

Environmental & Analytical Toxicology

Review Article Changes and the contract of the c
Changes and the contract of t Review Article Open Access

Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Exerted by Pesticides in Different Biotic Matrices-An Overview of More Than a Decade of Experimental Evaluation

ML Larramendy^{1,2}, N Nikoloff^{1,2,*}, C Ruiz de Arcaute^{1,2} and S Soloneski^{1,2}

1 Cátedra de Citología, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Calle 64 Nº 3, B1904AMA La Plata, Argentina 2 Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina

Abstract

Agrochemicals represent one of the most important sources of environmental pollution. Although attempts to reduce agrochemical use through organic agricultural practices and the use of other technologies to control pests continue, the problem is still unsolved. Recent technological advances in molecular biology and analytical science have allowed the development of rapid, robust, and sensitive diagnostic tests (biomarkers) that can be used to monitor exposure to, and the effects of pollution. One of the major goals of our research laboratory is to evaluate comparatively the genotoxic and cytotoxic effects exerted by several pure agrochemicals and their technical formulations commonly used in Argentina on vertebrate cells*in vitro* and *in vivo* employing several end-points for geno and cytotoxicity. Among them are listed the herbicides dicamba and flurochloridone, the fungicide zineb, the insecticides pirimicarb and imidacloprid. Overall, the results clearly demonstrated that the damage induced by the commercial formulations is in general greater than that produced by the pure pesticides, suggesting the presence of deleterious components in the excipients with either a putative intrinsic toxic effect or with the capacity of exacerbating the toxicity of the pure agrochemicals, or both. Accordingly, the results highlight that: 1) A complete knowledge of the toxic effect/s of the active ingredient is not enough in biomonitoring studies; 2) Pesticide/s toxic effect/s should be evaluated assaying to the commercial formulation available in market; 3) The deleterious effect/s of the excipient/s present within the commercial formulation should not be either discarded nor underestimated, and 4) A single bioassay is not enough to characterize the toxicity of a agrochemical under study.

Keywords: Agrochemicals; Pesticides; Commercial formulations;*in vitro*; *In vivo*; Biomarkers

Abbreviations

CA, chromosomal aberrations; CCP, cell-cycle progression; CHO-K1, Chinese hamster ovary cells; IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer; MN, micronucleus/micronuclei; MI, mitotic index; NR, neutral red; OECD, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development; SCE, sister chromatid exchange; SCGE, single cell gel electrophoresis assay; US, United States; US EPA, United States Environmental Protection Agency; WHO, World Health Organization

Problem framework

Nowadays, it is worldwide accepted that the survival of humans as a species is intimately linked to the well-being of ecosystems and the resources they can provide. However, it is also well assume that the well-being of ecosystems depends, in turn, on minimizing the damaging impacts of anthropogenic activities. Irrespective of the kinds of habitats we choose to protect or restore, we need to understand how ecosystems, and the organisms that inhabit them, respond to chemicals exposure, among other detrimental factors. Recent technological advances in molecular biology and analytical science have allowed the development of rapid, robust, and sensitive diagnostic tests (biomarkers) to monitor both exposure and the effects of pollutants. For the first time, we are able to make health assessments of individual organisms in much the same way that we evaluate human health.

It is estimated that approximately 1.8 billion people worldwide engage in agriculture and most use pesticides to protect the food and commercial products that they produce. Others use pesticides occupationally for public health programs, and in commercial applications, while many others use pesticides for lawn and garden applications and in and around the home [1,2]. Pesticides are

defined as "chemical substance or mixture of substances used to prevent, destroy, repel or mitigate any pest ranging from insects (i.e., insecticides), rodents (i.e., rodenticides), and weeds (i.e., herbicides) to microorganisms (i.e., algicides, fungicides, and bactericides)" [1,3,4]. Definition of pesticide varied with times and countries. Nevertheless, the essence of pesticide has remained and remains basically constant, i.e., it is a (mixed) substance that is poisonous and efficient to target organisms and is safe to non-target organisms and environments.

Years ago, it has been reported that more than 2,000,000 million tn of pesticides are used only in the US each year whereas approximately over 11,000,000 million tn are used worldwide [1]. However, it is very well known that in many developing countries programs to control exposures are limited or even non-existent. Therefore, it has been estimated that among living species worldwide, only as many as 25 million agricultural workers experience unintentional pesticide poisonings each year [5]. According to the WHO [6] unintentional poisonings kill an estimated 355,000 people globally each year. In developing countries, where two thirds of these deaths occur, such poisonings are associated strongly with excessive exposure to, and inappropriate use of, toxic chemicals. Furthermore, the OECD has estimated that by the year 2020, nearly one third of the world's chemical

***Corresponding author:** Marcelo L. Larramendy, Ph.D., Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Calle 64 Nro. 3 (esq. 120), B1904AMA La Plata, Argentina, Tel: +54-221-424 9049; E-mail: marcelo.larramendy@gmail.com

Received April 23, 2014; **Accepted** May 28, 2014; **Published** June 02, 2014

Citation: Larramendy ML, Nikoloff ML, de Arcaute CR, Soloneski S (2014) Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Exerted by Pesticides in Different Biotic Matrices-An Overview of More Than a Decade of Experimental Evaluation. J Environ Anal Toxicol 4: 225. doi: [10.4172/2161-0525.1000225](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0525.1000225)

Copyright: © 2014 Larramendy ML, et al.. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page 2 of 10

production will take place in non-OECD countries and that global output will be 85% higher than it was in 1995. Therefore, the chemical shift of production from developed countries to poor countries could cause an increase in both the risks of environmental health in the second category of countries [7].

Although attempts to reduce pesticide use through organic agricultural practices and the use of other technologies to control pests continue, exposure to pesticides occupationally, through home and garden use, through termite control or indirectly through spray drifts and through residues in household dust, and in food and water are common [8-14]. The US Department of Agriculture has estimated that 50 million people in the US obtain their drinking water from groundwater that is potentially contaminated by pesticides and other agricultural chemicals [9,15-26]. Children from 3-6 years old received most of their dermal and non-dietary oral doses from playing with toys and while playing on carpets which contributed the largest portion of their exposure [22-27].

In epidemiological and in experimental biology studies, the existence of an increasing interest in biomonitoring markers to achieve both a measurement and an estimation of biologically active/passive exposure to genotoxic pollutants, is nowadays a real fact. Significant contributions to the advancement of pesticide toxicology came and continue to come from many sources, e.g., academic, governmental/ regulatory, and industrial. Regulatory agencies, private sector, and academia worldwide combine expertise to assess pesticide safety and risk potential demanding adequate data of high quality to serve as the basis for establishing safe exposure levels. The extent of testing was and is often determined by the depth of the science, as well as the chemical and physical properties of the agent and the extent of exposure. The importance of pesticide toxicology has evolved from listing poisons to protecting the public from the adverse effects of chemicals, from simply identifying effects (qualitative toxicology), to identifying and quantifying human risks from exposure (quantitative toxicology), and from observing phenomena to experimenting and determining mechanisms of action of pesticide agents and rational management for intoxication. Humans and living species may, therefore, be exposed to a number of different chemicals through dietary and other routes of exposure.

Pesticides are ubiquitous on the planet and they are employed to control or eliminate a variety of agricultural and household pests that can damage crops and livestock and to enhance the productivity. Despite the many benefits of the use of pesticides in crops field and its significant contribution to the lifestyles we have come to expect, pesticides can also be hazardous if not used appropriately and many of them may represent potential hazards due to the contamination of food, water, and air, which can result in severe health problems not only for humans but also for ecosystems [28]. The actual number of pesticide-related illnesses is unknown, since many poisonings go unreported. It has been estimated that at least three million cases of pesticide poisoning occur worldwide each year (www.who.int). The majority of these poisonings occur in developing countries where less protection against exposure is achieved, knowledge of health risks and safe use is limited or even unknown. Studies in developed countries have demonstrated the annual incidence intoxication in agricultural workers can reach values up to 182 per million and 7.4 per million among full time workers [29] and schoolchildren [30], respectively. However, the number of poisonings increases dramatically in emerging countries where the marketing of pesticides is often uncontrolled or illicit and the misbranded or unlabelled formulations are sold at open

stands (www.who.int). Yet, cases of pesticide intoxication may be the result of various causes in different regions of the world. In emerging countries, where there is insufficient regulation, lack of surveillance systems, less enforcement, lack of training, inadequate or reduced access to information systems, poorly maintained or nonexistent personal protective equipment's, and larger agriculturally based populations, the incidences are expected, then, to be higher [31]. Despite the magnitude of the problem of pesticide poisoning, there have been very few detailed studies around the world to identify the risk factors involved with their use. The use of pesticides banned in industrialized countries, in particular, highly toxic pesticides as classified by WHO, US EPA, and IARC, obsolete stockpiles and improper storage techniques may provide unique risks in the developing world, where 25% of the global pesticide production is consumed [28]. Particularly, the impact of increased deregulation of agrochemicals in Latin America threatens to increase the incidence of pesticide poisoning, which has already been termed a serious public health problem throughout the continent by the WHO. Many of the pesticides used in Latin America are US exports and the companies can make a number of changes to ensure the "safe" use of their products. However, the social, economic and cultural conditions under which they are used, pesticides acutely poison hundreds of thousands each year, including many children.

There is an aspect related with use and misuse of pesticides that should be commenter further. The continuous subtoxic exposures of these agrochemicals raises the concern about which is the behavior, environmental fate and the potential adverse effects on both target and non target organisms once incorporated into the environment. The different chemical products used in agriculture could be distributed within the environment by means of drift, surface runoff, and drainage [32,33] and, thus, can be found far away from the point of application. The mobility of pesticides in soil and hence their transfer to other environmental compartments, depends on a variety of complex dynamic physical, chemical and biological processes, including sorption–desorption, volatilization, chemical and/or biological degradation, uptake, runoff, and leaching, among other factors [34- 37]. In addition, many pesticides can persist for long periods in the ecosystem. Furthermore, once a persistent pesticide has entered the food chain, it can undergo ''biomagnification'', i.e., accumulation in the body tissues of organisms, where it may reach concentrations many times higher than in the surrounding environment and directly compromising the health of organisms, including humans [38-40].

In the majority of Latin American countries, poisoning registries are so inadequate that most acute poisoning cases never get recorded. Meanwhile, health effects of chronic or long-term pesticide exposures such as cancer or birth defects are not available, omissions that serve to hide the epidemic proportion of pesticide-related illness in the region. In Argentina, e.g., available official data revealed that 79% of the intoxications due to pesticides are related with the use of herbicides followed by insecticides and fungicides (www.msal.gov.ar), values that correlate with the evolution of the phytosanitary market demonstrating that herbicides accounted for the largest portion of total use (69%), followed by insecticides (13%), and fungicides (11%) (www.casafe.org). Consequently, Argentina a larger producer of cereals, including soy, is actually the world eight-largest agrochemical market. The country has seen an explosion in genetically modified soybean production with soy exports topping \$16.5 billion in 2008 (www.casafe.org). The fertile South American nation is now the world's third largest producer of soy, trailing behind the United States and Brazil.

Furthermore, there is an aspect that should be further considered.

It is well known that in agriculture, pesticides are usually applied in their formulated forms, where the active ingredient is combined with organic solvents and emulsifying and wetting agents, which affect the pesticide penetration and performance [41]. The additives may synergize or antagonize the toxicity of the active ingredient. However, additive compounds frequently make up part of a commercial pesticide formulation, they are not usually included in any discussion of the effects on living organisms, and their adverse effects may exceed those of the active ingredient. Although pesticides are developed through very strict regulation processes to function with reasonable certainty and minimal impact on human health and the environment, serious concerns have been raised about health risks resulting from occupational exposure and from residues in food and drinking water [41]. Several investigations have demonstrated that the additive compounds present in pesticide commercial formulations have the ability to induce cellular toxicity, including genotoxicity and genotoxicity by themselves, separate from the active ingredient [42-51]. Accordingly, risk assessment must also consider additional toxic effects caused by the excipient(s). Thus, both the workers as well as non-target organisms are exposed to the simultaneous action of the active ingredient and a variety of other chemical/s contained in the formulated product.

Since more than a decade, one of the major goals of our research group has been to evaluate comparatively the genotoxic and cytotoxic effects exerted by several pure pesticides Pestanal® analytical standards (Riedel-de Haën, Germany) and their technical formulations commonly used in Argentina on eukaryotic cells employing several biotic matrices both*in vitro* and *in vivo*. Among them are included the herbicides dicamba and the 57.7% dicamba-based formulation Banvel® (Syngenta Agro S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina) and flurochloridone and the 25.0% flurochloridone-based formulations Twin Pack Gold® (Magan Argentina, S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina) and Rainbow® (Syngenta Agro S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina), the fungicide zineb and the 70.0% zineb-based formulation Azzurro® (Chemiplant, Buenos Aires, Argentina), and the insecticides pirimicarb and the 50.0% pirimicarb-based formulations Aficida® (Syngenta Agro S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina) and Patton Flow® (Gleba S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina). For the particular case of the insecticide imidacloprid, the 35.0% imidacloprid-based formulation Glacoxan imida® (Punch Química S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina) was assayed. The sister chromatid exchange (SCE), cell-cycle progression (CCP), structural chromosome aberrations (CA), single cell gel electrophoresis assay (SCGE), spindle disturbances, micronuclei (MN), mitotic index (MI), MTT, and neutral red (NR) bioassays were used as end-points for geno and cytotoxicity in several cell systems including*in vitro* nontransformed and transformed mammalian cells, and *in vivo Allium cepa* meristematic root cells as well as circulating blood cells from *Rhinella arenarum* (Anura, Bufonidae) and *Hypsiboas pulchellus* (Anura, Hylidae) tadpoles. The aforementioned agrochemicals were chosen because they represent one of the most employed pesticides used for pest control not only in Argentina but also worldwide scale. A simple search within the Farm Chemical International database clearly reveals this concept (www.farmchemicalsinternational.com). So far, whereas available information indicates the existence of 34 basic producers and eight formulators for dicamba, six basic producers and at least two formulators worldwide are related with the manufacture and marketing of the herbicide flurochloridone. For the fungicide zineb, it has been reported the existence of 21 and at least seven basic producers and formulators, respectively. Finally, at global scale, the existence of

19 basic producers and at least four formulators as well as 117 basic producers and at least 49 formulators are related with the manufacture and marketing of the insecticides pirimicarb and imidacloprid.

Page 3 of 10

Dicamba. Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Profiles

Dicamba (3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid; CASRN: 1918-00- 9) is a selective systemic herbicide, absorbed by the leaves and roots, acts as an auxin-like growth regulator causing uncontrolled growth [52]. It is used to control annual and perennial broad-leaved weeds and bush species, e.g. cereals, maize, sorghum, sugar cane, asparagus, perennial seed grasses, turf, pastures, rangeland, and non-crop land [52]. Based on its acute toxicity, dicamba has been classified as a class II member (moderately hazardous) by WHO (http://www.who.int/ ipcs/publications/pesticides hazard/en/) and slightly to moderately toxic (category II-III) by US EPA [52]. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity investigations have been conducted with this auxinic member using several end-points on different cellular systems. When mutagenic activity was assessed in bacterial systems with the *Salmonella typhimurium* Ames test either positive or negative results have been reported [53-55]. Furthermore, similar situation were observed in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* when the reverse mutation assay was applied [53,56,57]. Whereas the herbicide was unable to induce mitotic recombination on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [58], negative and positive results were obtained for the induction of unscheduled DNA synthesis in human primary fibroblasts regardless of the presence or absence of S9 mix [53,59]. Sorensen et al. [60,61] found positive results on dicamba-treated CHO-K1 cells cultured in the presence of reducedclay smectites but not when the clay system were not included within the culture protocol. Perocco et al. [59] demonstrated the ability of the herbicide to induce SCEs in CHO-K1 cells and human lymphocytes*in vitro* with and without S9 fraction, respectively. It has been reported the ability of the herbicide to give positive results by using the gene mutation and recombination assays when *Arabidopsis thaliana* was used as experimental model [62]. However, both negative and inconclusive results were reported for the sex-linked recessive lethal mutation end-point in dicamba-exposed *Drosophila melanogaster* [57,63]. Perocco and co-workers [59] reported an increased frequency of DNA unwinding rate in rat hepatocytes. It has been also reported that the herbicide is able to enhance the frequency of CA in the rootand hoot-tip cells of barley and in rat bone marrow cells [64]. Finally, Mohamed and Ma [65] reported the MN induction in *Tradescantia sp.*

In our laboratory, we have studied the genotoxicity and cytotoxicityin vitro of the herbicide dicamba and the dicambacontaining commercial formulation Banvel® in human lymphocytes as well as in CHO-K1 cells (Figure 1). We were able to demonstrate that dicamba is a DNA-damaging agent since enhancement of the frequency of SCEs (Figure 1A), MN (Figure 1C), and single DNA strand breaks (Figure 1B) in mammalian*in vitro* cells [66,67]. Similarly, we demonstrated the induction of alterations in the CCP (Figure 1E), reduction of the MI status (Figure 1D), and cell viability after*in vitro* dicamba and Banvel® exposure [66-68].

Flurochloridone. Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Profiles

Flurochloridone(3-chloro-4-(chloromethyl)-1-[3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-pyrrolidinone; CASRN: 89286-81-7) is a pre-emergence herbicide used to control a range of weeds in umbelliferous, cereal, sunflower, and potato crops, among others [69]. Toxicological information for flurochloridone has been poorly documented. So far, it has been reported that the herbicide does not reveal genotoxic, carcinogenic, or neurotoxic potential in rodents [69].

Page 4 of 10

The herbicide induces low or moderate acute toxicity in rats when administered by oral, dermal, or inhalational routes [69]. However, it causes adverse effects in male reproductive functions and hormonal system alterations [69]. Accessible information on the genotoxic properties of flurochloridone is scarce. To the best of our knowledge, a single report has been reported so far. When root meristematic cells of *Allium cepa* were exposed to the herbicide, abnormal CCP and cellular mitodepressive activity were found [70]. The most frequently observed abnormalities were c-metaphases, multipolarity, polyploidy, and chromosome lagging. In addition, chromosomal stickiness, chromosome breaks, bridges, fragments, sister union, and MN were also observed after flurochloridone exposure [70].

Recently, we demonstrated that both flurochloridone and its formulations Twin Pack Gold® and Rainbow® are DNA-damaging agents (Figure 2), since an enhancement of the frequency of SCEs (Figure 2A), alterations in lysosomal (Figure 2G) and mitochondrial activities (Figure 2F), a delay in the CCP (Figure 2E) as well as a decrease of the MI (Figure 2D) were observed to occur in*in vitro* treated mammalian CHO-K1 cells [48]. Furthermore, by using the same*in vitro* cellular system, we recently demonstrated the ability of flurochloridone to induce DNA single-strand breaks (Figure 2B) and MN frequency (Figure 2C) [47]. Similarly, both flurochloridone and the flurochloridone-based formulation were able to exert the same genotoxic and cytotoxic pattern on HepG2 cells*in vitro* (Figures 2B,C), hepatocellular carcinoma cell line maintaining phase I and II enzymes [71]. Finally, when the MN induction (Figure 2C) and DNA strand breaks (Figure 2B) estimation by the SCGE assay were employed as *in vivo* end-points, positive results were reported in erythrocytes of Twin Pack Gold®- and Rainbow®-exposed R. arenarum tadpoles by Nikoloff and collaborators [72].

Zineb. Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Profiles

Zineb (ethylene bis(dithiocarbamate) zinc; CASRN: 12122- 67-7) is a widely employed foliar fungicide with prime agricultural and industrial applications [73]. Although zineb has been mainly registered to be used on a large number of fruits, vegetables, field crops, ornamental plants, and for the treatment of seeds, it has also been registered to be used as a fungicide in paints and for mold control on fabrics, leather, linen, painted surfaces, surfaces to be painted, and on paper, plastic, and wood surfaces [73]. It has been classified as a compound practically nontoxic (class IV) by US EPA [73] based on its potency by the oral and inhalation exposure routes. The available data on the deleterious effects of zineb do not allow a definitive evaluation of its carcinogenic potential and it has been not classified as to its carcinogenicity to humans (category III) by IARC [74]. This fungicide alters thyroid hormone levels and/or weights. The reproductive system is generally unaffected after zineb exposure [73].

Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity studies have been conducted with this dithiocarbamate member using several end-points on different cellular matrices. Zineb have been generally recognized as non-mutagenic

in bacteria, yeast and fungi as well as in mammalian cells [73]. Plate incorporation assay with *S. typhimurium* demonstrated a direct non-mutagenic effect of the fungicide whereas mitotic chromosome malsegregation, gene conversion and point mutation assays with *S. cerevisiae* and *B. subtilis* gave positive results [75, 76]. Tripathy et al. [77] reported zineb as positive genotoxic agent to somatic and germ cells in *Drosophila* sp. While Chernov and Khitsenko [78] observed an increased incidence of lung tumors after its oral administration to C57BL mice, negative results have been also reported to occur either in other mouse strains [79] or in rats [80]. A variety of sarcomas were observed after subcutaneous administration in mice and rats [81]. Also, Enninga and coworkers [82] showed that zineb induced structural CA in CHO cells both with and without S9. In contrast to these studies, it was reported that the fungicide did not induce MN in bone marrow cells of Wistar male rats after oral administration [83]. In humans, haemolytic alterations have been reported after zineb contact [84]. Finally, an increase in the frequency of CA was observed in the lymphocytes of persons occupationally exposed to zineb [85]. Several assays have been developed to assess the ability of zineb to cause cytotoxic effects on different cellular systems. Zineb exerted a high dose-related cytotoxicity in BALB/c 3T3 mouse cells*in vitro* but only in the absence of an exogenous metabolizing system [86]. However, Whalen and coworkers [87] reported negative results when human natural killer cells were exposed to zineb. However, alterations in the mitochondrial transmembrane potential and cardiolipin content were reported to occur after zineb administration in rats [88].

We evaluated comparatively the genotoxic and cytotoxic*in vitro* effects induced*in vitro* by the pure fungicide and its commercial formulation Azzurro® on CHO-K1 cells, human non-transformed fibroblast and circulating lymphocytes as well as on *in vivo A. cepa* meristematic root cells (Figure 3). Our observations revealed the ability of both zineb and the zineb-based formulation to induce CA in human lymphocytes (Figure 3D) [89,90]. Similarly, the fungicide increased the frequency of SCEs (Figure 3A) and modified the CCP (Figure 3F) and the MI status (Figure 3E) on human lymphocytes and CHO-K1 cells [89,90]. We have also demonstrated that both zineb and Azzurro® were not only able to induce MN in human lymphocytes*in vitro*, but also that such induction was restricted to B CD20+ and T suppressor/ cytotoxic CD8+ cell subsets [91]. Furthermore, when assessing DNA damage and repair kinetics analyzed using the SCGE assay on zineband Azzurro®-CHO-K1 exposed cells, we observed that single strand breaks introduced into the DNA molecule likely reflect those induced by alkylating agents rather than those produced by active oxygen species (Figure 3B) [92]. Finally, we have also observed using a β-tubulin immunodetection assay that the exposure to Azzurro® interferes with normal assembly of microtubule structures during the mitosis of *A. cepa* meristematic root cells [93] and in mammalian transformed and non-transformed exposed cell lines [94].

Pirimicarb. Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Profiles

Pirimicarb(2-dimethylamino-5,6-dimethylpyrimidin-4 yldimethylcarbamate; CASRN: 23103-98-2) is a derivative of carbamic acid insecticide member with both contact and systemic activity. Based on its acute toxicity, pirimicarb has been classified as a moderately hazardous compound (class II) by WHO [95] and slightly to moderately toxic (category II-III) by US EPA [96]. Pirimicarb is registered as a fast-acting selective aphicide mostly used in a broad range of crops, including cereals, sugar beet, potatoes, fruit, and vegetables, and is relatively non-toxic to beneficial predators, parasites, and bees [28,97].

Its mode of action is inhibiting acetylcholinterase activity [28,97].

Available information on the genotoxic and cytotoxic properties of pirimicarb is limited and inconsistent. Only few data are available in the literature [28,97]. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity studies have been conducted with this carbamate using several end-points on different cellular systems. Pirimicarb has been generally recognized as nongenotoxic in bacteria, yeast and fungi as well as in mammalian cells [28,97]. It has been reported to be non-mutagenic in bacteria systems [98,99]. Negative and positive results were obtained for the induction of mutagenicity in mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells regardless of the presence or absence of S9 mix [100]. Furthermore, evaluation of the induction of DNA single strand breaks revealed positive results in human lymphocytes exposed*in vitro* [101]. It has been reported the ability of the insecticide to give positive results by using the eye mosaic system *white/white+* (w/w+) somatic mutation and recombination test (SMART) when *D. melanogaster* was employed [102]. However, others authors reported negative results when mutation bioassays was performed in rats [103,104]. At the chromosomal level, pirimicarb did not induce CA in bone marrow cells of rats after oral administration [105,106]. Contrarily, Pilinskaia [107] observed a significant increase of CA in the peripheral blood lymphocytes from occupational workers after pirimicarb exposure.

We evaluated comparatively the genotoxic and cytotoxic*in vitro* effects induced by the pure insecticide and its commercial formulation Aficida® on*in vitro* CHO-K1 cells (Figure 4) as well as on *in vivo* biotic matrices including the fish *C. decemmaculatus* and amphibian *R. arenarum* tadpoles (Figure 5). Our observations revealed positive

Page 5 of 10

results for both compounds results when the either the CA (Figure 4B) and the SCE (Figure 4A) assays were performed in CHO-K1 cells [51]. Furthermore, the induction of alterations in the CCP (Figure 4D) and MI status (Figure 4C) on CHO-K1 cells was reported to occur after*in vitro* exposure to pirimicarb [51]. Finally, when the MN induction (Figure 5A), alterations in the erythrocytes:erythroblasts ratios, and

pirimicarb (black) and the pirimicarb-based insecticide formulation Aficida® (dark grey) commonly used in Argentina on*in vitro* (cylinders) mammalian Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells. Results are expressed as fold-time values over control data (white pyramid). Evaluation was performed using end-points for genotoxicity [SCEs (A) and CA (B)] and cytotoxicity [MI (C), PRI (D) , MTT (E) , and NR (F) I .

SCGE end-points (Figure 5B) were employed after *in vivo* exposure to the pirimicarb-based formulations Aficida® and Patton Flow®, positive results were reported by Vera Candioti and collaborators in *C. decemmaculatus* [108,109] and *R. arenarum* tadpoles exposed under laboratory conditions [110].

Imidacloprid. Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Profiles

Imidacloprid, (2E)-1-[(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine; CASRN: 138261-41-3), is a nicotine-derived systemic insecticide belonging to the neonicotinoids pesticide group. These insecticides act as an insect neurotoxin and belongs to a class of chemicals, chloronicotinyl nitroguanidine chemical family, which affect the central nervous system of insects [111,112]. It is effective on contact and via stomach action (http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/imidaclo.htm). Because imidacloprid binds much more strongly to insect nicotinic neuron receptors than that of mammal neurons, this insecticide results selectively more toxic to insects than mammals [112,113]. Imidacloprid has been ranked as a class II chemical (moderately hazardous) by the WHO [114] whereas the US EPA [115] has included the insecticide into the Group E of compounds with no evidence of carcinogenicity.

Imidacloprid decreases the reproduction rates in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Eisenia fetida* [116]. After S9 metabolic activation*in vitro*, imidacloprid produces calf thymus DNA adducts [117], increases the frequency of spermatic abnormalities in *E. fetida* [118], and is mutagenic in *S. typhimurium* strains, with or without S9 fraction [119]. The insecticide also induces significant increases in the frequency of SCE and MN formation in human peripheral blood lymphocytes [120,121], mice and rat bone-marrow cells [119,122], peripheral blood erythrocytes from *Rana N-Hallowell* tadpoles [123], and *Vicia faba* root cells [118]. Furthermore, imidacloprid causes DNA strand breaks in the coelomocytes of *E. fetida* [118], erythrocytes from Rana *N-Hallowell* anuran tadpoles [123], human peripheral blood lymphocytes [120], and leukocytes*in vitro* [121]. However, it does not cause DNA strand breaks in *V. faba* root cells [123].

as fold-time values over control data (white pyramid). Evaluation was performed using end-points for genotoxicity [MN (A) and SCGE (B)].

In our laboratory, we have recently studied the *in vivo* genotoxic effects induced by the imidacloprid-based commercial formulation Glacoxan imida® on *H. pulchellus* tadpoles exposed under laboratory conditions (Figure 6). Our observations demonstrated that the insecticide is able to exert DNA and chromosomal damage evaluated by the MN (Figure 6A) and SCGE (Figure 6B) bioassays [124].

Final Remarks

Overall, a comparative analysis of results revealed, depending upon the end-point employed, that the damage induced by the commercial formulations of the pesticides is, in general and regardless of the type of the active ingredient, greater than that produced by the pure compounds by themselves. Unfortunately, the identity of the components present within the excipient formulations was not made available by the manufacturer. These final remarks are in accord with previous observations not only reported by us but also by other research groups indicating the presence of xenobiotics within the composition of the commercial formulations with genotoxic and cytotoxic effects as previously mentioned [44,46,51,66-68,89,90,125- 130]. Hence, risk assessment must also consider additional genocytotoxic effects caused by the excipient/s. Thus, both the workers as well as non-target organisms are exposed to the simultaneous action of the active ingredient and a variety of other chemical/s contained in the formulated product.

Finally, the results highlight that a whole knowledge of the toxic effect/s of the active ingredient of a pesticide is not enough in biomonitoring studies as well as that agrochemical/s toxic effect/s should be evaluated according to the commercial formulation available in market. Furthermore, the deleterious effect/s of the excipient/s present within the commercial formulation should be neither discarded nor underestimated. The importance of further studies on this type of pesticide in order to achieve a complete knowledge on its genetic toxicology seems to be, then, more than evident.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by grants from the National University of La

Plata (Grant 11/N699) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Research (CONICET, PIP N° 0344) from Argentina.

References

- 1. [Donaldson D, Kiely T, Grube AU \(2002\) Pesticide's industry sales and usage](http://nepis.epa.gov/Adobe/PDF/3000659P.pdf) [1998-1999 market estimates, Environmental Protection Agency; Washington](http://nepis.epa.gov/Adobe/PDF/3000659P.pdf) [\(DC\): Report No. EPA-733-R-02-OOI.](http://nepis.epa.gov/Adobe/PDF/3000659P.pdf)
- 2. Repetto R, Baliga S (1996) Trends and patterns of pesticide use. Public Health Risks, 3-8, in: Pesticides and the immune system, World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C.
- 3. [Alavanja MC \(2009\) Introduction: pesticides use and exposure extensive](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20384038) [worldwide. Rev Environ Health 24: 303-309.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20384038)
- 4. USEPA (2009) What is a Pesticide? US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- 5. [Jeyaratnam J \(1990\) Acute pesticide poisoning: a major global health problem.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2238694) [World Health Stat Q 43: 139-144.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2238694)
- 6. [WHO \(2003\) Shaping the future of global health, Bulletin of The World Health](http://www.scielosp.org/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0042-96862003001200002) [Report, World Health Organization: 81.](http://www.scielosp.org/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0042-96862003001200002)
- 7. [OECD \(2001\) Environmental outlook for the chemicals industry. Environment](http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/2375538.pdf) [Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, World](http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/2375538.pdf) [Health Organization.](http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/2375538.pdf)
- 8. [Zolgharnein J, Shahmoradi A, Ghasemi J \(2011\) Pesticides removal using](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/clen.201000306/abstract?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false) [conventional and low-cost adsorbents: a review. Clean-Soil, Air, Water 39:](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/clen.201000306/abstract?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false) [1105-1119.](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/clen.201000306/abstract?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false)
- 9. [Damalas CA, Eleftherohorinos IG \(2011\) Pesticide exposure, safety issues,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21655127) [and risk assessment indicators. Int J Environ Res Public Health 8: 1402-1419.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21655127)
- 10. [Tankiewicz M, Fenik J, Biziuk M \(2010\) Determination of organophosphorus](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165993610001603) [and organonitrogen pesticides in water samples. Trends Anal Chem 29: 1050-](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165993610001603) [1063.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165993610001603)
- 11. [Baris RD, Cohen SZ, Barnes NL, Lam J, Ma Q \(2010\) Quantitative analysis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20821564) [of over 20 years of golf course monitoring studies. Environ Toxicol Chem 29:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20821564) [1224-1236.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20821564)
- 12. [Hernández F, Sancho JV, Ibáñez M, Grimalt S \(2008\) Investigation of pesticide](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165993608001866) [metabolites in food and water by LC-TOF-MS. Trends Anal Chem 27: 862-872.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165993608001866)
- 13. [Schipper PN, Vissers MJ, van der Linden AM \(2008\) Pesticides in groundwater](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18469402) [and drinking water wells: overview of the situation in the Netherlands. Water Sci](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18469402) [Technol 57: 1277-1286.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18469402)
- 14. [Hamilton DJ, Ambrus Ã, Dieterle RM, Felsot AS, Harris CA, et al. \(2003\)](http://www.iupac.org/publications/pac/75/8/1123/) [Regulatory limits for pesticide residues in water \(IUPAC technical report\). Pure](http://www.iupac.org/publications/pac/75/8/1123/) [Appl Chem 75: 1123-1155.](http://www.iupac.org/publications/pac/75/8/1123/)

Page 8 of 10

- 15. [Lindahl AML, Bockstaller C \(2012\) An indicator of pesticide leaching risk to](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X12001227) [groundwater. Ecol Indic 23: 95-108.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X12001227)
- 16. [Cabeza Y, Candela L, Ronen D, Teijon G \(2012\) Monitoring the occurrence of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22877748) [emerging contaminants in treated wastewater and groundwater between 2008 and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22877748) [2010. The Baix Llobregat \(Barcelona, Spain\). J Hazard Mater 239-240: 32-9.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22877748)
- 17. [Morgenstern U, Daughney CJ \(2012\) Groundwater age for identification of](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169412004933) [baseline groundwater quality and impacts of land-use intensification - The](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169412004933) [National Groundwater Monitoring Programme of New Zealand. J Hydrol 456-](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169412004933) [457: 79-93.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169412004933)
- 18. [González S, López-Roldán R, Cortina JL \(2012\) Presence and biological](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22230072) [effects of emerging contaminants in Llobregat River basin: a review. Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22230072) [Pollut 161: 83-92.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22230072)
- 19. [Stuart M, Lapworth D, Crane E, Hart A \(2012\) Review of risk from potential](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22209399) [emerging contaminants in UK groundwater. Sci Total Environ 416: 1-21.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22209399)
- 20. [Kumar M, Puri A \(2012\) A review of permissible limits of drinking water. Indian](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23112507) [J Occup Environ Med 16: 40-44.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23112507)
- 21. Diduch M, Polkowska Z, Namieśnik J [\(2011\) Chemical quality of bottled waters:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22416719) [a review. J Food Sci 76: R178-196.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22416719)
- 22. [Vonderheide AP, Bernard CE, Hieber TE, Kauffman PE, Morgan JN, et al.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573) [\(2009\) Surface-to-food pesticide transfer as a function of moisture and fat](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573) [content. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 19: 97-106.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573)
- 23. [Jurewicz J, Hanke W, Johansson C, Lundqvist C, Ceccatelli S, et al. \(2006\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573) [Adverse health effects of children's exposure to pesticides: what do we really](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573) [know and what can be done about it. Acta Paediatr Suppl 95: 71-80.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17000573)
- 24. [Rohrer CA, Hieber TE, Melnyk LJ, Berry MR \(2003\) Transfer efficiencies of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14603346) [pesticides from household flooring surfaces to foods. J Expo Anal Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14603346) [Epidemiol 13: 454-464.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14603346)
- 25. [Lewis RG, Fortune CR, Blanchard FT, Camann DE \(2001\) Movement and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11266098) [deposition of two organophosphorus pesticides within a residence after interior](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11266098) [and exterior applications. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 51: 339-351.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11266098)
- 26. [Akland GG, Pellizzari ED, Hu Y, Roberds M, Rohrer CA, et al. \(2000\) Factors](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11138663) [influencing total dietary exposures of young children. J Expo Anal Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11138663) [Epidemiol 10: 710-722.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11138663)
- 27. [Lu C, Fenske RA \(1999\) Dermal transfer of chlorpyrifos residues from residential](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1566568/) [surfaces: Comparison of hand press, hand drag, wipe, and polyurethane](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1566568/) [foam roller measurements after broadcast and aerosol pesticide applications.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1566568/) [Environ Health Perspect 107: 463-467.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1566568/)
- 28. [WHO-FAO \(2009\) Pesticides residues in food, in: FAO Plant Production and](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agphome/documents/Pests_Pesticides/JMPR/JMPRReport08.pdf) [Protection Paper. Rome 1-426.](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/agphome/documents/Pests_Pesticides/JMPR/JMPRReport08.pdf)
- 29. [Calvert GM, Plate DK, Das R, Rosales R, Shafey O, et al. \(2004\) Acute](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14691965) [occupational pesticide-related illness in the US, 1998-1999: surveillance](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14691965) [findings from the SENSOR-pesticides program. Am J Ind Med 45: 14-23.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14691965)
- 30. [Alarcon WA, Calvert GM, Blondell JM, Mehler LN, Sievert J, et al. \(2005\) Acute](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16046652) [illnesses associated with pesticide exposure at schools. JAMA 294: 455-465.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16046652)
- 31. [IFCS \(2003\) Acutely toxic pesticides: initial input on extent of problem and](http://www.who.int/ifcs/documents/forums/forum4/en/10w_f4_en.pdf) [guidance for risk management. Fourth session of the Intergovernmental Forum](http://www.who.int/ifcs/documents/forums/forum4/en/10w_f4_en.pdf) [on Chemical Safety. Doc number: IFCS/FORUM-IV/10, Bangkok.](http://www.who.int/ifcs/documents/forums/forum4/en/10w_f4_en.pdf)
- 32. [Meffe R, de Bustamante I2 \(2014\) Emerging organic contaminants in surface](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24602913) [water and groundwater: a first overview of the situation in Italy. Sci Total Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24602913) [481: 280-295.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24602913)
- 33. [Aparicio VC, De Gerónimo E, Marino D, Primost J, Carriquiriborde P, et al.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23849835) [\(2013\) Environmental fate of glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23849835) [surface waters and soil of agricultural basins. Chemosphere 93: 1866-1873.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23849835)
- 34. [Vryzas Z, Papadopoulou-Mourkidou E, Soulios G, Prodromou K \(2007\) Kinetics](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00913.x/abstract;jsessionid=39ED1C4BBB168226D5D38CCDCDFBB946.f01t03?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false) [and adsorption of metolachlor and atrazine and the conversion products](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00913.x/abstract;jsessionid=39ED1C4BBB168226D5D38CCDCDFBB946.f01t03?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false) [\(deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, hydroxyatrazine\) in the soil profile of a](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00913.x/abstract;jsessionid=39ED1C4BBB168226D5D38CCDCDFBB946.f01t03?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false) [river basin. Eur J Soil Sci: 58 1186-1199.](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00913.x/abstract;jsessionid=39ED1C4BBB168226D5D38CCDCDFBB946.f01t03?deniedAccessCustomisedMessage=&userIsAuthenticated=false)
- 35. [Roy A, Singh SK, Bajpai J, Bajpai AK \(2014\) Controlled pesticide release from](http://link.springer.com/article/10.2478/s11532-013-0405-2) [biodegradable polymers. CEJC 12: 453-469.](http://link.springer.com/article/10.2478/s11532-013-0405-2)
- 36. [Li WC \(2014\) Occurrence, sources, and fate of pharmaceuticals in aquatic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24521932) [environment and soil. Environ Pollut 187: 193-201.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24521932)
- 37. [Zaki MS, Hammam AM \(2014\) Aquatic pollutants and bioremediations. Life Sci](http://www.lifesciencesite.com/lsj/life1102/050_22965life110214_362_369.pdf) [J 11: 362-369.](http://www.lifesciencesite.com/lsj/life1102/050_22965life110214_362_369.pdf)
- 38. [Zhang K, Wei YL, Zeng EY \(2013\) A review of environmental and human](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23245873) [exposure to persistent organic pollutants in the Pearl River Delta, South China.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23245873) [Sci Total Environ 463-464: 1093-110.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23245873)
- 39. Manciocco A, Calamandrei G, Alleva E [\(2014\) Global warming and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24480426) [environmental contaminants in aquatic organisms: the need of the etho](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24480426)[toxicology approach. Chemosphere 100: 1-7.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24480426)
- 40. [Liu G, Cai Z, Zheng M \(2014\) Sources of unintentionally produced](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24112659) [polychlorinated naphthalenes. Chemosphere 94: 1-12.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24112659)
- 41. [WHO \(1990\) Public health impacts of pesticides used in agriculture \(WHO](http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/39772) [in collaboration with the United Nations Environment Programme, Geneva,](http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/39772) [1990\), World Health Organization.](http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/39772)
- 42. [Belden J, McMurry S, Smith L, Reilley P \(2010\) Acute toxicity of fungicide](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20836054) [formulations to amphibians at environmentally relevant concentrations. Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20836054) [Toxicol Chem 29: 2477-2480.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20836054)
- 43. [Brühl CA, Schmidt T, Pieper S, Alscher A \(2013\) Terrestrial pesticide exposure](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23350038) [of amphibians: an underestimated cause of global decline? Sci Rep 3: 1135.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23350038)
- 44. Lin N, Garry VF (2000)*in vitro* [studies of cellular and molecular developmental](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10933758) [toxicity of adjuvants, herbicides, and fungicides commonly used in Red River](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10933758) [Valley, Minnesota. J Toxicol Environ Health A 60: 423-439.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10933758)
- 45. Mann RM, Bidwell J[R \(1999\) The toxicity of glyphosate and several glyphosate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9888965) [formulations to four species of southwestern Australian frogs. Arch Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9888965) [Contam Toxicol 36: 193-199.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9888965)
- 46. [Molinari G, Kujawski M, Scuto A, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2012\) DNA](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22961421) [damage kinetics and apoptosis in ivermectin-treated chinese hamster ovary](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22961421) [cells. J Appl Toxicol 33: 1260-1267.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22961421)
- 47. [Nikoloff N, Larramendy ML, Soloneski S \(2012\) Comparative evaluation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22987626)*in vitro* [of the herbicide flurochloridone by cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22987626) [comet assays. Environ Toxicol .](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22987626)
- 48. [Nikoloff N, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2012\) Genotoxic and cytotoxic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22080090) [evaluation of the herbicide flurochloridone on Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO-K1\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22080090) cells. Toxicol*in vitro* [26: 157-163.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22080090)
- 49. [Rayburn AL, Moody DD, Freeman JL \(2005\) Cytotoxicity of technical grade](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16400549) [versus formulations of atrazine and acetochlor using mammalian cells. Bull](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16400549) [Environ Contam Toxicol 75: 691-698.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16400549)
- 50. [Zeljezic D, Garaj-Vrhovac V, Perkovic P \(2006\) Evaluation of DNA damage](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16527446) [induced by atrazine and atrazine-based herbicide in human lymphocytes](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16527446)*in vitro* [using a comet and DNA diffusion assay. Toxicol](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16527446)*in vitro* 20: 923-935.
- 51. [Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2010\) Sister chromatid exchanges and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19811874) [chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO-K1\) cells treated](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19811874) [with the insecticide pirimicarb. J Hazard Mater 174: 410-415.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19811874)
- 52. [USEPA \(1974\) Compendium of Registered Pesticides. US Government Printing](http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.exe/2000YOFC.TXT?ZyActionD=ZyDocument&Client=EPA&Index=Prior+to+1976&Docs=&Query=&Time=&EndTime=&SearchMethod=1&TocRestrict=n&Toc=&TocEntry=&QField=&QFieldYear=&QFieldMonth=&QFieldDay=&IntQFieldOp=0&ExtQFieldOp=0&XmlQuery=&File=D%3A\zyfiles\Index%20Data\70thru75\Txt\00000004\2000YOFC.txt&User=ANONYMOUS&Password=anonymous&SortMethod=h|-&MaximumDocuments=1&FuzzyDegree=0&ImageQuality=r75g8/r75g8/x150y150g16/i425&Display=p|f&DefSeekPage=x&SearchBack=ZyActionL&Back=ZyActionS&BackDesc=Results%20page&MaximumPages=1&ZyEntry=1&SeekPage=x&ZyPURL) [Office, Washington, DC.](http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.exe/2000YOFC.TXT?ZyActionD=ZyDocument&Client=EPA&Index=Prior+to+1976&Docs=&Query=&Time=&EndTime=&SearchMethod=1&TocRestrict=n&Toc=&TocEntry=&QField=&QFieldYear=&QFieldMonth=&QFieldDay=&IntQFieldOp=0&ExtQFieldOp=0&XmlQuery=&File=D%3A\zyfiles\Index%20Data\70thru75\Txt\00000004\2000YOFC.txt&User=ANONYMOUS&Password=anonymous&SortMethod=h|-&MaximumDocuments=1&FuzzyDegree=0&ImageQuality=r75g8/r75g8/x150y150g16/i425&Display=p|f&DefSeekPage=x&SearchBack=ZyActionL&Back=ZyActionS&BackDesc=Results%20page&MaximumPages=1&ZyEntry=1&SeekPage=x&ZyPURL)
- 53. Simmon VF (1979)*in vitro* [microbiological mutagenicity and unscheduled DNA](http://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_record_Report.cfm?dirEntryId=41086&CFID=1842877&CFTOKEN=37870458&jsessionid=38307889d59b68543cf648572a7b7f5c374d) [synthesis studies of eighteen pesticides. In: EPA-600/1-79-041, EPA, Research](http://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_record_Report.cfm?dirEntryId=41086&CFID=1842877&CFTOKEN=37870458&jsessionid=38307889d59b68543cf648572a7b7f5c374d) [Triangle Park 1-79.](http://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_record_Report.cfm?dirEntryId=41086&CFID=1842877&CFTOKEN=37870458&jsessionid=38307889d59b68543cf648572a7b7f5c374d)
- 54. [Plewa MJ, Wagner ED, Gentile GJ, Gentile JM \(1984\) An evaluation of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=An+evaluation+of+the+genotoxic+properties+of+herbicides+following+plant+and+animal+activation) [genotoxic properties of herbicides following plant and animal activation. Mutat](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=An+evaluation+of+the+genotoxic+properties+of+herbicides+following+plant+and+animal+activation) [Res 136: 233-245.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=An+evaluation+of+the+genotoxic+properties+of+herbicides+following+plant+and+animal+activation)
- 55. [Kier LD, Brusick DJ, Auletta AE, Von Halle ES, Brown MM, et al. \(1986\) The](http://www.unboundmedicine.com/evidence/ub/citation/3528831/The_Salmonella_typhimurium/mammalian_microsomal_assay__A_report_of_the_U_S__Environmental_Protection_Agency_Gene_Tox_Program_) [Salmonella typhimurium/mammalian microsomal assay: A report of the U.S.](http://www.unboundmedicine.com/evidence/ub/citation/3528831/The_Salmonella_typhimurium/mammalian_microsomal_assay__A_report_of_the_U_S__Environmental_Protection_Agency_Gene_Tox_Program_) [Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program. Mutat Res 168: 69-240.](http://www.unboundmedicine.com/evidence/ub/citation/3528831/The_Salmonella_typhimurium/mammalian_microsomal_assay__A_report_of_the_U_S__Environmental_Protection_Agency_Gene_Tox_Program_)
- 56. [Leifer Z, Kada T, Mandel M, Zeiger E, Stafford R, et al. \(1981\) An evaluation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6799817) [of tests using DNA repair-deficient bacteria for predicting genotoxicity and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6799817) [carcinogenicity. A report of the U.S. EPA's Gene-TOX Program. Mutat Res 87:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6799817) [211-297.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6799817)
- 57. Waters MD, Nesnow S, Simmon VF, Mitchell AD, Jorgenson TA, et al. (1981) Pesticide Chemist and Modern Toxicology. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- 58. [Zimmermann FK, von Borstel RC, von Halle ES, Parry JM, Siebert D, et al.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6374444) [\(1984\) Testing of chemicals for genetic activity with Saccharomyces cerevisiae:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6374444) [a report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6374444) [Mutat Res 133: 199-244.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6374444)
- 59. [Perocco P, Ancora G, Rani P, Valenti AM, Mazzullo M, et al. \(1990\) Evaluation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2331981) [of genotoxic effects of the herbicide dicamba using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2331981) *in vivo* and*in vitro* test

Page 9 of 10

[systems. Environ Mol Mutagen 15: 131-135.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2331981)

- 60. [Sorensen KC, Stucki JW, Warner RE, Plewa MJ \(2004\) Alteration of mammalian](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15382868)[cell toxicity of pesticides by structural iron\(II\) in ferruginous smectite. Environ](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15382868) [Sci Technol 38: 4383-4389.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15382868)
- 61. [Sorensen KC, Stucki JW, Warner RE, Wagner ED, Plewa MJ \(2005\) Modulation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15920753) [of the genotoxicity of pesticides reacted with redox-modified smectite clay.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15920753) [Environ Mol Mutagen 46: 174-181.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15920753)
- 62. [Filkowski J, Besplug J, Burke P, Kovalchuk I, Kovalchuk O \(2003\) Genotoxicity](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14644350) [of 2,4-D and dicamba revealed by transgenic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14644350) *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants [harboring recombination and point mutation markers. Mutat Res 542: 23-32.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14644350)
- 63. [Lee WR, Abrahamson S, Valencia R, von Halle ES, Wurgler FE, et al. \(1983\) The](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165111083900258) [sex-linked recessive lethal test for mutagenesis in](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165111083900258) *Drosophila melanogaster*. A [report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program, Mutat](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165111083900258) [Res 123: 183-279.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165111083900258)
- 64. [Hrelia P, Vigagni F, Maffei F, Morotti M, Colacci A, et al. \(1994\) Genetic safety](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7515160) [evaluation of pesticides in different short-term tests. Mutat Res 321: 219-228.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7515160)
- 65. [Mohammed KB, Ma TH \(1999\) Tradescantia-micronucleus and -stamen hair](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10350597) [mutation assays on genotoxicity of the gaseous and liquid forms of pesticides.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10350597) [Mutat Res 426: 193-199.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10350597)
- 66. [González NV, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2006\) Genotoxicity analysis of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16828255) [phenoxy herbicide dicamba in mammalian cells](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16828255)*in vitro*. Toxicol*in vitro* 20: 1481- [1487.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16828255)
- 67. [González NV, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2007\) The chlorophenoxy](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17643342) [herbicide dicamba and its commercial formulation banvel induce genotoxicity](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17643342) [and cytotoxicity in Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO\) cells. Mutat Res 634: 60-68.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17643342)
- 68. [González NV, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2009\) Dicamba-induced](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18676083) [genotoxicity in Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO\) cells is prevented by vitamin E. J](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18676083) [Hazard Mater 163: 337-343.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18676083)
- 69. [EFSA \(2010\) Peer review report to the conclusion regarding the peer review](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/1869.pdf) [of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance flurochloridone. EFSA](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/1869.pdf) [Journal 8: 1869-1935.](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/1869.pdf)
- 70. [Yüzbasioglu D, Ünal F, Sancak C, Kasap R \(2003\) Cytological effects of the](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00087114.2003.10589312#.U4M77W0VqZQ) [herbicide racer "flurochloridone" on](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00087114.2003.10589312#.U4M77W0VqZQ) *Allium cepa*. Caryologia 56: 97-105.
- 71. [Nikoloff N, Larramendy ML1, Soloneski S2 \(2014\) Assessment of DNA](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24394490) [damage, cytotoxicity, and apoptosis in human hepatoma \(HepG2\) cells after](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24394490) [flurochloridone herbicide exposure. Food Chem Toxicol 65: 233-241.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24394490)
- 72. [Nikoloff N, Natale GS, Marino D, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2014\)](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651313004466) [Flurochloridone-based herbicides induced genotoxicity effects on](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651313004466) *Rhinella arenarum* [tadpoles \(Anura: Bufonidae\). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 100: 275-281.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651313004466)
- 73. USEPA (1996) Pesticide Fact Sheet: Zineb. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- 74. [IARC \(1976\) Some Carbamates, Thiocarbamates and Carbazides. International](http://bases.bireme.br/cgi-bin/wxislind.exe/iah/online/?IsisScript=iah/iah.xis&src=google&base=WHOLIS&lang=p&nextAction=lnk&exprSearch=9283212126&indexSearch=ID) [Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon.](http://bases.bireme.br/cgi-bin/wxislind.exe/iah/online/?IsisScript=iah/iah.xis&src=google&base=WHOLIS&lang=p&nextAction=lnk&exprSearch=9283212126&indexSearch=ID)
- 75. [Della Croce C, Morichetti E, Intorre L, Soldani G, Bertini S, et al. \(1996\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9037261) [Biochemical and genetic interactions of two commercial pesticides with the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9037261) [monooxygenase system and chlorophyllin. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 15:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9037261) [21-28.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9037261)
- 76. [Franekic J, Bratulic N, Pavlica M, Papes D \(1994\) Genotoxicity of](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165799294900035) [dithiocarbamates and their metabolites. Mutat Res 325: 65-74.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165799294900035)
- 77. [Tripathy NK, Dey L, Majhi B, Das CC \(1988\) Genotoxicity of zineb detected](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165121888901371) [through the somatic and germ-line mosaic assays and sex-linked recessive](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165121888901371)lethal test in *[Drosophila melanogaster](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165121888901371)*. Mutat Res 206: 25-31.
- 78. Chernov OV, Khitsenko II (1969) Blastomogenic properties of some derivatives of dithiocarbamic acid, Vop Onkol: 15 71-74.
- 79. [Innes JR, Ulland BM, Valerio MG, Petrucelli L, Fishbein L, et al. \(1969\) Bioassay](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5793189) [of pesticides and industrial chemicals for tumorigenicity in mice: a preliminary](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5793189) [note. J Natl Cancer Inst 42: 1101-1114.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5793189)
- 80. Blackwell-Smith R, Finnegan JK, Larson PS, Sahyoun PF, Dreyfuss ML, et al (1953[\) et al. \(1953\) Toxicologic studies on zinc and disodium ethylene](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13097347) [bisdithiocarbamates. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 109: 159-166.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13097347)
- 81. [NTIS \(1968\) Evaluation of Carcinogenic, Teratogenic and Mutagenic Activities](http://www.ntis.gov/search/product.aspx?ABBR=PB223159) [of Selected Pesticides and Industrial Chemicals. United States Department of](http://www.ntis.gov/search/product.aspx?ABBR=PB223159) [Commerce, Washington, DC.](http://www.ntis.gov/search/product.aspx?ABBR=PB223159)
- 82. Enninga IC (1986) Evaluation of the mutagenic activity of zineb in the Ames Salmonella/microsome test. Unpublished report dated. December 29, 1986 from Pennwalt Holland B.V.
- 83. Centre HR (1985) Reverse mutation/ Salmonella typhimurium. Report No. FMT4/85394. Unpublished report dated August 23, 1985 from Huntingdon Research Centre, England.
- 84. Pinkhas J, Djaldetti M, Joshua H, Resnick C, de Vries A (1963) Sulphemoglobinemia and acute hemolytic anemia with Heinz bodies following contact with a fungicide - zinc ethylene bisdithiocarbamate- in a subject with glucose-6-phosphatase dehydrogenase deficiency and hypocatalasemia. Blood 21: 484-494.
- 85. Pilinskaia MA (1974) Results of cytogenetic examination of persons occupationally contacting with the fungicide zineb. Genetika 10: 140-146.
- 86. [Perocco P, Colacci A, Bonora B, Grilli S \(1995\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8525470)*in vitro* transforming effect of the [fungicides metalaxyl and zineb. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 15: 73-80.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8525470)
- 87. [Whalen MM, Loganathan BG, Yamashita N, Saito T \(2003\) Immunomodulation](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12732457) [of human natural killer cell cytotoxic function by triazine and carbamate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12732457) [pesticides. Chem Biol Interact 145: 311-319.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12732457)
- 88. [Astiz M, de Alaniz MJ, Marra CA \(2009\) Effect of pesticides on cell survival in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19493570) [liver and brain rat tissues. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 72: 2025-2032.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19493570)
- 89. [Soloneski S, González M, Piaggio E, Apezteguía M, Reigosa MA, et al. \(2001\)](http://mutage.oxfordjournals.org/content/16/6/487.short) [Effect of dithiocarbamate pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation](http://mutage.oxfordjournals.org/content/16/6/487.short) [azzurro. I. Genotoxic evaluation on cultured human lymphocytes exposed](http://mutage.oxfordjournals.org/content/16/6/487.short)*in vitro*[. Mutagenesis 16: 487-493.](http://mutage.oxfordjournals.org/content/16/6/487.short)
- 90. [Soloneski S, González M, Piaggio E, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2002\)](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1383571801003370) [Effect of dithiocarbamate pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1383571801003370) [azzurro. III. Genotoxic evaluation on Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO\) cells. Mutat](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1383571801003370) [Res 514: 201-212.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1383571801003370)
- 91. [Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2002\) Effect of dithiocarbamate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12211077) [pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation, azzurro. II. micronucleus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12211077) [induction in immunophenotyped human lymphocytes. Environ Mol Mutagen](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12211077) $40.57 - 62$
- 92. [González M, Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2003\) Effect of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12504763) [dithiocarbamate pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation, azzurro. IV. DNA](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12504763) [damage and repair kinetics assessed by single cell gel electrophoresis \(SCGE\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12504763) [assay on Chinese hamster ovary \(CHO\) cells. Mutat Res 534: 145-154.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12504763)
- 93. [Andrioli NB, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML, Mudry MD \(2012\) Cytogenetic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155123) [and microtubule array effects of the zineb-containing commercial fungicide](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155123) formulation Azzurro[® on meristematic root cells of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155123) *Allium cepa* L. Mutat Res [742: 48-53.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155123)
- 94. [Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2003\) Effect of dithiocarbamate](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138357180300038X) [pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation azzurro. V. Abnormalities](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138357180300038X) [induced in the spindle apparatus of transformed and non-transformed](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138357180300038X) [mammalian cell lines. Mutat Res 536: 121-129.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138357180300038X)
- 95. [WHO \(1988\) The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard](http://bases.bireme.br/cgi-bin/wxislind.exe/iah/online/?IsisScript=iah/iah.xis&src=google&base=PAHO&lang=p&nextAction=lnk&exprSearch=486&indexSearch=ID) [and guidelines to the classification 1988-1989. World Health Organization,](http://bases.bireme.br/cgi-bin/wxislind.exe/iah/online/?IsisScript=iah/iah.xis&src=google&base=PAHO&lang=p&nextAction=lnk&exprSearch=486&indexSearch=ID) [Geneva 1-200.](http://bases.bireme.br/cgi-bin/wxislind.exe/iah/online/?IsisScript=iah/iah.xis&src=google&base=PAHO&lang=p&nextAction=lnk&exprSearch=486&indexSearch=ID)
- 96. USEPA (1974) Pesticide Fact Sheet: Pirimicarb, in, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- 97. WHO-FAO (2004) Pesticides residues in food-2004, in: FAO Plant Production and Protection paper World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome 154-161.
- 98. Callander RD (1995) Pirimicarb: an evaluation of the mutagenic potential using S. typhimurium and E. coli. Central Toxicology Laboratory Report No. CTL/P/4798 GLP, Unpublished.
- 99. [Käfer E, Scott BR, Dorn GL, Stafford R \(1982\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7038472) *Aspergillus nidulans*: systems [and results of tests for chemical induction of mitotic segregation and mutation.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7038472) [I. Diploid and duplication assay systems. A report of the U.S. EPA Gene-Tox](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7038472) [Program, Mutat Res: 98 1-48.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7038472)
- 100.Clay P (1996) Pirimicarb: L5178Y TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma Mutation Assay. Central Toxicology Laboratory. Report No: CTL/P/5080 GLP, Unpublished.
- *101.Ündeger Ü, Basaran N* [\(2005\) Effects of pesticides on human peripheral](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15798889) lymphocytes*in vitro*[: induction of DNA damage. Arch Toxicol 79: 169-176.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15798889)
- *102. Aguirrezabalaga I, Santamaría I, Comendador MA* [\(1994\) The w/w+ SMART](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7968576) [is a useful tool for the evaluation of pesticides. Mutagenesis 9: 341-346.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7968576)

Page 10 of 10

- 103.McGregor DB (1974) Dominant lethal study in mice of ICI PP062. Zeneca unpublished report No. CTL/C/256 from Inveresk Research International. Submitted to WHO by Syngenta Crop Protection AG. Conducte according to OECD 478 (1983). GLP compliant.
- 104.Kennelly JC (1990) Pirimicarb: Assessment for the induction of unscheduled DNA synthesis in rat hepatocytes *in vivo*. Unpublished report No. CTL/P/2824 from Central Toxicology Laboratory, Zeneca. Submitted to WHO by Syngenta Crop Protection AG. Conducte according to OECD 486 (1983). GLP compliant.
- 105.Anderson D, Richardson CR, Howard CA, Bradbrook C, Salt MJ (1980) Pirimicarb: a cytogenetic study in the rat. World Health Organization.
- 106.Jones K, Howard CA (1989) Pirimicarb (technical): an evaluation in the mouse micronucleus test. Unpublished report No. CTL/P/2641 from Central Toxicology Laboratory, Zeneca. Submitted to WHO by Syngenta Crop Protection AG. Conducted according to OECD 474 (1983). GLP compliant.
- 107.[Pilinskaia MA \(1982\) \[Cytogenetic effect of the pesticide pirimor in a lymphocyte](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7101449) [culture of human peripheral blood](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7101449) *in vivo* and*in vitro*]. Tsitol Genet 16: 38-42.
- 108.[Candioti JV, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2010\) Genotoxic and cytotoxic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20816847) [effects of the formulated insecticide Aficida on](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20816847) *Cnesterodon decemmaculatus* [\(Jenyns, 1842\) \(Pisces: Poeciliidae\). Mutat Res 703: 180-186.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20816847)
- 109.[Vera-Candioti J, Soloneski S, Larramendy ML \(2013\) Pirimicarb-based](http://tih.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/04/22/0748233713486958.abstract) [formulation-induced genotoxicity and cytotoxicity on the fresh water fish](http://tih.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/04/22/0748233713486958.abstract) *Cnesterodon decemmaculatus* [\(Jenyns, 1842\) \(Pisces, Poeciliidae\). Toxicol](http://tih.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/04/22/0748233713486958.abstract) [Ind Health.](http://tih.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/04/22/0748233713486958.abstract)
- 110.[Vera-Candioti J, Natale GS, Soloneski S, Ronco AE, Larramendy ML \(2010\)](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653509012909) [Sublethal and lethal effects on](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653509012909) *Rhinella arenarum* (Anura, Bufonidae) tadpoles [exerted by the pirimicarb-containing technical formulation insecticide Aficida](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653509012909)®. [Chemosphere 78: 249-255.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653509012909)
- 111. [Blacquière T, Smagghe G, van Gestel CA, Mommaerts V \(2012\) Neonicotinoids](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22350105) [in bees: a review on concentrations, side-effects and risk assessment.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22350105) [Ecotoxicology 21: 973-992.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22350105)
- 112. [Tomizawa M, Casida JE \(2005\) Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15822177) [mechanisms of selective action. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 45: 247-268.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15822177)
- 113.[Gervais JA, Luukinen B, Buhl K, Stone D \(2010\) Imidacloprid Technical](http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidacloprid.pdf) [Fact Sheet. National Pesticide Information Center. Oregon State University](http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidacloprid.pdf) [Extension Services.](http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidacloprid.pdf)
- 114.[WHO \(2002\) The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard](http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/en/pesticides_hazard.pdf) [and guidelines to the classification 2000-2002. World Health Organization,](http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/en/pesticides_hazard.pdf) [Geneva 1-58.](http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/en/pesticides_hazard.pdf)
- 115. [NPIC \(2010\) Imidacloprid. Technical Fact Sheet. National Pesticide Information](http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidacloprid.pdf) [Center, Oregon State University Extension Services.](http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidacloprid.pdf)
- 116.[Gomez-Eyles JL, Svendsen C, Lister L, Martin H, Hodson ME, et al. \(2009\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18723220) [Measuring and modelling mixture toxicity of imidacloprid and thiacloprid on](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18723220) *[Caenorhabditis elegans and Eisenia fetida](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18723220)*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 72: 71-79.
- 117.[Shah RG, Lagueux J, Kapur S, Levallois P, Ayotte P, et al. \(1997\) Determination](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9089646)

[of genotoxicity of the metabolites of the pesticides Guthion, Sencor, Lorox,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9089646) [Reglone, Daconil and Admire by 32P-postlabeling. Mol Cell Biochem 169:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9089646) [177-184.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9089646)

- 118. [Zang Y, Zhong Y, Luo Y, Kong ZM \(2000\) Genotoxicity of two novel pesticides](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15092957) for the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*[. Environ Pollut 108: 271-278.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15092957)
- 119.[Karabay NU, Oguz MG \(2005\) Cytogenetic and genotoxic effects of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16475109) [insecticides, imidacloprid and methamidophos. Genet Mol Res 4: 653-662.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16475109)
- 120.Feng S, Kong Z, Wang X, Peng P, Zeng EY [\(2005\) Assessing the genotoxicity](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15883095) [of imidacloprid and RH-5849 in human peripheral blood lymphocytes](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15883095)*in vitro* [with comet assay and cytogenetic tests. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 61: 239-246.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15883095)
- 121.[Costa C, Silvari V, Melchini A, Catania S, Heffron JJ, et al. \(2009\) Genotoxicity](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977458) [of imidacloprid in relation to metabolic activation and composition of the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977458) [commercial product. Mutat Res 672: 40-44.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977458)
- 122.[Demsia G, Vlastos D, Goumenou M, Matthopoulos DP \(2007\) Assessment of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17950659) [the genotoxicity of imidacloprid and metalaxyl in cultured human lymphocytes](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17950659) [and rat bone-marrow. Mutat Res 634: 32-39.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17950659)
- 123.[Feng S, Kong Z, Wang X, Zhao L, Peng P \(2004\) Acute toxicity and genotoxicity](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15212911) [of two novel pesticides on amphibian,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15212911) *Rana N. Hallowell.* Chemosphere 56: [457-463.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15212911)
- 124.[Pérez-Iglesias JM, Ruiz de Arcaute C, Nikoloff N, Dury L, Soloneski S, et al.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651314001079) [\(2014\) The genotoxic effects of the imidacloprid-based insecticide formulation](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651314001079) [Glacoxan Imida on Montevideo tree frog](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651314001079) *Hypsiboas pulchellus* tadpoles [\(Anura, Hylidae\). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 104: 120-126.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651314001079)
- 125.[Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Molinari G, González NV, Larramendy ML \(2008\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18706518) [Genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of carbofuran and furadan on Chinese hamster](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18706518) [ovary \(CHOK1\) cells. Mutat Res 656: 68-73.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18706518)
- 126.[Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2003\) Effect of the dithiocarbamate](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12694752) [pesticide zineb and its commercial formulation, the azzurro. V. Abnormalities](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12694752) [induced in the spindle apparatus of transformed and non-transformed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12694752) [mammalian cell lines. Mutat Res 536: 121-129.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12694752)
- 127.[Molinari G, Soloneski S, Reigosa MA, Larramendy ML \(2009\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19056171)*in vitro* genotoxic [and cytotoxic effects of ivermectin and its formulation ivomec on Chinese](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19056171) [hamster ovary \(CHOK1\) cells. J Hazard Mater 165: 1074-1082.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19056171)
- 128.[Elsik CM, Stridde HM, Tann RS \(2008\) Glyphosate adjuvant formulation with](http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/20083136781.html;jsessionid=CF71A6B8D3A965D88035CA0E0B825DF1) [glycerin. In: ASTM Special Technical Publication 53-58.](http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/20083136781.html;jsessionid=CF71A6B8D3A965D88035CA0E0B825DF1)
- 129.[David D \(1982\) Influence of technical and commercial decamethrin, a new](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6764351) [synthetic pyrethroid, on the gonadic germ population in quail embryos. Arch](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6764351) [Anat Histol Embryol 65: 99-110.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6764351)
- 130.[Cox C, Surgan M \(2006\) Unidentified inert ingredients in pesticides:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17185266) [implications for human and environmental health. Environ Health Perspect](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17185266) [114: 1803-1806.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17185266)

Citation: Larramendy ML, Nikoloff ML, de Arcaute CR, Soloneski S (2014) Genotoxicity and Cytotoxicity Exerted by Pesticides in Different Biotic Matrices-An Overview of More Than a Decade of Experimental Evaluation. J Environ Anal Toxicol 4: 225. doi: [10.4172/2161-0525.1000225](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0525.1000225)