#### Linear Algebra and its Applications 439 (2013) 455-462



# On normal operator logarithms

# Eduardo Chiumiento\*,1



Departamento de Matemática, FCE-UNLP, Calles 50 y 115, 1900 La Plata, Argentina Instituto Argentino de Matemática, 'Alberto P. Calderón', CONICET, Saavedra 15 3er. piso, 1083 Buenos Aires, Argentina

#### ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 4 January 2013 Accepted 22 March 2013 Available online 17 April 2013

Submitted by P. Šemrl

AMS classification: 47B15 47A60

*Keywords:* Exponential map Normal operator Spectral theorem

## ABSTRACT

Let *X*, *Y* be normal bounded operators on a Hilbert space such that  $e^X = e^Y$ . If the spectra of *X* and *Y* are contained in the strip *S* of the complex plane defined by  $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \pi$ , we show that |X| = |Y|. If *Y* is only assumed to be bounded, then |X|Y = Y|X|. We give a formula for X - Y in terms of spectral projections of *X* and *Y* provided that *X*, *Y* are normal and  $e^X = e^Y$ . If *X* is an unbounded self-adjoint operator, which does not have  $(2k+1)\pi$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as eigenvalues, and *Y* is normal with spectrum in *S* satisfying  $e^{iX} = e^Y$ , then  $Y \in \{e^{iX}\}''$ . We give alternative proofs and generalizations of results on normal operator exponentials proved by Schmoeger.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Solutions to the equation  $e^X = e^Y$  were studied by Hille [1] in the general setting of unital Banach algebras. Under the assumption that the spectrum  $\sigma(X)$  of X is incongruent (mod  $2\pi i$ ), which means that  $\sigma(X) \cap \sigma(X + 2k\pi i) = \emptyset$  for all  $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ , he proved that XY = YX and there exist idempotents  $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$  commuting with X and Y such that

$$X - Y = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j E_j, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_j = I, \qquad E_i E_j = \delta_{ij},$$

where  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$  are different integers. If the hypothesis on the spectrum is removed, it is possible to find non commuting logarithms (see e.g. [1,6]). In the setting of Hilbert spaces, when X is a normal

\* Tel.: +5401149546781.

0024-3795/\$ - see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.03.026

E-mail address: eduardo@mate.unlp.edu.ar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by Instituto Argentino de Matemática 'Alberto P. Calderón' and CONICET.

operator, the above assumption on the spectrum can be weakened. In fact, Schmoeger [5] proved that X belongs to the double commutant of Y provided that  $E_X(\sigma(X) \cap \sigma(X+2k\pi i)) = 0, k = 1, 2, ...,$  where  $E_X$  is the spectral measure of X. We also refer to [3] for a generalization of this result by Paliogiannis.

In this paper, we study the operator equation  $e^X = e^Y$  in the setting of Hilbert spaces under the assumption that the spectra of X and Y belong to a non-injective domain of the complex exponential map. Our results include the relation between the modulus of X and Y (Theorem 3.1), a formula for the difference of two normal logarithms in terms of their spectral projections (Theorem 4.1) and commutation relations when X is a skew-adjoint unbounded operator (Theorem 5.1). The proofs of these results are elementary. In fact, they rely on the spectral theorem for normal operators. This approach allows us to give a generalization (Corollary 4.2) and an alternative proof (Corollary 3.2) of two results by Schmoeger (see [6]).

# 2. Notation and preliminaries

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The spectrum of an operator X is denoted by  $\sigma(X)$ , and the set of eigenvalues of X is denoted by  $\sigma_p(X)$ . The real part of  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is  $\operatorname{Re}(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^*)$  and its imaginary part is  $\operatorname{Im}(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X - X^*)$ .

If X is a bounded or unbounded normal operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote by  $E_X$  the spectral measure of X. Recall that  $E_X$  is defined on the Borel subsets of  $\sigma(X)$ , but we may think that  $E_X$  is defined on all the Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, we can set  $E_X(\Omega) = E_X(\Omega \cap \sigma(X))$  for every Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Our first lemma is a generalized version of [4, Ch. XII Ex. 25], where the normal operator can now be unbounded.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let X be a (possibly unbounded) normal operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and f a bounded Borel function on  $\sigma(X)$ . Then

$$E_{f(X)}(\Omega) = E_X(f^{-1}(\Omega)),$$

for every Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** We define a spectral measure by  $E'(\Omega) = E_X(f^{-1}(\Omega))$ , where  $\Omega$  is any Borel subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We are going to show that  $E' = E_{f(X)}$ . Since f is bounded, it follows that  $f(X) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, the operator f(X) is given by

$$\langle f(X)\xi,\eta\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \, dE_{X\,\xi,\eta}(z) \, ,$$

where  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $E_{X\xi,\eta}$  is the complex measure defined by  $E_{X\xi,\eta}(\Omega) = \langle E_X(\Omega)\xi, \eta \rangle$  (see [4, Theorem 12.21]). By the change of measure principle ([4, Theorem 13.28]), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} z \, dE'_{\xi,\eta}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \, dE_{X\xi,\eta}(z).$$

Therefore *E'* satisfies the equation  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} z \, dE'_{\xi,\eta}(z) = \langle f(X)\xi, \eta \rangle$ , which uniquely determines the spectral measure of *f*(*X*) (see [4, Theorem 12.23]). Hence  $E' = E_{f(X)}$ .  $\Box$ 

The following lemma was first proved in [6, Corollary 2]. See also [3, Corollary 3] for another proof. We give below a proof for the sake of completeness, which does not depend on further results of these articles.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let X and Y be normal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $e^{X} = e^{Y}$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(X) = \operatorname{Re}(Y)$ .

**Proof.** The following computation was done in [6]:

$$e^{X+X^*} = e^X e^{X^*} = e^X (e^X)^* = e^Y (e^Y)^* = e^Y e^{Y^*} = e^{Y+Y^*},$$

where the first and last equalities hold because *X* and *Y* are normal. Now we may finish the proof in a different fashion: note that the exponential map, restricted to real axis, has an inverse log :  $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\sigma(X + X^*) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma(e^{X + X^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ , we can use the continuous functional calculus to get  $X + X^* = \log(e^{X + X^*}) = \log(e^{Y + Y^*}) = Y + Y^*$ .  $\Box$ 

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation for subsets of the complex plane:

- $\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2 = \{x + iy : x \in \Omega_1, y \in \Omega_2\}$ , where  $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2$ , are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- For short, we write  $\mathbb{R} + ia$  for the set  $\mathbb{R} + i\{a\}$ .
- We write S for the complex strip {  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  :  $-\pi \leq \text{Im}(z) \leq \pi$  }, and S° for the interior of S.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let X, Y be normal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$  and  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$ . Then  $e^X = e^Y$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i)  $E_X(\Omega) = E_Y(\Omega)$  for all Borel subsets  $\Omega$  of  $S^\circ$ . (ii)  $\operatorname{Re}(X) = \operatorname{Re}(Y)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $e^{X} = e^{Y}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a Borel measurable subset of  $S^{\circ}$ . By the spectral mapping theorem,

$$\sigma(e^{X}) = \{e^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(X)\} = \{e^{\mu} : \mu \in \sigma(Y)\} = \sigma(e^{Y}).$$

It is well-known that the restriction of the complex exponential map exp  $|_{S^{\circ}}$  is bijective. Therefore we have  $\sigma(X) \cap \Omega = \sigma(Y) \cap \Omega$ , and by Lemma 2.1,

$$E_X(\Omega) = E_X(\Omega \cap \sigma(X)) = E_X(\exp^{-1}(\exp(\Omega \cap \sigma(X))))$$
  
=  $E_{e^X}(\exp(\Omega \cap \sigma(X))) = E_{e^Y}(\exp(\Omega \cap \sigma(Y))) = E_Y(\Omega),$ 

which proves (i). On the other hand, (ii) is proved in Lemma 2.2.

To prove the converse assertion, we first note that

$$E_X(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_X(\mathbb{R} + i\pi) = I - E_X(S^\circ) = I - E_Y(S^\circ)$$
  
=  $E_Y(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i\pi),$ 

since  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$ ,  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$  and  $E_X(S^\circ) = E_Y(S^\circ)$ . Due to the fact that  $E_X$  and  $E_Y$  coincide on Borel subsets of  $S^\circ$ , we find that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^{\circ}} e^{z} dE_{X}(z) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{\circ}} e^{z} dE_{Y}(z).$$

Hence we get

$$e^{X} = \int_{S} e^{z} dE_{X}(z) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}+i\pi} e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)} dE_{X}(z) - \int_{\mathbb{R}-i\pi} e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)} dE_{X}(z) + \int_{S^{\circ}} e^{z} dE_{X}(z)$$
  
=  $-e^{\operatorname{Re}(X)} (E_{X}(\mathbb{R}+i\pi) + E_{X}(\mathbb{R}-i\pi)) + \int_{S^{\circ}} e^{z} dE_{X}(z)$   
=  $-e^{\operatorname{Re}(Y)} (E_{Y}(\mathbb{R}+i\pi) + E_{Y}(\mathbb{R}-i\pi)) + \int_{S^{\circ}} e^{z} dE_{Y}(z) = e^{Y}.$ 

**Remark 2.4.** We have shown that  $E_X(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_X(\mathbb{R} + i\pi) = E_Y(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i\pi)$ , whenever *X*, *Y* are normal bounded operators such that  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$ ,  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$  and  $e^X = e^Y$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** (Kurepa [2]) Let  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $e^X = N$  is a normal operator. Then

 $X = N_0 + 2\pi i W,$ 

where  $N_0 = \log(N)$  and  $\log$  is the principal (or any) branch of the logarithm function. The bounded operator W commutes with  $N_0$  and there exists a bounded and regular, positive definite self-adjoint operator Q such that  $W_0 = Q^{-1}WQ$  is a self-adjoint operator the spectrum of which belongs to the set of all integers.

### 3. Modulus and square of logarithms

Now we show the relation between the modulus of two normal logarithms with spectra contained in S.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let X be a normal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Assume that  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$  and  $e^X = e^Y$ .

(i) If Y is normal in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$ , then |X| = |Y|. (ii) If  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then |X|Y = Y|X|.

**Proof.** (i) We will prove that the spectral measures of |Im(X)| and |Im(Y)| coincide. Let us set A = Im(X) and B = Im(Y). Given  $\Omega \subseteq [0, \pi)$ , put  $\Omega' = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \in \Omega\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{R} + i\Omega' \subseteq S^\circ$ . As an application of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we see that

$$E_{|A|}(\Omega) = E_A(\Omega') = E_X(\mathbb{R} + i\Omega') = E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i\Omega') = E_B(\Omega') = E_{|B|}(\Omega).$$

By Remark 2.4, we have

$$E_{|A|}(\{\pi\}) = E_A(\{-\pi, \pi\}) = E_X(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_X(\mathbb{R} + i\pi)$$
  
=  $E_Y(\mathbb{R} - i\pi) + E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i\pi) = E_{|B|}(\{\pi\}).$ 

Thus, we have proved  $E_{|A|} = E_{|B|}$ , which implies that |A| = |B|. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, we know that Re(X) = Re(Y). Therefore

$$|X|^{2} = \operatorname{Re}(X)^{2} + |A|^{2} = \operatorname{Re}(Y)^{2} + |B|^{2} = |Y|^{2}.$$

Hence |X| = |Y|, and the proof is complete.

(ii) Since X is a normal operator,  $e^X = e^Y$  is also a normal operator. Then by a result by Kurepa (see Theorem 2.5), there exist operators  $N_0$  and W such that  $N_0$  is normal,  $e^X = e^{N_0}$ , W commutes with  $N_0$  and  $Y = N_0 + 2\pi iW$ . In fact,  $N_0$  can be defined using the Borel functional calculus by  $N_0 = \log(e^X)$ , where log is the principal branch of the logarithm. In particular, this implies that  $\sigma(N_0) \subseteq S$ . Now we can apply *i*) to find that  $|N_0| = |X|$ . Since  $N_0W = WN_0$ , we have  $|N_0|W = W|N_0|$ , and this gives W|X| = |X|W. Hence |X|Y = Y|X|.  $\Box$ 

Following similar arguments, we can give an alternative proof of a result by Schmoeger ([6, Theorem 3]). This result was originally proved using inner derivations. Note that a minor improvement on the assumption on  $\sigma(X)$  over the boundary  $\partial S$  of the strip S can now be done. Given a set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $\overline{\Omega}$  the set {  $x - iy : x + iy \in \Omega$  }.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let X be a normal operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $e^X = e^Y$ . Suppose that for every Borel subset  $\Omega \subseteq \partial S \setminus \{-i\pi, i\pi\}$ , it holds that  $E_X(\overline{\Omega}) = 0$ , whenever  $E_X(\Omega) \neq 0$ . Then  $X^2Y = YX^2$ .

458

**Proof.** We will show that  $E_{X^2}(\Omega_0)$  commutes with *Y* for every Borel subset  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \sigma(X^2)$ . From the equation  $e^X = e^Y$ , we have  $e^X Y = Ye^X$ , and thus,  $E_{e^X}(\Omega)Y = YE_{e^X}(\Omega)$  for any Borel set  $\Omega$ . Since the set  $\Omega$  is arbitrary, by Lemma 2.1 we get

(1)  $E_X(\Omega')Y = YE_X(\Omega')$  for every subset  $\Omega' \subseteq S^\circ$ . (2)  $(E_X(\Omega') + E_X(\overline{\Omega'}))Y = Y(E_X(\Omega') + E_X(\overline{\Omega'}))$ , whenever  $\Omega' \subseteq \partial S$ .

On the other hand, the image of S by the analytic map  $f(z) = z^2$  is given by

$$f(\mathcal{S}) = \{ u \pm i2t\sqrt{u+t^2} : u \in [-\pi^2, \infty), u+t^2 \ge 0 \}.$$

Let us write  $f^{-1}(\Omega_0) = \Omega_- \cup \Omega_+$ , where  $\Omega_- = f^{-1}(\Omega_0) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0\}$  and  $\Omega_+ = f^{-1}(\Omega_0) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0\}$ . We point out that  $E_{X^2}(\Omega_0) = E_X(\Omega_+) + E_X(\Omega_-)$ .

Next we need to consider three cases. In the case in which  $\Omega_0 \subseteq f(S)^\circ$ , then  $\Omega_+ \subseteq S^\circ$  and  $\Omega_- \subseteq S^\circ$ . By the item (1) above we have  $E_{X^2}(\Omega_0)Y = YE_{X^2}(\Omega_0)$ . In the case where  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \partial f(S) \setminus \{-\pi^2\}$ , we have that  $\Omega_+ \subseteq \partial S \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$ . It follows that either  $E_X(\Omega_+) = 0$  or  $E_X(\overline{\Omega}_+) = 0$  by our assumption on the spectral measure of *X*. Similarly, it must be either  $E_X(\Omega_-) = 0$  or  $E_X(\overline{\Omega}_-) = 0$ . Therefore item (2) above reduces to the desired conclusion, i.e.  $E_{X^2}(\Omega_0)Y = YE_{X^2}(\Omega_0)$ . Finally, if  $\Omega_0 = \{-\pi^2\}$ , then  $E_{X^2}(\Omega_0) = E_X(\{-i\pi\}) + E_X(\{i\pi\})$  commutes with *Y* by item (2), and this concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Difference of logarithms

Let *X*, *Y* be normal operators and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In order to avoid lengthly formulas, let us fix a notation for some special spectral projections of these operators:

- $P_{2k+1} = E_X(\mathbb{R} + i((2k-1)\pi, (2k+1)\pi));$
- $Q_{2k+1} = E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i((2k-1)\pi, (2k+1)\pi));$
- $E_{2k+1} = E_X(\mathbb{R} + i(2k+1)\pi);$
- $F_{2k+1} = E_Y(\mathbb{R} + i(2k+1)\pi).$

As we have pointed out in the introduction, Hille showed that the difference between two logarithms in Banach algebras may be expressed as the sum of multiples of projections (see [1, Theorem 4]). In order to prove that result, the spectrum of one of the logarithms must be incongruent (mod  $2\pi i$ ). In the case where X and Y are both normal logarithms on a Hilbert space, the spectral theorem can be used to provide a more general formula.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let X and Y be normal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $e^X = e^Y$ . If  $\sigma(X)$  and  $\sigma(Y)$  are contained in  $\mathbb{R} + i[(2k_0 + 1)\pi, (2k_1 + 1)\pi]$  for some  $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$X - Y = \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \left( 2k\pi i \left( P_{2k+1} - Q_{2k+1} \right) + (2k+1)\pi i \left( E_{2k+1} - F_{2k+1} \right) \right).$$

**Proof.** We first suppose that  $\sigma(X)$  and  $\sigma(Y)$  are contained in the strip S. Then we have  $Im(X) = Im(X)(E_X(S^\circ) + E_X(\mathbb{R} + i\pi) + E_X(\mathbb{R} - i\pi)) = Im(X)P_1 + \pi E_1 - \pi E_{-1}$ . Analogously,  $Im(Y) = Im(Y)Q_1 + \pi F_1 - \pi F_{-1}$ . By Lemma 2.3, we know that Re(X) = Re(Y) and  $E_X(\Omega) = E_Y(\Omega)$  for every Borel subset  $\Omega$  of  $S^\circ$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{Im}(X)P_1 = \int_{\mathcal{S}^\circ} \operatorname{Im}(z) \, dE_X(z) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^\circ} \operatorname{Im}(z) \, dE_Y(z) = \operatorname{Im}(Y)Q_1,$$

which implies

$$X - Y = \pi i (E_1 - F_1) - \pi i (E_{-1} - F_{-1}).$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

Thus, we have proved the formula in this case. For the general case, without restrictions on spectrum of *X* and *Y*, we need to consider the following Borel measurable function

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{k_1} (t - 2k\pi) \chi_{((2k-1)\pi, (2k+1)\pi]}(t),$$

where  $\chi_I(t)$  is the characteristic function of the interval *I*. Set A = Im(X) and B = Im(Y). By Lemma 2.2, Re(X) = Re(Y), and since the real and imaginary part of X and Y commute because X and Y are normal,  $e^{iA} = e^X e^{-\text{Re}(X)} = e^Y e^{-\text{Re}(Y)} = e^{iB}$ . The function f satisfies  $e^{if(t)} = e^{it}$ , which implies that  $e^{if(A)} = e^{iA} = e^{iB} = e^{if(B)}$ . Since  $\sigma(f(A))$  and  $\sigma(f(B))$  are contained in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , we can replace in Eq. (1) to find that

$$f(A) - f(B) = \pi \left( E_{f(A)}(\{\pi\}) - E_{f(B)}(\{\pi\}) \right)$$
  
=  $\pi \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{k_1} \left( E_A(\{(2k+1)\pi\}) - E_B(\{(2k+1)\pi\}) \right)$   
=  $\pi \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \left( E_{2k+1} - F_{2k+1} \right).$  (2)

Here we have used Lemma 2.1 to express  $E_{f(A)}$ ,  $E_A$  and  $E_{f(B)}$ ,  $E_B$  in terms of  $E_X$  and  $E_Y$  respectively. In particular, note that  $E_{f(A)}(\{-\pi\}) = E_{f(B)}(\{-\pi\}) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have

(1) 
$$f(A) = \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{k_1} (A - 2k\pi) \chi_{((2k-1)\pi, (2k+1)\pi]}(A) = A - \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} 2k\pi (P_{2k+1} + E_{2k+1}).$$
  
(2)  $f(B) = B - \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} 2k\pi (Q_{2k+1} + F_{2k+1}).$ 

Therefore

$$X - Y = i(A - B)$$
  
=  $i(f(A) - f(B)) + \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} (2k\pi i(P_{2k+1} - Q_{2k+1}) + 2k\pi i(E_{2k+1} - F_{2k+1}))$ 

Combining this with the expression in (2), we get the desired formula.  $\Box$ 

Below we give a generalization of another result due to Schmoeger (see [6, Theorem 5]). The assumptions on the spectrum of *X* and *Y* were more restrictive in [6]:  $||X|| \le \pi$ ,  $||Y|| \le \pi$  and either  $-i\pi$  or  $i\pi$  does not belong to the point spectrum of one of these operators. However, these hypothesis were necessary to conclude that X - Y is a multiple of a projection; meanwhile XY = YX can be obtained under more general assumptions (see [6, Theorem 3], [5, Theorem 1.4] and [3, Theorem 9]).

**Corollary 4.2.** Let X, Y be normal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Assume that  $\sigma(X) \subseteq S$ ,  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$  and  $e^X = e^Y$ . *The following assertions hold:* 

460

- (*i*) If  $E_1 = 0$ , then XY = YX and  $X Y = -2\pi i F_1$ .
- (*ii*) If  $E_{-1} = 0$ , then XY = YX and  $X Y = 2\pi i F_{-1}$ .
- (iii) If  $E_1 = E_{-1} = 0$ , then X = Y.

**Proof.** (i) Under these assumptions on the spectra of *X* and *Y*, we have established that  $E_{-1} + E_1 = F_{-1} + F_1$  in Remark 2.4. On the other hand, by Eq. (1) in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we know that  $X - Y = \pi i(E_1 - F_1) - \pi i(E_{-1} - F_{-1})$ . Since  $E_1 = 0$ , we have  $E_{-1} = F_1 + F_{-1}$ . It follows that  $X = -2\pi iF_1 + Y$ . Hence *X* and *Y* commute. We can similarly conclude that (ii) holds true. To prove (iii), note that  $E_1 = E_{-1} = 0$  implies that  $F_1 + F_{-1} = 0$ , and consequently,  $F_1 = F_{-1} = 0$ . Hence we get X = Y.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Unbounded logarithms

Let *X* be a self-adjoint unbounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . As before,  $E_X$  denotes the spectral measure of *X*. In item (i) of our next result, we will give a version of [5, Theorem 1.4] for unbounded operators (see also [3, Theorem 9]). To this end, we extend the definition given in [5] for bounded operators: a self-adjoint unbounded operator *X* is *generalized*  $2\pi$ *-congruence-free* if

 $E_X(\sigma(X) \cap \sigma(X+2k\pi)) = 0, \quad k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ 

Given  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the commutant of Y is the set

 $\{Y\}' = \{Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : ZY = YZ\}.$ 

The double commutant of Y is defined by

$$\{Y\}'' = \{W \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : WZ = ZW, \text{ for all } Z \in \{Y\}'\}.$$

If X is a self-adjoint unbounded operator and  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , recall that XY = YX, that is X commutes with Y, if  $YE_X(\Omega) = E_X(\Omega)Y$  for every Borel subset  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that the exponential  $e^{iX}$  of a self-adjoint unbounded operator X is a unitary operator, which can be defined via the Borel functional calculus (see e.g. [4]).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let X be a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $e^{iX} = e^{Y}$ .

- (i) If X is generalized  $2\pi$ -congruence-free, then  $E_X(\Omega) \in \{Y\}^{"}$  for all Borel subsets  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular, XY = YX.
- (ii) If  $\{(2k+1)\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \sigma_p(X)$  has at most one element and Y is normal in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$ , then XY = YX.
- (iii) If  $(2k+1)\pi \notin \sigma_p(X)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and Y is normal in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sigma(Y) \subseteq S$ , then  $Y \in \{e^{iX}\}''$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that ZY = YZ. It follows that  $Ze^Y = e^YZ$ . Then we have  $Ze^{iX} = e^{iX}Z$ , and by Lemma 2.1,  $ZE_X(\exp^{-1}(\Omega)) = E_X(\exp^{-1}(\Omega))Z$  for every  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ . If  $\Omega' = \exp^{-1}(\Omega) \cap [-\pi, \pi]$ , then

$$E_X(\exp^{-1}(\Omega)) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_X(\Omega' + 2k\pi),$$

where this series converges in the strong operator topology. Suppose now that there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $E_X(\Omega' + 2k\pi) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\sigma(X) \cap (\Omega' + 2k\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $(\Omega' + 2l\pi) \cap \sigma(X) \subseteq \sigma(X) \cap \sigma(X + 2(l-k)\pi)$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the assumption on the spectral measure of  $X, E_X(\Omega' + 2l\pi) \leq E_X(\sigma(X) \cap \sigma(X + 2(l-k)\pi)) = 0$  for  $l \neq k$ . Therefore for each  $\Omega$ , the above series reduces to only

one spectral projection corresponding to a set of the form  $\Omega' + 2k\pi$ . Hence *Z* commutes with all the spectral projections of *X*.

(ii) We need to consider the Borel measurable function f defined in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Since  $e^{iX} = e^{Y}$ , we have that  $e^{if(X)} = e^{Y}$ . Recall that  $E_X(\{(2k+1)\pi\}) \neq 0$  if and only if  $(2k+1)\pi \in \sigma_p(X)$  ([4, Theorem 12.19]). By the hypothesis on the eigenvalues of X, there is at most one  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $E_X(\{(2n_0+1)\pi\}) \neq 0$ . According to Lemma 2.1, we get

$$E_{f(X)}(\{\pi\}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_X(\{(2k+1)\pi\}) = E_X(\{(2n_0+1)\pi\}).$$

On the other hand,  $E_{f(X)}(\{-\pi\}) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by definition of the function f. According to Corollary 4.2 ii), it follows that  $if(X) = Y + 2\pi iF_{-1}$ . By Remark 2.4, we also know that  $E_X(\{(2n_0 + 1)\pi\}) = F_{-1} + F_1$ . In order to show that Y commutes with all the spectral projections of X, we divide into two cases. If  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{(2k+1)\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , note that  $E_X(\Omega)F_{-1} = 0$  because  $F_{-1} \leq E_X(\{(2n_0 + 1)\pi\})$ . Hence we get

$$E_X(\Omega)Y = E_X(\Omega)(if(X) - 2\pi iF_{-1}) = iE_X(\Omega)f(X) = if(X)E_X(\Omega) = YE_X(\Omega).$$

If  $\Omega \subseteq \{ (2k+1)\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ , we only need to prove that  $E_X(\{ (2n_0+1)\pi \})$  commutes with Y. This follows immediately, because  $E_X(\{ (2n_0+1)\pi \})$  is the sum of two spectral projections of Y. (iii) As in the proof of *ii*), we have  $e^{if(X)} = e^Y$ . Now by the assumption on the eigenvalues of X, it

(iii) As in the proof of *ii*), we have  $e^{if(X)} = e^{Y}$ . Now by the assumption on the eigenvalues of *X*, it follows that

$$E_{f(X)}(\{-\pi, \pi\}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_X(\{(2k+1)\pi\}) = 0.$$
(3)

Applying Corollary 4.2 (iii), we get if(X) = Y. Recall that f(X) is a self-adjoint operator such that  $\sigma(f(X)) \subseteq [-\pi, \pi]$ .

Let  $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $Ze^{iX} = e^{iX}Z$ . Then we have  $ZE_{e^{iX}}(\Omega) = E_{e^{iX}}(\Omega)Z$  for every Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ . We are going to show that  $ZE_{f(X)}(\Omega') = E_{f(X)}(\Omega')Z$  for every  $\Omega' \subseteq [-\pi, \pi]$ . We need to consider two cases. If  $\Omega' \subseteq (-\pi, \pi)$ , there exists a unique set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1\}$  such that  $\exp^{-1}(\Omega) \cap [-\pi, \pi] = \Omega'$ . Therefore

$$E_{f(X)}(\Omega') = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_X(\Omega' + 2k\pi) = E_X(\exp^{-1}(\Omega)) = E_{e^{iX}}(\Omega).$$

If  $\Omega' \subseteq \{-\pi, \pi\}$ , by Eq. (3) we find that  $E_{f(X)}(\Omega') = 0$ . Hence we obtain that *Z* commutes with every spectral projection of f(X). The latter is equivalent to saying that *Z* commute with *Y*, and this concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Esteban Andruchow and Gabriel Larotonda for suggesting me to prove Theorem 3.1 (i). I am also grateful to them for several helpful conversations. Finally, I greatly appreciate the referee's suggestions.

#### References

- [1] E. Hille, On roots and logarithms of elements of a complex Banach algebra, Math. Ann. 136 (1958) 46–57.
- [2] S. Kurepa, A note on logarithms of normal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962) 307–311.
- [3] F.C. Paliogiannis, On commuting operator exponentials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (12) (2003) 3777-3781.
- [4] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [5] Ch. Schmoeger, On normal operator exponentials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (3) (2001) 697-702.
- [6] Ch. Schmoeger, On the operator equation  $e^A = e^B$ , Linear Algebra Appl. 359 (2003) 169–179.