of the Antarctic Peninsula. However, a broad range of herbivorous, detritivorous, commensal, and parasitic interactions have been reported from older (Permian and Triassic), non-marine fossil assemblages in Antarctica (Klavins et al., 2005; Slater et al., 2012, 2013, 2015; Harper et al., 2013). The Seymour Island fossils provide an additional component to the trophic complexity identified in high-latitude terrestrial Antarctic ecosystems during the Paleogene.

Terrestrial ecosystems of Antarctica saw marked extinctions and decline in diversity from the middle Eocene onwards (Reguero et al., 2013), and were especially decimated by dramatic cooling at the end of the Eocene (Berggren and Prothero, 1992; Cantrill and Poole, 2012). The local lineages of Clitellata that produced the range of cocoons described here may have been casualties of that dramatic climatic transition, since the group is not represented in the modern Antarctic fauna. More extensive sampling will be required to ascertain the full history of non-marine annelids in Antarctica.

CONCLUSIONS

Three new species of fossil clitellate annelid cocoons attributed to two genera (one new) are described and illustrated. Based primarily on the anatomy of entombed spermatozoa, the fossil cocoons were probably produced by branchiobdellid annelids, although affinities with hirudineans (leeches) cannot be excluded entirely. If a branchiobdellid affinity is confirmed, this implies that crayfish worms had a much broader range in the Eocene compared to their modern (Northern Hemisphere) distribution. The new genus, Pegmatothylakos...
clitellate annelids in the Eocene of Antarctica. Further systematic surveys of this category of acid-resistant mesofossils will undoubtedly provide a clearer understanding of the evolutionary history of clitellate annelids in Antarctica and, given their preservation in a range of depositional settings, they constitute a potential tool for correlation between continental and shallow-marine sedimentary successions. The presence of spermatozoal, bacterial, and vermiform inclusions in the walls of several clitellate annelid cocoons suggests that these fossils offer an exceptional resource for investigating the evolutionary history of soft-bodied micro-organisms of soil and aquatic environments.
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SUPPLEMENTARY ANIMATIONS

SUPPLEMENTARY ANIMATION 1. Animation (.mov format; 24 sec) compiled using Avizo software showing the rotation of an isosurface rendering of a *Burejospermum seymourense* cocoon-wall fragment (NRMS089729) generated from Synchrotron-radiation-based X-Ray tomographic microscopy (SRXTM) at the TOMCAT beamline of the Swiss Light Source at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland, using a beam energy of 10 kV and a 20× objective. Note the relatively smooth (inner) alytine and filamentous (outer) hapsine with embedded mineral matter.

collected from...
SUPPLEMENTARY ANIMATION 3. Composite orthoslice animation (.mov format; 24 sec) of 1461 steps through a *Burejospermum seymourense* cocoon wall fragment (NRMS089729) using Avizo software. Orthoslice data obtained via synchrotron-radiation-based X-ray tomographic microscopy at the TOMCAT beamline of the Swiss Light Source at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland, using a beam energy of 10 kV and a 20x objective. Note the similar composition of the dense (inner) alytine and filamentous (outer) hapsine; the hollow pseudo-segmented tube in the lower left portion parallel to the cocoon surface may represent the original position of a withdrawn seta; some smaller cavities in the alytine represent moulds of encased spermatozoa and micro-organisms.

SUPPLEMENTARY ANIMATION 4. Animation of a three-dimensional volume rendering (.mov format; 24 sec) of a *Burejospermum seymourense* cocoon wall fragment (NRMS089729) compiled from segmented computed tomographic data to illustrate an enclosed tubular structure (possible setal anchoring trace) highlighted in yellow that is flared at one end and tapers distally.